

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Restricting some electronic visual and communication methods as aids to hunting
Submission Details

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1	7/5/2017 11:41		No	No		Robert rippee		Rathdrum	ID
2	7/5/2017 11:41		No	No		Rebecca Rippee		Rathdrum	Id
3	7/5/2017 11:57		No	No	I do agree with limiting use of cameras that transmit.	Tad Sherman		Eagle	Idaho
4	7/5/2017 12:00		Yes	Yes	I have personally seen the use of cell phones being used to communicate between late season archery hunters on the movement of big bucks while hunting. That's not fair chase and leads to slob hunting and is a big ethics issue. Thanks you.	Mark Steele		Soda Springs	ID
5	7/5/2017 12:02		No	No		Casey Gepford		Star	ID
6	7/5/2017 12:02		No	No		Brian Bramwell		Twin Falls	Idaho
7	7/5/2017 12:06		Neutral	No	The proposed rule restricting 2 way communication is dangerous and likely unenforceable. Radio/cell communication is essential for safety/rescue. And most hunting maps are now electronic applications on smart phones. Possession of these items should not indicate criminal activity or criminal intent. And that's what this rule would approach, unless there is a first-person law enforcement observation these devices were being used to the detriment of game and hunting sportsmanship.	Harry Taggart	private	Mountain Home	ID
8	7/5/2017 12:18		No	No	while I am fully in support of some of the rules regarding technology that have been put in place for restricting say drone usage, two-way communications have been legal for too long and are too frequently used by many sportsmen statewide. Frankly, the advantage that it gives hunters in my opinion is negligible. Adding to that the possibility that cell phones could be restricted is also quite problematic, could I be cited for discussing a bull I saw last weekend while planning the coming weekends hunt on my cell phone with a hunting partner? More important, there are a lot of things that Fish and Game should be spending money and resources on, finding illegal trail cameras, monitoring radio traffic for illegal use are not what I would like to be seeing Conservation	Andrew Anderson	N/a	Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
9	7/5/2017 12:20		No	No	In regards to the considerations of fair chase, ethics, and sportsmanship, I believe that using trail cameras and two-way electronic communications is within the "ethical bounds" and values of Idaho hunters. Using this equipment, especially trail cameras, means putting in more time and effort into locating the target species. Trail cameras specifically require a lot of extra time and commitment, and considering the amount of time put into them, they should most definitely be considered a reasonable and ethical effort put forth for locating a species. Hunters who want to put in the extra commitment and time to try and increase their harvest chances should not be punished. If anything, it seems unethical to punish those who are putting in more time and commitment for their passion of being outdoors and pursuing	Tyler Zumwalt		White Bird	Idaho
10	7/5/2017 12:31		No	No	One other thing I forgot to mention in my last comment is something in regards to two-way electronic communications. My family and I use two-way radios and phones to help us track our dogs while bear and cougar hunting. Many times, these tools are essential in helping us assure the safety of not only our dogs, but our fellow hunters as well. We often get split up into multiple hunting groups in order to find the dogs or bears much quicker and effectively. Furthermore, my family and I have not killed a bear/cougar in upwards of 5 years and do it solely for the purpose of the dogs and love of the hunt. We have treed many bears and cougars year in and year out, but rarely ever actually harvest one. Without the use of these devices, it is not only restricting our safety, but damaging our family traditions that have displayed one of the upmost examples of ethical hunting and support for Idaho's	Tyler Zumwalt		White Bird	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
11	7/5/2017 12:32		No	No	Dont mess with these. How do we know how much this affects the big game herds? What research have you done? This seems like a total waste of time and another opportunity to write more tickets. Have you spent any time counting antelope? Are you up to date on your 3 year counts on sheep in the Owyhees? If you are, why arent they posted for public viewing? Seems like the time at the Fish and Game needs to be focused. You just received a fee increase WITH support from sportsmen/women and this is what you want to focus on? Seems ridiculous to me. Go count animals, research where they come from and go to so you can make the habitat along the travel corridors better. You'll help the herds a lot more doing research and physically doing things, than	jeffrey morris		Meridian	ID
12	7/5/2017 12:42		No	No		David Skinner		Fairfield	Idaho
13	7/5/2017 12:51		No	No	If you mean by restricting the trail cameras to eliminating the "livestream" or cellular checking of them, then YES. But I do not in any way support eliminating the ones that you have to go pull cards for to check. Many people put these miles, and miles back to get away from people. As well as bear hunting...which is helping with predator control on your end. It is a tool for the	Brent Daley		Parma	Idaho
14	7/5/2017 12:52		No	No	While some arguments could be made for the restriction of trail cameras and thier usage, the restriction on communications via electronic devices is complete none sense and serves no purpose. But it does create a rather large grey area that is open to interpretation by enforcement officials to abuse. As well as a plethora of safety concerns. This proposal is a terrible one that should not proceed.	Larry copeland		Shoshone	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
15	7/5/2017 12:58		No	No	Personally, we use 2-way radios for safety. If we split up and there is big game between the two of us, we need to be able to make contact and locate each other to be sure and make a safe shot, not in the direction of anyone. With units like 39 becoming very dangerous with multiple hunters in small areas, it is nice to be able to tell your buddy there is another hunter somewhere as well so they can make themselves visible or move out of a line of fire.	Ashley Childers		Nampa	Idaho
16	7/5/2017 13:01		No	No	As for trail cams, I don't see a problem with the cams that do not live stream or send the image instantly. If you are using it to see about what time animals are coming in and habits and if they have young with them, I don't see how that's much different than scouting. For bears, I like trail cams because a sow won't always have her cubs in the immediate vicinity. Using a cam, you may catch cubs in a shot and later see the sow by herself but know to be these changes are very broad sweeping and extremely limiting to some technology that has proven effective in wildlife harvesting and management. Specifically, the wireless technology, most anyone hunting in remote locations uses some sort of communication with others while afield. Cell, texting, GPS all needed for safety and contact with friends and family.	Ronald Charles Edwards		Worley	Idaho
17	7/5/2017 13:03		No	No	While i understand the intent of these rules they would be nearly impossible to regulate or enforce. They would also have minimal impact on the actual successful harvest of animals. Trail cams only help determine what animals may or may not be in the area. Live feed cameras that transmit to a phone require cell phone service which is non existent in most big game hunting areas. I can see maybe restricting live feed cameras during season, but cams that take still photos that must be checked to retrieve pics do nothing to actually help harvest an animal other than being able to determine if those animals were in the area at some time. 2 hunters can communicate using binos and hand signals just as effectively as radios so that rule is pointless. Both rules would require funds to enforce that could be used better elsewhere.	scott schmid		nampa	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
18	7/5/2017 13:07		Yes	Neutral	I think trail cams should only be able to used from June 1st to August 29th. I also think that the real time video sent to phones should be illegal for hunting big game. It has taken the sport of hunting. The whole 2-way radio deal is kind of tricky. I like to have them for the main reason of staying in contact with my hunting party "kids". But I do agree they should never be used to aid in the direct killing of an animal. Cell phones have their use in hunting but I agree they should never be used to guide someone in on an animal. Not really sure how you can prevent that but it	Randy Gamett		Arco	ID
19	7/5/2017 13:08		Yes	Yes	I'm not a fan of a lot of new technology and I do agree it gives a lot of hunters an unfair advantage. I would like to be able to use trail cameras in the off season but I wouldn't oppose a rule that makes people take them down before the season starts.	Henry Talbot		Hazelton	Id
20	7/5/2017 13:09		No	No	Using trail cams still requires a ton of work checking them. With archery it is already very hard to find just the right spot to set up with the use of trail cams, without them will make archery that much harder. It is a really bad idea.	David	Hunter	Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
21	7/5/2017 13:11		No	No	Trail cameras are a great hobby and do more for keeping people engaged in the outdoor sports then they do to aid hunting. Tail cameras are also a way to get kids and new hunters excited to be in the outdoors. Bear hunting over bait especially benefits from trail cameras, by reducing the likelihood of harvesting a sows because you can study the pictures and determine which bears are boars and be able to recognize the one you would like to harvest instead of shooting the first bear you see at your bait site. Personally I enjoy cataloging bear pictures and getting to see pictues and video of bears more then harvesting an animal, and without cameras i would not continue to purchase bait permits or 2 bear tags each year. Another point against the camera ban is the anger toward fish and game by people, myself included who have alot of money invested into mutible cameras, sd cards, readers and laptops. As for the cell phone rule it will be very difficult to enforce, and will cause a public discontent for fish and game as an agency. Many people use communications and see them as a safety measure more then a hunting tool. Navigation tools on phones are a gray area of is it being used as a tool to help hunt game or keeping you from getting lost, and how would a conservation officer in the field be able to distinguish the difference? Posting hunting updates on social media is also very popular and many find it an important part of the hunting experience. Adding these types of restrictions will do more to reduce the enjoyment of our sporrns and reduce the number of tags/permits sold and will hurt the hunting sport in idaho. Social media sites are having a lot of outcry again adding these new	Mike O'Connell	Life long hur	Moscow	ID
22	7/5/2017 13:13		No	No		Philip Peterson		Meridian	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
23	7/5/2017 13:17		No	No	Trail cameras are a great hobby and do more for keeping people engaged in the outdoor sports then they do to aid hunting. Tail cameras are also a way to get kids and new hunters excited to be in the outdoors. Bear hunting over bait especially benefits from trail cameras, by reducing the likelihood of harvesting a sows because you can study the pictures and determine which bears are boars and be able to recognize the one you would like to harvest instead of shooting the first bear you see at your bait site. Personally I enjoy cataloging bear pictures and getting to see pictures and video of bears more then harvesting an animal, and without cameras i would not continue to purchase bait permits or 2 bear tags each year. Another point against the camera ban is the anger toward fish and game by people, myself included who have alot of money invested into mutible cameras, sd cards, readers and laptops. As for the cell phone rule it will be very difficult to enforce, and will cause a public discontent for fish and game as an agency. Many people use communications and see them as a safety measure more then a hunting tool. Navigation tools on phones are a gray area of is it being used as a tool to help hunt game or keeping you from getting lost, and how would a conservation officer in the field be able to distinguish the difference? Posting hunting updates on social media is also very popular and many find it an important part of the hunting experience. Adding these types of restrictions will do more to reduce the enjoyment of our sporrns and reduce the number of tags/permits sold and will hurt the hunting sport in idaho. Social media sites are having a lot of outcry again adding these new	Mike O'Connell	Life long hur	Moscow	ID
24	7/5/2017 13:20		No	Yes	very few trail cams have cell signal capability and in most locations will not have any or limited cell signal to send images. combined with limited or no signal at "camp" or on the move this is a non-needed rule.	jerry fattig		wendell	ID
25	7/5/2017 13:21		No	No		camille wassom		boise	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
26	7/5/2017 13:22		Yes	No	how are you going to enforce either of these? Quit wasting time on trivial stuff, Trail cameras are a great way to scout with out blowing animals out of an area. And you are just giving a officer free reign to harass anybody in camo with a cell phone. I don't believe either of these gives a hunter any kind of advantage while hunting. Trail cameras especially are a very fun tool to use.	Paul Goicoechea		Shoshone	ID
27	7/5/2017 13:24		No	No	Do not get rid of trail cams or the ability to use two way electronic communications. I carry a radio to communicate with people I am hunting with. This is more of a safety issue then a hunting tool, I can see a lot of grey areas come up by carrying a radio if this rule is enacted. As far as restricting any type of trail cam is a joke. I do not support this restriction.	Christopher Collins		Boise	Idaho
28	7/5/2017 13:25		No	Yes		tim johnston		deary	id
29	7/5/2017 13:26		No	No	The trail cams in no way help with same day harvesting of animals. They only help with the aid of locating animals patterns. Even if they were the cameras that send pics to your phone the animal will be long gone before a hunter arrives. As for two way communication devices, it's just a matter of safety. Keeping tabs on where your hunting partner is located is very important! These proposed laws are doing nothing but trying to put more restrictions on hunters and their livelihood! Please do more to help us hunters rather than keep taking things away from	Jesse Foster		Twin Falls	Idaho
30	7/5/2017 13:31		No	No	Trail cameras are important for ethical hunting. Understanding what game is in the area helps hunters make responsible decisions with herd management. Nor does it give an unfair advantage to hunters. If a compromise is necessary then I can understand banning cell activated cameras. Traditional cameras that you need to hike in to check however are a lot of work and don't put game at an unfair advantage. Banning two way radio communication would be a serious danger to hunters. My grandfather and I communicate using 2 way radios. If something were to happen to either of us and we couldn't use radios to communicate we could suffer serious	Campbell Alexander		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
31	7/5/2017 13:33		No	No	I have 2 concerns about this rule change: 1-Use of trail cameras in conjunction with bait for hunting black bear. In my mind trail cameras are an ethical tool for bear hunting and if cameras are not allowed for bear hunting it will be difficult to target mature animals. 2- I often use 2 way radios while hunting not to "aid" the taking of game but rather to check in at planned intervals with hunting companions in the same area for safety and to communicate on their status, location, etc. I am concerned that the proposed change would negatively effect safety and that I could be cited for simply communicating while hunting regardless of whether that communication was intended to aid in the taking of big game or	Dan Stark		Idaho Falls	ID
32	7/5/2017 13:38		No	Yes	Trail cameras that are required to be physically visited to retrieve photos should not be included in restriction. Cameras that are real time sending pictures to owner as they occur should.	Matt Misner		Lewisville	Idaho
33	7/5/2017 13:41		No	Yes	My issue is with the checking a game camera and hunting the same day. I just started bear hunting and put a trail camera on my bait. My hunting schedule is limited because of work. I would drive up once a week - check my camera and hunt the bait - regardless of what the camera showed. I agree with "alt g" and contents, but I feel it would be better to ban the use of 'transmitting trail cameras' during big game seasons - primarily deer/elk seasons. Only allowable in the off season.	Ryan Towle		Boise	ID
34	7/5/2017 13:44		No	No	If it goes to a computer or a phone over a wireless you should not be able to hunt that day. I believe if you check it manually you make the effort to walk in to your area that you hunt you should be able to hunt those animals the same day. The two way communication aid should be allowed as long as it is not done	Jeff Bateman		mccall	Idaho
35	7/5/2017 13:57		No	No		Alan Job		Blanchard	Id
36	7/5/2017 14:01		No	No	Neither of these restrictions Will significantly impact taking game in Idaho.	Ed Westbrook		Genesee	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
37	7/5/2017 14:03		No	Yes	The trail camera rule change seems a little unclear to me. I get no live feed or no cameras that send picture to you. But having one for just taking pictures and or videos should be ok. Fish and game should allow lighted nocks for archers. They don't aid in shooting any sooner or later in the hunt. But allow the hunter to see clearly the shot that was made. Would seriously help on deciding how much time you give the animal before pursuing the blood trail, ultimately there would be fewer animals pushed too soon and being lost. I bet recovery rates would go up.	Randal Fry	Avid hunter	Boise	Idaho
38	7/5/2017 14:05		No	Yes	The first paragraph of g makes no sense. If I visit the trail camera over my bear bait I will not be able to hunt that day or the next. I see no reason for this rule. It can take a lot of time to visit a bear bait, and if the bears are coming in, why have to wait 2 days and spend the time to go back out again.	Robert Johnson		Salmon	ID
39	7/5/2017 14:08		No	No		Roberto		Las vegas	Nevada
40	7/5/2017 14:20		No	No		David Harper		Jerome	Idaho
41	7/5/2017 14:23		No	No	I would entertain the thought of restricting real time streaming cameras but beyond that I do not see a need or benefit in banning cameras used to scout and track areas. As far as two way communication I feel it is beneficial to allow hunters to communicate and augments safety.	Travis Jackson	None	Boise	Idaho
42	7/5/2017 14:25		Yes	No		Eric Gilbreath		Boise	Idaho
43	7/5/2017 14:25		No	No		David Harper		Jerome	Idaho
44	7/5/2017 14:32		No	No	Radio and cell phone use helps hunters coordinate pack outs and provides a safety feature. We need that. If there is some way to stop use DURING the hunt, I would support it.	David Butterfield		Ketchum	Idaho
45	7/5/2017 14:37		No	No	This rule would be ludacris. A hunter that uses trail cams puts in just as much time as the guy road hunting, which you havent been able to control yet. I personally use trail cams for big game hunting and trap line. I travel nearly 100mi. On foot to and from prospect locations fall through winter. In what way am I at an advatage I put more leg work and time in the outdoors with my trail cams than the guy not willing to get off the road.	Chris Boesiger	Outdoorsma	Rupert	Idaho
46	7/5/2017 14:42		No	No	This appears to be a solution looking for a problem.	mikel mcintosh		arco	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
47	7/5/2017 14:42		Neutral	No	I and two hunting partners are all in our late 60's and still enjoy hunting. We all have health issues however ranging from cancer to heart issues and hip/knee replacements. We use radios to keep track of each other (not game). If one of us collapsed for whatever reason, the others wouldn't have a clue where to find him. It could be a life or death situation for us. Without the radios we would have to give up the sport we have enjoyed for so many years and love. It wouldn't be worth the risk.	Dan		Twin Falls	Idaho
48	7/5/2017 14:47		Yes	Yes	Put the "hunt" back into hunting! Two ways are really	Sonia Craig		Payette	Idaho
49	7/5/2017 14:52		Neutral	Neutral	I am opposed to cameras being used that you can view content with out physically checking your camera where it located. Radio use to "walk" a hunter into an animal shouldn't be allowed.	balbers		Melba	Idaho
50	7/5/2017 14:54		No	No		Noah Hoven		osburn	idaho
51	7/5/2017 14:55		No	Yes		Keith Ohls		Nampa	Id
52	7/5/2017 14:55		Yes	Yes		Kelly Duncan	None	Nampa	Idaho
53	7/5/2017 15:03		Yes	Yes	I support restricting live stream trail cameras and 2 way communications for hunters actively pursuing game. I do not support restriction of standard trail cameras that require visiting the camera and pulling an SD card to view pictures.	Jeff Winthrop		Boise	Idaho
54	7/5/2017 15:03		No	No		Jesse coit		Hagerman	Idaho
55	7/5/2017 15:03		No	No	To go into the woods or drop into a canyon without radios is unsafe. My children and I use radios for safety. To say they are restricted is unwise. You will never be able to differentiate the intended use of them.	Grant Settle		Melba	Idaho
56	7/5/2017 15:07		Neutral	No	This is big country and emergencies happen. I will always carry a radio.	Chad Mohr		Pollock	ID
57	7/5/2017 15:09		No	Neutral	Trail camera's are alright but should be removed at a certain date before season. Remove 2 to 3 weeks before season starts.	Gary Wood		Meridian	Idaho
58	7/5/2017 15:10		Yes	Yes		Tim Van Valin		Hayden	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
59	7/5/2017 15:12		No	No	I see the enforcement of this as an absolute waste of taxpayer dollars. First off, 2-way radios and trail cameras are not the problem. And the monitoring of frequencies or subpoenaing for access to telephone records that would be needed in order to enforce this would be not only a waste of taxpayer money, but would be verging on, if not a total violation of the 4th amendment. Over-regulation is rampant by bureaucrats who feel they need to pass new laws in order to make themselves feel relevant. Don't be that type of tyrant.	Michael C Draper		Boise	ID
60	7/5/2017 15:28		No	No	I support only the restriction of live cameras as an aid to hunting. traditional trail cameras do not increase harvest of animals they are just a tool to help with scouting and should not be banned. cell phones should also not be banned they are a great tool for safety and help in the recovery of game.	Brad		rathdrum	ID
61	7/5/2017 15:42		No	No	The use of trail cameras that transmit should be banned. However having a trail camera on a bear bait, trail, or water hole has also helped retrieve vital game statistic information for the Fish and Game department. I.E. Wolverines, Grizzlies, and wolves. The cameras that do not transmit give very little advantage but a lot of information to those of us who cannot take a lot of time to scout. Who would define the use of the radios and GPS? If I give a GPS coordinate to a friend years after I hunted a location years ago under the current draft that would be illegal for them to in turn use a GPS to find a good hunting spot. If I'm carrying mine in the field for safety would I be fined for having it in possession? If I use it to find a spot I want to get to that I scouted on a map that would also be banned. The current wording is to ambiguous and I	Kevin		Ammon	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
62	7/5/2017 15:48		No	Yes	<p>I am strongly against the regulation of trail cameras! Trail cameras don't make you a more efficient hunter. They simple let you know what type of animals are in an area. I do oppose the use of trail cameras that instantly transmit pictures in real time to electronic devices. But not normal trail cameras. This rule would be a over reach of power by IDFG. Do you have any ideal how many Idaho hunters have purchased trail cameras and use them during hunting season ???</p> <p>Also I don't know why you feel a need to change the rule on the use of electronic devices to communicate during a hunt. I thought this was already illegal ?</p> <p>Respectful</p>	Tim		DeSmet	Idaho
63	7/5/2017 15:49		No	No	<p>Tim</p> <p>I always carry my cell phone into the woods, regardless of cell range, for GPS, camera, etc.. While in cell range, its very nice to be able to call your buddies to come help pack out an elk before it spoils on a hot September day. I also have used my cell phone to text and communicate with my son when separated to check in. We are generally not in serviceable areas, but when hunting close to home we have service. Radios and cell phones may give hunters an advantage if they are spotting or directing partners to the games location, but their value for emergencies and packing assistance outweigh that advantage significantly. Also, we need lighted knocks! Would greatly help with recovery and confirmation of shot placement. At the end to the day, a lighted nock ONLY gives you an advantage after you have already wounded or missed the animal. I can see no legitimate reason why these are banned.</p> <p>For trail cameras. I support restricting the streaming or live viewing, but not for the kind that just take pictures until they are</p>	Cory Wolfley		Bellevue	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
64	7/5/2017 15:52		Neutral	No	If you have to physical go to the trail camera, I don't believe that should be restricted at all. The cameras that send pictures directly to a phone is good and bad. Prior to hunting season starting they wouldn't cause any issues, but once hunting season has started it would allow someone to get a picture and know that animal is at that spot and may give that person an advantage to harvesting that animal. Being able to communicate via cell phone, radio, or other transmitting device shouldn't be restricted at all. People have been using radios to hunt for a long time and I have not seen any advantage to it, meaning I don't think cell phones give any more advantage than a radio. I think being able to communicate with them allows us to be safer hunters in the field and in areas of no cell coverage it allows us to communicate to verify that everyone	Kiel Malone		New Plymouth	Idaho
65	7/5/2017 15:55		No	No	I disagree with the trail cameras and communication restrictions. Radios and cellphones keep people safe and aware of group member locations. Trail cameras might give you an insight of where game may be but that does not increase your chances of success. I know a lot of people who use trail cameras that come home empty handed.	Nate Hohnstein		Boise	Idaho
66	7/5/2017 15:56		Yes	Yes	Only trail cameras that do not require you to physically extract the photos.	Barry Tresch		Boise	Idaho
67	7/5/2017 15:56		Yes	No	Do not support completely restricting using trail cameras as defined by "With any game camera or other electronic device capable of recording images used as an aid to take a big game animal during the same day or following day as the images were transmitted or the camera was visited in the field.". Only support restricting cameras that can be wirelessly transmitted. Secondly, would be concerned about enforceability of such rule concerning using two way electronic communication. Is it even supportable? Arent conservation officers already challenged with lack of manning and budget restraints? Are they going to start	Ronnie Sturgill		Mountain Home	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
68	7/5/2017 16:10		Yes	No	The use of the new cameras that can send pictures instantly to a hunter is cheating. A camera that takes a picture and holds it until the hunter gets it is different. It is not instant information. The guy with the camera that tells him what is there by sending him the pictures can wait to see which camera has the best animal and go there. That is cheating.	Ronnie Klimes		Buhl	Idaho
69	7/5/2017 16:14		No	No	When my kids were learning how to hunt we carried two way radios. In case something happened. It is very important that hunters keep track of each other while hunting. GPS and Radios are more important than a map and compass. No one knows how to use a map and compass any more. The use of trail cams in no way gives a hunter an upper hand during the hunt. To outlaw the use of trail cams is completely unnecessary. As far as the use of 2 ways or cell phones makes no sense at all. As hunters we preach safety and letting each other and our loved ones know where we will be hunting, but heaven forbid we do have an accident and injured to the point of being immobile, we need these devices to get help. I understand the new rule will be directed as use during hunting, but the fear of being accused by F&G will cause most if not all of us to leave our phones at home or in our vehicles. So you all really want to take the responsibility for unnecessary deaths? Honestly, instead of wasting time coming up with more and more restrictions, how about fighting billionaire out of states from purchasing all of our land. These animals will soon have no place to live if we keep	Daniel Figini	Idaho Back (Caldwell)		ID
70	7/5/2017 16:21		No	No		Joseph thomas		Filer	Id
71	7/5/2017 16:25		No	No	How absurd. What about safety issues, lost or hurt hunters? I cannot speak for the whole state as far as streaming trail Camas, but I know I'm happy when I have a signal in Boise much less when I'm hunting. Radios, trail cams and cell phones restrictions should be left alone.	Larry Hill	Sportsman	Boise	Idaho
72	7/5/2017 16:27		No	No		Blake		Nampa	Idaho
73	7/5/2017 16:28		No	No		Trebor Evans		Twin Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
74	7/5/2017 16:28		No	No	I would support a rule that bans the use of trail cameras that electronically transmit photos. I don't believe there is any ethical way for Fish and Game to regulate the use of electronic devices. There are too many cell phones and apps. I do support the spirit of the law, but believe there is no way to enforce it.	Andy Trane	Resident of	Idaho Falls	Idaho
75	7/5/2017 16:31		No	No		STEVE RAYANIC		MERIDIAN	ID
76	7/5/2017 16:47		No	No	I feel the use of trail cameras is a helpful tool. And for the use of two way Communication can be a safety issue	Travis christensen		Pocatello	Idaho
77	7/5/2017 16:55		No	No	I believe that trail cameras are a good tool which can and should be used ethically. I really hope fish and game doesn't ban them. I would be okay with them banning trail cameras which send pictures immediately straight to the hunters cell phone.	Thurman Pratt		Rexburg	Idaho
78	7/5/2017 16:59		No	Yes	I support banning the cellular game cameras that transmit photos in real time. Ordinary game cameras in my opinion do not give the hunter much of an edge. They simply educate a hunter about what is living in the area and help them select a mature animal to harvest. Bear bait hunters would also be significantly, needlessly handicapped by this rule.	Jacob Parsloe		Post Falls	ID
79	7/5/2017 17:00		No	No	I hunt in some rugged areas and would hate to be in a tough situation and not have any help because people are afraid to carry a two way radio.	Jeremiah Bell		Boise	Idaho
80	7/5/2017 17:02		No	No	No, on both of them. Number one, I have the constitutional right to talk to my hunting partner. The work still has to be done to get the animal even with communication between hunters. There are no guarantees with either trail cameras or two-way radios. Why don't you put a stop to these guys taking 1000 yard shots or farther and injuring game? Worry about that instead. They use rangefinders that go to infinity and start lobbing rounds. Worry about that kind of thing instead. Wow. I know I am on the "blacklist for tags" but I don't care anymore. Wyoming, will start	Troy Jones		Twin Falls	Idaho
81	7/5/2017 17:20		Yes	Yes		Blake Fischer		Meridian	ID
82	7/5/2017 17:26		No	No		Jared Boley		Rupert	Idaho
83	7/5/2017 17:35		Yes	Yes	Maybe no use of trail cameras during hunting season. No use of communication to aid in harvesting an animal but ably to keep on person for emergency use.	Damion Wheeler		Idaho Falls	Idaho
84	7/5/2017 17:37		Yes	No		Shalene		Idaho falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
85	7/5/2017 17:41		No	No	I believe there needs to be further clarification on the restriction of 2-way communications rules before I can support it. I believe that 2 way radios should be allowed during hunting as a safety precaution and to communicate between hunters. I understand that it is unethical for a spotter to locate animals and then radio to other hunters the location of those animals, and it should be illegal. But, how does a law enforcement officer know what the radio is being used for? I believe that the way the rule is written is not clear enough, and there will be confusion. Will I get sited because I have a radio on me so that I can stay in contact with my son? If he gets an animal down, and needs my help, will we be sited? I understand what the rule states, but again there is a gray area that can be found when an officer comes in contact with an individual who is hunting. Then the decision to site the hunter rests on the officer in the field. I have gone into the fish and game office in Idaho Falls and asked for clarification on specific rules, and been told that according to the regulations I am correct, but it would be up to the officer in the field. I would like to go into the field knowing what rules I am breaking, and not be at risk of being sited because an office reads the regulations a little different than I do. And there is even variation between each law enforcement officers regarding specific regulations. Whenever I hunt I carry a paper copy of the regulations with me because I want to be clear on the rules. I can see real problems with how this rule is written. It is very frustrating wondering that if you come in contact with an officer, you might be sited because he/she reads the regulations a little differently. In the past this has caused me to avoid officers, as much as I can when hunting or fishing. There needs to be better clarification on this rule that is written in the regulations. Why? Does it really matter? F&G is just going through the formalities before implementing this.	michael johnson		Blackfoot	Idaho
86	7/5/2017 17:42		No	No		Dave		Jerome	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
87	7/5/2017 17:55		No	No	Trail cameras can be a vital tool for scouting an area to know if your hunting in the ride got area. And I make phone calls while I'm hunting to home or my wife in camp to let her know where I am and when I'm expected to return. Where would you draw the line while using a phone during hunting. Like call my buddies from the duck blind an tell him your missing out , you should have gotten up . I always carry my phone in case I need to call for help,	Lewis Simpson		Caldwell	Idaho
88	7/5/2017 17:58		No	Neutral	I would be careful on the two way communication. I would make it illegal to pursue game, however, I think it is an important aid for contacting a hunting partner when game is down and help is needed to get the game out quicker and avoid spoilage, or for emergency situations where it can be used to contact help.	James Brandt		Mountain Home	Idaho
89	7/5/2017 17:59		No	No	If you want to ban technology then ban all atv's ,utv's ,motor bikes and any other off road vehicles during hunting season..	Fred Battley		CHALLIS	Idaho
90	7/5/2017 18:00		No	No		Jordan	White, strai	Twin Falls	Idaho
91	7/5/2017 18:03		No	No	Trail cameras do NOT take game. As an old person I need some form of communication for safety reasons.	bob holup	with me....h:	mountain home	id
92	7/5/2017 18:04		No	No		Shane Hayes		Menan	Id
93	7/5/2017 18:07		No	Yes		ron ens	outfitter	challis	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
94	7/5/2017 18:16		Neutral	No	Dear F&G Board, My family and I have used 2-way radios for years and they are great. The majority of the use is to keep track of each other and ensure that everyone is going where discussed prior to separating. I remember when starting hunting as a kid, and for quite a few year beyond, before radios; and I was never sure where anyone else was at was very worrissome. 2-way radios also Increases safety incase someone gets hurt or lost. I know the proposed rule doesn't preclude communicating a hunter's whereabouts and for safety, but I disagree with proposed rule. Yes, we have used radios on a few times to let others know that you see game and let others know of their location, but i don't believe it deminishes the goal of "Fair Chase". If enacted, simple communication such as letting others know of tracks, even if a week old, could be considered a violation. Another example, of a possible violation could be by letting others know that you simply saw game, even though their location wasn't communicated. There are many things that could be in the rules to increasae "Fair Chase", such as limiting power of rifle scopes, limit certain cartrages, and eliminate spotting scopes to prevent shooting across canyons. I am strongly against restricting 2-way radio use. Thank you, Jon.	Jon Whipple		Boise	ID
95	7/5/2017 18:17		No	No	Are you kidding me!!! First Amendment rights... do you really want to limit our Freedom of Speech?? Think about your proposal please. What the hell is your possible thinking on this???	William Jones	US citizen	Twin Falls, Idaho	Idaho
96	7/5/2017 18:18		No	No	I don't agree there should be restrictions on trail cameras. I don't agree with using 2 way radios to hunt animals with, but personally I don't see any harm in the two way garmin radio GPS to help keep a close eye on your hunting partner and their location	Jared Giannini		Idaho Falls	ID
97	7/5/2017 18:19		No	No	Are you kidding me!!! First Amendment rights... do you really want to limit our Freedom of Speech?? Think about your proposal please. What the hell is your possible thinking on this???	William Jones	US citizen	Twin Falls, Idaho	Idaho
98	7/5/2017 18:20		No	Neutral		Bret		Paul	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
99	7/5/2017 18:23		No	No	Game cameras- I cannot support the restriction of trail cameras as an aid to hunting because although I would be willing to support the restriction of game cameras that transmit images to phones and computers in real-time (the alternate option), I do not support restriction of regular game cameras because of the conflicts it creates for bear hunters. Every bear bait hunter out there hangs a camera on their bait, and uses it to assess the bears that are visiting and decide which baits to hunt. It's my understanding that Montana passed a law outlawing game cameras during hunting seasons, but Montana doesn't allow bear baiting. I don't think that many people have the fancy real-time transmitting cameras anyway. In addition, this would be really difficult to enforce, because it would require LEO's to prove that an animal was taken on the same or following day as the person visited their camera.	RJ Hemingway		Potlatch	Idaho
100	7/5/2017 18:33		Yes	No	Two way electronic communications- I don't understand why a I believe we should not allow the use of trail cameras that send direct communication to a cell phone, computer, etc. I believe trail cameras that take a picture and the person has to go to the camera and collect the data is ok. I do not like people using two way radios to signal other hunters in on elk and deer. However, my guides and I use radios during lion and bear hunting so that we can get to our hounds as fast as possible. This is extremely important now that we have wolves. We also use texting machines and satellite phones to contact air taxis while we are in the wilderness. We use telemetry and gps collars on our hounds. If you pass this rule, you need to be very specific what is an "aid to hunting".	Travis Bullock		Challis	Idaho
101	7/5/2017 18:35		Yes	Yes		Brad Varland	none	Boise	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
102	7/5/2017 18:51		No	Yes	Non cell cameras should not have any restrictions. To get any benefit from them you have to routinely check them and have multiple cameras. If a Hunter puts that much time and money into this and gets a benefit from then I say they deserve it. As far as cell coverage cameras. You can not use them in the back country and are useless. I do not feel restrictions are needed for these unless the technology changes the service area issue or you can use a satellite. I am against using radios to help people hunt as far as guiding people to animals or pushing them towards someone with the use of these radios. With all that said if these two issues where against the law they would be very, very hard to enforce as you could use codes on the radios and you can say the use of the cameras is for just	Steve Langdon		Boise	Idaho
103	7/5/2017 18:52		No	No		Will geist		Nampa	Idaho
104	7/5/2017 18:58		No	Yes		troy lenhart		chubbuck	idaho
105	7/5/2017 19:10		No	No	As a retired US Forest Service law enforcement officer I question if either of these proposals are enforceable. Portable radios and cell phones (where they work) are safety items that ALL hunters should carry. I was involved with many search and rescue operations prior to the modern era of the little Family Radio Service (FRS) radios. Many of those searches could have been prevented if the lost party had been able to communicate with their partners. Enforcing a game camera restriction would be even more difficult than the radio use ban, for the officer would need to find the camera and prove that a particular hunter is using that camera. I can see so many options for a defense lawyer to follow on this restriction that it would be a waste of the officer's time attempting	Val T. Lane	US. Forest S	Indian Valley	Idaho
106	7/5/2017 19:20		No	No		Keith smith		Meridian	Idaho
107	7/5/2017 19:36		Yes	Yes		Jerrod Farr		Challis	Idaho
108	7/5/2017 19:36		Neutral	Yes	I oppose live streaming cams but I'm ok with cams that you physically have to go back to retrieve pictures. I'm in favor of 2 way radios or other 2 devices for communication purposes ONLY since I do use them for safety reasons.	Angel Gonzalez		Twin Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
109	7/5/2017 19:37		Neutral	Yes	Cameras as a scouting tool are ok, but somewhat unsporting. The use of radios to communicate during a hunt for locating and aiding in the hunt is not acceptable. We all understand the need to communicate locations of people, places and camps, etc, but in the hunt itself, they should be outlawed	Mark drew		Boise	ID
110	7/5/2017 19:37		No	No	Stay away from game cams and do not take away ability to use radios or cell phones. Radios and cell phones are necessary to keep people safe. When you start to limit them we will lack knowledge of where our hunting partners are or are not and won't have as much help recovering animals. Recovering an animal without help is a gargantuan task for me as I have a bad back and having the ability to contact my hunting partners for help is critical. This will make hunting much more unsafe.	Travis Emery		Meridian	Idaho
111	7/5/2017 19:47		No	No	This is Not a good idea. First off I use radios for safety purposes. Trail cameras help the hunter harvest mature animals. I don't think it's fish and games job to tell me when I can use my cell phone. And lastly, all of the proposed rule changes would be an enforcement nightmare. I think the commissioner that proposed the changes has there own agenda.	Lrscott	Hunter	Homedale	Idaho
112	7/5/2017 19:53		No	No	This is atrocious over reach by the fish and game and every hunter I know will stand against it! Game cameras are absolutely harmless to game and are simply used by those who will put in the time and not simply road hunt. And how in the world do you plan to regulate texting while hunting!? You're going to check a hunter's phone to see if he was texting? Have fun with that!	Gary Caldwell		Hailey	Idaho
113	7/5/2017 19:54		No	No		Brad Thacker		Middleton	Idaho
114	7/5/2017 20:00		Neutral	No		Steve		Nampa	Idaho
115	7/5/2017 20:01		No	No	Bullshit	Joseph		Emmett	Idaho
116	7/5/2017 20:02		No	No	communication with hunting partners, whether friends, sons, daughters, elderly or whoever is an invaluable tool in the safety of everyone making it back safely from any hunt. It would be foolish to outlaw communication devices.And I'll give up my phone's, 2 way radios, trail cameras etc. when fish and game employees do the same!	doug rader		Kuna	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
117	7/5/2017 20:03		No	No	communication with hunting partners, whether friends, sons, daughters, elderly or whoever is an invaluable tool in the safety of everyone making it back safely from any hunt. It would be foolish to outlaw communication devices.And I'll give up my phone's, 2 way radios, trail cameras etc. when fish and game employees do the same!	doug rader		Kuna	ID
118	7/5/2017 20:10		No	No	back off! because of stuff like this! is the reason why the idaho fish and game has the worst reputation in the country. game cameras do nothing to help harvest a big game animal! honestly just ridiculous to bring up such a idea. waste of my money!!!	Marcus white		boise	idaho
119	7/5/2017 20:11		No	No	This has to be one of the most ridiculous proposal's yet...	Jim Holland		Nampa	Idaho
120	7/5/2017 20:12		No	No	You are being way too restrictive on both of these. I would be in support of banning wireless transmitting of pictures and hunting the area the same day but not for people who actually go to the camera to view the photos. You guys have bigger issues to worry about, like being in the stone age on broadhead rules, than trail cameras and communication. Don't forget that most places people hunt phones don't even work and its already illegal to use aircraft and communicate with aircraft for hunting.	Jerad Pfeifer		Cottonwood	IDAHO
121	7/5/2017 20:15		No	No	As a real law enforcement officer in rural idaho, it is insane to tell people that they can't text, call, etc while hunting. This statement is based solely on safety. The power hungry, unethical, and unreasonable fish and game enforcement officers will charge anyone carrying a cell phone and a gun with a misdemeanor	Will		Boise	Idaho
122	7/5/2017 20:23		Yes	No	I think trail cameras are a good tool; however I think that they should be limited to non communicating. They are good scouting tool and as long a hunter has to physically attend to them I believe they are an ethical tool. I do not believe that should be used in such a way that a hunter can use them as a live feed surveillance system. I personally use two way radios, and do not believe that they are an unethical tool, or that they detract from fair chase. In my experience they are a tool to assist each other in knowing where all members of your party are and as a side effect of this allows for a higher regard for safe when line of sight is obstructed. They have also been instrumental in assuring that all members are accounted for at the end of the day when there is	Shane Koski	Hunter	Caldwell	Idaho
123	7/5/2017 20:23		No	No		Frank Reinhardt		Pierce	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
124	7/5/2017 20:23	Yes	No	No	As a retired law enforcement officer I was never in favor of laws being passed that could not be enforced or financially backed in an attempt to enforce. The used of two way radio has been a standard for hunter in many states for years. Of course cell phones now exist with current technology. These devices also provide safety to the hunter as well in the event help needs to be hailed. With regard to trail cameras I do not condone live streaming. That is not much different flying over the area in a plane to scout the area. However, individually set trail cameras that require the person to hike to the camera to gather the data seems reasonable.	Craig Nelson		Clark Fork	ID
125	7/5/2017 20:31	Yes	Yes	No		Bryce Heminger		Kuna	ID
126	7/5/2017 20:36	Yes	No	No		Jennifer Stickles		Boise	Id
127	7/5/2017 20:38	No	No	No	I use trail cameras on bear baits and I think it is a good way to determine if a sow has Cubs, or if there is even a bear worth shooting on it. I would have killed a few sows with Cubs that I treed with my hounds but I knew from my trail camera on my bait that she had Cubs. I also use a radio to communicate with friends when hunting and looking for dogs. It's a way to communicate with each other during critical times. If I was lion hunting with a friend in the winter and we separate I love having my radio it's saved me from freezing to death before.	Levi demers		Couer d Alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
128	7/5/2017 20:41		No	No	This seems to be more of an ethical dilemma... rather than something that needs restricted in its current form. Although trail cameras and technology are quickly evolving ... in its current, most used form, still doesn't necessarily take away fair chase... although debatable on an ethics level. My son enjoys swapping SD cards on our trail cam on the same day we hunt... based on my understanding of the restriction, this could be a violation based on timing of viewing. There is no doubt technology could aid in a hunt, no different than someone who has the ability to take two months vacation to scout will aid in that persons hunt. So is it fair that someone has the trail cam or that someone has more time off or that someone has a long range equipped rifle... or can afford a guide that has done all the scouting for you. This all seems ethical rather than a need for restriction unless it truly becomes an issue. The more debatable point is live streaming this is an ethics issue but could substantially increase success in certain situations as technology evolves. Still tough to regulate. Let's concentrate efforts on current enforcement issues. The IDFG doesn't have enough budget to have enough enforcement officers out and about the way it is.... new regs will just clog an already tough field to enforce on such a gray area. Heck in two weeks we had two parties try to steal our camera caught on camera...	Jeremy Nessel		Lewiston	ID
129	7/5/2017 20:41		No	No	Just because trail cameras are being used doesn't mean it's a guaranteed harvest. Many people use them for wildlife observation as much as hunting purposes. There is little to no way to monitor the use of cameras. Cameras are a big part of my hunting season when it isn't hunting season. They are an aid in determining mature animals in the area you hunt and give valuable information on herd populations.	Todd Gneiting		Idaho Falls	IDAHO

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
130	7/5/2017 20:43		No	No	Should be able to bait wolves for sure. It's not going to increase odds of harvesting much. As for game cameras and cell phones and radios. You can't take a person's safety net away from them. If a person falls and breaks their leg, they need to use that radio or cell to tell someone. Same if they get a big deer or elk on the ground, I use my radio all the time to call back to hunting partners that I got one or vice versa. How else are we gonna get a hold of someone to tell em we need help packing? As for game cameras, the ones that transmit still don't work well because the hunter has to get to said location in time before the animal leaves. That's not gonna happen.	Bryce		Idaho city	IDAHO
131	7/5/2017 20:47		No	Neutral	Its kinda like no expendable broadheads and no illuminocks or cameras on bows. You guys are tightening a rope around our necks that is already too tight. I don't feel that trail cameras should be restricted for all hunts. It gets sportspeople in the field, allows you to see what animals are using a certain area, but I don't feel like it gives a huge advantage	Brad Carter		Afton	Wyoming
132	7/5/2017 21:09		No	No	Whether i bag game does not depend on the equipment i use. If that was the case I would have bagged something every year for the last 28 years. Trail cameras or two-way radios or phones do not bag game, the hunter does. Just because those tools might help me know where game might frequent, does not mean that I am good enough to get in there to get them. Not to mention how incredibly irresponsible and unsafe that would be to those that need access to communication for medical	Kim Wilson		Nampa	Idaho
133	7/5/2017 21:18		Neutral	No	People use two way communication so that they dont loose each other, not for game finding reasons, there is no reason to take these way.	Ashley		Ammon	Idaho
134	7/5/2017 21:20		Yes	No	Cameras should be allowed in past tense situation. I don't think that real time vid should be allowed. But as a scouting prior to season and during season as long as they are not hunting over it. Using radios to guide a hunter onto an animal should be illegal and eliminates the fair Chase.	David hall		Post falls	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
135	7/5/2017 21:24		No	No		Seth fehringer		Pocatello	Idaho
136	7/5/2017 21:27		Yes	No	I support restricting the use of trail cameras as an aid to hunting as far as not allowing trail cameras that wirelessly send photos to their owner. However, I do not support banning trail cameras if the owner is making the trek into the woods to check them. I feel that this is the same as scouting the woods, and making the effort to find the deer. However, it is unfair and unwise to allow trail cameras to remotely send their photos to a destination. This breeds laziness in hunters.	David Swoboda		Post Falls	Idaho
137	7/5/2017 21:31		Yes	No	In regards to restricting two way communication, I feel that this is a valuable feature of hunting with multiple people. It allows you to coordinate your efforts together, and eliminates some risk and danger of pursuing the same animal from different directions, potentially placing the other person in an unsafe position as the backdrop to the animal. I also feel that this is an inherent benefit of technology advancements, and should not be restricted. Similar to the development of modern firearms and optics that allow an average hunter to make successful shots at long ranges that were previously impossible. If a camera is real time or can be accessed remotely, I do not approve of this method. One should only be able to check cameras at the camera itself. I have a hard time believing the use of 2 way radios for communication is a huge issue in hunting.	Jason Maxfield		Weiser	Idaho
138	7/5/2017 21:42		Yes	Yes		Jay Myers		Victor	id
139	7/5/2017 21:55		No	No	So if I can't look at my camera on my bear bait and see what's been going on then what's next I can't use a spotting scope or binoculars to see what's on the next ridge.	Jakob bourgoin		Middleton	Idaho
140	7/5/2017 21:57		Yes	Yes	Highly recommend changing to "With any game camera or other electronic device capable of recording images." Or wording to restriction of cameras prior to 30 days of any big game season. Ability to enforce rule change as presented is difficult - at best!	Ethan Bishop		Grangeville	ID
141	7/5/2017 21:58		No	No		Dan joosten	None	Moscow	Id
142	7/5/2017 22:04		No	Neutral	The use of trail cameras doesn't really help the hunter take a game animal they are used mostly for scouting. Just helps them get a view of the animals in the area.	Jake patterson		Leadore	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
143	7/5/2017 22:04		No	No	Both of these rule changes are absolutely absurd. Whoever brought these rule changes up should be fired!!!!. what about the use of electronic devices for predator hunting or waterfowl mojo ducks and electric calls for snow geese???? Again this person should be unemployed as these suggested changes are stupid. Why not spend some more time and thought on herd management for the entire state!!!!	Brad		Caldwell	Idaho
144	7/5/2017 22:19		No	Neutral	I think the use of trail Cams should be allowed they don't give the hunter a hedge advantage and some people don't have time to go scouting all the time and it gives them to do a little scouting	Taylor		Paul	Idaho
145	7/5/2017 22:30		No	No	You want to restrict one of the only ways hunters may have to communicate? That's pretty much the most STUPID things I have ever heard! Large amounts of hunting ground have poor cell service. What if someone gets hurt?	Lisa		Rigby	ID
146	7/5/2017 22:31		Yes	Yes	I feel like two way communication doesn't necessarily give hunters any hunting advantage aside from helping in generally locating where the game are. I really don't use trail cams, I can see the usefulness but am not to bothered about them.	Jordan Page		Rexburg	Idaho
147	7/5/2017 22:32		No	No		Brady Jackson		Meridian	Idaho
148	7/5/2017 22:33		Yes	Yes		Brandon Fowler		Chubbuck	Ifaho
149	7/5/2017 22:45		No	No	I have used trail cameras over the course of the last 10 years on water holes. I would say they have had no effect on increasing or decreasing my percent of success or the success in my party. In that 10 years we have only had 1 bull make more then 2-3 appearances on the same water hole starting from mid July and running through the end of September. What it has helped us do is know the elk in the area and know the potential of what we can shoot. With this I think we have been more selective and allow younger animals to mature. With that being said I do think having cameras with real time up dates to a cellphone or email should be banned during hunting season. I think they are a great tool to scout and know what is in the area. After using them I already know what is in the area before the season and will be hunting there anyways so it would be pointless to restrict them in the season. It is simply fun to see what comes in at different times.	Dallas Sparrow		Pocatello	Idaho
150	7/5/2017 22:47		Neutral	Neutral	I support the idea but believe the way it is written now is too restrictive	Justin Jordan		Meridian	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
151	7/5/2017 22:56		No	Yes	Ban wireless cameras, but not others. Think about all of us that run baits for bears.	Lehi Hartwell		Mountain Home	Idaho
152	7/5/2017 23:11		No	No	Trail cameras are a useful tool for those of us who are unable to spend as much time outdoors as we would like. This is especially true for physically disabled hunters who are often unable to hike long distance to locate game. Using trailcams helps the hunters know what game is in the area, which aids in less small game animals harvested, when a hunter knows a large buck or bull may be in the area also. Additionally, many people use trail cameras as a way to photograph wildlife not for hunting reasons. The use of trail cams is the best way to capture photo/video of animals behaving naturally. Beyond these reasons, trailcams are very helpful at capturing other hunters who may or may not have broken the law. These photos have helped find poachers and trespassers. There is no downside to using trailcams, only benefits	ABRAM SUMMERFIELD		Orofino	Idaho
153	7/5/2017 23:16		No	No	I strongly oppose restricting the use of trail cameras. They don't give you any advantage when hunting. They actually are helpful in identifying sows with cubs on bear baits among other things. Fish finders are legal and they tell you exactly where the fish are while you are on the water but they are okay? Fish and game needs to concentrate more on actual game management and less on petty rules like banning trail cameras, cell phones and two way radios. I don't even know how you think you have the time, resources and man power to enforce those things.	Jared Allen		Howe	Idaho
154	7/5/2017 23:24		No	No	It is wrong to limit someone from hunting an area for a full day after checking a trail camera. For example, if I place a camera and tree stand overlooking an elk wallow and leave it for two weeks because I live 3hrs away and am unable to visit the area as often as I like. I get a weekend off of work and drive to my hunting area. I go and check the camera on my way to my tree stand (20yds apart). Now I am illegally hunting even if there were no elk pictures on the camera! To be legal I'd have to hunt my tree stand all weekend and then check my camera on Sunday before I go home. That is dumb, there is no benefit to this rule, only more rules for people to break because the law is so unreasonable.	Britney Summerfield		Orofino	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
155	7/5/2017 23:25		Neutral	Yes	<p>I support the concept but see potential problems with the current wording for both cameras and two-way communications. In item h, to prohibit communication "in any manner to communicate the location or approximate location of any big game animal" would seem to make it illegal to tell your hunting partner via any communication device something as simple as "I saw a big buck yesterday by the big rock on Clear Creek." It needs to have some time limitation similar to the camera verbiage, along with maybe some clarification on what seems likely to be the intent -- to prohibit using tech to direct a hunter to an animal in real time.</p> <p>The prohibition of live streaming images seems to have some measure of merit since live transmission would reduce the effort required to see what is happening and plan a hunt. Checking a camera in the field seems less likely to give a hunter any significant or unethical advantage, at least in the areas I am familiar with. I would be interested to hear more about the reasoning on this proposed rule.</p>	Paul Spurling		Caldwell	Idaho
156	7/5/2017 23:40		No	No		Trever Ward		Richfield	ID
157	7/5/2017 23:42		No	No	<p>The use of trail cameras is beneficial in the fact that they can help determine whether or not a bear has cubs or not. This especially helps me tell clients of my outfitter which bears are legal and which are not. I do however think that less time coming up with useless rules and regulations such as this "trail camera restriction" and more time managing the animal population would be in the best interest for everyone.</p>	Charles Climer		Moore	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
158	7/5/2017 23:55		No	Yes	Due to the questionable behavior of a few, we are going to be out in the woods with a stick and a string pretty soon. Game camera's aid in seeing what is, esp. on a bear bait. It's unfortunate that some have them programmed to their phones, so they don't have to sit on a bear bait all day like the rest of us poor suckers do, freezing our hineys off. . Or hiking up and down the mountains trying to find game like elk and or deer. Is there anyway to restrict the TYPES of game camera, verses game cameras all together?	Billijo Beck		Salmon	ID
159	7/6/2017 0:06		Yes	Yes	I hate the 2 way communications. I have watched archery elk hunters use them. A guy on a high ridge, with a spotting scope and a two way radio can zone in his buddies right on top of a herd of elk. It reminds me of the pilots that fly and find the bulls. Takes the scouting right out of it. Just hire a pilot or a spotter and you	Carson		Worley	Idaho
160	7/6/2017 0:29		No	No	Currently the use of radios by hunters is a safety measure, and barring their use puts lives at risk.	Casey Killian		Rigby	ID
161	7/6/2017 0:49		Yes	Yes	To be fair chase, it should be illegal to use trail cams or two way communication during any open season. It becomes more like a military exercise to kill an animal than it is hunting. Communication between hunters needs to be restricted to only that which is not used to aid in the chase.	Trevor Smith	Hunter/cons	Nampa	Idaho
162	7/6/2017 0:52		No	No		Brandt		Ammon	Idaho
163	7/6/2017 1:16		No	No		Mike O'Brien		SPRINGVILLE	UT
164	7/6/2017 1:21		No	No	Technology has always been a vital part of hunting. Today we use high powered rifles instead of flint tipped spears...yet we still have fair chase and healthy and sustainable populations of game. The use of game cameras and electronic communication do not create an unethical advantage in todays world.	Glenn Dodge		Parma	Idaho
165	7/6/2017 5:20		Yes	Yes		david busta		kooskia	id
166	7/6/2017 5:30		No	Yes	Trail cameras are a true necessity leading up to the season if you are chasing trophies on public land. Even WiFi enabled cameras (though outside my budget) would save me money in gas from checking them 130 miles from home every so often.	Andrew workinger		Mountain home	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
167	7/6/2017 5:32		No	Yes	I think cameras that notify the owner by email or alerts wirelessly should be restricted.As to regular trail cameras i think the 24 hour rule will be hard to enforce.	larry lombard	NWTF,SCI	emmett	ID
168	7/6/2017 5:56		No	No	Game cameras allow me and my family to afford a more successful hunting season. This allows me to scout in multiple potential areas at the same time without the cost in time and money to drive every weekend to locations to only find out these areas are not worth while to hunt. They allow me to concentrate time away from my family in a more productive manner without hindering the ability of other hunters to do the same.	Michael emerson		Coeur d alene	Idaho
169	7/6/2017 6:09		No	No	I feel live camera use is not ok. But regular cameras are fine because its not real time. No radio or phone use should be enforced because having them and using them for hunting or in the aid of a person are both important. Phone and radios have helped in the recovery of many hunters. Not packing them because no use would be a bad idea.	Stacy Day		Payette	Id
170	7/6/2017 6:09		No	No	I feel live camera use is not ok. But regular cameras are fine because its not real time. No radio or phone use should be enforced because having them and using them for hunting or in the aid of a person are both important. Phone and radios have helped in the recovery of many hunters. Not packing them because no use would be a bad idea.	Stacy Day		Payette	Id
171	7/6/2017 6:22		No	No		mike adams		idaho falls	id
172	7/6/2017 6:32		No	No	As one who hunts with my father and brothers to provide meat for our family, I do not support the practice of attempting to hinder one's ability to obtain food for family.	Roger Call		Rigby	Idaho
173	7/6/2017 6:32		No	No		Loren		Wilder	Idaho
174	7/6/2017 6:40		No	No		Eric Riley		Rathdrum	Idaho
175	7/6/2017 6:41		No	No		Jill Riley		Rathdrum	Idaho
176	7/6/2017 6:46		No	Neutral	I do not support rules prohibiting use of trail cameras or other electronic devices capable of recording images.	Brian Hoelscher		Boise	Idaho
177	7/6/2017 7:27		Yes	Yes	I am definitely opposed to the use of ANY electronic devices that transmit real-time information regarding the location of game animals. The use of satellite telephones, texting or cell phones to direct hunters to game is extremely lazy, unsportsmanlike and is a detriment to hunting in general. The use of this technology is not consistent with fair chase and should be outlawed in Idaho.	Garth Anderson		Rexburg	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
178	7/6/2017 7:42		Neutral	No	I see Fish and Game using this restriction as another route to cite and fine responsible sportsman. When you are separated and have an animal down, two way radios, GPS, Text etc. are vital in locating your hunting partner and securing and preserving your harvested animal so nothing goes to waste. I will keep my cell phone on me at all times for a GPS in the event that I become lost, so that I can get my bearings, and/or if I need to call for help in case of an emergency. It is too fine of a line and impossible for F&G to enforce without reasonably overstepping and establishing probable cause without blanketing simple possession of a mobile	Jay	N/A	Boise	ID
179	7/6/2017 7:50		No	No	I agree that livestream options with trail cameras should not be legal, but the use of regular trail camera pictures during a hunt can be helpful to the hunter, but I do not think it gives such an advantage that it warrants rules to be altered to make this practice illegal when the hunter visits the camera during a hunt.	Devin Skidmore	IDFG	Stone	Idaho
180	7/6/2017 7:52		Yes	No	You should not be able to use live streaming cameras to send real time images during hunting season. This isn't ethical. The use of two way radios, the way we use them, is for safety and for getting help. While they could be used for potentially unethical hunting, at least there are people in the woods actually hunting.	Ryan johnsen	Hunter	Spirit lake	Idaho
181	7/6/2017 8:04		No	No		Wendell e nash		Meridian	Idaho
182	7/6/2017 8:09		Yes	Yes	I do recommend caution in the banning or restricting of new technology for hunting. Personally, I am a big advocate of technology that makes hunting safer, enjoyable, and more ethical. Would hope that most hunters self-regulate use of technology for fair chase. I for one self impose technology restrictions during hunting season, i.e. no radios or trail cameras. Technology bans are a slippery slope, for example spotting scopes, range finders, ballistic calculators could be argued as not fair chase because of the extended range provide to hunters. However, it is my opinion that they allow for to in the better shot selection and placement for an ethical harvest.	Josh Hoisington		Boise	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
183	7/6/2017 8:13		Yes	No	I believe that it would be fair to restrict the use of trail cameras especially ones with live feed, I think that gives the hunter an unfair advantage. I don't think that the use of two way electronic devices is an unfair advantage though, it provides a base of communication between hunters, eliminating them wouldn't be as effective either because cell phone coverage is spreading farther and farther into the game units and they can also be used.	Cliff	Hunter	Meridian	ID
184	7/6/2017 8:24		No	No	Trail cameras are a tool for scouting and don't present a huge advantage. Even if you got a picture of an animal yesterday, you still have to HUNT that animal today, and hope it's still in the same area. The use of electronics is going to be nearly impossible to enforce and just presents more needless rules in an excessively rule heavy system.	Austin Dahl		Rexburg	Idaho
185	7/6/2017 8:31		No	No	We use two to recruit other members of our party to help pack out an animal not for hunting. But if we were searched and the law was changed we could be charged for a crime we truly were not committing we are doing our do diligence to get the meat off the mountain and not waste meat.	Rick Hiatt		Mccall	Idaho
186	7/6/2017 8:35		No	No	The way the game cam rule is written is extremely vague and I cannot support it. Electronic communication to take game is already on the books and against fair chase. No need to update either of these rules and the revisions are so vague that they can be interpreted many different ways.	Adam Hairston		Garden City	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
187	7/6/2017 8:36		Neutral	Yes	Two way radios are very helpful in coordinating where hunters are and if someone gets hurt, gives us a quick way to communicate. They have also helped us in locating downed game by having someone go down to where we thought the animal went down and being able to efficiently communicate to the person where the animal was when the shot was taken or where the animal was last seen. That being said, I have also heard plenty of illegal activities happening on two ways. Multiple times, I have heard of people illegally tagging animals or using electronic calls over two ways. As far as trail cameras go, I'm neutral on restricting their use. Even in hunting season, if the hunter checks the camera and finds when the animals are moving, they still have to set up properly and whotnot, it's not exactly a guarantee that they will shoot the animals seen on the cameras.	Zackary Smith		American Falls	Idaho
188	7/6/2017 8:40		No	No	You can't tell me I can't use my cell phone when I am in the woods. Stop overstepping your authority, your not my fucking	Mike Stewart		Stanley	ID
189	7/6/2017 8:41		No	Neutral	Trail cameras are a important tool in scouting for game and knowing what animals are in the area. Yes I think devices that transmit wirelessly should be restricted but not the use of trail cameras that don't transmit. While some hunters to use radios unfairly in the field others use them to keep track of others in their hunting party and maintain communication. Restricting use of radios would create a huge grey area for interpretation. I don't support restricting the use of electronic communicaton. More effort should be made to enforce restricted road use and off road	shane stanford	lifetime resc kuna		idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
190	7/6/2017 8:43		No	No	Instead of restricting the use of all trail cameras the day they are retrieved and the day after. Maybe restrict the use of trail cameras that transmit data or pictures to a cell phone or computer to no hunting that area that day or the next. with that said it seems that it would be extremely difficult to enforce that law and might tie up fish and game officers even more then they already are trying to enforce it. Maybe restrict the use of trail cameras that transmit data during the season to no use after opening day. The same goes with radio use. lots of people use radios to let each other know were they are and to help each other locate downed game. The way the rule change is worded it would be braking the law to call for help retrieving a downed	STEVE McGuinness	Idaho hunters	Kuna	Idaho
191	7/6/2017 8:52		No	No	How is this going to be enforced? What about two way radios and cell phones that are out there for general communication or safety purposes? This is a very bad decision and I do not support either proposal. I feel like this is just throwing out another rule to see how far they can go.	Camile		Sandpoint	Id
192	7/6/2017 9:13		No	No		Dustin Guthrie		Downey	ID
193	7/6/2017 9:32		No	No	The use of trail cameras is an opportunity. Some of the best pictures of big game I have on trail cameras during hunting season, and sometimes those are better to go back and look at than the actual hunt! It helps us, who can't get to the field as often as others, those of us that work 40+ hours a week, those of us that can't hike as far due to some kinds of disabilities, and let's us center our attention in ways we are able to, to see where the game is coming from or going or if they're even there since I for one am unable to spend as much time as others in my place of	April Gifford		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
194	7/6/2017 9:44		Yes	Yes	I do not think that "live stream" trail cameras, video systems, or DRONES armed with cameras should be allowed in the field from 30 days before the beginning of any season until the close of seasons. I do not have any problem with the SD card storage type cameras that require a person to hike into the location to retrieve images. Live stream systems (including those tied to a cell phone service) compromise the ethical "fair chase" that is implicit and necessary for sport pursuit of big game. The use of live stream systems is unethical and feeds this T.V. driven craze for horns. These people who use these systems are lazy and generally hunt for all the wrong reasons. Personally I think there should be some suspension of hunting privileges associated with a violation of this provision if enacted by the legislature. These systems enable a shooter to pursue animals with a substantial advantage that I would liken to utilization of airplanes to spot and pattern game animals. We can't fly and shoot on the same day so why can we watch live stream video on our cell phones and then walk up the hill to kill an animal. Unethical as it gets. Fair chase means fair chase and implicit in this is the reality that finding that "big one" is often times a product of luck or chance rather than	Paul Laggis		American Falls	Idaho
195	7/6/2017 9:47		Yes	Yes	I agree with the proposed changes as they are stated in the supporting documentation.	Collin Olsen		Malad City	Idaho
196	7/6/2017 9:48		No	No		Alex Wagner		Buhl	Idaho
197	7/6/2017 9:52		Yes	Yes		Joe Lodge		Boise	Idaho
198	7/6/2017 10:07		No	Neutral		Brian Rainey		Rathdrum	ID
199	7/6/2017 10:07		No	No	While I do not utilize trail cameras, nor do I even own any, I believe the majority of hunters in the great state of Idaho to ethical, and that the rules of fair chase hunting are adhered to. I also find that using two way radios to communicate with members of my hunting party, to be a valuable tool in locating one another for assistance in retrieving downed game, and or for emergency purposes. I fear that if these rules are enacted, the mere appearance of having a two way radio in one's possession could be construed by F&G as be used for illegal purposes, and an unwarranted citation could be the result. Therefore, I am against the adoption of these proposed rule changes. TD	Terry Dillehay	Idaho hunters	Nampa	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
200	7/6/2017 10:14		No	No	Due to the fact that technology is ever changing and that Idaho is truly behind the curve and always has been (I have been in the outdoor industry for over 25 years and have seen this as an issue with IDFG every since) I believe that there are too many "traditionalists" bending the ear of the commission. I am sure that there are reasons for restrictions, but even in Alaska one can hunt the same day after flying, there is just a waiting period. To restrict game cameras and or cell phones is ludicrous! Most people pack mobile phones everywhere due to the fact that there is a camera on it and with the tech advancements regarding game cameras transmitting to cell phones, it is one more thing that IDFG will use to continue to keep the hunting regulations in the stone age. If the focus was truly on managing game instead of managing money, the populations of game animals would be such that petty restrictions due to a minority of traditionalists would not even be an issue. I think that instead of jumping to conclusions regarding the take ratios of game animals due to game cameras and cell phones, time spent researching the use and practicality of today's technology and advancements in such would prove that petty restrictions only make the job of the CO harder and create animosity among the IDFG and the sportsmen. Maybe a closer look at management practices of other states in order to increase the product (hunnable/ trophy game) would be better time spent. It seems that the IDFG is more caught up in telling the non resident to hunt somewhere else by making ridiculous restrictions and yet raising the prices to the residents because they can't seem to figure out basic business and how to generate funds from the technology advancements. I think that IDFG needs to soul search what you really are trying to accomplish here! I purposely I support restricting two-way electronic communications providing they can be utilized for recovery of big game animals. In addition, I see no good reason to restrict the use of trail cams.	Dustin Bomley		Lewiston	Idaho
201	7/6/2017 10:14		No	Yes		Sam Heald		Salmon	ID
202	7/6/2017 10:15		No	No		Tyler sines		St. Maries	Idaho
203	7/6/2017 10:15		No	No		Tyler sines		St. Maries	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
204	7/6/2017 10:23		No	No	I'm concerned that restricting two-way radios would effect my wife & I communicating with each other for safty reasons. My wife & I keep in touch with each other with our radios for safty reasons. In case we get lost or hurt. Trails cameras are helpful for me since I am older & they closed most of the roads & can't walk as far as I would like & don't have horses I need all the help I can get.	David H. Slover		Coeur d' Alene	Idaho
205	7/6/2017 10:23		Neutral	No	My wife and I hunt together but usually 1/2 mile or more apart. She is comforted knowing that we are still able to communicated. Without little radios she will no longer go hunting, or buy a license. I realize that some people use radios to move hunters onto game, especially elk hunters, but some people use them for safety purposes. Don't take that option away. How will a field officer know who is using a radio for chasing animals vs for safety	Ray Ashmun	none	Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
206	7/6/2017 10:24		No	No		Stephanie Loveland		Naples	Idaho
207	7/6/2017 10:26		No	No	Trail cams are no more an aid for taking big game than binoculars or spotting scopes or rifle scopes ! And trying to tell the public they can no longer use their cellphones on public land is surely a communistic act !!! What's next check points at the forest boundary ?? Do your real jobs .. manage the game populations go after the poachers and real law breakers . Hunting is supposed to be a fun experience and IDFG is doing their best to kill that experience for the true sportsmen!!! I strongly appose the proposed rules for restricting the use of electronic devices on our public lands by the IDFG or any other agencies !! Thanks for taking the publics comments even though you never really	Brentallen		Howe	Idaho
208	7/6/2017 10:27		No	No		Mike Davis	Hunter	Salmon	Idaho
209	7/6/2017 10:28		No	No	Restricting the use of trail cameras, SERIOUSLY!?! One of the biggest essentials we have as outfitters. If we give you an inch, you'll take a mile. Shame on idfg.	Cheyenne Folk		Post Falls	ID
210	7/6/2017 10:29		No	No	Trail cams can take skill in placement just like being a trapper takes skill in locating trap sets. It does give an advantage, but not an unfair one. I would support restrictions on drones for live feed photographing however. Two way radios or texting is a good way to stay safe and help newer hunters feel more comfortable in the in-between stage of mentored hunting and learning to separate for short intervals.	Gary Giltner		Post Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
211	7/6/2017 10:30		Yes	Yes		Nathan Whitaker	Resident	Boise	ID
212	7/6/2017 10:37		Yes	Yes		Bryan Moore		Boise	Idaho
213	7/6/2017 10:37		No	No	My uncle and I have more fun with pictures off of bear baits than actually harvesting animals most years. Please don't take this form of hunting from us. The advantages of trail cameras are not harmful to bears, often times we have a much better idea if the animal is a true mature bear. It helps us avoid killing sows and small bears. Trail cameras promote conservation and should	Weston Mott	Hunter	Weston	Idaho
214	7/6/2017 10:43		No	Yes	Cameras are perfectly acceptable in my opinion. There are some folks that don't have the opportunity to spend days or weeks in the field, this simply gives them the opportunity to have eyes on potential areas that may or may not hold game animals. As long as the camera users are hiking in to these prospective areas putting boots on the ground, I believe they should be allowed.	Ethan Todd		Buhl	Idaho
215	7/6/2017 10:45		No	No	I believe that two way radios and cell phones with certain gps apps can be used in ways other than described during the activity of hunting. My fear is that citations will be written for people using these devices for their intended use and not in aiding in locating animals.	Scott Jones		Twin Falls	Idaho
216	7/6/2017 10:45		No	No	I don't believe that trail cameras give the hunter an unfair advantage they are simply scouting tools that if utilized properly can verify if animals use an area without spending countless hours in said areas. Not everybody has the time to put into scouting day	Kaeleen Tobin		Careywood	ID
217	7/6/2017 10:49		No	No	This helps us get a general idea of what kind of animal is in what area. Limiting this could limit potential kills, while i understand we are trying to uphold "sportsmanship" and the actual hunt its self, many full time working people who cannot spend days on end scouting in the woods use this to give them an idea of what animal is where. my husband and myself both work 60 hours a week and rely on our trail cameras to give us an idea of what animals are in the area as we dont have days on end before	Robert Chambers	Sportman	Arco	Idaho
					By allowing the use of trail cameras you get more people involved in The outdoors and that continues to bring new folks into a lifelong passion. The Pittman Robertson act supports wildlife not				

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
218	7/6/2017 10:57		No	No	There are major issues of restricting the usage of radios during hunting. First of all, this may run afoul of FCC preemption of radio service. FCC amateur radio usage is guided by FCC regulations, and I argue preempt state law. There is also a freedom of speech problem with the prohibition of the type of speech that may be broadcast over a radio.	Jason Thomas		Moscow	ID
219	7/6/2017 11:00		No	No		Justin		Aberdeen	Id
220	7/6/2017 11:00		No	No		Jason Newlan		Kimberly	Idaho
221	7/6/2017 11:01		Yes	Yes	I think the pictures gathered from trail cameras are awesome! BUT i do not think they should be allowed for hunting use! Once the first season starts all cameras need to be taken down.	Brian Cowger		Kimberly	Idaho
222	7/6/2017 11:02		No	No	Too many people are using 2 way radios/cell phones to "walk" hunters into animals and it in my opinion is taking the fun/ethics out of a sport that we are struggling to keep alive. The "new" age hunter is too social media infected that it is all about the inches/image to be a good hunter instead of the adventure and Im tired of the fish and game adding restrictions on us you need to take legal counsel with you now to be able to hunt. Its crazy to even want to introduce young people to hunting because of all the restriction anymore. Just because you get a picture does not mean you harvest a animal. I have 100s of pic but have only shot 1 bear .please leave thing alone Thank you Jerry Wageman Am fallsid	jerry wageman		Am. Falls	Idaho
223	7/6/2017 11:02		No	No	I have a wireless trail camera and used it for spring bear. It saved me a bunch of money in fuel costs, time and required a lot less carbon emission because I was able to monitor my bait site from 50 miles away. It DID NOT lead to harvesting a bear. If you are going to ban them, at least ban them for honest reasons. People have the idea that either folks will set these out in the woods and then sit at home or in their car and wait for something to walk in front of the camera and then go kill it. IT DOES NOT work that way. At least allow their continued use on bear baits.	Frederick Moyer	Hunter	Cheney	Washington

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
224	7/6/2017 11:02		Neutral	No	I believe the use of two way communication to aid in hunting goes beyond the definition of "fair chase". As cell coverage and methods of communication become more advanced, I believe it will give hunters an even greater unfair advantage.	James Gerold		Prior Lake	MN
225	7/6/2017 11:04		Yes	Yes	I believe the use of any game camera that can day and time stamp is a violation of fair chase.	Bill Ziegler		REXBURG	Idaho
226	7/6/2017 11:10		Yes	Yes	I am not confident in the ability to enforce these rules, but if you can enforce them, then I would agree that they are great changes.	Ben Tschida		Hayden	Idaho
227	7/6/2017 11:11		No	No	I and others in my hunting party use two-way communication often while hunting. It's used more as a safety device but we do discuss our observations while separated and communicating on a two-way radio or satellite device. I am not in favor of this rule proposal as I believe it would create many grey areas in enforcement of the law and could potentially put hunters at risk if these devices are no longer carried in the backcountry.	Tom Porter		Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
228	7/6/2017 11:24		No	No	I understand the use of active or live stream cameras to be considered unfair chase, if I'm sitting at home on my couch and my phone goes off with a huge bull i just jump in my truck and go get him, that is not hunting. but just a regular trail camera that i have to physically be active and go check is part of hunting. Its nice to know what animal i am after, i am an avid hunter and like to shoot mature animals to let the smaller ones grow. i also run bear baits and like to know if a certain sow has cubs. which I have had a lot of sows come in but there cubs dont always come with. which any hunter would shoot. I like to do my homework on the animals i'm hunting and take them in the most ethical way i can. Trail cameras help out in huge ways with this. Not to mention its a sport in its own and is fun to do. I also use trail cameras for other reasons during the hunting season, I can and have found sick or severely wounded animals to let F&G know, I've watched the heard of deer and elk to see calves and fawns and check on them regularly to see how the population is doing. I've also caught those who like to break the fish and game laws such as trespassing or using an unlawful weapon in the hunt I'm in. everything about trail cameras is a positive, taking away this privilege is taking away our right. On the two way communications I can understand how it could be used in an unethical way. but it will get pretty confusing on try to defer who's actually breaking the law with this. i usually call someone after i shoot something to come help me pack it out. So is that against the law? a little more information on this law	Allen		Blackfoot	Idaho
229	7/6/2017 11:24		No	No		Taylor		Athol	ID
230	7/6/2017 11:30		No	No		Ace Whitehead		Arco	Idaho
231	7/6/2017 11:33		No	No	While I support the general concept of the electronic communications restriction, the rule as written is extremely problematic and nearly impossible to enforce.	Tyrel Stevenson		St. Maries	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
232	7/6/2017 11:40		No	No	I mostly use cameras for bear baiting. It would make it very difficult to continue to do so with the schedule I have. It is a good way to try to pattern when they come in. Also if someone draws the dream tag of their life and wants to go all out but they can't put a camera on a few spots just to try and find their trophy animal they have been hoping for for years. Why would we want to stop that? As for the radios. I had a bighorn sheep tag last year and it saved us a lot of driving and time to be able to communicate while we were scouting for my ram. Having radio doesn't guarantee a kill either. My dad tried guiding me in on a deer a few years ago and we went home empty handed. Thanks for doing these.	Matt Hansen	Idaho Resident	Idaho Falls	Idaho
233	7/6/2017 11:41		No	No		Justin pratt		Pocatello	Idaho
234	7/6/2017 11:43		No	Yes	I thought the use of two way communication for the aid of taking game animals was already not allowed? As far as trail cameras they should have no restrictions. They are a great scouting tool and a fun excuse to get out in the mountains during the summer.	JEREMY bowhay		Arco	ID
235	7/6/2017 11:55		No	Neutral	I support the restriction of two way radios not the restriction of cell phones. In many cases cell phones are the only communication between field and home. Take that communication away and you potentially put lives at risk. All trail cameras do is give the hunter a look at the animals in the area at a particular time of year, in my experience that can change over night. To my knowledge there is not any scientific data supporting high harvest rates with the use of cameras.	Joe Kaul		Blackfoot	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
236	7/6/2017 11:59	No	No	No	how about you focus my money on actually doing your job instead of making it harder for normal people to hunt. focus your time and efforts on people that are abusing the systems and rules. cameras have more purposes that you think and help actual true sportsman to make sure a sow doesn't have cubs or making sure wolfs aren't around that will kill my hound dogs or helping you find wolfs and such when you ask if we have seen any in our hunting areas. once you restrict these you are creating more work for yourselves and you can barley keep up with what you have now. and for radios no we have too much are I hunt solo but that doesn't mean I don't call for help after to help pack out and this is a life saving measure at times. please think logically on this one . this is just my opinion but your time and energy can be used more	Darin Schneider		Blackfoot	Idaho
237	7/6/2017 12:03	No	No	No	This is the dumbest thing I've ever heard of!! The use of trail cameras should be encouraged. Instead of having hunters constantly disturbing the wildlife in an area during the summer months, why not let them do it with little to no animal	Tyler Williams		Chubbuck	Idaho
238	7/6/2017 12:04	Yes	Yes	Yes		John Johnston		Chubbuck	Idaho
239	7/6/2017 12:11	Yes	No	No	for safety	ken		idaho falls	idaho
240	7/6/2017 12:12	No	Yes	Yes	Do not want trail cam rules to include usage of cams to run bears off bait same day! This protection in units I hunt reduces potential conflict with grizzlies by knowing what was on the bait!	Shawn sayer		Chubbuck	Idaho
241	7/6/2017 12:12	No	No	No	I do feel live feed and cameras that email pictures are overboard. I think that takes a lot out of the fair chase because you can be sitting on your couch, get a picture of an animal through email and head out to harvest it. That is a little ridiculous. I don't think there is anything wrong with using traditional cameras for scouting. It would be silly to try and ban traditional cameras. For the 2 way communication issue, that is ridiculous. The safety and animal recovery aspect of being able to contact your partners greatly outweighs the fair chase factor.	Casey		Blanchard	Idaho
242	7/6/2017 12:17	No	Yes	Yes		Mike Poe		Meridian	Idaho
243	7/6/2017 12:17	No	No	No		Greg mann		Lewiston	Idaho
244	7/6/2017 12:20	No	No	No	No reason why you shouldn't be able to check your cameras and hunt the same day. Besides most people pull cameras before hunts anyway	Jason Brinkerhoff	Hunter	Brigham city	Utah
245	7/6/2017 12:20	No	Yes	Yes		Bradley Weeda		Coeur dAlene	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
246	7/6/2017 12:34		Yes	No	I do not support restrictions on trail cameras or 2 way radios. Radios are a tool for safety. "Hey buddy there is a cougar stalking you turn around!" Or "dad I twisted my ankle, can you come here and help?" Do not pass any legislation regarding radios or any other form of communication. As far as trail cameras, I think they contribute to conservation efforts. They allow hunters to be more educated. "Hey I'm not going to shoot this sow because I know she has young cubs that don't always come into the bait." Or "hey a pack of wolves just passed by my trail camera and it text me a picture, maybe I'll go to this other area to elk hunt tomorrow" do not pass any legislation regarding trail cameras.	Adam Mott		Idaho Falls	Idaho
247	7/6/2017 12:36		No	Yes	do not restrict cameras	eldon vieira		idaho falls	id
248	7/6/2017 12:36		Yes	No	Hunting in difficult terrain is hard enough with the use of trail cameras and two way communications. The cameras and the phones/radios do not do the hunting, they do help keep people from wasting their time and energy. Generally our hunting parties carry radios to keep track of one another for safety reasons. Less communication = less safety. I seriously question whether those of you who are proposing these restrictions spend any time hunting outside of your vehicles. Communication can also be very beneficial once an animal is harvested to summon help to	John Carpenter		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
249	7/6/2017 12:38		No	No	<p>First of all I question how would you enforce such a law?</p> <p>A. Would you cite folks for having a cell phone or radio?</p> <p>B. Would you search people at the trailheads?</p> <p>C. Would you monitor radio and cell phone conversations?</p> <p>D. Would you go onto private property to search for offenders?</p> <p>Communication equipment such as cell phones are great tools for emergency situations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An injury - didn't Gov. Andrus use a satellite radio to evacuate an injured hunting partner after he and his buddy got kicked by a mule? 2. Lost hunter - A lost hunter with a radio or cell phone would be much easier to find. 3. Predator attack - There have been a lot of bear attacks lately and in at least three of the one I read about people called with phones or radios. <p>Another use for a communication device is to call your fellow hunters and ask for help packing out a downed animal or with help packing out a camp.</p> <p>To me this restriction is government overreach and an invasion of my privacy and safety.</p> <p>I am going to repeat myself just to make a point: How in the world would you enforce such a law? Please answer that question</p>	Clinton McCutcheon		Kendrick	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
250	7/6/2017 12:39		No	No	First of all I question how would you enforce such a law? A. Would you cite folks for having a cell phone or radio? B. Would you search people at the trailheads? C. Would you monitor radio and cell phone conversations? D. Would you go onto private property to search for offenders? Communication equipment such as cell phones are great tools for emergency situations: 1. An injury - didn't Gov. Andrus use a satellite radio to evacuate an injured hunting partner after he and his buddy got kicked by a mule? 2. Lost hunter - A lost hunter with a radio or cell phone would be much easier to find. 3. Predator attack - There have been a lot of bear attacks lately and in at least three of the one I read about people called with phones or radios. Another use for a communication device is to call your fellow hunters and ask for help packing out a downed animal or with help packing out a camp. To me this restriction is government overreach and an invasion of my privacy and safety. I am going to repeat myself just to make a point: How in the world would you enforce such a law? Please answer that question	Clinton McCutcheon		Kendrick	Idaho
251	7/6/2017 12:41		No	No		Anthony Collins		Meridian	Idaho
252	7/6/2017 12:42		No	No	why not outlaw long range shooting while out hunting too, if we are REALLY talking about "fair chase, ethics, and sportsmanship." People shooting deer and elk @1000 yards is ridiculous! there is Way too much room for error! Everyone wants to brag about their long shots but you only hear about the animals they bag, and not the ones they wound and cannot find. To me, outlawing trail	Seth fehringer		American falls	Idaho
253	7/6/2017 12:50		Yes	Yes	I believe that hunters would be better served by the alt g option	chris bain		Rathdrum	ID
254	7/6/2017 12:57		No	No		RICHARD FITSWELL		BOISE	IDAHO
255	7/6/2017 13:06		No	No	No this is way off task in my opinion. The aid to hunting wording is way to vague. Everything is an aid to hunting in a sense but not an aid to killing.	Nick Hopkins	ISB	Pocatello	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
256	7/6/2017 13:08		No	No	Trail cameras help hunters to know when game is in the area. When archery hunting it can also assist in seeing shot placement, this helping to know how long to wait before tracking game. 2 way communication is essential in contacting fellow hunters to assist in the recovery of game to ensure there is no waste.	Byron Ells		Coeur d alene	Idaho
257	7/6/2017 13:11		No	No	I don't believe either is worth instituting or trying to enforce. Another department caving to big government agenda and tactics.	Chad Baart		Boise	Idaho
258	7/6/2017 13:17		No	No	This would be unfair to the working people who have only 2 days a week to hunt .I do not support this in any way .	Alan Middleton		Idaho Falls	Idaho
259	7/6/2017 13:18		No	No		Russell Fleet		Athol	Idaho
260	7/6/2017 13:20		No	No	Trail cameras to me are half the fun of the hunt, it's a reason to get out in the summer and the excitement of checking cameras keeps the children in the family interested. I can see the issues with real time cameras, however passive cameras that need to be physically checked pose no threat to fair chase. As the hunter has to be physically present in the area the camera is located to get any information from said camera. As for two way communication, restricting this could cause a safety concern, we are all accustomed to talking with the hunting party, it's a safety measure. Not to mention the threat to personal property under our 4th amendment rights. This is the kind of rule that will have COs asking for our phones? It's a very slippery	Rory Roberts		Pocatello	Idaho
261	7/6/2017 13:21		No	No		Tom inwards		Kuna	ID
262	7/6/2017 13:22		No	Yes		Justin Sandy		Homedale	ID
263	7/6/2017 13:22		No	Yes	Trail cameras are one of the best devices available to hunters and conservationists alike. Pictures leave no question on existence of wildlife. Hunters spend LESS time in the field scouting and average less time to harvest and animal, meaning less pressure on the wildlife and land. Idaho will lose big \$ spent by out of state hunters if you curb or take away trail cameras. This proposal is one of the worst I have ever heard.	David Burdge		Nine Mile Falls	WA
264	7/6/2017 13:25		No	No		Jordan		Aberdeen	Id
265	7/6/2017 13:27		No	No		Bradley D VandenDries		Boise	IDAHO
266	7/6/2017 13:28		No	No	There is no valid reason to restrict the use of either, hunters are already at a disadvantage with all the limitations/restrictions on equipment that are already in place.	Hazen Downward		Kearns	Utah

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
267	7/6/2017 13:28		No	No	Trail cameras are a great tool for knowing where the animals are they don't harm animals in anyway. There is no need to ban them they just help people harvest an animal so they can provide for their family. A Two way radio and cell phone ban would be a big safety issue I use them to communicate with my children and to talk with friends and family when I am camping out while hunting. That would just cause more panic and stress on families.	Chris jones		Malad	Idaho
268	7/6/2017 13:29		No	No	I do not view the use of electronic devices as an unfair advantage. Keep our sport simple. Don't over regulate. Allow hunters to be creative in how to find big game, this is all part of the hunt. Determining where to place trail cameras, etc... is all part of the challenge of the hunt. And you absolutely can not restrict communication between hunters in the field as this is necessary for keeping people safe, etc... Trying to restrict the use of cell phones is crazy, and not practical.	Timothy Dean		Boise	Idaho
269	7/6/2017 13:36		No	No	I hope this is a joke, for real. Taking away trail cameras?? Idaho fish and game is all about getting youth involved in hunting and fishing. Do you ever think about how kids love to go set trail cameras with mom and dad and the excitement they get from when they go with there parents and pull the chip, to a lot of kids this is hunting with mom and dad cause there still to young to hike hard in hunting season and can't stay quiet enough and a lot of other reasons... all trail cameras do is tell you what is in a 1 square mile roughly. It's not aiding in the hunt at all!! I know what bucks and bulls are in my areas cause I glass them all year, what's next on the chopping block spotting scopes and binos?!?! 75% of the spots I hunt I don't have cell coverage anyways nor do I even use my phone.. I've hunter around a lot of people over the years and no one even thought about using a cell phone. Think this is just a control thing and power grab... how about IDFG works on limiting all these wanna be snipers and take away more doe and cow elk tags.. thanks!!! PS time to stop having stupid ideas, all ready have to deal with the wolves, all the out of staters chasing elk and now the last state that doesn't allow luminocs	Byron Barnhart		Blackfoot	Idaho
270	7/6/2017 13:37		Yes	Yes		Josh cross		Idaho falls	Idaho
271	7/6/2017 13:38		No	No		Lacey Hopkins		Soda Springs	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
272	7/6/2017 13:39		No	Yes	Vista Outdoor (which includes CCI/Speer in Lewiston) has concerns about a prohibition on trail cameras. We also own Bushnell, a major manufacturer of trail cameras. You may note that the Boone and Crockett Club does not oppose ALL trail cameras, in fact they only prohibit transmitting trail camera use for inclusion in their record book, and Bushnell is a supporter of B&C. You will note trail camera advertising is accepted in Fair	Ryan Bronson	Vista Outdoc	Lewiston	Idaho
273	7/6/2017 13:39		No	No	Restricting use of two-way electronic communication would hinder both efficient recovery of animals as well as eliminate an extremely valuable safety tool in locating lost or injured hunters.	Jesse Kroetch		Coeur D Alene	ID
274	7/6/2017 13:41		Yes	Yes	There is no need for any of this. To use the excuse that these tools need to be used to provide a return on investment to a high dollar client is pure Baloney. The skill of hunting is earned through hard work, not purchased. And if I had that kind of money, I still wouldn't stoop that low. I enjoy the thrill of out thinking them too much to resort to these tactics. I will never teach my grandchildren to hunt that way.	Ted Marston		Homedale	Idaho
275	7/6/2017 13:41		No	No		Jeff Jeppesen		Victor	Idaho
276	7/6/2017 13:41		No	No		Vanessa Hagan		Swan Valley	Idaho
277	7/6/2017 13:42		No	No	The trail is to aid in the search for animals in no way does this help with success and as fare as two way communications devices go they help with keeping people from getting lost they don't aid in filling your tags	Jeff nelson		New Plymouth	Idaho
278	7/6/2017 13:43		No	No		Deven Welker		BLACKFOOT	Idaho
279	7/6/2017 13:43		No	No		Deven Welker		BLACKFOOT	Idaho
280	7/6/2017 13:45		No	No		Steve Pope		Hansen	Idaho
281	7/6/2017 13:45		No	No	Not only is this ridicules, but how are you going to enforce it? This law is saying that even if someone doesn't go check their trail cam but sits on the site to hunt, they are breaking the law if it has taken a picture that same day. How does one know that unless they check, then they still cant hunt that day or the day after. With some hunting lasting only a week, how can you even think about taking away at least 2 days from the hunter. THIS IS A	Barry		Rexburg	ID
282	7/6/2017 13:46		No	No	Evolve with the times	Chad Chlarson		Pocatello	Idaho
283	7/6/2017 13:47		No	No		Ryan		Pocatello	Idaho
284	7/6/2017 13:47		No	No		Marian Jokinen		Mackay	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
285	7/6/2017 13:47		No	No	I use trail cameras to scout and study game. I use the pictures to judge whether an animal is big enough or old enough to take or to pass on it and let it grow up a bit. Restricting game cameras would only hinder the ability for people like me to pursue and take mature animals.	Kody Haney		Moore	Idaho
286	7/6/2017 13:48		No	No	Neither of these technologies, which I have used and continue to use, have increased my success rate in harvesting big game. The trail cameras allow me to "see" what is in the area that I plan on hunting. For example, I primarily try to harvest older big game animals. The camera helps me make decisions regarding this.	Derrick		Rexburg	Idaho
287	7/6/2017 13:49		No	No		Scott Harris		Nampa	Idaho
288	7/6/2017 13:50		No	No	Both of the proposed restrictions are most likely overdue. However, one other thing to consider. Concerning "considerations of fair chase, ethics, and sportsmanship have arisen". In the context of fair chase, ethics, etc., it would be highly congruent to address long range shooting as well. It would be interesting to statistically compare the advantages of the use of electronic devices and two-way communications to the advantages gained in newer or custom manufactured long rifles and ammunition . I realize you have already considered this, but there is some gray area regarding emergency communication or location. Some electronic devices can two-way communicate as well as send and receive data. Cameras are a research tool. Cameras help educate us. Trail cameras are generally used to "Judge" an animal. They help us determine if a bear is a sow or boar and help you age the animal. I personally (along with many others) try to take older game and let the young ones grow. Next thing you will restrict is binoculars. It's an aid just the same as a trail cam or phone scope.	Jeff Christiansen	Hunter	Blackfoot	ID
289	7/6/2017 13:50		Yes	Yes		Richard Lowell	Retired IDF8	Meridian	Idaho
290	7/6/2017 13:54		No	No	Cameras are a research tool. Cameras help educate us. Trail cameras are generally used to "Judge" an animal. They help us determine if a bear is a sow or boar and help you age the animal. I personally (along with many others) try to take older game and let the young ones grow. Next thing you will restrict is binoculars. It's an aid just the same as a trail cam or phone scope.	Ty Ozburn		Rupert	Id
291	7/6/2017 13:56		No	Yes	If the department wants to aid the sportsman in being successful this contradicts just that.	Ben Moldenhauer	Active sport:	Shelley	Idaho
292	7/6/2017 13:57		No	No	Having a camera placed on a tree has never helped me in being successful. Yes it lets a hunter know that there is animals in the area but you still have to do a lot more to actually be able to get a shot. I only use one archery hunting. I have never used one rifle	William Meyers		meridian	Idaho
293	7/6/2017 13:59		No	No		Cassidy G		Preston	Idaho
294	7/6/2017 14:00		No	No		Mark Fossum		Chubbuck	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
295	7/6/2017 14:02		Yes	Yes		Tom Maresca		Burley	Idaho
296	7/6/2017 14:05		No	No	There are a lot of ethical hunters that are regulated by their jobs to weekend only hunts, and they hunt to feed their families. The use of technology to expedite their hunt seems like an elegant solution. They still have to purchase a tag and have the necessary	Laurel		Blackfoot	Idaho
297	7/6/2017 14:05		No	No	I will simply say I am opposed to this rule. Found it was interesting that your state was asked for. No one outside the State of Idaho should have anything to say about Fish & Game	Peggy Timken	None	Medimont	ID
298	7/6/2017 14:07		No	No	Fish and game should be preserving our wildlife and big game not finding ways to screw with sportsman that pay the fees to participate in hunting and fishing programs	William		Pocatello	Id
299	7/6/2017 14:12		No	No	Sounds fair, wondering when this was going to happen.	Lynn		Boise	Id
300	7/6/2017 14:14		Yes	No	Idaho is already behind the times with archery hunting with no mechanical broadheads no lighted knocks. This is absolutely ridiculous! Trail cameras in no way help you harvest they help you know if you have mature animals in the area that is it!	Rich Rogers	Hunter	Rigby	Idaho
301	7/6/2017 14:16		No	No	THE CAMERAS ARE USED AS A RESEARCH TOOL FOR HUNTERS THERE SHOULD NOT BE A RESTRICTION ON THEM AND EVEN IF YOU HAVE A PICTURE OF A 6 POINT BULL ELK OR ANY OTHER ANIMAL FOR THAT MATTER ON YOUR CAMERA DOESN'T MEAN YOU WILL HARVEST THAT ANIMAL.	Travis Conyers		Pocatello	Idaho
302	7/6/2017 14:18		No	No	EVERYONE WILL HAVE A CELL PHONE WITH THEM WHILE HUNTING ANYWAYS SO WHY PUT A RESTRICTION ON THEM ITS NOT ALWAYS USED FOR A HUNTING AID IT IS FOR THE HUNTERS SAFETY IN CASE AN EMERGENCY OCCURS MORE THEN ANYTHING THIS IS THE AGE OF TECHNOLOGY.	SHELBY	HUNTER	IDAHO FALLS	ID
303	7/6/2017 14:18		No	No	This is a waste of time. Good luck figuring out if some body did or didn't use a trail camera. Why don't we worry about managing game and not wasting time on rules lime this that work any ways?	Jacob Nelson		Pierce, land of no	Idaho
304	7/6/2017 14:19		No	No	This is just another encroachment of any new technology that arises in the hunting world	Justin Glowaski		Stanwood	Wa
305	7/6/2017 14:20		No	No		Matt Schulz		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
306	7/6/2017 14:21		No	No	As an avid big game hunter I am adamantly opposed to limiting or restricting trail cameras and other electronic devices to legally harvest animals. Not only is this rule overreaching in its proposal, it additionally opens at he proverbial can of worms for implementation and enforcement. This will become a legal he said she said in situations of fines and ticketing. Big game hunting is my passion and trail cameras etc have allowed me the ability to study, pattern and observe animals. This increases my ability to ethically harvest animals by strategically hunting a specific animal instead of hoping and praying to opportunities and straight flight of bullet or arrow. Please remove this pending legislation from restricting our hunting rights even further. Thank you.	Tyrell Gray		Spanish Fork	UT
307	7/6/2017 14:21		No	No		Kim Johnson		Rigby	ID
308	7/6/2017 14:22		No	No	I have a really hard time understanding your thought process on this. I am 100% against this. As someone that used to live in Idaho but now resides in Montana I have a hard time making it down for scouting opportunities and being able to throw up a couple trail cameras on public land really benefits me. I love the great state of Idaho in the hunting opportunities it provides but you guys are way off base with this proposed rule change. I'll be interested to see what the other sportsman in Idaho have to say. The crew a 15 to 20 hunters I'd rub shoulders with are all	Devin	Hunter	Drummond	Montana
309	7/6/2017 14:23		Yes	No		Derek Jay Pouchnik		Viola	Idaho
310	7/6/2017 14:24		No	No	This is a waste of time. Good luck figuring out if some body did or didn't use a trail camera. Why don't we worry about managing game and not wasting time on rules like this that won't work any ways? My trail cameras don't help me harvest they just reaffirm that I should be hunting other states and not wasting my time and	Jacob Nelson		Idfg unit 10a man	Idaho
311	7/6/2017 14:25		No	Neutral	I see trail cameras as a simple scouting tool to determine what animals are in the area. I don't see an advantage as to not hunting the same day of the viewing? The animal has already passed through (left a picture behind). It's not like Alaska's no hunting on flying days, which is right on the money!	Eric barnett		Sandpoint	Idaho
312	7/6/2017 14:26		No	No		Jeremy Price		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
313	7/6/2017 14:26		No	No	I do not support restricting ALL trail camera use as proposed in section 410.g. Standard trail cameras require a person to physically enter a potential hunting area and physically retrieve the photos or video, and game can potentially be alerted at this time. They have become an affordable and widely available tool that allows hunters with limited time to hunt the ability to scout an area and make an informed decision about where and when to hunt.	Jason Thompson		Moscow	Idaho
314	7/6/2017 14:26		No	Yes	I do support section 410. alt. g. prohibiting the use of a type of trail camera that can transmit electronic photos/video, wirelessly or otherwise. These devices are prohibitively expensive for most sportsman, giving wealthy outdoorsman an advantage. It also allows a hunter to monitor an area without setting a foot there,	Charles Harris	Na	Idaho falls	Id
315	7/6/2017 14:28		No	No	Can't support it tge way you have proposed!	Toby Garcia		Meeker	CO
316	7/6/2017 14:32	No	No	No	Trail cameras help us as hunters to judge animals better to help us take the animals that we feel would be the oldest and most mature and help us hunt more effective. Please do not take away trail cameras.	James	.	Missoula	Montana
317	7/6/2017 14:32	No	No	No	This would be an extremely unfortunate change..	Doug wenz	Hunter	Stevenson	Wa
318	7/6/2017 14:32	Yes	Yes	Yes	DELETE ME TEST	a1		fdasjklfdaj	ffdsfds
319	7/6/2017 14:33	Yes	No	Yes		Larry velvick		Emmett	ID
320	7/6/2017 14:41	Neutral	No	No	I do not support the restriction of trail cameras. We had 8 cameras up last year and never even filled our tags. The year before we had 6 cameras up and never took an animal in the same area as our cameras. In my opinion these do not "aid" in the harvesting of an animal. They simply let you know what is in the area you want to hunt. Using trail cameras does not take away any of the animals keen censes like smell, hearing, or vision. They will know you are in the area and therefore I believe the chase is still fair. If this ban on cameras does pass, there is no way fish and game could monitor it well enough to be fair to the ones who follow the rules. I have seen and placed cameras in some of the most remote spots that no one would ever find them. Please do not put this rule on trail cameras. Thank you!	Derek Bair		Preston	Idaho
321	7/6/2017 14:41	No	No	No		Rome Stiffler		South Fork / Idaho Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
322	7/6/2017 14:42	Yes	No	No	The first question above makes sense, but only within the dates of the season. Other than this point, the rule is ridiculous. Trail cameras only provide a snap shot of the animal at that particular point in time. Within minutes, the animal could be miles away. I could however see a reason to restrict trail cameras with wifi or satellite communication capability. Cameras are used to locate game, much the same as scouting an area prior to the season, either in a vehicle or on foot. 2-way radios need to be limited to game retrieval. We use them, but not for locating game, but to communicate with each other about our current locations. Maybe I am ignorant, but once a	Bruce Willis	Hunter	Moscow	Idaho
323	7/6/2017 14:42	Yes	Yes	No	Trail cameras should be eliminated both preseason and during the season. Trail cameras are an unreasonable form of "scouting" putting hunters who hunt without cameras at a disadvantage. It also puts game at a disadvantage as hunters can plan specific days and times to be in a location based on game patterns. Not to mention that it is annoying to see trail cameras at every water hole. This should be expanded to allow hunters who find trail cameras to confiscate and remove the trail camera without consequence.	Mark Petersen		Pocatello	Idaho
324	7/6/2017 14:42	No	No	No	Two way radio use for communication and location of fellow hunters in your party is beneficial. This allows you to keep track of hunting partners and to communicate with them if necessary. In my experience the communication is about hunter location not about aiding hunting. This part of the rule may need to be revised	Skyler Young		Idaho Falls	Idaho
325	7/6/2017 14:42	Yes	No	No		Kevin Perry		Dayton	Idaho
326	7/6/2017 14:42	Neutral	No	No		Dan		Mountain home	Idaho
327	7/6/2017 14:43	No	No	No		Bridget anderson		Idaho falls	Id
328	7/6/2017 14:44	No	No	Yes	Trails cams great tool to see animals using the area, especially bear hunting in Idaho, summer deer and elk scouting too. I don't see trail cams as being unethical or being a significant aid on the actual harvest.	Chad Linton		Meridian	Idaho
329	7/6/2017 14:44	No	No	No		Lee j kunz		Soda springs	Idaho
330	7/6/2017 14:45	No	No	No		Barry Harmon		California City	California

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
331	7/6/2017 14:46	No	No	No		Dennis walker		Idaho galls	Idaho
332	7/6/2017 14:47	Yes	Yes	Yes		joyce byrne		tarrytown	NY
333	7/6/2017 14:48	Yes	No	Yes		Fredrick wright		Kennewick	Washington
334	7/6/2017 14:48	No	No	No		Dave Wenk		NAPLES	ID
335	7/6/2017 14:48	No	No	No	That's totally observe	John		Boise	Idaho
336	7/6/2017 14:49	No	No	No	Out of all the topics up for discussion, this is the only one I have a problem with. Me and my hunting party often use cell phones to text or call about our locations or even to take photo's of animals we have harvested. We also use game cameras to scout throughout the year to attempt to make our hunt as successful as possible. This is the type of rule that is going to make criminals out of normal everyday hunters. With all the rules and regulations that are already imposed, there doesn't need to be anymore frivolous laws restricting our hunts. I will say this though, good for you guys for taking this to the public for our opinion, that means a	Brian Williamson		Priest River	Idaho
337	7/6/2017 14:50	No	No	No	No, this is ridiculous trail cameras can be a vital piece of information when setting bear bait we can use them to determine what bears are sows, boars to make sure we are harvesting the correct animal this is insane that this is even a proposal.	Jake Lee		Meridian	Idaho
338	7/6/2017 14:50	Yes	No	No	I get the idea behind a direct feed to your phone. It's like no hunting the same day you fly in like Alaska. But to ban all cameras is asinine. Nothing wrong with hiking your butt off to check a camera for deer and elk. Helps with research on animal numbers. Ask hunters to report number of bucks, bulls, wolves, bears etc they have on camera. Ask for GMU #. Just saved you millions on population research. Can I get a cut of the savings as a private research company?	Joseph Kiebert		Nampa	Idaho
339	7/6/2017 14:51	Yes	No	No	I do not believe Idaho needs to alter or amend the current laws on tree cameras. Tree cameras by no means guarantee you a shot at an animal. In fact to a lot of people it's more of just a fun hobby that you get some neat pictures out of.	Austin Zaudke		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
340	7/6/2017 14:51	No	No	No	Restricting the use of game cameras is over reaching control of hunting. Game cameras used in the manner they were intended provide the same intelligence as scouting a hunting area. Restricting the use of cellular devices provide for over enforcement by F&G officers. cell phones in the forest save lives and should not be discouraged. if you enact this law someone will die because they were not able to reach out for help.	Name not provided because you ca hometown			Idaho
341	7/6/2017 14:53	Yes	Yes	Yes	I wholly support the restrictions however how would the use of cell phones or 2 way radios be enforced?	Louis H. Griffin		Idaho Falls	idaho
342	7/6/2017 14:53	Neutral	No	No		Travis Smith		Salmon	Idaho
343	7/6/2017 14:54	Yes	Yes	Yes	I wholly support the restrictions however how would the use of cell phones or 2 way radios be enforced?	Louis H. Griffin		Idaho Falls	idaho
344	7/6/2017 14:55	No	No	No		Curtis Austin		Chubbuck	Idaho
345	7/6/2017 14:55	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think using the Trail cameras and other electronic devices violates fair chase, sportsmanship and ethics. Please restrict use of these devices.	Deborah Vieira		Pocatello	ID
346	7/6/2017 14:55	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rand Tidwell		CDA	IDAHO
347	7/6/2017 14:56	No	No	No	Just because you get a picture of the animal doesn't mean you will kill it.	Dylan hunt		Malad city	Idaho
348	7/6/2017 14:58	No	No	No	Quit trying to control something you don't have the man power to enforce. Annd, cell phones and two way radios are used more for safety. You can't take that away. I hunt ten miles in away from any road. I set my cameras up in July and pull them after the season. In no way can I check them one day and have to wait to hunt.	Luke Grover		Rexburg	ID
349	7/6/2017 14:59	Yes	No	No		Marc		Lehi	UT
350	7/6/2017 15:00	Yes	Yes	Yes		Chet Thompson		idaho falls	id
351	7/6/2017 15:00	No	No	Yes		Mark		moscow	ID
352	7/6/2017 15:01	No	No	No	Radios are a very important safety tool, We use in the field. It's not the place of the fish and game to dictate how we communicate while we enjoy our counstional right to hunt.	Kem Haines		Weiser	Idaho
353	7/6/2017 15:01	Yes	Yes	Yes		chet Thompson		idaho falls	id
354	7/6/2017 15:01	Yes	No	Neutral	Please don't take away the trail cams. I drive truck and can't Get out to scout they are very useful tools. Thanks,Joe	Joseph Milender		Nampa	Idaho
355	7/6/2017 15:02	No	No	No		Josh		Bonnars ferry	Idaho
356	7/6/2017 15:02	Yes	No	No		Ian parks		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
357	7/6/2017 15:03	No	No	No		john Lawrence		moscow	idaho
358	7/6/2017 15:05	Yes	No	Neutral		Steven Freemyer		EMMETT	ID
359	7/6/2017 15:05	No	No	No		Tyler		Soda springs	Idaho
360	7/6/2017 15:06	No	No	Neutral		brent beaty		Cedar Falls	IA
361	7/6/2017 15:06	No	No	No	There is no need for this. Trail cams aid in scouting of animals. There is no need for the state to get involved.	Kyle Harbison		Mountain Home	Idaho
362	7/6/2017 15:07	No	No	No	Please don't ban Trail Cameras. These don't do anything to harm the animals or guarantee harvest of animals in anyway. All they do is provide information.	Eric Nielsen		Bixby	Oklahoma
363	7/6/2017 15:08	Neutral	No	Yes	My opinion is this; using the wireless transmitting cameras during any legal big game hunting season as an aide to hunting SHOULD BE PROHIBITED. These wireless transmitting cameras should be usable in the "off season" and be pulled from use at least 24 hours before the fist day of big game season. Normal "Non-wireless transmitting " cameras SHOULD BE ALLOWED for UNRESTRICTED use before, during, and after all big game seasons. Just because you can check your trail camera and hunt the same day doesn't mean hunters will harvest more animals. This is an overreaching proposal. Two-way electronic communications used DIRECTLY as an aid to hunting SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED. Using two-way electronic communication aids take away from the spirit of the hunt, and creates an unfair advantage to hunters using this method, especial a large group of hunters/spotters canvassing an area, then communicating to the tag holder the animal's exact location. One exception would be aiding a severely physically disabled tag holder/hunter who's mobility is impaired and needs assistance by helpers.	DIRK DURHAM	Hunter	OROFINO	Idaho
364	7/6/2017 15:08	Yes	No	No		Chad turner		Grace	Idaho
365	7/6/2017 15:10	No	No	No	In no way shape or form should we be restricted in using any form of electronic aid to help with conservation of all species of animal. Unless it is in the way of party hunting and poaching.	Corey Smith		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
366	7/6/2017 15:12	No	No	No	Trail cameras are simply a research tool. As far as 2 way electronic communications that is just stupid. It's necessary when hunting whether it is to request help from a partner close by to get an animal out before it spoils or if you get lost during a hunt you could radio for help.	Madeline hightower		Wallace	Idaho
367	7/6/2017 15:14	No	No	No		Tyrel		Idaho falls	Idaho
368	7/6/2017 15:15	No	No	No		Jim		Clifton	Colorado
369	7/6/2017 15:18	No	No	No		Doug Garber		Rathdrum	ID
370	7/6/2017 15:19	No	No	No		Jacob H.		Idaho Falls	Idaho
371	7/6/2017 15:20	Yes	No	No	First, The trail camera's that do send wifi based pictures have to have cell phone coverage to even work, which in most places they do not. I think you are over stepping your authority and could never enforce the law regardless. You would be just picking on a select few that you did find. I don't use trail camera's personally but I don't see anything wrong with using them.	Jeremy Burnside		Idaho Falls	Idaho
372	7/6/2017 15:20	No	No	No	I dont see any problem with trail camera	Billy j. Ray	Resident	hur Oakley	Idaho
373	7/6/2017 15:20	Yes	No	No	I can understand limiting the use of camera's that are connected to via phone or internet for instantaneous viewing. But one's that require physically pulling the chip to view provide very little advantage to a hunter. Are there animals in the area, sure but after the first weekend of the hunt all patterns are changed. As for the radios, there is a huge issue with safety concern with not being able to get a hold of someone if you were to get hurt. Do some hunters use them in an unethical sure, but then there are always poachers using weapons or technology in an unethical	Gene Buckland		Meridian	Idaho
374	7/6/2017 15:22	Yes	No	No		Carson Richards		Soda Springs	Idaho
375	7/6/2017 15:22	Yes	Yes	No		Brock Austin Maynard		Pocatello	Idaho
376	7/6/2017 15:23	Yes	No	No	You guys have changed the wording. Does this mean hound hunters cant use out tacking on our dogs now? You guys are incredible. And this is all from one commissioner with an agenda. This is going to be an enforcement nightmare. You know it as well.	Lloyd R Scott	Hound Hunt	Homedale	Idaho
377	7/6/2017 15:24	Yes	Yes	No		Carson		Soda Springs	Idaho
378	7/6/2017 15:25	Yes	No	No		Ryan Cornwall		Aberdeen	Idaho
379	7/6/2017 15:27	Yes	No	Yes	I think cameras should be banned during hunting season with the exception of bear season where it can only be a camera that does not transmit images	thomas		Weston	Idaho
380	7/6/2017 15:27	Yes	No	No		Jeffrey		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
381	7/6/2017 15:28	Yes	Yes	Yes	These devices are un ethical they should be banned and a massive fine should be placed on their use	Alec Nichols		Pocatello	Idaho
382	7/6/2017 15:28	Yes	No	Yes	I have no problem banning trail cams that transmit wirelessly, as I agree that gives the hunter an unfair advantage. I have no issue with banning communicating to another person in the field where the animals are. That's also an unfair advantage to the hunter. I have an issue with the "alt g." That would make it illegal to hunt the same day or the day after I check my trail cams. I am not in favor of that restriction. Especially in the remote areas I hunt. I can't make a trip up during the week to pull pics from a trail cam so that I can still legally hunt on the weekends. I want to be able to hunt and check my trail cams the same weekend. I'm going to hunt those areas regardless of what's on my trail cam anyway. It's just nice to see what has been in the area during the last week.	Amber		Firth	ID
383	7/6/2017 15:30	Neutral	No	Yes	Regular trail cameras should be left alone as they are, 2 ways cameras i understand that someone can sit and watch different trails then run to a location so i do agree that 2 ways should be restricted however 12 hours would be suffice not the proposed	RON		Star	Id
384	7/6/2017 15:30	No	No	No		Nathan Ohta		Woodburn	Oregon

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
385	7/6/2017 15:31	Neutral	No	No	Restricting the use of trail cameras would be a mistake, as an outfitter I use the information extensively when bear hunting to identify what bears are visiting my bait sites and avoid putting clients on baits that are being used by sows with cubs, this is the best way to ensure sows with cubs are not killed accidentally. I also use them to avoid pursuing sows with cubs with my dogs, while the cubs are generally always safe in the case the dogs do pursue them since the mother bear will put them up a tree at the first sign of danger I avoid doing it so as not to stress the mother or her offspring. If use of cameras that transmit images remotely is restricted I really won't care but see it as unnecessary since in almost any case the animal will be long gone by the time a hunter arrives from any appreciable distance away. I do not support restricting the use of 2 way electronic communications either for many reasons but one of the biggest is it would leave far too much up to the interpretation of individual officers. If I call one of my guides on the radio and ask him to meet me in a designated place to discuss hunting plans and during the conversation where an animal was last seen is discussed, would that be considered illegal? Would relaying the location of hunting dogs be illegal? Where do you draw the line? I think it is unenforceable to a great degree and is going to leave far too many gray areas which will	Leon Brown		Clark Fork	Idaho
386	7/6/2017 15:31	Yes	No	No	I believe the use of cameras as a hunting aid, helps hunters not only to find a specific animal but judge if there is an older animal to take or pass on a big buck to take a slightly smaller buck with an injury like I have done in the past. Now I don't think hunters should be able to check them wirelessly if you set a trail cam you need to hike back out and check it.	Garrett rothfuss		Twin falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
387	7/6/2017 15:33	Neutral	No	No	I spend a lot of time and effort scouting and hiking deep into areas to set cameras. It's fun to be able to see and judge animals plus it gets me out during the summer months. Just because I have a camera set does not mean I will be able to go in and kill that animal. I understand where the proposal comes from with cameras sending real time images but most people can't afford to buy and put those cameras out, so why ruin it for those that obey the laws and enjoy seeing pictures of the animals in the area we hunt. I think it would be ridiculous to ban cameras, recording devices, and 2-way radios by doing so you are keeping people inside and out of the Forest. Plus putting people in danger by banning forms	Brad phillips		Preston	Idaho
388	7/6/2017 15:35	No	No	No		Tanner Loftus		Shelley	Idaho
389	7/6/2017 15:36	No	No	No		Trent Johnson		Rigby	ID
390	7/6/2017 15:37	No	No	No		Chase blayne		Hayden	Idaho
391	7/6/2017 15:37	No	No	No	It is hard enough without more dumb restrictions. Plus the trail camera's with WiFi ability dont even work in most places. Waste of funds even trying to inforce if it was a law. You would only ever catch a very limited select few. Garbage piece of legislation if you ask me. Get with the times. How about allowing Eluminocks and Expandables. And push for a point system on controlled Hunts.	Jason Duncan	Small Busine	Idaho Falls	IDAHO
392	7/6/2017 15:40	Yes	Yes	No		Richard Summers		Weston	ID
393	7/6/2017 15:41	No	No	Yes	Granted that trail cameras (transmitting or not) can provide very useful information about animals and their movements, generally that information RARELY (if ever) GUARANTEES a successful harvest of an animal. At a completely subjective level, I have to believe the number of occurrences where the information from a trail camera DIRECTLY leads to a successful harvest of an animal the "same day or following day" is very very small, and does not constitute a valid reason for restricting the use of any camera. The information also is a significant help for those who's schedules do not allow them to scout certain areas as often as they like due to other time commitments, remoteness, etc. Also the information from cameras also provides a significant source of motivation for myself and others to hunt a remote area if we have a better idea the animals that might be found there.	Jeremy Bateman		Boise	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
394	7/6/2017 15:41	No	No	Neutral	Leave the trail cameras alone. Start doing something about the southeast Deer numbers. Great you've gone and eliminated youth doe tags, but your still selling "extra" non-resident buck tags. There are not enough Deer to warrant that. Listen to your darn biologist's. I have yet to talk to one who agrees with the extra tag	Tyson Mirphy		Preston	Idaho
395	7/6/2017 15:44	No	No	Yes		Kody meyer		Thayne	Wyoming
396	7/6/2017 15:45	Yes	No	Yes		Brian Mahoney	Idaho Resident	Genesee	ID
397	7/6/2017 15:46	No	No	No	So the reason I think this is dumb is because we use trail cameras but we check them every week and love watching the animals grow and see the animals that come in. We never go check them the day of we already know what's coming in the the cameras consistent anyways from week to week the day of the hunt we just hope they come back name of the game. We already don't use things to bate them in like Utah does which is great but it's all about being caught right someone will still do it. I follow the rules and harvest my animals respectedly. I can tell you I love seeing the animals on my camera more that shooting them. I take the pictures back and show those who don't hunt or get out and they can see what cool animals are out there. The communication deal if I'm understanding right is radios correct if that's right I think we need to keep that because we use them in case we get lost or down the wrong path. Those animals are smarter that you think they r tough they know what to do and get away they r not dumb. Banning it will take people away from hunting and I use cameras but I also took on archery something more challenging so I say KEEP IT the same no rule changed needed. Thanks	Natalie		Preston	Idaho
398	7/6/2017 15:46	No	No	No		Brett poore		Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
399	7/6/2017 15:47	No	No	No	So the reason I think this is dumb is because we use trail cameras but we check them every week and love watching the animals grow and see the animals that come in. We never go check them the day of we already know what's coming in the the cameras consistent anyways from week to week the day of the hunt we just hope they come back name of the game. We already don't use things to bate them in like Utah does which is great but it's all about being caught right someone will still do it. I follow the rules and harvest my animals respectedly. I can tell you I love seeing the animals on my camera more that shooting them. I take the pictures back and show those who don't hunt or get out and they can see what cool animals are out there. The communication deal if I'm understanding right is radios correct if that's right I think we need to keep that because we use them in case we get lost or down the wrong path. Those animals are smarter that you think they r tough they know what to do and get away they r not dumb. Banning it will take people away from hunting and I use cameras but I also took on archery something more challenging so I say KEEP IT the same no rule changed needed. Thanks	Natalie		Preston	Idaho
400	7/6/2017 15:47	Yes	No	No		Andy Sheffield		Buhl	Idaho
401	7/6/2017 15:48	No	No	No		Nick Davenport		Jackson	WY
402	7/6/2017 15:49	No	No	Yes		Steve Nelson		Blackfoot	ID
403	7/6/2017 15:50	Yes	Yes	Yes		Josh Bennett		Meridian	Idaho
404	7/6/2017 15:50	No	No	No		Casey Marr		payette	idaho
405	7/6/2017 15:52	No	No	No	Trail cameras are not used to aid us in taking game. It's a tool that allows you to see what animals are present in that area. If the game camera was able to aim and kill that animal we may have something to talk about. Common sense goes a long way it's a camera not a hunting advantage.	Kenny Baker		Clovis	New Mexico
406	7/6/2017 15:53	Yes	No	Neutral	I have trail cams set up because it is a passion of mine. I love observing the animals when humans are away. I do not hunt except with a camera. I foresee camera limitations affecting more than hunters.	Bambi		Kuna	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
407	7/6/2017 15:53	No	No	No	You already have ridiculous restrictions in place and now lets take away ways for groups of hunters to aide in harvesting and feeding families. All these do is hurt ethical hunters and in my mind do not aide in conservation as by your own statistics harvest rates are down.	Matthew Mason		St Anthony	IDAHO
408	7/6/2017 15:54	No	No	No	Trail cameras are a great tool for people who only have weekends to hunt to get an idea of whether or not there is game in the area. According to the way the rule has been written if a person checks their camera on a Saturday they cannot hunt that are Saturday or Sunday which would eliminate their ability to hunt that area over that weekend. Also, I think it would be next to impossible to enforce these new rules.	Mackenzie Tracy		Idaho Falls	Idaho
409	7/6/2017 15:54	Yes	No	No		Colin		Pocatello	ID
410	7/6/2017 15:55	No	No	No		Josh Baker		Idaho Falls	Idaho
411	7/6/2017 15:55	No	No	No		Philip		Meridian	Idaho
412	7/6/2017 15:57	Yes	Neutral	Yes	What did people do before this technology inundated hunting? Seriously, this removes the challenge of hunting, which seems to be escalating day by day.	Timothy Conrads		Boise	ID
413	7/6/2017 15:59	No	No	Neutral		mark gauthier		boise	idaho
414	7/6/2017 16:00	No	No	Yes		Stefan honsaker		Cda	Idaho
415	7/6/2017 16:00	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think we are slowly drifting away from what hunting was and should be so I support these restrictions. Plus I feel they are an intrusion on my privacy when I'm on public lands. Thanks for this.	Adrian Heffley		Williamsport	PA
416	7/6/2017 16:02	Yes	No	No	I agree with restricting the use of cell cameras, but to restrict people from checking their cameras in the field is ridiculous! And I really see no reason behind it at all. Please do not implement this ridiculous law when there are much more important issues that need addressed like people running hounds off of baits and	Jaime Edwards		Pinehurst	Idaho
417	7/6/2017 16:05	Yes	No	Yes		Chad		Boise	Idaho
418	7/6/2017 16:05	No	No	No		Jason Hales		Idaho Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
419	7/6/2017 16:07	Yes	No	No	I believe that using a game camera that sends the picture to your phone or computer should not be allowed on the same day you're hunting. But trail cameras that you have to go and check. You should be able to hunt the same day. You're already out there might as well hunt if you're in season. You should be able to use a go pro or some type of video camera on your person or on your weapons (bow, rifle, or shotgun) to record your memory and to help with how long to wait on a animal after a shot.	Mitchell Hartley		Miscow	Idaho
420	7/6/2017 16:07	No	No	No	I'm all about fair chase, but you are way overstepping your boundaries here. This is a completely unenforceable law and draws attention to something that I have never seen as a real problem. How long have walkie-talkies been around? This is not a new thing. Most hunters are only using these devices to coordinate after a successful harvest or to meet up and hash out a new plan mid-hunt. I do not think you have any good evidence to show that the advent of walkie-talkies significantly increased harvest rates. This proposal is about as ridiculous as proposing to eliminate scopes or binoculars... heck even rifles. As far as game cameras are concerned... all they do is give hunters a way to extend their scouting season and spend more time in the woods of Idaho. You should be happy they're spending time traversing the mountains, spending money in remote towns to fuel their excursions. Once again, I think you'd be hard pressed to suggest that the advent of game cameras has significantly altered harvest rates. All of these related proposals remind me of urban centers proposing magazine capacity limits or assault weapon bans... they're attacking some kind of scapegoat that will do little to change anything. If you want to drive harvest rates down, eliminate certain rifle calibers or optics. How is a .338 Lapua with a 6-20x Nightforce that can shoot 1000 yards somehow "fair chase" and a walkie talkie is not? Having photos of where animals were is fun, but even if someone pointed to me on a map where an elk was the day before, I'm 99.9% certain that I'm not going to return there and be handed an elk, but it might give me an idea of places that are worth my time and places that are not. Heck, think about it this way, I've bought 3 Idaho licenses yet. I've seen good animals on my cameras, which keeps me coming back.	Brandon R Guard		Snohomish	WA

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
421	7/6/2017 16:08	Yes	No	No		Bill Golightly		Preston	Idaho
422	7/6/2017 16:08	Yes	No	No		Tina Greene		Lewiston	Idaho
423	7/6/2017 16:09	No	No	No	Are you guys liberals?	Dustin Hodson		Menan	Idaho
424	7/6/2017 16:09	Yes	No	No	Being a non-resident and not being able to scout as much as I'd like too I find that trail cams help narrow down areas where I might be more successful. Hunting as a non-resident is expensive and I try to use all the help I can get. Also I believe 2-way radios are very important out in the field. If a person gets lost, hurt, or has an animal down a 2-way radio could literally be a life saver. If these things are banned I might have to look at other states to	Brandon Eklund		Elko	Nevada
425	7/6/2017 16:09	No	No	No	Please do not ban trail cameras in Idaho. Go to California if you want to control everything. Don't turn Idaho into a stupid "government controlled" state. Try to be more like Wyoming, a "real western state". It's sad that everyone jokes about needing a lawyer to interpret the Idaho fishing and hunting regulations. Banning trail cameras will not only discourage me but will definitely frustrate the many teenagers that I know that enjoy seeing what is on their cameras every week. If you want to ban something how about banning ATVs. They are constantly misused and are causing erosion issues in places where they are not even suppose to be. They are loud and constantly used to harass wildlife. In addition, most of the hunters I see using them are so fat that banning them could force them to get exercise and save	Jason Bush	Bow Hunter	Idaho Falls	Idaho
426	7/6/2017 16:11	No	No	No		Ross van Ravenhorst		McCall	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
427	7/6/2017 16:12	No	No	No	I support the USE of ALL trail cameras! Half the fun of a trail camera is not knowing what kinds of animals or even species of animals are in an area. As for the transmitting cameras that send you realtime notices, i wish i used them. Not because u would know when an animal was there but because of the non law abiding citizens who like to steal people's belongings. U would actually get a pic of the person who stole it rather than coming to check your camera and find it missing. At least you would have evidence at your fingertips. As for someone being able to sneak in and shoot an animal after getting notified, if they are that close they'd probably already be on stand and see the animal in the flesh. I don't think the animal would still be around by the time the hunter could sneak back in. As for two way communication, i don't think they should be used in an active hunt scenario where one is directing someone to an animal to shoot. Although people use hand signals all the time. I do think they would be a valuable tool in directing someone to help find a downed animal. I've had many situations where it would come in handy. With the current rule i believe one can't use them at all. I don't believe prohibiting the use of these would be right due to the fact that there are many situations where they come in very handy. It is kind of like saying nothing electronic on your bows actually limits one from using lighted nocks which is ludicrous!! Lighted nocks are a very valuable tool in which could actually help aid in more animal recoveries which would also limit the losing of wounded animals. Finding the arrow or seeing where the nock enters the body cavity can greatly aid in the recovery of the animal as opposed to trailing the animal too quickly when one should actually back out and leave it for a while. LIGHTED NOCKS SHOULD BE APPROVED TO	Corey davis		Lewiston	Idaho
428	7/6/2017 16:12	No	No	No		Jason herbert		Iona	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Neutral	No	Yes	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
429	7/6/2017 16:12	Neutral	No	Yes	What next...? Are you going to limit the use of spotting scopes and make it unlawful for hunters to spot and stalk animals the same day. I don't see a problem with a guy hiking into his area and checking trail cameras and hunting there the same day. Most of these cameras are placed in remote areas which make it ridiculous to hike into them one day, check the camera and hike back out only to hike in next day to hunt. I think 2 way radios, electronic communication, should be lawful for hound hunting but illegal for spot and stalk hunting, where one party can electronically communicate to the hunter and reposition him onto the animal. I am neutral of real time pics being sent to my phone. If I was getting them, I am obviously not sitting at the camera site and probably wouldnt be hunting the spot in the next few hours so	Dave Cole		Preston	ID
430	7/6/2017 16:13	No	No	No	This even being up for debate is utterly ridiculous.	Wayne Wood		Post Falls	Idaho
431	7/6/2017 16:13	No	No	No	Cameras are a tool and help in research. Restrictions only hurt hunting and infringing on rights.	Nolan vineyard	Veteran	Bonnors ferry	Idaho
432	7/6/2017 16:13	No	No	No		Zachary Schaaf		Coeur D'Alene	Idaho
433	7/6/2017 16:14	Yes	No	Yes		Shaidon Storch		Deer Park	WA
434	7/6/2017 16:14	No	No	Neutral		Greg me		Middleton	Idaho
435	7/6/2017 16:15	No	No	No	Restricting game cameras and two way radios is absolutely absurd. We already have the strictest hunting laws in the west, we should be encouraging hunting not trying to restrict it. Next thing you guys will try to pass will be distant regulation on how far someone can shoot, how fast their bows can shoot, and what truck they can drive while they hunt. We have to many restrictions now quit trying to pass more!	Justin Huntsman		Idaho Falls	ID
436	7/6/2017 16:16	No	No	No	Using electronics in no way makes it easier to kill an animal, especially during archery season.	Gail Brown	Southfork Ar	Rigby	ID
437	7/6/2017 16:18	No	No	No		Brad Nelson		Idaho Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
438	7/6/2017 16:18	No	No	Neutral	<p>There is no way to enforce a law prohibiting the use of trail cameras. Trail cameras are used for memories, safety, and education. I have caught so many cool photos that you may never see in person. Also I have aided in the catching of a nuisance grizzly in Island Park because of my trail cameras. Trail Cameras allow me to know what is on my bear baits and if I need to go in prepared for a grizzly. It also allows me to judge animals on the age and sex so I can allow young animals to grow. Chances of having a photo of an animal and it being there that day, or the next is rare. Trail camera teach us about animals and how they act. How many of your COs have seen a sow with 3 cubs in the wild??? Not many I am sure. I would not have without a trail camera.</p> <p>As far as communication, once again that can never be enforced. Most areas do not have great cell coverage as it is. I carry GPS and radios for the simple fact of safety and keeping track of each other. I do agree that using them to guide a person right to a bedded down animal is wrong. But it can never be proven that is what it's used for.</p> <p>How about we turn the discussion to lighted nocks that is something that is brought up every year. It aids in the retrieval of your animal and give you a good indication of shot placement and finding your arrow to educate you on the type of hit and whether to look for it or wait so you do not push it. Many many bow hunters have killed and lost animals. So many of them are shooting 2 or even 3 animals a year. That reduces with lighted nocks. If you would like I have a petition with thousands of</p>	Josh		Pocatello	ID
439	7/6/2017 16:18	No	No	No		Taylor		Pocatello	Idaho
440	7/6/2017 16:22	No	No	No		Zach Bush	Idaho reside	Idaho Falls	Idaho
441	7/6/2017 16:22	No	No	No	The most I could support would be live video or photo to cell or computer wireless, but just checking trail cam and not hunting is ridiculous.	stan hager		idaho falls	idaho
442	7/6/2017 16:23	Yes	No	No		Melody Elam		boise	idaho
443	7/6/2017 16:23	No	No	No		Jamie Thompson		Twin Falls	Idaho
444	7/6/2017 16:24	No	No	No		Gary Moore		Middleton	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
445	7/6/2017 16:25	Yes	No	Yes		Cassi Wood		Arco	Idaho
446	7/6/2017 16:25	No	No	No		Lorenzo		Nampa	Idaho
447	7/6/2017 16:25	Yes	No	No	I agree that a camera should not allow real time help to hunting. I think however that checking one day, and hunting the next should be allowed. I also do not use cameras but could imagine that if I did, having to wait 2 days would cause a lot of problems and delay (if not keep me from) hunting as much as would checking, and hunting the next day.	ryan hawkins		Kuna	Idaho
448	7/6/2017 16:26	No	No	No		Nick Berry		Park City	Utah
449	7/6/2017 16:28	Yes	Yes	No		Nicole		Twin falls	Idaho
450	7/6/2017 16:28	Yes	No	Yes		Clint Ursenbach		Pocatello	Id
451	7/6/2017 16:29	No	No	Neutral	The cameras help us hunter to be able to hunt the right age animals and give you great information for future herds and other info that helps us all	Sean		Rigby	Idaho
452	7/6/2017 16:31	Yes	No	No	The third on is hard because if you are out with a spouse or friend you have to be able to get a hold of them.	Kelcie Hedman		Post Falls	Idaho
453	7/6/2017 16:33	Yes	No	No		Lanny B	Sportsman	Gooding	Idaho
454	7/6/2017 16:33	Yes	No	No	I think the rule should read similar to the following: Restricting the use of an unmanned electronic device with the capability to transmit information via voice, text, photo, video, etc... to another location or device more than 100 ft away. Not able to hunt within 1 mile of that device for a period of 24hrs after the device has been removed or information, or knowledge of information, has been retrieved from such device. (And I think such devices should be permitted or tagged like a bear bait, or Using trail cameras us a good way to find out what is in the area of where you are hunting it isn't an advantage because lots of times the camera is there over a long period of time so you aren't hunting the day the picture is taken usually	Marcus Caywood		Idaho Falls	ID
455	7/6/2017 16:34	No	No	No		Mason eby		Bonnars Ferry	Idaho
456	7/6/2017 16:34	No	No	No		Tony Winn		Blackfoot	Idaho
457	7/6/2017 16:35	No	No	No	My gps is a definite need for hunting it has two way communication built in so it would be illegal for hunting. Also trail cameras help culling of older animals that are less likely to breed. Please don't make this a law, it's hard enough hunting as it is.	Wyatt Jacobson	Idaho reside	Idaho falls	IDAHO

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
458	7/6/2017 16:35	No	No	No	This is absolutely BS you all making rules up no other states even wort about Let alone I've worked with your system of public comments You have already made your mind up	Aaron Bateman		Idaho Falls	Idaho
459	7/6/2017 16:38	Yes	No	No	I am for restricting live feed/ auto send trail cameras. I am completely against banning all trail cameras. There are a valuable tool in identifying amount and age of game in an area but don't make things that much easier as do live feed cameras in which you can sit in your truck till your camera sends you a pick and then go hunt the area. Regular cameras require you to enter the area, unknowing if there is game there or not.	Remington		La Center	WA
460	7/6/2017 16:38	Yes	No	No	We use phones and radios as part of our communication to stay in touch with each other and family as a safety measure i.e. Not getting lost, in case of injury, or helping to keep the shooting lane clear. We also use them to help rally the troops when game is down to help preserve it. Trail cameras are a tool, not a sure thing. I use them for Deer, Bear, Elk and Antelope. The way I have found that they benefit me is it will show me if there is a certain age class animal in the area that I have chosen to scout, If I cant get any photos confirming what I'm looking for, I will hunt that area. This year hunting spring bears my camera showed me that I didn't have a mature animal on one of my bait sites so I didn't hunt it, but I did feed plenty of sows/cubs and immature bears. Other than bears my cameras are out of the hills and they are home during the season, I'm afraid of them getting stolen.	Gage Brock		Boise	Idaho
461	7/6/2017 16:39	No	No	No		David turner		Montpelier	Idaho
462	7/6/2017 16:40	No	No	Neutral	Don't restrict trail cams. especially for bear baiting. hunting in grizzly country a guy needs to make sure he has the right kind of bear hitting his bait	jeff ames		dubois	ID
463	7/6/2017 16:40	Neutral	No	Neutral	Non transmitting game cams have no advantage to a hunter other than knowing what game is in an area. There is not an issue of fair chase involved.	James moore		boise	id
464	7/6/2017 16:40	No	No	No		Ryan smith		Fruita	Colorado
465	7/6/2017 16:41	No	No	No		Jason Beckstead		Elko	Nevada

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
466	7/6/2017 16:41	No	No	No	You should not be able to take away trail cameras or communication devices while you are hunting. Trail cameras help us hunters learn what is in that area and whether or not we want to	Cody		Orofino	Idaho
467	7/6/2017 16:42	Yes	No	No	As a houndsman we constantly use our 2 way radios to contact one another while hunting. It is fairly common for multiple hunters to drive around separately looking for a track and then when one is found we meet up to turn our dogs in together. This rule would make that impossible to do legally and would make it so we could no longer hunt in groups. By hunting in groups we are able to assemble larger packs that from my experience makes the hounds safer from attacks by packs of wolves. A pack of 8-10 dogs is far less likely to be attacked by wolves than a pack of 3-4 dogs is. If this rule passes you will see less hunting pressure in areas of low road density because we would not be able to keep within a reasonable distance. By having multiple hunters communicating with each other we can position houndsman closer to the dogs in hopes of keeping conflicts with wolves low. I am currently a non resident but am a holder of a lifetime hunting license and I do all my hunting in Idaho. I hope I don't have to start looking elsewhere for places to hunt with dogs that don't have wolves.	Timothy Hansen		Spokane Valley	Washington
468	7/6/2017 16:43	Yes	No	No	I get the live streaming of trail cameras, that is not a fair chase. However photo trail cameras are great! the perfect example is our bear hunt this year we had a very large bear on our bait several times this year Day and night the only reason we knew this bear was a sow with cubs was because of the camera, she never had her cubs with her during light hours. If it wasn't for the picture we had at night we just simply would have never known.	Kylee		Preston	Id
469	7/6/2017 16:44	No	No	No	Enough rule already.	Mark Safrit		St Maries	Idaho
470	7/6/2017 16:45	No	No	No		Jeff nash		Dacula	Ga
471	7/6/2017 16:46	No	No	No	This is absolutely unnecessary cameras are a tool to help find and judge animals! This would be a poor use of wildlife funds and resources to try and in force such rules!	Ryan Travis smith		Grand junction	Colorado
472	7/6/2017 16:46	Neutral	No	Neutral		Mike Messerschmidt		post falls	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
473	7/6/2017 16:47	No	No	No	This is a joke I can't believe this is even a topic. we should be able to use trail cameras there scouting tool they don't help you get an animal. 2 way radios aren't bad either it's nice to know where my hunting party is and if I need help getting an animal out there great we should be able to use radios when we hunt has nothing to do with fair Chase.	John Baxter		Ammon	Idaho
474	7/6/2017 16:48	Yes	Yes	Yes	As a bow hunter in Idaho I think that rules and regulations that govern the sport should not allow for people to sit at home on their couch and spot big game. What happened to knowing the habits and habitat of the game animal you seek? Hunting for me is about testing my skills as a hunter against the skills of the animal in their home turf. Needless to say this can be very difficult and should remain so. Trail cams are advertised extensively during hunting programs and may seem like the standard but keep in mind many are filmed on private land in states that allow such devices. Idaho Fish and Game needs to keep electronic devices	Dustin Call	Private citize	Pocatello	Idaho
475	7/6/2017 16:49	No	No	No	This is to be the stupidest thing I have ever read!!!! Why doesn't fish and game concentrate on things that really matter, LIKE CONSERVATION!!!! Conserving our wildlife for the future!! Worry about poaching and maybe actually doing something when someone calls and turns in poachers!!! Like I said this is the dumbest thing I have ever read!!! Just a waste of taxpayers	Nissa Neff		Blackfoot	Idaho
476	7/6/2017 16:50	No	No	No		Cody Moffat		Greenleaf	Idaho
477	7/6/2017 16:50	No	No	No		Derek		Idaho falls	Idaho
478	7/6/2017 16:50	No	No	No		Shelli Clark		Menan	Idaho
479	7/6/2017 16:51	No	No	No	I think taking pictures and video with trail cams and smartphones is fine. Guiding someone into an animal via call or text should be restricted of course	Dalton		Arco	Idaho
480	7/6/2017 16:52	No	No	No		Nathan Tumelson		Lewiston	Idaho
481	7/6/2017 16:54	No	No	No		Daniel Smith		Rigby	Idaho
482	7/6/2017 16:55	No	No	No		Jackie		Potlatch	Idaho
483	7/6/2017 16:55	Yes	Yes	Neutral		john roberts		mccall	idaho
484	7/6/2017 16:57	Yes	No	Neutral	Should not restrict the days you can hunt when use trail cameras that have to be checked in the feild. I don't feel that such cameras give the hunter an advantage because you have to be in the feild to retrieve the data	Darren		Idaho Falls	Id
485	7/6/2017 16:59	Yes	Yes	No		Michael Mitchell		Idaho Falls	IDAHO

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
486	7/6/2017 17:00	No	No	No	I don't believe these devices make it easier to hunt. They allow people that are a long ways away from their hunting spots and limited time the opportunity to see what is around. I really don't see the issue that is trying to be resolved here.	Jared Hillyard		Spirit lake	Idaho
487	7/6/2017 17:00	Neutral	No	No		Brady Anderson		Chubbuck	ID
488	7/6/2017 17:01	No	No	No		Michael Reudter		Chubbuck	Idaho
489	7/6/2017 17:03	No	No	No	Get it together idfg it's not the 1920 this only allows really hunters who take the time to go deep off of roads and atv or moto to take good mature animals video is some time very important to allow if the shot was good or bad when you are hunting alone	Jean		Ketchum	Idaho
490	7/6/2017 17:04	Yes	Yes	Yes	With the ever increasing pressure put on wildlife, I feel that a line must be drawn with this technology. The wildlife needs a break and IMO, wildlife is receiving no break from human pressure. Hunting season, shed season, winter range viewing/photography, then right into trail cams around every corner. Plus, there reaches a point that technology literally takes the "hunt" out of hunting...limiting use of trail cams is a positive step in the right	Buzz Hettick		Laramie	Wyoming
491	7/6/2017 17:05	No	No	No	I don't believe that these devices change the success rate in taking big game but instead aids in rating and getting a better idea of the age of a big game allowing hunters to pursue a more mature age class of animal. Instead of imposing more rules on law abiding hunters fish and game should spend more time pursuing those that waste and poach or trespass.	Bruce clark	Hunter	Rigby	Idaho
492	7/6/2017 17:06	No	No	No		Nicole Tobias		Rigby	Idaho
493	7/6/2017 17:07	No	No	Neutral		Bryce Thompson		St Charles	Id
494	7/6/2017 17:09	No	No	No	This is ridiculous. You want regulations that are almost impossible to enforce. This leaves to much grey area for the conservation officers. I have never seen anybody utilize these cameras in a way that provided an unfair advantage. Why don't you do something about the guys shooting 1000 yard guns and not even going to look for blood if the animal doesn't fall.	Erik Kelly		Lewiston	ID
495	7/6/2017 17:09	No	No	No		Justin Rogers		Chubbuck	Idaho
496	7/6/2017 17:10	Yes	Yes	Yes	I would be in favor of restricting same day use of all trail cameras for big game species. Trail cameras are a useful tool for researching animal activity, but should not be used in a manner that gives hunters an advantage outside the common sense	Chris Litzsinger		Eagle	Idaho
497	7/6/2017 17:11	No	No	No		Tyler		Pocatello	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
498	7/6/2017 17:11	No	No	No	FISH AND GAME NEEDS TO COME OUT OF THE DARK AGES. PEOPLE THAT USE TRAIL CAMERAS DO SO OUT OF RESPECT FOR IDAHO WILD LIFE. THEY CAN FIND LEGAL TO SHOT ANIMALS WHILE LEAVING YOUNG ONES ALONE TO GROW INTO MATURE	Tom Robison	Sage brush ; Mountain Home	Idaho	
499	7/6/2017 17:12	Yes	Yes	Yes		Teresa Skaggs		Bargersville	IN
500	7/6/2017 17:13	No	No	Neutral		Cody		Rigby	Id
501	7/6/2017 17:14	Yes	No	No		Glen Farnworth		Pocatello	Idaho
502	7/6/2017 17:14	No	No	No	Restrictions on field communications or trail cameras are ridiculous and unnecessary	Chad Savoure		Post Falls	ID
503	7/6/2017 17:14	No	No	No		Casey olson		Preston	Idaho
504	7/6/2017 17:15	Neutral	No	No	Looking at trail cameras is a great way to get kids excited and involved in hunting please do not screw this up	Brandon Christensen		Grace	Idaho
505	7/6/2017 17:15	Yes	Yes	No		Kyle Williams		Blackfoot	Idaho
506	7/6/2017 17:16	No	No	No	We should be advancing with technology we all want hunters to be able to make the more ethical kills and all fish and game is trying to do is make it harder.	Montie		Iona	Idaho
507	7/6/2017 17:16	No	No	Yes	Please don't stop us from using trail cameras	Randy gravatt		Island park, id	83429
508	7/6/2017 17:16	No	No	No		Kenny Naillon		Middleton	Id
509	7/6/2017 17:16	No	No	No		Rod Bradley		Boise	ID
510	7/6/2017 17:20	No	No	No	This is terrible. Why do we need to add this rule. Does this really aid in hunting? The real-time cameras are expensive and only work when the network is strong. Plus none of them are "real time", technically there is a delay. Cameras don't guarantee game. Plus most of the hunters don't understand what there camera is telling them anyway. This sounds like a bunch of out of touch old guys not knowing what they are doing. Tex	Jay Strugus		Ririe	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
511	7/6/2017 17:21	No	No	No	I only use a trail camera during bear season. I like to get the pics to see what I have coming in. If I have cubs coming in with a mother to be more careful. I also just use it to see whats there. I have been using them for years and have never see one coming in one day and it comes in the same day every day. But mine do not send anything out to a phone or computer. I passed on a bear this year because he has been coming into my bait for 3 yrs and he started as a bootied cub. So is 4 1/2 yrs old. I also have a blonde bear that has been coming in for several years I have been trying to kill and yet to see him when I have been in there. Any time you would like to see any of my pics i will gladly show any Officer to show it is not a guarantee to harvest an animal. The camera does not give me an advantage except to see whats coming in. I get wolverine pics. Wolves, Pine martens, Bald eagles, Foxes. Just awesome to see whats in there. So I do not feel restricting the use of cameras is a good idea. I am against this.	Jerry Holton	Region 4	Dir Jerome	Idaho
512	7/6/2017 17:21	No	No	No		Dan		Idaho Falls	Idaho
513	7/6/2017 17:22	No	No	No	Trail camera's are a good tool in hunting. In all aspects if there's a legal animal to shoot not legal. Need to find a different area etc.	Jinny		Chester	Idaho
514	7/6/2017 17:22	No	No	No		ashton vieira		idaho falls	id
515	7/6/2017 17:22	No	No	Yes		Dustene Johnston		Deary	Idaho
516	7/6/2017 17:22	No	No	No		Brandon		Renton	Washington
517	7/6/2017 17:24	No	No	No	We personally use trail cams to determine if what's coming in to our area are full grown animals or young ones. Whether it's a momma bear or not. We use walking talkies to communicate with hunting partners when we've gotten an animal so they can help us pack it out. We record our children getting their first animals. This would all be not allowed. Enough is enough!	Misty perry		Kamiah	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
518	7/6/2017 17:25	No	No	No	None of these proposed new rules aid in harvesting..the 2 way radio rule is already a rule ..to use it as an aid to help recover and locate people you hunt with should always be allowed Where is the scientific data used to make the trail camera or cell phone use ? Don't make a rule until you have the data to back it up Are you going to stop fisherman from using GPS on lakes for their fishing holes ??	Tom Parkinson		Mountain Home	Idaho
519	7/6/2017 17:26	No	No	No	This is a bunch of bull!!	Jake		Tremonton	Utah
520	7/6/2017 17:29	No	No	No		Kevin Hall		Inkom	Idaho
521	7/6/2017 17:29	Neutral	No	Neutral		Paul Waldon		Boise	ID
522	7/6/2017 17:29	No	No	No	Trail Cams only help educate hunters in identifying mature animals and also help in identifying the sex of the animal so the thought of putting this law into effect is irresponsible on behalf of the legislator who proposed this change. It will only lead to younger animals being taken because of the lack of research that is able to be conducted by the hunter. Do not pass this.	Jason Johnson		Rigby	Idaho
523	7/6/2017 17:31	Yes	No	Yes	I don't have a problem with non-transmitting trail cameras. I think they help bear hunters be more selective when harvesting a bear because they are able to target mature bears and identify sows with cubs, if cubs are not present on arrival at bait sites.	Todd Christiansen		Blackfoot	Idaho
524	7/6/2017 17:31	No	No	No		Casey collom		Preston	Idaho
525	7/6/2017 17:34	No	No	No	Is a good way to check area to see the game and Persue the mature animals to keep the numbers up for the future. It is a good way to check for animals to know where to hunt and not push the animals around all season long and not be able to put meat in the freezer. It is the fish and game responsibility to issue the right amount of tags. It is the hunters responsibility to harvest the correct animal legally, efficiently, and safest way. If you are hunting a unit for elk and set up 4 different locations with trail cameras. And there are elk in all four but there are grizzly bear with cubs in one and a wolf pack in an other one I am going to spend my time around the other two. Let's not shoot ourselves in	Weston Miller		Chester	Idaho
526	7/6/2017 17:37	No	No	No		Manuel Mellow		Eagle	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
527	7/6/2017 17:37	No	No	No		Houston Keane		Lewiston	Idaho
528	7/6/2017 17:38	Yes	No	No		Anonymous		Anonymous	idaho
529	7/6/2017 17:39	No	No	No	This is ridiculous! Everyone I know that uses these cameras are using them to judge if the animal is the right sex and or age to harvest. Also, they use them for research to understand habits and schedules that are not possible to get any other way. Not a lot of people have the time to go out and spend 2 weeks stocking an animal in person. Limiting the use of cameras will reduce the number of successful harvest and game management will get	Taylor		Idaho Falls	Idaho
530	7/6/2017 17:42	No	No	No		Matt Demitropoulos		Idaho falls	idaho
531	7/6/2017 17:48	No	No	No		Scott		Rigby	Idaho
532	7/6/2017 17:48	Yes	No	Yes	I think that non-transmitting cameras would be acceptable but not those that can real time transmit.	Nick Hylton		Caldwell	Idaho
533	7/6/2017 17:48	No	No	No	This is crap	Jared Sorensen		Idaho Falls	Idaho
534	7/6/2017 17:49	Yes	No	No	Two way radios are a valuable safety asset in locating lost or injured hunters. Safety should take precedence here	Jeremy Hook		Blackfoot	Idaho
535	7/6/2017 17:49	No	No	No	Guys, lets not get ridiculous here. The question I have is what is the purpose in their restrictions? It isn't as if the stats are showing big increases in hunting success. If anything these stats are down in Deer & Elk harvests on a yearly basis without a ban on Trail Camera use. I'm not in favor of this unless you can show documented proof of their harm & biggame numbers in trouble because of their use, outside of that it's just another frivolous law to keep track of! Thank You!	Paul Medel	ElkNut Outdr	McCall	ID - 8363
536	7/6/2017 17:51	No	No	No		Chace Elkington		Rigby	Idaho
537	7/6/2017 17:51	No	No	No	What's next, restrict the use of weapons to aid in hunting. Stop trying to create rules to allow for more ticket issuance. This is not managing wildlife, or returning the odds to the game. It is 100% revenue generating motivated. I think our license and tag fees cover more than enough of IDFG budget.	TJ Burbank		Preston	ID
538	7/6/2017 17:53	No	No	No	This is another non forceable rule that makes no sense. This would eliminate the use of phone scopes and videos of the hunt. Makes no sense.	Kyle perry		Bellevue	Id
539	7/6/2017 17:54	No	No	No		Nicholas Feldhausen		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
540	7/6/2017 17:56	Yes	No	Neutral	I utilize trail cameras during bear seasons to better identify sows with cubs and to be able to get a grasp on how the bear population is in the area. I do not use transmitters on my cameras because it isn't justifiable but if people are using this as a means to know when game is on its way in real time then it should be restricted. Two way communication can't be restricted because in my case, I hunt with my dad who is getting older and isn't as stable as he once was. We use two way radios to make sure that everything is	Grant Flaming	Resident	hur Caldwell	Idaho
541	7/6/2017 17:57	No	No	No	Two way comm is important for safety sake. Trail cams that transmit remotely can be restricted because one should not be in the field. They almost never work anywhere in Idaho anyway. However, standard trail cams should be left as legal. Please stop inventing new, ridiculous laws just to issue citations. This is getting out of hand. Why do you think F&G has such a bad reputation? It's for idiotic laws like this one.	Mark		Mtn home	Id
542	7/6/2017 17:58	No	No	Yes		Brian Morris		American Falls	Idaho
543	7/6/2017 17:58	Yes	No	Yes		Sean Jones		Boise	ID
544	7/6/2017 18:00	Neutral	No	Neutral	Checking a stationary camera in the field is in no way an advantage. You are there at present time and are able to take the animal regardless of what pictures are on the camera. It assists in nothing in present day. Only in the day after anyway. Live footage streamed to a mobile device I can see a potential issue with. If the capabilities of that camera to send live or streamed footage or pictures is disabled it should be allowed. If using the capabilities it shouldn't be restricted by a day or days; however, I could see a 1-	William Yarbrough		Pocatello	Idaho
545	7/6/2017 18:00	No	No	No		Justin Russell	Hunter	Shelley	Idaho
546	7/6/2017 18:01	No	No	No	As an avid user of trail camera to help locating animals in a target area for hunting I feel that it helps manage a herd better. If I know that there is a trophy animal in the area I am less likely to harvest an animal that is mature.	Greg Anderson		Pocatello Fie American Falls	Idaho
547	7/6/2017 18:01	No	No	No		Brandon Andersen	Fat&Loud Ar	Chubbuck	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
548	7/6/2017 18:04	Yes	No	Neutral	You should have to physically visit the camera to obtain the photos. The use of trail cameras is beneficial for motivating youth and new hunters to hunting. The use of two-way communication is difficult to enforce. I don't think they should be used to guide someone in to a specific animal or group of animals, but to communicate to someone that they are seeing animals in another area should be legal. Everyone should carry both cell phone and two-way radios in the field for safety reasons. Not that I always do, but it's an important level of insurance for our loved ones.	Steve Stephenson	Idaho state	Nampa	Idaho
549	7/6/2017 18:04	No	No	No	this is the dumbest thing I have ever seen regarding the use of trail cameras. They are meant to be used to scout and and observe and follow the animals you are after	Elijah Bennett		Post falls	Idaho
550	7/6/2017 18:05	No	No	Neutral		Jack Keithley		Caldwell	Idaho
551	7/6/2017 18:06	No	No	No		Trent		Mountain Home	Idaho
552	7/6/2017 18:07	No	No	No	No to everything. Especially trail cameras. Here is a storey why not. This past spring bear hunting we had the experience of having quite a few bears on our trail cameras on our bait. Luckily we had those trail cameras on them because that makes us able to keep track of bears that come in. We had three different sows that had came into them with first year cubs! So us being able to see each sows with cubs we knew which ones had cub because the other half of the time they didnt have theor cubs with them There were two opportunities that we were lined up on two of the sows and if we had not had trail cameras we would have not	Luke Read		Preston	Idaho
553	7/6/2017 18:07	No	No	Yes		Bret hillman		Ammon	Idaho
554	7/6/2017 18:08	No	No	No	Let people enjoy their cameras . Stop over regulating every aspect of the outdoors	Patrick Nee		Chicago	Illinois
555	7/6/2017 18:08	No	No	No		Mike Newell		Hayden	Idaho
556	7/6/2017 18:09	Neutral	No	Yes	I strongly disagree with restricting all use of trail cameras. I don't really think that transmitting cameras or radios are too big of a deal but understand how some might use them to their advantage to take animals so it wouldn't bother me if those two are restricted but regular trail cameras should definitely not be	Edward Voss		New Plymouth	Idaho
557	7/6/2017 18:09	Yes	No	No		Charles Mckenney		Boise	Idaho
558	7/6/2017 18:12	No	No	Yes		Nathan Mangum		Orofino	Idaho
559	7/6/2017 18:13	No	No	No		Mariah packard		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
560	7/6/2017 18:15	No	No	No	I've run cameras for years. Granted they are a aid in hunting BUT. They inabel you to judge a animal to help tell if it's a bora or a sowe to help judge the age of a particular Deer or Bull. Not to menchen all the cool pics I've got of Mnt Lions Wolves birds ect. Have I actually used pic to take a particular animal yes it's happend once in almost 20 years. IMO. this is a stupid perposal.	Marschell Wayment		Oakley	Idaho
561	7/6/2017 18:15	Yes	No	Neutral		Troy Anderson	Hunter	Malta	Idaho
562	7/6/2017 18:15	No	No	No		Billy Walker		Idaho Falls	Id
563	7/6/2017 18:17	Yes	No	No		Seth Holcomb		Rio Rancho	New Mexic
564	7/6/2017 18:18	No	Yes	Yes		Glen Twaits	Citizen	St Louis	MO
565	7/6/2017 18:18	Yes	No	No		Billy Kennington		Afton	Wy
566	7/6/2017 18:18	No	No	Neutral	A game camera will not give anyone an advantage hunting deer or elk the same day or not as they typically move in 3 to 7 day cycles in any given area if you are lucky. Truth is you should be more focused on joining the 21st century and allowing clean ethical kills with the latest and greatest equipment revamp archery rules muzzle loader rules maybe and understanding that this sport is changing and becoming far more difficult to succeed every year because the game are evolving and wising up to our game. So my two cents which I am sure nobody will read by the way is if Ya'll want to keep your jobs you probably should attract the interest for the young kids and quit making so many damn stupid rule changes trying to trip somebody up so you can give them a ticket frankly its absurd how you the fish and game of Idaho have handled the last almost decade of b.s. rule changes, license fee increase, bull manure wolf management and regulations, mandatory trapper classes, you have to buy your licence or else ect. Get your act together and remember that you are working for us to help preserve our wildlife and pass on tradition to a younger generation, and all it seems to me you are doing is making a mountain out of a mole hill and focusing on the wrong things and wasting time and money when you should be spending that resource IE my money on catching poachers and	Aaron		Fruitland	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
567	7/6/2017 18:19	Neutral	No	No	I don't see any problem using a regular trail camera that you have to hike into to see what's on it. Most the time you see things you never thought you would see. It's an adrenaline rush just seeing what your camera has picked up. Especially when it's other animals you aren't even hunting. As for the cameras that transmit wirelessly that's just being pure lazy and really don't think they should be used. Radios can be a life saver in my opinion. Two way radios don't reach that far so I don't see how they really help someone be successful on a hunt. But if you fall and break a leg or something it's nice to be able to try and talk to your hunting partner to tell them what happened so you can get	Nick elordi		Caldwell	Idaho
568	7/6/2017 18:19	No	No	No	I do not see what the problem is with using trail cameras. Not only do they help in the process of harvesting the mature animals and leaving the young to grow. They also help the guides of Idaho for advertising their business. Showing off the amazing animals that we have here there for bring tons of money to Idaho with the out of state fees. So yes it is absolutely stupid to restrict trail	Rock rice		Idaho falls	Idaho
569	7/6/2017 18:21	No	No	No		Mike Raymond		Cottonwood	ID
570	7/6/2017 18:21	No	No	No	I do not see what the problem is with using trail cameras. Not only do they help in the process of harvesting the mature animals and leaving the young to grow. They also help the guides of Idaho for advertising their business. Showing off the amazing animals that we have here there for bring tons of money to Idaho with the out of state fees. So yes it is absolutely stupid to restrict trail	Thomas Link		Meridian	Idaho
571	7/6/2017 18:21	No	No	No		Mike Raymond		Cottonwood	ID
572	7/6/2017 18:22	Yes	No	No	I do not agree with any of these changes for the trail cameras i am a working man with five kids I cannot afford to take time away from work to scout I do my best to put feet on the hills I but with kids and food bills and everyday stuff I am not capable of doing this so I stick out cameras to help me if you take this privilege away my chances of filling my freezer just got cut to almost 0% so like I said I do not agree with the trail camera	Ray		Malad	Idaho
573	7/6/2017 18:22	No	No	No	All 3 are tools we use to make clean humane kills. Limiting the ability to do so makes no sense at all.	Bud Martin		Outfitter in t Zortman	Montana

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
574	7/6/2017 18:22	No	No	No	Trail cams help to judge animal, helps to determine the sex also. Two way communications are beneficial especially with a wounded animal. These items are not necessarily aids to hunters, they educate and also aid in not wasting meat via wounded animal.	Teri Gneiting	Sportsman	Preston	Idaho
575	7/6/2017 18:23	No	No	No		Carson J Reed		Pocatello	Idaho
576	7/6/2017 18:26	Yes	No	Neutral	I use trail camera's to see what and if game is in an area before the hunt starts, I don't use them in real time to harvest the game. On the use of radio's i use a garmin rhino for gps and communication with the people in my group. Saying that we can't use two-way radios to notify other people where game is i understand., but how would it be proved that i'm not just using it for general communication with party members. Like location,emergency,help with game down and when to meet back in camp and so on. I know people can abuse electronics, but what	MIKE HENDRICKS		MERIDIAN	ID
577	7/6/2017 18:27	Yes	No	No		Don't Rogers		St Anthony	Idaho
578	7/6/2017 18:28	Yes	No	No		Don't Rogers		St Anthony	Idaho
579	7/6/2017 18:28	No	No	No	Safety is the key word! Ban others from using their phones ? Would if something goes wrong? And not only that some of these devices take awhile for them to even come in! Think this "new law" should not be passed!	Jacob		Bosie	Idaho
580	7/6/2017 18:28	No	No	No		Brandon Deschamps		Idaho Falls	Id
581	7/6/2017 18:29	No	No	No		Robert C. Redman Jr.		Mountain Home	Idaho
582	7/6/2017 18:29	No	No	No		Mickey		Idaho falls	Idaho
583	7/6/2017 18:30	No	No	No		Jeff Henderson		Boise	Idaho
584	7/6/2017 18:30	No	No	No		Daniel white		Lewiston	Id
585	7/6/2017 18:30	No	No	No		Brian W Haney		Firth	ID
586	7/6/2017 18:30	No	No	No	My use of a Trail Camera is not fresh Video its at Least 24 hrs old by the time i am able to Check it and is only used to help as a patterning tool it does not confirm a harvest that is still up to Chance hoping I can Be there when that Animal is there Just my opinion from a Soon to be Sr. hunter.	Dana DuMond	Bow Hunter	Star	Id.
587	7/6/2017 18:30	No	No	No		Daniel white		Lewiston	Id
588	7/6/2017 18:31	Neutral	No	No		Nathan Nyman		Benson	UT

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
589	7/6/2017 18:31	Yes	No	No	I understand the need to restrict the use of cameras to send 'live pictures and videos' to cell phone or computer. I do NOT support restricting the time window of when you can't hunt after checking a trail camera. If that were the case then there would be no point in having them. Therefore if there is an area that's abundant with predators or even prey (if they are damaging crops or gardens) how else would we get to do our homework and know when to be there to harvest these animals?	Kelsey Stone		Post Falls	Idaho
590	7/6/2017 18:32	Neutral	No	Yes		Robert Schneider		Mountain Home	Idaho
591	7/6/2017 18:32	No	No	No		Scott		Pocatello	Idaho
592	7/6/2017 18:34	No	No	No		Ann Taylor		Nampa	Idaho
593	7/6/2017 18:34	No	No	No	Just about Every passionate hunter has multiple trail cameras and for good reason. They can aid in determining the age, sex and health of wildlife in an area. A serious hunter, who is looking to take only mature animals of the appropriate sex for that take season, will rely on trail cameras ro determine that information. The taking of only mature animals, aids in the health of future wildlife. Restricting trail cam use, will only have a negative impact	Braden Rudy		Rathdrum	Idaho
594	7/6/2017 18:35	No	No	No	Last I checked a trail camera takes pictures, it doesn't hunt the animal. Trail cameras are good for scouting for people that can't take weeks off at a time. Fish and game in Idaho is a joke.	Mike		Idaho falls	Idaho
595	7/6/2017 18:35	Yes	No	Yes	I think real time photos to phones and such is too much. I am totally against two way communication between hunters, we are already very very efficient at harvesting animals, they need a chance to get away some how.	Chris burger		Soda Springs	Idaho
596	7/6/2017 18:36	No	No	No		Deejay		Rigby	Idaho
597	7/6/2017 18:36	No	No	No		Jarred chaney		Pocatello	Idaho
598	7/6/2017 18:37	No	No	No	I'm 100% against restricting these rules. My family has a limited time in the field on the weekends only. If this was passed then basically we wouldn't be able to hunt the entire weekend we are in the field or we wouldn't be able to use a trail camera at all. Having a trail camera set up doesn't mean guaranteed success in the field and checking a camera same day as hunting doesn't increase chances either. This change would be a major hindrance to all hunters in Idaho. Please take these ideas off the table for the sake	Mike Desaro		Middleton	Idaho
599	7/6/2017 18:38	No	No	No		Bruce Nate		Preston	Idaho
600	7/6/2017 18:39	No	No	No	Stop trying to create more rules to control everything we do!	LaDel Richards		Priest River	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
601	7/6/2017 18:41	No	No	No		Rhodney		Idaho falls	Idaho
602	7/6/2017 18:42	No	No	No	The third question about two way radios to me is a safety concern. We use them as a form of communication to let eachother know our locations so we do not shoot at eachother, or if something happens we know where the person is. There are already enough rules, and it's hard enough to find big game	Mark Chisholm		Lewiston	Idaho
603	7/6/2017 18:43	No	No	No	Come one are you serious! Once again IDFAG shows how pathetic they are.	Rick		Ammon	Idaho
604	7/6/2017 18:43	No	No	No	What exactly are you trying to accomplish? If you want to make us so much like California, then move there!! Stop the insanity already! This rule would limit us sportsmen even more than we already are. Using a 2 way radio has been a great method of making certain your hunting party is not in gun range either, let alone making a person aware of where game may be at in	Kyle Lutes	Personal	Hur Roberts	Idaho
605	7/6/2017 18:43	No	No	Yes	Trail cams offer a good source of research in a particular area of hunting and should at least be a loud to to be used a week or two prior to the season opening. Archery hunting is hard enough then to take away a person's primary scouting tool would make it that much more difficult. I think the focus should be directed towards helping hunters not restricting them.	Jonathon Lambert		Ammon	Idaho
606	7/6/2017 18:44	Yes	No	No		Garrett		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
607	7/6/2017 18:44	Neutral	No	Neutral	Any law written to limit the use of electronic communication should be specifically written as to not interfere with the transfer of general hunting information, general game locations, or navigations. Cell phone or text communication can be valuable and potentially life saving in helping to locate family or other hunting partners in thick cover.	Brad Penske		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
					Let's assume I'm hunting with my dad: He has drawn an elk tag and my season is over, hasn't begun yet, or I'm not hunting elk. We're separated by a distance, he has a herd of elk in front of him. He wants to disclose his location via text so that I can either get there to witness the harvest or stay put to avoid spooking them while he or they get within range. I don't believe this is unethical or unsportsmanlike.				
					Restrictions of game cameras should only be limited to realtime feeds within a certain amount of time.				
608	7/6/2017 18:45	No	No	No		Austin Veliz		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
609	7/6/2017 18:46	No	No	No	Do not take safety elements out of ge picture why would you tell hunters they can't use radios? If I fall and break my leg and that's a rule you should all be ashamed of yourselves... you preach safety but want to eliminate a safety element also I'm sorry you are turning hunting into a rich man sport which is not the purpose of hunting I work 60 hours a week and can't take a week off to scout so I need to use trail cams to see game activity in an area... so how about helping out the real purpose of hunting and all us to use our equipment and safety precautions	John Wiseman		Filer	Idaho
610	7/6/2017 18:47	No	No	No		Del Allsup		Oakhurst	California
611	7/6/2017 18:47	No	No	No	These are all ridiculous. It is not going to have a meaningful effect on the overall picture in my opinion. Why are we banning technology? Should we go back to hunting with spears?	Cory Brown	None	Lewiston	Idaho
612	7/6/2017 18:47	No	No	No		John Thompson		filer	idaho
613	7/6/2017 18:49	No	No	No	Ridiculous proposed rule. Cameras don't kill animals. Cameras are for scouting trying to find a mature animal. Use of cameras should never be restricted.	Zack		Preston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
614	7/6/2017 18:49	No	No	No	Ridiculous proposed rule. Cameras don't kill animals. Cameras are for scouting trying to find a mature animal. Use of cameras should never be restricted.	Zack		Preston	Idaho
615	7/6/2017 18:49	No	No	No	Restricting the use of cameras is ridiculous in my opinion. We have used them for years and I don't see any help it has really given us to harvest more or bigger animals. I find great joy and even some hope knowing there are animals there (makes me hunt more). They are numerous animals that I never see anytime besides on camera (bears, mountain lions, elk, deer, coyotes, etc.). PLEASE don't take away this great experience that I look forward to each year. I don't know of other states that are this	Lance Fife		Hyde Park	UT
616	7/6/2017 18:50	No	No	No					
617	7/6/2017 18:50	No	No	No					
618	7/6/2017 18:51	Yes	No	Yes	I strongly disagree getting rid of all game cameras. I would agree with doing away with the type that transmit images to your phone. I have used normal trail cameras for several years. I really enjoy getting pics of animals during the hunting season. It just adds one more reason for me to get in the hills.	Tyler Henderson		Preston	Idaho
619	7/6/2017 18:52	Yes	No	No		Ben Porter		Blackfoot	Idaho
620	7/6/2017 18:52	Yes	No	No		Blake Christensen		Rexburg	ID
621	7/6/2017 18:53	No	No	No	I had 100+ pictures on my trail camera and sat in my stand 20 nights and was still unsuccessful on my hunt. So please tell me how my trail camera guarantees me of filling my tag?	Mike		Iona	Idaho
622	7/6/2017 18:54	No	No	No		Jedediah Judy		IDAHO FALLS	Idaho
623	7/6/2017 18:55	No	No	No		Sage Blom		Rigby	ID
624	7/6/2017 18:55	No	No	No		Sarah Walker		Idaho falls	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
625	7/6/2017 18:56	No	No	No	I view this as yet another unfounded "good idea" thought up by someone with too much paid time doing nothing important. I've hunted north central Idaho for more than 50 years never has my State Fish and Game Department saddened me more than these past few years. Making all the wrong calls, ignoring Elk needs across our beautiful State now wasting time and monies banning cameras and radios? Really does anyone not believe over zealot F&G Officers won't be citing people into court caught checking FB while setting on a stand. You've finally got my attention. My State Representatives will be hearing from me weekly if these changes actually happen. I will make it my life mission to oppose all IDF&G funding requests.	Vance Schmaus	Retired	Grangeville	Idaho
626	7/6/2017 18:57	No	No	No	Limitations restricting two way communication via an electronic device. Younger teenagers splitting up from hunting partner, or family NEED these. As a safety precaution.	Brandon Kropp		Weiser	Idaho
627	7/6/2017 18:58	Yes	No	No		Joe Follett		Rigby	Idaho
628	7/6/2017 18:59	No	No	No	Trail cameras assist hunters in making ethical decisions to chase animals or let them mature. Electronic communication between hunters in the field, radios or phones, assist in staying safe. We've never used these to chase down an animal, but to ensure we're safe. It has also helped with keeping people from getting lost. This is the same for hounds with gps collars. Technically those are two way communication devices. Will those be restricted as well? Restricting all electronics under the guise of fair chase is Live two way streaming should be illegal. But a standard trail camera requires time, effort, and money to check and maintain. If we start passing laws like this, it's only a matter of time before bear baiting, hound hunting etc are considered outrageous and banned. Do not pass this!!!!	Jason Byrd	None	Pocatello	Idaho
629	7/6/2017 18:59	No	No	No		Adam Blalock	RMEF, DU, N Juliaetta		Idaho
630	7/6/2017 19:02	No	No	No		Stanley Leake	CDA Bowme BAYVIEW		Idaho(ID)
631	7/6/2017 19:03	No	No	No	Trail cameras are a great addition to the working mans ability to utilize his limited time, wisely, in the field.	Ben		Rathdrum	Idaho
632	7/6/2017 19:03	No	No	No		Natalie Stradley		Wilder	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
633	7/6/2017 19:03	No	No	No	My trail cameras do not aid me in killing my bear. I'm a baiter and I work hard to bring in my barrels and bring in my food and my find and get my special spot all set up. My trail cam tells me if I've had a bear coming into my bait, it tells me if someone has been in my bait besides myself, it tells if someone's stealing my stuff, it tells me if I've had dogs run through. As a baiter we rely on those cameras to tell us, yes we are on the right track, keep moving forward. I've hunted many years using a trail cam and got nothing at all, so how does it help in the actual killing of the bear.	Shannon Desaro		Middleton	Id
634	7/6/2017 19:05	No	No	No	The wording in these proposed changes would be too difficult to manage and uphold the law. There is too much gray area. The only way to efficiently manage these proposed changes would be to entirely eliminate use of all these devices while hunting and this would not be acceptable. The lawful use of cell phones or two way radios could save someone's life in a dangerous life threatening	Dave Webb		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
635	7/6/2017 19:05	Neutral	No	No	Trail cameras help ethical hunters stay educated on what animals are in a given area. However, wireless trail cameras often offer more intell then sportsman should be allowed. Although, I do not believe that when wireless cameras are used out of season it becomes more of an advantage; at that point you are just becoming aware of what you could potentially be hunting in season. With that, I do believe that wireless cameras should have restrictions in season for the reason that it offers to much aid to the outdoorsman. Standard trail cameras take much more time and labor to operate then most individuals would thin -- walking several miles to a location to refresh batteries and swap out SD cards. It often is a full days work just going out to check your trail cameras and many times offers no benefit. These are just a few reasons why I think there should be minimal to no restrictions on the use of trail cameras. In terms of two way radios I am strongly against the implementation of restrictions on their use. Radios as well as cell phones act as a great resource with the retrieval of a animal. It allows you to locate a person that you may be hunting with or allows you to call for help assuming you are alone. This plays a large factor in archery season when August temperatures are hot and retrieval time has to be very quick. In many scenarios people would not be able to salvage an animal if it wasn't for the people that they were able to contact and get that animal out in a very timely manner. Lastly, as I stated before, radios play a more vital role after the harvest has been completed and not during the	Dakotah		Troy	ID
636	7/6/2017 19:05	Yes	No	No	The use of two-way radios have been used for decades, and restricting their use would be extreme. Game cameras, if checked in person, also does not seem to be an unfair advantage.	Devin Merritt		San Angelo	Texas
637	7/6/2017 19:05	No	No	No	trail cams are a tool, no different that an elk bugle or goose call. The introduction of wolves has destroyed hunting in Idaho for generations, we don't need any further restrictions.	Guy Williams	Resident Ida Kooskia		ID
638	7/6/2017 19:05	No	No	No	Trail cameras are for scouting. I see no need for this to change.	Jake		Hayden	Idaho
639	7/6/2017 19:05	Yes	No	No	2 way radios are a safety devise when you have elderly hunters in your group.	Kevin Hesler		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
640	7/6/2017 19:07	Yes	No	No	I don't think trail cams that have to be checked personally are a bad thing, but those that transmit info can let someone know immediately there is an animal in the areas they hunt and hurt "fair chase" in the sporting arena. I do think the use of two way radios is a good thing in the respect that they allow hunters who are together to make there whereabouts known to one another thereby reducing the risk of getting lost our shot by someone else within the communication circle. In addition, if someone is hurt, in trouble, or needs help someone will know. Does this mean you will also ban the use of GPS units or phone apps which allow people to know where they are or alert others to a person's location while in the woods?	James K Jacobsen		Idaho reside Athol	IDAHO
641	7/6/2017 19:08	No	No	No	This is ridiculous and unenforceable. Trail cameras help bear hunters accurately assess mature bears from younger ones that should be passed. I strongly oppose this.	Tom Hunt		Meridian	ID
642	7/6/2017 19:08	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hunting technology should stay as close to a rifle and glass as possible. We already have much more accurate long shooting rifles, reliable accurate ammo, and high quality glass. Cell trails cams are not much different than using a drone to locate game.	Tayler Jerome	LEO	Gaston	Oregon
643	7/6/2017 19:08	No	No	No	Leave this alone.	Eric hendren		Lewiston	Id
644	7/6/2017 19:11	No	No	No		Jason Welch		Roberts	Idaho
645	7/6/2017 19:11	Yes	Yes	No	Two way radios are a safety device, plain and simple, they are also effective in the use to guide someone to downed game from point of shooting	Cody		Pocatello	Id
646	7/6/2017 19:12	No	No	No	This is ridiculous! You can't use lighted nocks, can't use expandable broadheads, and more... Now we are trying to take trail cams out. What next. I use trail cams to see what area has bigger and better game, and also to see if grizzlies are in that	Russ		St. Anthony	Idaho
647	7/6/2017 19:13	Neutral	No	No	Scouting with a trail camera is a tool and in no way assists the user in the take of the animal. It does assist in attempting to age an animal or in the case of bear if it is a sow with cubs. Two way communication such as hand held radios for me have never once been used in a hunt or take situation other than requesting help with a downed animal and or communicating a meeting point or time out of the woods. To eliminate a radio in an area where cell phones and other communication does not work is the worst safety thing I have ever heard of a state attempting to do.	Jason Slinger		Oldtown	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
648	7/6/2017 19:14	No	No	No	i believe that as hunting evolves the hunters are only being more coordinated with their hunting allowing them to be safer, hunt nor evidently which in turn fills their tags faster. even with trail cams and everything hunters still don't always fill their tags. two way radios have been a tool used since they were first invented.	marlin shoecraft		boise	id
649	7/6/2017 19:15	No	No	No	Do not ban trail cameras. They are an effective tool to harvest mature animals.	Dustin Derrick		Orofino	Id
650	7/6/2017 19:15	Yes	Yes	No	Its invasion of privacy for a game officer to " confiscate " my cell phone to check my text, good luck in the Supreme Court on that	Codymeterio		Pocatello	Id
651	7/6/2017 19:16	No	No	No	Especially baiting bears with the influx of grizzlies, I want to know what is hitting my baits before hiking in and out with the wrong bear waiting for me.	Joe M.		Jerome	Idaho
652	7/6/2017 19:16	No	No	No		Thomas		Sandpoint	Idaho
653	7/6/2017 19:18	Yes	No	No		Ryan		Riggins	Idaho
654	7/6/2017 19:18	No	No	No		Miranda Pearson		Kooskia	Idaho
655	7/6/2017 19:18	No	No	No	I am a Hound Hunter and mainly use trail cams for bear hunting if there is a sow with Cubs on the bait we will not hunt that bait the same with 2-way Communications I mainly use it for bear hunting with the present wolves it makes it easier to keep up with the hounds communicating with your hunting partners	Dan Smith		leadore	Idaho
656	7/6/2017 19:19	No	No	No		Jason		Lewiston	ID
657	7/6/2017 19:20	Yes	No	Yes		Terry Hess	Idaho reside	Mountain Home	Idaho
658	7/6/2017 19:20	Neutral	No	No		Houston roberts		Mackay	Idaho
659	7/6/2017 19:20	Yes	No	No		Keshone Schneider		Blackfoot	Idaho
660	7/6/2017 19:21	No	No	No		Chris McCoy		Harpater	Idaho
661	7/6/2017 19:22	No	No	No		Travis Craig		Middleton	Idaho
662	7/6/2017 19:22	No	No	No		Amber Craig		Middleton	Idaho
663	7/6/2017 19:24	No	No	No		Cj		Aberdeen	Id
664	7/6/2017 19:25	No	No	No	Very few areas have enough reception to monitor wirelessly. Cameras have to be placed and checked on foot either way. Most cell phones are smart phones therefore limiting usage of the phone for any purpose. The increased odds of harvesting animals based on photos or communication via text or radio is near to none. I enjoy taking photo's and videos of the animals even while hunting to share the experience with family	Kevin Hall		Chubbuck	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
665	7/6/2017 19:25	No	No	No	None of these give a hunter an unfair advantage. For bears especially, it allows a hunter to focus on an area with a mature population and be selective.	Douglas wheeler	USAF	Meridian	Idaho
666	7/6/2017 19:25	Yes	No	No		Keith		Iona	Id
667	7/6/2017 19:26	No	No	No	I personally think taking away the right to use a trail camera or any other recording device will kill hunting. Do you know how long it takes to find a good spot to put one? Let alone how long it takes to hike to go and check it. Your asking someone to either take two extra days off from work just to check the camera then wait two days to hunt, or making people not use them at all. Isn't that what trail cameras are for? It hasn't been an issue before. I think the proposed rule should be dismissed.	Maria W.		Buhl ,	Id
668	7/6/2017 19:27	Yes	No	No	How will it be enforced? It's crazy to try and ban trail cameras. Live stream video might be a bit different but the very cost and lack of cell service pretty much narrow those down anyway	Andrew Young		Deary	Idaho
669	7/6/2017 19:27	Yes	No	Neutral		David Price		Pocatello	Idaho
670	7/6/2017 19:27	No	No	No	Game cameras are a great tool to actively manage the game animals. Being able to look over several animals and selectively harvest old or even injured game is better management.	Scott Dickinson		Spokane	WA
671	7/6/2017 19:29	No	No	No	Nothing listed above adds an unfair playing field so definitely not. It's extremely unlikely to get active data from your trail cam and be able to capitalize on the opportunity. Seems pointless to add more rules to keep up with.	Tim Boyett		St. Maries	IDAHO
672	7/6/2017 19:29	No	No	No	Why does it effect, anyone?	Earin Hadley II	Hunter	Emmett	Idaho
673	7/6/2017 19:30	Yes	No	Yes	Except for finding downed game radio or cell phone use should not be used	Nathan Berger		Meridian	Idaho
674	7/6/2017 19:31	No	No	No	The use of trail cameras does not violate the rules of fair chase in any way and there should not be any restriction on their use.	Paul Ricks		Idaho Falls	Idaho
675	7/6/2017 19:31	No	No	No		Nick	Idaho State	North Pole	Alaska
676	7/6/2017 19:32	No	No	No	There is no need for this legislation	David		Midway	Utah
677	7/6/2017 19:33	Yes	No	Yes		Darwin Egley		Lava Hot springs	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
678	7/6/2017 19:33	No	No	No	Personally I think trailer cameras help educate hunters and help judge the age. Especially hunters that like to take mature animals and let the young ones grow. I don't see how they make it an unfair chase. You can have a mature animal walk by your camera one day and it may not come back by that same spot for several weeks. They help educate hunters to let them know what quality of animals are in the are they are hunting.	Jason Struhs		Idaho Falls	Idaho
679	7/6/2017 19:33	Yes	No	Neutral		Frank Glahn	None	Bellevue	ID
680	7/6/2017 19:36	Neutral	No	No		Eldon		Nampa	Idaho
681	7/6/2017 19:37	No	No	No		Steven Perrenoud		Salmon	ID
682	7/6/2017 19:37	No	No	No	Absolute insanity! Your job is to encourage sportsman, not drive them away! Your job is to manage game. Not restrict everything!	Jordan		Idaho falls	Idaho
683	7/6/2017 19:37	No	No	No		Joe Tietz	Hunter	Harvard	Idaho
684	7/6/2017 19:39	Neutral	No	No		Bill Warner-hall		Moscow	ID
685	7/6/2017 19:40	Yes	No	No	Two way radios are used to aid in keeping track of your hunting partners and in the event you need help in any way. I do not support banning the use of two way radios while hunting. The ethical hunters use these devices in the way they were intended. It has been my understanding that it was illegal to tell the guys you were hunting with where the animals are and so on. Please listen to the people on this issue as most I'm sure will not support	Daniel Garringer		Emmett	Idaho
686	7/6/2017 19:41	Yes	No	Yes		Rich Braswell		Challis	Idaho
687	7/6/2017 19:44	Yes	No	Yes		Chrystal		Coeur D Alene	ID
688	7/6/2017 19:44	No	No	Yes		Wiley	Hunter	Tetonia	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
689	7/6/2017 19:44	No	No	No	I personally use trail cameras as a scouting tool. I am a dedicated worker to the company I work for and it makes life very busy supporting my job. I dedicate allot of my hunting season helping my kids, wife and other family members with their hunts. The trail cameras have helped me support them and my job and still get some good scouting in for the late season archery hunt I participate in because it allows me to dedicate the main season to my family and if we end up with some really spread out hunts. Other people accomplish this same type of scouting that work less demanding jobs and some not even holding a job behind optics. I use optics as well in the hunts that don't make sense for me to use trail cameras. I have both regular cameras and transmitting cameras. The idea that either one of these cameras giving the advantage of the hunter over the wildlife is false. I have several nice, bucks, bulls and bears I have gotten on both these types of cameras and they are not in my trophy room and still out roaming as I write this comment summary. I assure you its not from lack of effort! By the time you get the picture it is to late and has already happened. You should have already been there. Even the transmitting camera if your not already there its to late unless your right there. At that point what does it matter? You would have been in the position and taken the animal regardless if the camera just sent you the picture or not. Myself and my family are pretty focused trophy hunters and the use of cameras has helped us with deciding to pass a buck, bull or bear do to we know if we hold out there is a possible chance at a larger more mature option out there. We have enjoyed some tag soup doing this but we are ok with that and are glad we have let some walk to grow another year or two knowing this could happen for that chance. I would Why would you want to take away something that can be used as tool for better hunting. When I say this I am talking about the fact that someone can better judgement of age sex of the animals seen on the camera. Come on get over it not like true blooded hunters are out trying to just kill something they only want to make wise decision on the correct animal.	Jim Warner		Blackfoot	Idaho
690	7/6/2017 19:46	No	No	No	Why would you want to take away something that can be used as tool for better hunting. When I say this I am talking about the fact that someone can better judgement of age sex of the animals seen on the camera. Come on get over it not like true blooded hunters are out trying to just kill something they only want to make wise decision on the correct animal.	Bret Keetch		MONTPELIER	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
691	7/6/2017 19:47	No	No	Yes	You people need to pull your heads out of your liberal asses and come back to real life. Trail cameras are a great tool for researching areas to see what wildlife are in that area. Trail cameras provide a useful resource to help a hunter plan their hunt for a successful harvest of an animal. They allow to see for example if a bear that is hitting a bait is a show or a boar and whether it is worth continuing that bait station. Seriously, you idaho officials need to pull your heads out of your ass	John doe		Small town usa	Idaho
692	7/6/2017 19:49	No	No	No	This is ridiculous cameras in no way aid in taking game just a fun tool in the Feild to see what's around you	Chad		Mountain home	Id
693	7/6/2017 19:50	No	No	No	I use all three while hinting big game trail cameras are key to helping find big game and judge big game when work or life keep me from my hinting units for extended periods. Also I generally hunt with only 1 other person and using radios can become key if one of us gets lost or needs help locating game and we need to separate to find a possible wounded animal or downed game.	Nick mcclsin		Boise	ID
694	7/6/2017 19:52	No	No	No	Cameras are a research tool to find a mature animal. 2way communication is used to keep track of hunting partners and a way to get help if needed. I am disabled so both are of great use and do not diminish fair chase.	William foust		Lewiston	Idaho
695	7/6/2017 19:53	No	No	Yes	Do not ban trail cameras, it is a great scouting tool. Plus it get people out in the wilderness more often enjoying wildlife. Just because you find a buck, bull, etc on camera does not mean its a dead animal. You still need to work and hunt for it, banning trail cameras is just plain silly and out of touch.	Brian Bitter		Hereford	Az
696	7/6/2017 19:54	No	No	No	Understanding the patterns of the game we hunt is crucial in understanding where a wounded animal may go, as well as the tendencies of the species. Using trail cameras, we can properly track the tendencies for longer spans of time, and I truly feel this can help us track an animal that may be fatally wounded. Also, this saves us days upon days of sitting in areas where we will have unsuccessful hunts. This rule proposal seems like another way to attempt to wring more money out of the public without successful harvests as a result at the end of each hunt.	Brittany Yarbrough		Pocatello	Idaho
697	7/6/2017 19:55	No	No	No		Brock		Teton	Idaho
698	7/6/2017 19:57	No	No	Yes		Tyler Dixon	Hunter	Riggins	Idaho
699	7/6/2017 19:58	No	No	No		Tristin		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
700	7/6/2017 20:00	No	No	Yes		David Downs		Post Falls	ID
701	7/6/2017 20:00	No	No	No	trail cams are a great tool to help hunters age an animal and make sure we are hunting an mature animal worth taking ... it doesn't make it unethical ... I think live streaming should have a hunt limit of at least an hour after you check and two way communication i use to call for help after a kill ... not before	Jeremy Van Houten		Moscow	ID
702	7/6/2017 20:00	No	No	No		Carey Hruza	Hunter	Rupert	Idaho
703	7/6/2017 20:02	Yes	No	No		Chris Olsen		Chubbuck	Idaho
704	7/6/2017 20:05	Yes	No	No	Wouldn't a walkie talkie, or GPS that transmits a person's location to another GPS user be illegal under the conditions of the proposed 2 way communication restriction? I wouldn't support any law change that would preclude these devices being used by hunters, aiding in their personal safety.	Cory Reynolds		Dubuque	IA
705	7/6/2017 20:06	Yes	No	No		Jerad reichel		Twin falls	Idaho
706	7/6/2017 20:07	No	No	No		Jill		Idahofalls	Idaho
707	7/6/2017 20:07	No	No	No		Steve nelson		Idahofalls	Idaho
708	7/6/2017 20:08	Yes	Yes	Yes		Ben Frye		Valley Center	KS
709	7/6/2017 20:08	No	No	No		Crystal Thomas		Soda Springs	ID
710	7/6/2017 20:08	No	No	Yes	good luck trying to write either of these horrible ideas in an enforceable way. I totally am against two way communication devices but realize that there is no way to effectively enforce it.	Pat Hylton		Lolo	MT
711	7/6/2017 20:09	No	No	No	Seriously don't drive us out of hunting! Many of the rules like lit knocks are pathetically ridiculous!!	Cory Goulding		St Anthony	IDaho
712	7/6/2017 20:09	No	No	No	If trail cameras are restricted, I will immediately cease hunting in the state of Idaho and give my money to a state who values it's sportsmen. I am not alone. I hunt with a group of 12 individuals who are planning to do the same. Trail cameras help us get educated about the animals, their age, if they are sows with cubs, etc. Many sportsmen already are getting discouraged and keep in mind that oregon is very friendly to out of state hunters.	Michael La Course		Rexburg	Idaho
713	7/6/2017 20:10	No	No	No	cameras are as much fun and as much a part of hunting as taking an animal, recording and sharing pic are some of the best	Michael Jensen		Paris	Idaho
714	7/6/2017 20:10	No	No	No		Lucie		Idaho falls	Idaho
715	7/6/2017 20:11	No	No	No		Ed Richardson		Emmett	Idaho
716	7/6/2017 20:12	No	No	No	Trail cams are a good resource when hunting. They allow research of the animals before making a kill. It could also be dangerous to life not to have electronic communication.	Cody Horan		Blackfoot	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
717	7/6/2017 20:12	No	No	No	This proposals are horrible. These are the kinds of rules that are chasing people away from the sport of hunting. If you want to help change things to help the game thrive not limit the hunter.	Christopher rahn		Coeur d alene	If
718	7/6/2017 20:13	Yes	Yes	Yes	Absolutely support this. Let's put all hunters on same playing field. Back to the good old days when you had to "hunt". Trail cameras are used by professional hunters and guides to their advantage and leaves the little guy out.	Mark Stephenson	Family hunt	Holden	Utah
719	7/6/2017 20:14	No	No	No		Jake Stabley		Paul	Idaho
720	7/6/2017 20:14	No	No	No		Kyle		Rigby	Iaho
721	7/6/2017 20:16	Neutral	No	No		Corey Fairchild	White power	Idaho falls	Idaho
722	7/6/2017 20:17	No	No	No		Scott Thomas		Victor	Idaho
723	7/6/2017 20:17	Yes	Yes	Yes		Dan Roth		Meridian	Idaho
724	7/6/2017 20:17	No	No	No		Felisha good	Hunter	Inkom	Idaho
725	7/6/2017 20:17	No	No	No	Restricting two way communication would be unsafe and could lead to many easily avoidable accidents and tragedies. I also feel that restricting these tools would be a gross overreach of Fish and Game's power.	Justin Goldthorpe		Coeur d' Alene	Idaho
726	7/6/2017 20:18	No	No	Neutral		Eddie Niehay		Fairfield	Idaho
727	7/6/2017 20:18	Yes	No	No	Eliminate Section g. I am supportive of the alternative g. proposed. Consider a person that is hunting black bear each day for a week. They couldn't use a trail cam as they would be pulling the card as they went into or out of the stand to see what was coming in during the middle of the night? Yet, a weekend hunter would be all right because their data is beyond the next day limit proposed? Seems overly restrictive for the person that hunts	Cory		Dubuque	IA
728	7/6/2017 20:18	No	No	No	Cameras help gauge what you're hunting. Age, size, gender, etc. It doesn't give you an unfair advantage by shooting the animal foryou. I also believe that limiting radio or cell phone use is dangerous. I've been lost before and used a radio to get to the correct destination. Would you rather call out the Calvary to save me choosing thousands of dollars in taxpayers money? Come on! Quit trying to given absolutely EVERY aspect of hunting!	Cara Liebe		Idaho Falls	Idaho
729	7/6/2017 20:19	No	No	No	These proposals are outrageous. josh	Josh binkley		Rexburg	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
730	7/6/2017 20:19	Yes	No	Yes	I have no issues with using trail cameras for scouting purposes as long as they don't transmit wirelessly . I do have issues with someone monitoring a dozen cameras wirelessly during the hunting season. I could support a rule change to restrict the use of wireless cameras two weeks prior to the start of the season.	Ralph Lewis		Pocatello	Idaho
731	7/6/2017 20:19	No	No	No	Stupid idea. Cameras don't guarantee the animal is going to come	Todd grover		Rigby	Idaho
732	7/6/2017 20:19	Yes	No	No	Trail cams that you have to go pull cards to check I'm ok with Rather than someone sitting in camp and gets a text of a game animal at camera 3. And can head straight to the area and kill it. That is almost like flying the area and being dropped where the animals are. As for 2 way communication I personally use this feature to keep in contact w my kids while we are hunting. I use this specifically for safety due to the fact of large numbers of other hunters in the area and we are usually horseback.	Calvin Hussman		Emmett	Id
733	7/6/2017 20:20	Yes	No	No		Travis Hobbs	Hunter	Garden City	Utah
734	7/6/2017 20:20	Yes	No	Yes	I feel that two way radios should not be used to aid in the kill, however after the kill it could be very useful.	Geoffrey Foreman		Caldwell	ID
735	7/6/2017 20:20	Yes	No	Yes	I am against somebody having the capability of viewing their video from a different location at their own will. I am not however against an individual using a trail cam, phone skope, cell phone if they have to hike in and pull the SD card.	Alex newbold		Chubbuck	Idaho
736	7/6/2017 20:20	Neutral	No	No	I travel to where I grew up and take time off to hunt. If I have to wait a couple days after checking my trail cams you have cost me several thousands of dollars and a good portion of the limited hunting time. I can understand drone restrictions, but non transmitting cameras is ludicrous. Next you'll try to take away	Bo Crofoot		Sugar	ID
737	7/6/2017 20:21	No	No	No	I definitely don't agree with not being able to use radios and 2 way communication. Especially when I'm hunting with my kids	Jay Klinchuch		Nampa	Idaho
738	7/6/2017 20:21	Neutral	No	No	The only topic that I have a big concern about is the two way radio. I have been in a few scenarios hunting in the back country where having that communication was key for safety reasoning. When we hunt we use them for communication for all different reasons. I think two way radios can truly help us and protect us while we are out hunting. I do not see how they are bad for hunting or why we need to ban them.	Jaxon		Burley	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
739	7/6/2017 20:21	Neutral	No	No	If all communication devices such as a Garmin that has gps locate become banned it will limit people that hunt generally the same area to know if their partner or family in my case is not in your same area. Especially where I hunt it is very dense and wooded hard to navigate so if a trail veers into another ones we are able	Heather Kitchel		Sandpoint	Id
740	7/6/2017 20:22	No	No	No		monty hall		nampa	idaho
741	7/6/2017 20:22	No	No	No		Austin		Chubbuck	Idaho
742	7/6/2017 20:22	No	No	Yes		Ronnie Dale		Middleton	Idaho
743	7/6/2017 20:22	No	No	No	I supportbeing able to find game any way possible. Leave hunters alone and let us provide for our family.	carl nonya		Idaho falls	Idaho
744	7/6/2017 20:22	No	No	No	The use of trail cameras is no advantage to harvesting any type of animal. It allows a person to take into account the caliber of animals in the area that the person is hunting. It allows for better game management by allowing hunters to target mature animals. Just because a person obtains pictures of an animal does not mean that the animal will return to the sight of the camera. There is still the pursuit of the animal and still the high likelihood that an animal will not be harvested after pictures are taken via trail camera. The animals we chase as hunters on free range areas are in fact wild animals. We enter their home area in hopes that we can somehow outwit them. That is the reason success rates are not higher than 20-30% per year and in many areas the percentages are much lower. This rule would be one of the most	Michael Ludwig		Muskego	WI
745	7/6/2017 20:23	No	No	No	I feel that the use of a trail camera phone or camera to take video of a animal is ok. I feel if you have a trail camera that is able to take a picture a person manually go to look at the pictures not have it sent wirelessly . I agree with not being able to use a radio to assist another person to the location of another animal. I am ok with someone giving GPS location of a particular animal being the person still has to hunt the animal and find for them	Gene buffington	Idaho reside NAMPA		Idaho
746	7/6/2017 20:23	No	No	No		Casey Rosin		Blackfoot	Idaho
747	7/6/2017 20:23	No	No	No		Pete Bowes		Post Falls	Idaho
748	7/6/2017 20:23	No	No	No		Dustin Ingle		Weiser	Idaho
749	7/6/2017 20:24	No	No	No		Josh		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
750	7/6/2017 20:26	No	No	No	This is a two part question. First the two way communication I think limiting the use of the is dangerous and very irresponsible. I have talked to a commissioner and understand why the issue is brought up. I think you can't limit radio usage for safety and life line issues.	Jon Hall		Rexburg	Idaho
					Second are the trail cameras. I completely disagree with what the fish and game is trying to do. I use trail camera and live feed trail cameras in grizzly and wolf country. I like to know if there is a grizzly on my bait so I can avoid it. Same goes for wolfs. I like to avoid those two animals the best I can in my pursuit for a black bear. Also I have learned more from trail cameras about judging animals and their patterns then I could have ever learned with out				
751	7/6/2017 20:26	No	No	No		Jason fawson		Idaho falls	Id
752	7/6/2017 20:26	Yes	Yes	Yes		Aaron Endres		Rupert	ID
753	7/6/2017 20:26	No	No	No		Bryan Cheney		Kellogg	Idaho
754	7/6/2017 20:26	No	No	No	You have no right to regulate the use of electronic devices to aid in researching animals and your authority will only grow as time goes by. Idfg has done a poor job managing wildlife and controlled hunt tags.	Chris Cheney		Rexburg	ID
755	7/6/2017 20:26	No	No	No		Keegan berrett		Idaho falls	Idaho
756	7/6/2017 20:27	No	No	No		Michael Kessinger		Lewiston	ID
757	7/6/2017 20:27	Yes	No	No		Larry Ader		Rexburg	Idaho
758	7/6/2017 20:30	No	No	No	Ethics, ha watched the depredation hunts out of the hay fields near Arco this year, what a joke, then pay ranchers money?	Howard Wood	63 year resi	Arco	Idaho
759	7/6/2017 20:30	Neutral	No	No	I use a trail camera that takes pictures but doesn't transmit them to your phone or computer! I would agree on that's trail cameras that do transmit pictures emediately should be restricted!	Devan bateman		Idaho falls	Idaho
760	7/6/2017 20:31	Yes	Yes	Yes	Very happy you guys are doing this! Now if you'll go after the atv's and utv's, and restrict them, I'll be a happy man!	Clint Marshall		Meridian	Idaho
761	7/6/2017 20:33	No	No	No		Jerry baird		Pocatello	Idaho
762	7/6/2017 20:33	Yes	No	No	Restric wireless cameras but not all cameras	Chris		Star	ID
763	7/6/2017 20:33	No	No	No	Too many loop holes and not enough information as worded. If I want to call a hinting partner to meet or himt with my cell phone for pictures Etc...	Joe Williams		Hsb	Idaho
764	7/6/2017 20:34	No	No	No		Ryan carman		Lewiston	Idaho
765	7/6/2017 20:35	No	No	No		Sarah		Twin falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
766	7/6/2017 20:37	No	No	No		Keraburke		Idaho falls	Idaho
767	7/6/2017 20:38	No	No	No		Mark Taylor		Rexburg	Idaho
768	7/6/2017 20:39	No	No	No	I feel that two way radios and game cameras do not voice concerns on fair chase and do nothing but allow a hunter be a more educated person on herd structure and allow them to make better harvest decisions on the game animals pursued. Two way radios allow hunters to stay in contact w each other on back country hunts and safely negotiate their way around idahos vast wilderness also help in the recovery of wounded animals restriction of either of these items is not something that infringes upon fair chase it is something that aids hunters as being educated knowledgeable sportsman in tune w idahos herds and its	T brown		Hayden	Idaho
769	7/6/2017 20:40	Yes	No	No		Roy Breshears	None	Blackfoot	ID
770	7/6/2017 20:40	No	No	No		Jennifer Hart		Potlatch	Idaho
771	7/6/2017 20:40	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think that it a law should be in place for this for the hunting seasons. All of them! Rifle, Archery, Draws and late season hunting. It's not fair to the people that do it the old fashioned way. I think anyone who does this is lazy and it's unsportsman like not to give the animals a fair chance.	Clint Struchen		Roy	UTAH
772	7/6/2017 20:40	No	No	No	We like our kids to have a radio. Big country out here. This is just a silly proposal.	Ben Dahlstrom	Resident	Oldtown	Idaho
773	7/6/2017 20:41	No	No	No	We like our kids to have a radio. Big country out here. This is just a silly proposal.	Ben Dahlstrom	Resident	Oldtown	Idaho
774	7/6/2017 20:41	No	No	No		Jason		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
775	7/6/2017 20:41	No	No	No	Trail cameras help hunters make a lot of decisions for the good of the animals as well. For example the first time a sow comes into bait she doesn't always bring her cubs with her and we know this because of the use of cameras and we have seen on maybe the third time she's come in and she feel safe and she has two cubs with her. If not for the use of cameras like you been to Cubs That would now die. Real-time cameras also help us catch thieves in the woods . Most hunters are conservationists as well we don't just use them to gain an advantage ,desperate hunters are the ones that shoot small immature animals the hunters it take the time to set up the trail cameras and learn the habits of the animals responsible ones and they're the ones that are harvesting mature animals.	Justin Hunter		Ashton	Id
776	7/6/2017 20:41	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras are the best way of scouting. Restricting their use will only make harvest more difficult. Two way electronic communication shouldn't be considered as a restriction because 1) enforcing that law will be extremely difficult. 2) restricting communication between hunters is a unnessessary rule change. Creating rules like these will make hunting more complicated and could lead to danerous situations.	Jeremy Johnson		Blackfoot	Idaho
777	7/6/2017 20:41	No	No	No		Joe		Montpelier	Idaho
778	7/6/2017 20:41	Yes	Yes	Yes	I support that devices should not be used to aid in hunting, but I use a radio all the time because I like to explore and get turned around from time to time. It is nice to be able to tell my husband what I'm looking at in order to get back to camp.	Mary Moore		Princeton	Idaho
779	7/6/2017 20:41	No	No	No		Ryan Hall		Meridian	Idaho
780	7/6/2017 20:42	No	No	No		Danette Boyer		American Falls	Idaho
781	7/6/2017 20:42	Yes	No	No		Kody		Firth	Idaho
782	7/6/2017 20:43	No	No	No	I don't use a camera but enough is enough	John		Kellogg	Id
783	7/6/2017 20:43	No	No	No		Gary bates		Post falls	Idaho
784	7/6/2017 20:44	Yes	Yes	Yes	This is taking hunting out of hunting. Technology is not fair chase.	Rick Walters		Payette	Idaho
785	7/6/2017 20:45	Yes	No	No	I think there is a middle ground for trail cameras they are a great and exiting way to scout and should be aloud to be used	Leo millstein		Moscow	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
786	7/6/2017 20:45	Yes	No	Yes	Proposed rule G would suggest that it is unlawful to use a camera of any kind to view big game animals before or while in pursuit. I do not think the fish and game intends for this to be the case, therefore they should clarify the rule to reflect what they are actually trying to accomplish. Restricting the use of game cameras that wirelessly transmit photos or videos is an acceptable rule as those devices provide an unfair advantage. Normal game cameras that must be checked in the field to not provide and advantage because the hunter has to be present to gather information from them. I do not understand why these rules have to be written in a way that they will be up for interpretation in the future. I have seen this much to often with other state agency's in Idaho. Please be clear and concise with the way you write these rules because these changes are very serious to sportsman like myself. If the issue is wireless transmitting trail cameras	Nate Francisco		Burley	ID
787	7/6/2017 20:47	Yes	No	No		Cheryl Wedekins		Weiser	Idaho
788	7/6/2017 20:47	No	No	No		Stetson balls		Pinedale	Wyoming
789	7/6/2017 20:47	Neutral	No	No		Jesse		Moscow	Idaho
790	7/6/2017 20:49	No	No	No	Do not agree with this.	Robert Valenzuela		Idaho falls	Idaho
791	7/6/2017 20:50	No	No	No	Why don't you dip shits propose a rule that actually makes sense. Such as allowing expandable broadheads and lighted nocks. Come on guys, get real!!	Chris		St Anthony	Id
792	7/6/2017 20:50	No	No	No		Jordan		Idaho falls	Idaho
793	7/6/2017 20:50	No	No	Neutral		Mike Markey		Post Falls	Idaho
794	7/6/2017 20:51	No	No	No	I use cameras to know if animals are in the area to know if I can hunt in the area	James Lecheminant		Saint anthony	Idaho
795	7/6/2017 20:51	No	No	No		Riley		Rigby	Idaho
796	7/6/2017 20:52	No	No	No		Mady		Blackfoot	Idaho
797	7/6/2017 20:52	No	No	No		Athena Emmans		Herington	Kansas
798	7/6/2017 20:53	No	No	No		Garrett		Pocatello	Idaho
799	7/6/2017 20:53	No	No	No		Brandon Endicott		Priest river	Idaho
800	7/6/2017 20:54	No	No	No	im tired of you guys messing with hunters. i might not ever spend another dime to purchase any license or tags	mike ludlow		caldwell	idaho
801	7/6/2017 20:54	No	No	No		Larry Johnson		Saint Anthony	Idaho
802	7/6/2017 20:54	Yes	No	No		Jared Loosli		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
803	7/6/2017 20:55	No	No	No	Trails cameras/electronic devices such as phones video recorders are a very useful tool to determine if an animal is of age and size to be taken or of which sex a bear is. Knowing what animals are in the area and which ones are mature enough to be taken is huge to me. To me, this seems like a rule you guys want passed just to fine us hunters more. This is a very bad rule and I would be really disappointed in IDFG this they let this pass.	Reilly Funkhouser		Boise	ID
804	7/6/2017 20:55	Yes	Yes	Yes	I hunted for 40 years'all I used was my gun & a knife -the way it should be	R.A. dodge		rigby	idaho
805	7/6/2017 20:56	No	No	No		Mikah Schwartz		Malad city	Idaho
806	7/6/2017 20:56	Yes	No	No		Brenden Mickelsen	None	Blackfoot	Idaho
807	7/6/2017 20:56	No	No	No		Alyssa		Veneta	Oregon
808	7/6/2017 20:57	No	No	No	Trail cameras do not harm nor hinder the hunting on big game. They allow hunter to judge the animals in that area and help hunters differentiate between. Sows and boars and judge the age of the animals in order to determine if they are old enough to harvest. Taking away these tools will be detrimental to the ethics of hunting and it is very unfair to those who use them properly. As a woman who has been hunting for many many years, this is a very dumb law and should be retracted from the rules and	Kaylie		Rexburg	Idaho
809	7/6/2017 20:58	No	No	No		Jared Lees		Rigby	Idaho
810	7/6/2017 20:58	No	No	No		Tal Singleton		St. Anthony	Idaho
811	7/6/2017 20:59	No	No	No	You guys are getting out of hand. Not allowing Game cams is a new low. Using phones to video hunts or evaluate game prior to shooting helps identify sex, size restrictions, etc. only helps	Brandon Bloxham		Idaho Falls	Idaho
812	7/6/2017 21:01	No	No	No		Austin		Pocatello	ID
813	7/6/2017 21:02	No	No	No		Kade Walters		Shelley	Idaho
814	7/6/2017 21:02	No	No	Neutral		CeJay Golightly		Soda Springs	Idaho
815	7/6/2017 21:02	No	No	No		Jarrett widdison		Rexburg	Idaho
816	7/6/2017 21:02	No	No	No		Anthony Williams		White Bird	Id
817	7/6/2017 21:03	No	No	No		Angela loosli		Blackfoot	ID
818	7/6/2017 21:03	No	No	No		Josh Anderson		Rigby	Idaho
819	7/6/2017 21:05	Neutral	No	No	I don't understand the issue, if you have ever used a camera to say hunt bears it allows you to study the animals, it's not like your phone goes off you see a video and the run up and shoot one, doesn't work like that...	Matt Wellard		Idaho falls	Idaho
820	7/6/2017 21:06	No	No	No		Sam Rohrbacher		Emmett	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
821	7/6/2017 21:06	Yes	Yes	Yes	The animal has no chance. This not hunting this is slaughter.	Diana Aguilar		Martinsville	Indiana
822	7/6/2017 21:06	No	No	No	The success rate for most areas is so dismal, you should encourage anyway that makes hunting easier and get more people in the field . All your damn rules do is discourage the majority of the residents to not hunt ever again. if you wan 200 elk killed in a area then let them get 200 elk killed, if that is to many then cut the hunt down to 100 and let them be killed anyway possible. All your after is revenue, those are my elk ,not yours get your Hitler	Marcus Kelly	citizen of Id	Moore	Idaho
823	7/6/2017 21:07	No	No	No		Rachael		Leadore	Idaho
824	7/6/2017 21:07	Yes	No	No	The use of standard cameras allow hunters to judge animals and to see what animals are in an area. They do not in any way give the hunter an unfair advantage over the animal.	Chris Lawrence		Idaho Falls	Id
825	7/6/2017 21:07	No	No	No	I was trained as a hunting guide before I went into the military and I feel very strongly against this measure. First the restriction of communication devices would cause a conflict in safety. Trail cameras are a tool for those of us who live farther from our favorite hunting grounds to be able to monitor what is happening in our area. I bait bears north of idaho city but I live in Kuna and work in Payette. Without my game cameras I would have no idea what activity was happening on my bait at what time. I also would lose valuable data on what animals are visiting such as which ia a sow enabling us to know not to take her even if her cub is back in the brush out of sight. Furthermore for hunt areas several hours away glassing can only do so much as we cannot be there every second of the day. Game cameras a low us to learn if the spot is indeed worth our time to hunt or if our time and resources are better spent in another area. Finally game cameras that transmit real time data are available but to my knowledge are not very if at all usefull in much of the huntable lands in Idaho because the majority of them require cell towers to work and unless the technology has advanced beyond my reading if there is no cell service there is not transmittal. Making them as useful as regular game cameras only. Therefore I wish to again voice that I am strongly against this proposed rule change. Thank you for your	Scott Buck	Farmers Inst	Kuna	Idaho
826	7/6/2017 21:08	No	No	No		nicolas Berger		post falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
827	7/6/2017 21:08	No	No	No	I think the advantage gained by using trail cameras is minimal at best. I think it does more good than harm. It allows the hunter to age the animals, gauge the overall health of the animals, and see animals that he/she might not get a chance to see (mountain lion, bobcats, wolf.....).	Daniel Dobransky		Kuna	Idaho
828	7/6/2017 21:11	No	No	No		Chris Hunt		Pocatello	Idaho
829	7/6/2017 21:14	No	No	No	The aid of game cameras, and two way communication aid proper game identification and Hunter safety.	Tyson Schroeder	None	Rathdrum	ID
830	7/6/2017 21:15	No	No	No	I haven't read enough data to support passing this legislation.	James		Idaho falls	ID
831	7/6/2017 21:16	No	No	No	These cameras are used as tools to a hunter to see what game is in an area, to identify if the game can be taken or not (regarding tag restrictions i.e. Sex or point restrictions). To not allow hunters to use this tool is ludicrous. Let us use the cameras!	Blake	Hunter	Sugar City	ID
832	7/6/2017 21:18	No	No	No	Do not let this happen! Do not overstep boundaries because animal activists and environmentalists think there needs to be a change! Trail cameras educate us to know what's in the area so we do not waste precious time looking for animals that could possibly not be in that area! Do not pass this!!!	Kimball		Rexburg	Id
833	7/6/2017 21:18	No	No	No	This is absolutely ridiculous, please don't change it!!	Corey Bigelow		Chubbuck	ID
834	7/6/2017 21:19	No	No	No		Christopher D Reece		Jerome	ID
835	7/6/2017 21:19	No	No	No		Brianna Reece		Jerome	ID
836	7/6/2017 21:20	No	No	No	This is crazy I'm so sick of restricting things because some one that has no clue thinks it making it to easy if you want to save hunting you need to start managing are game maybe you need to get out and be seen we are losing more and more good hunters every day because we don't have the game you say we do and the wolf nice way to sell a tag and make money the bear not having to take the meat lets close more roads so four wheelers can that don't care can drive them half my family has stopped hunting and I use my trail cameras to help me identify a particular mature bull or buck. Once I have established the one I want I hunt it	Kenneth ford		kuna	ID
837	7/6/2017 21:20	Yes	No	Yes		Trevor		Rigby	Id
838	7/6/2017 21:21	Yes	No	Yes		Peter North		meridian	idaho
839	7/6/2017 21:21	No	No	No	You can't get rid of technology in aid with hunting. Many of these tools can be used for good. For reasons such as safety and education. This new propped rule is a little too extreme	Jessica		Rigby	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
840	7/6/2017 21:23	Yes	No	Yes	I do NOT support the use of hand radios for the purpose of hunting. But I DO support the use of hand radios for the use of locating and or general communications between hunters for	Patrick Klomp		Preston	Id
841	7/6/2017 21:24	No	No	No		Darci Eames		Firth	Idaho
842	7/6/2017 21:24	No	No	No		Delyce Sloan		Sagle	Idaho
843	7/6/2017 21:24	No	No	No		Leonard Haag		Shelley	Idaho
844	7/6/2017 21:25	No	No	No		Lisa Bloxham		Idaho Falls	Idaho
845	7/6/2017 21:25	No	No	No		Tommy Wells		Meridian	ID
846	7/6/2017 21:25	No	No	No	This seems to be the idea of someone that doesn't even hunt. Please do not pass.	Scott kerns		Hayden	Idaho
847	7/6/2017 21:25	Neutral	No	No		Kenneth Cole Deyoung		Weiser	Idaho
848	7/6/2017 21:26	No	No	No	These electronic devices allow hunters who take the time to pattern their targeted species and adapt hunting tactics to the information. It is not a matter of fair chase or ethics. IDFG needs to catch up with modern technology. Lighted nocks, trail cams, and expanding broadheads are advances in technology that should be allowed. We're not mountain men or native Americans with sticks and bows. Catch up with the world, Idaho!	Ryan Lloyd	Sportsman	Ammon	Idaho
849	7/6/2017 21:26	No	No	No		Michael B Baird		Chubbuck	Idaho
850	7/6/2017 21:27	No	No	No		Amanda		Paul	Idaho
851	7/6/2017 21:27	No	No	No		Joshua d hogue		Boise	Idaho
852	7/6/2017 21:28	No	No	No		Eric Kittelson		Cda	Idaho
853	7/6/2017 21:29	No	No	No	We all still are aloud only one tag.	Billy dyer		Mountain home	Idaho
854	7/6/2017 21:29	No	No	No		Kyle Nelson		Salmon	ID
855	7/6/2017 21:30	No	No	No		McKenzie Weekes		Rexburg	Idaho
856	7/6/2017 21:31	No	No	No		Shannon		Idaho Falls	ID
857	7/6/2017 21:32	Neutral	No	No	I understand receiving a image on your device and racing to that spot to harvest game. I think it is okay if not in same day. But if individual hikes in and pulls card on camera and uses that to aid in success then i feel that is okay. Because he was going in anyways, not like hunting 2 spots at once. The two way electronic communication would be difficult to remove for safety reasons. Hunters may separate and communication could save a lot of troubles. I don't believe that radios make it easier to harvest just helps get people to better spots safer. Also helps inaccidental	Lance Gillette	Hunter	Paul	Idaho
858	7/6/2017 21:32	No	No	No		Pete Hammond		Victor	Idaho
859	7/6/2017 21:32	No	No	No		Glenn miller		Spirit lake	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
860	7/6/2017 21:32	Yes	Yes	No		Mike Ferrera	Hunter	Meridian	Idaho
861	7/6/2017 21:32	No	No	No		Kurt Hodson		Idaho Falls	Idaho
862	7/6/2017 21:34	No	No	No		Thomas pickett		Idaho falls	Id
863	7/6/2017 21:34	Yes	Yes	Yes	Keep this kind of technology out of hunting. This is making people lazy and is unsportsmanlike	Kyle winward	Former resid	Dublin	California
864	7/6/2017 21:34	No	No	No		Shawn schmitt		Idaho falls	Idaho
865	7/6/2017 21:34	Neutral	No	Neutral	Trail cameras have there place as a tool for research, education, security and recreation. As a loyal license and tag holder (nonresident) I would strongly encourage energy and effort by IDFG placed elsewhere. Like careful management of wolf populations and promoting hunting to the uninitiated.	Dan Staton		Spokane	WA
866	7/6/2017 21:35	No	No	No	I'm not a fan of the cell trail cameras. I have heard to many instances where they get a text the critter is there and then go chase it. Especially with bear baiting. Normal trail cameras should be perfectly acceptable. Especially where you have to hike in a few hours to get to them and see what is on them. Also, this law prohibits phone scopes. This is ridiculous. I'm one who likes to know exactly what the animals is that i'm taking. With Phone scopes, i can be 100% sure of my decision and know exactly what	Dylan Turnbow		Rexburg	Idaho
867	7/6/2017 21:36	No	No	No		Mike Kentner		Pavilion	Wyoming
868	7/6/2017 21:36	Yes	Yes	Yes	As a hunter, I believe all trail cameras should be removed before an open big game season, especially on public land. Transmitting trail cameras should not be allowed. Two-way electronic communication should not be allowed in helping hunters locate, stalk, or kill game. The ability to remotely locate and immediately stalk an animal violates the ethics of fair chase. To me, it is no different than flying over an area and hunting it the same day, These devices assist in safe and educated hunting techniques. The use of these devices and communication are a vital component to successful, safe, and legal hunting techniques to prohibit them is contraindicated to ethical hunting strategies.	Steve Moodie		Lewistown	MT
869	7/6/2017 21:38	No	No	No		Katie Fisher		Idaho Falls	ID
870	7/6/2017 21:38	No	No	No	Cameras are a good tool for scouting. One of the most exciting parts about bear hunting is checking your pics and seeing all the different bears hitting your bait.	Kevin wilson		Boise	I'd

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
871	7/6/2017 21:38	No	No	No	These devices assist in safe and educated hunting techniques. The use of these devices and communication are a vital component to successful, safe, and legal hunting techniques to prohibit them is contraindicated to ethical hunting strategies.	Katie Fisher		Idaho Falls	ID
872	7/6/2017 21:38	No	No	No	This allows people to judge age of game. There is no advantage to it as long as there is no feeding	Tanner		Nampa	Idaho
873	7/6/2017 21:38	No	No	No		Autrey Hardin		Kendrick	ID
874	7/6/2017 21:39	No	No	No		Paul Lloyd		Twin Falls	Idaho
875	7/6/2017 21:39	No	No	Yes	I understand there needs to be restrictions on technology use in hunting. I do not agree that we should have to wait two days for the chance to hunt. Maybe no hunting on the same day as you check your cameras, but not another day on top of that. That I believe is too much.	Johnny Lovell		Ririe	Idaho
876	7/6/2017 21:39	Yes	No	No	I do not agree with live streaming of trail cams. Using a phone or camera to video a hunt should not be banned. It helps in the memory of the hunt and replaying the video to see the shot helps	Jonathan kelm		Boise	Idaho
877	7/6/2017 21:40	No	No	Yes	I understand there needs to be restrictions on technology use in hunting. I do not agree that we should have to wait two days for the chance to hunt. Maybe no hunting on the same day as you check your cameras, but not another day on top of that. That I believe is too much.	Johnny Lovell		Ririe	Idaho
878	7/6/2017 21:40	No	No	No		Kevin Wilson		Boise	Idaho
879	7/6/2017 21:40	No	No	Neutral	While animatedly opposed to train camera restrictions, I thought use of 2 way radios to aid in locating game, was already restricted. I however use radios with my kids, as an aid to keeping track of each other. And I view them as a necessary tool for this purpose. Trail cameras have become a very fun part of some of our hunting. While I don't use the type that transmit remotely, I dread the wording of more regulation and how it is translated to the enforcement of the rules. The way I read the proposed rule, it is very vague on exactly what devices are being targeted. While I agree that as technology increases boundaries need to be set, in order to preserve the heritage I so desperately love, some advances only increase desire in some to enjoy the outdoor experience. I've been enjoying the trail camera hobby for a long	Randy russell		Idaho falls	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
880	7/6/2017 21:41	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras are good to use to find game areas BUT NOT to be used to send information for taking.	Virginia Vieira		Council	Idaho
881	7/6/2017 21:41	No	No	Neutral		Tom perkins		Caldwell	Idaho
882	7/6/2017 21:41	No	No	No		Marcus Stott		Rexburg	Idaho
883	7/6/2017 21:42	No	No	No		McCaden Hjelm		Twin Falls	Idaho
884	7/6/2017 21:42	No	No	No		Eric		Rigby	Idaho
885	7/6/2017 21:44	No	No	No		Avery		Buhl	Idaho
886	7/6/2017 21:47	Yes	No	No		Gary bagley		Rigby	Idaho
887	7/6/2017 21:47	No	No	No		Shelli Johnson		St Anthony	Idaho
888	7/6/2017 21:48	No	No	No	Trail cameras are a useful tool to have, like many have said, with the aid of trail cameras we can take mature animals, and let the young grow.	Jon Zaken		Rathdrum	Idaho
889	7/6/2017 21:49	Neutral	No	No		Robert clark	Public land c	Layton	Utah
890	7/6/2017 21:49	No	No	No		Hunter Jones		Preston	Idaho
891	7/6/2017 21:49	No	No	No		Teagan Bennion		Homedale	Idaho
892	7/6/2017 21:50	No	No	No		Bill Kinghorn		Star	Idaho
893	7/6/2017 21:50	No	No	No		Ryan Ward		Teton	Idaho
894	7/6/2017 21:51	No	No	No		Brandon Anderson		Rigby	Idaho
895	7/6/2017 21:51	Yes	No	No	I think that the use of electronic devices to a point is ok. Cameras, luminocks, are acceptable, I say this cause they are not directly active in the harvest of big game. Paterning is a great way to yes increase your chances, and trail cams play a big part in patterning animals for those of us that can not get out all the time to scout! Luminocks I feel are simply a great tool for the hunter to help decide what type of shot they made! And aid in the decision to back out of pursue there game. But in no way do I feel like they need to be banned or restricted. They are just aids and tools, no different than game calls or electronic predator calls.	garrett ingle		ammon	ID
896	7/6/2017 21:52	No	No	No		Max		Eagle	Idaho
897	7/6/2017 21:52	Yes	Yes	No	I don't support complete restriction but cameras is going WAY too far... The two-way electronic communication is needed for safety as well as aiding in hunts.	Sabrina		Emmett	ID
898	7/6/2017 21:52	No	No	No		Josh	Sfw, DU, RM	Twin falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
899	7/6/2017 21:52	No	No	No	This rule is only going to push idaho further into the past. We already have enough reateics when hunting archery. Cannot mount a camera on thw bow cannot use lighted knocks neither of these things have been proven by fish and game to aid in taking an animal. Stop making it harder to be a hunter	Toby Burlile	Hunters in t	Meridian	Id
900	7/6/2017 21:53	No	No	No	Restricting the use of two-way electronic devices would need to be so well defined that I have concerns that it would be very cumbersome to manage and enforce. Because the use of two-way devices would still be lawful for other types of communication during the same period of time, it would be a challenge to investigate the authenticity of a voliolation. For example, the restriction could be interpreted as someone being in violation if the definition of "take" isn't well defined in the law - for example, would someone who radioed another person upon killing the animal (for assistance in packing out) be in violation? Harvesting and packing out an animal could be considered a part of the "taking" process. Additionally, using a radio device to arrange the assistance of others individuals for processing of a harvested animal, could also reveal the location of other animals (e.g. Herds, groups, etc) to the other individuals. It would also be important to define whose responsibility is the burden of proof upon? And in the process of collecting "proof" is there collection or documentation of radio communication data being being made by authorities (scanning, storing etc)? Without such proof, a sportsman or group of sportsmen might find that it is their word against the word of an authority who has little more to go on than the existing evidence (e.g. presence of devices, a harvested animal, etc). In which case the situation would be subjective - unstable grounds	Sam Swenson		Kuna	ID
901	7/6/2017 21:53	No	No	No	Leave hunters alone.	Tony jeffrirs		Newberg	Or
902	7/6/2017 21:54	No	No	No		Brent hall		Rigby	Idaho
903	7/6/2017 21:54	No	No	No	Two way radios , cell phones and other electronic devices can help out in the hunting process, they help us educate our children to do it right. They help in keeping safe and from getting lost also. Trail cameras help in identifying say a certain sow bear that has a cub so she is not harvested. If we take these electronics away then it leads to taking more away in the future like rangefinder,	Matt borg	Eastern Idah	Idaho falls	Idaho
904	7/6/2017 21:54	No	No	No		Brandon		Shelley	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
905	7/6/2017 21:54	No	No	No		Josh abernathy		Saint Maries	Idaho
906	7/6/2017 21:55	Yes	Yes	Yes	It is cheating and taking away the actual hunt for REAL hunters. It should be considered as harrassment to wildlife since you know what animal is on the trail, how often and how big. Also should be considered as invasion of privacy for other hunters.	Melody Jenson		Rigby	Id
907	7/6/2017 21:55	No	No	No	These rule changes are not realistic my thought is more control of resident hunters allows more room for non residents and more money for Fish and Game. Keep OUR hunting and fishing for us	Kip Allen		Georgetown	Idaho
908	7/6/2017 21:57	No	No	No		Aj		Kaysville	Utah
909	7/6/2017 21:57	Yes	No	Yes	I appreciate Idaho being proactive about fair chase. I disagree with any use of drones or use of trail cameras in season.	Emily Croft		Dundee	Oregon
910	7/6/2017 21:58	Yes	No	No		Daniel Mathis		Pocatello	Idaho
911	7/6/2017 21:58	Yes	Yes	No	Radio communications is good for safety, and doesn't give a significant advantage. I think all game cameras should be pulled a minimum of 5 days before the season opens. Hard to enforce, because there is always a season open. They should just restrict cameras between sept 1st and Nov 30th. No questions. No buts...	Todd Huston		Molalla	OR
912	7/6/2017 21:58	No	No	No		Kayla		Emmett	Idaho (ID)
913	7/6/2017 21:59	No	No	No	I do not support the prohibition of game cameras for use in transmitting pictures nor the restriction of game cameras all together to support hunting. Just because you catch a big game animal on camera means very little when it comes to hunting. I also do not support the restriction of two-way electronic communication in hunting. There are many areas now that have cell service or where two way radios work but do not give one an advantage. A simple phone call to a hunting partner to let them know where I am or visa versa would be considered a violation. All three of these proposed rule changes are a broad over-reach of government and do not belong. Idaho fish and game, you are much better off working with local law enforcement and other hunters to try and prevent and decrease poaching than limiting electronic use. There is far more game taken illegally out of season and in closed units than game that could possibly be taken with the use of a trail camera or two way radio. Please focus your efforts in the right areas to ensure conservation of big game, legal and ethical hunting. This law would punish the ethical law abiding hunters far more than prevent unethical/illegal harvest.	Ed O'Hara		Hayden	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
914	7/6/2017 22:00	No	No	No		Brian Ricks		Idaho falls	Idaho
915	7/6/2017 22:00	No	No	No		Casey		Meridian	Id
916	7/6/2017 22:01	No	No	No	How many more rules are you guys trying to pass? Trail cameras only allow you to see which game is in the area they do not in anyway help a hunter kill their animals. In fact a lot of the time hunters get hunt up on their trail cameras and end up wasting more time hunting around their camera. The rule against two way communication is a hard one to specify. What is considered two way communication? Is carrying a cell phone illegal since it can be used to contact people? How are you going to manage how people use them? Will it be illegal to carry one to take to friends and family about your where about and your condition? Or to radio to them that your hurt and need help? These can be lifesaving devices for hunters in the backcountry. A ban on two	Stetson		Iona	idaho
917	7/6/2017 22:03	No	No	No	Are you folks out of your mind.	Craig		Idaho falls	Id
918	7/6/2017 22:04	No	No	No		Val Anderson		Rigby	Idaho
919	7/6/2017 22:05	Neutral	No	No		Marcus Byler		Bonnors Ferry	ID
920	7/6/2017 22:05	No	No	No		Neil Erickson	None	Rexburg	Idaho
921	7/6/2017 22:05	No	No	No	Every other state around us does not have rules For this . we should be focusing on changing take rules and methods of take not how we find animals to take	Leif watson		Shelley	Idaho
922	7/6/2017 22:06	No	No	No	stick to the job you were hired to do,don't mini manage the rules	loy hollenbeak		pollock	idaho
923	7/6/2017 22:06	No	No	No	Only if it becomes a problem should there be a restriction. As of right now it would not be solving any problem just burdening us hunters with more and more restrictions.	Logan		Caldwell	Idaho
924	7/6/2017 22:06	Neutral	No	Yes		Henry J Lewis		Meridian	Id
925	7/6/2017 22:08	No	No	No		Devin Hillam		Pocatello	ID
926	7/6/2017 22:08	Yes	No	Yes		Patrick	Hunter	Salmon	Idaho
927	7/6/2017 22:08	Neutral	No	No		Brent Hillman		Shelley	Idaho
928	7/6/2017 22:09	Yes	No	No		Lyle Massey	Hunter	Kamiah	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
929	7/6/2017 22:09	No	No	No	This is ridiculous considering the time put in to put them up. Check them . Even find the right place to get game. And it even helps us weed out the old animals that will be killed by the wolves in the winter. If you are trying to limit income and people hunting then passing it would be a good idea. But you should not pass it. It only hurts those that put in the time to hunt areas that don't normally get hunted by people on four wheelers and in	Mark		Rigby	Idaho
930	7/6/2017 22:09	No	No	No	Hi there. Thank you for taking comments on these proposals. I don't believe it is right to restrict game cameras or any of the mentioned devices. I feel that just because a camera is hung does not seal the deal on any big game harvest. Yes it shows what is in the area but as in my experience I've shown lots of deer, elk and bear where I'm hunting but it has not achieved success through doing so. The reality then is that you now have to figure out how to outsmart the animal that is being pursued and as we all know, wind scents sounds sight all play, a factor in successfully harvesting the animal. We all know the value of a dollar so if it can benefit the pocket book as to whether or not to hunt an area and taking the precious time off work to do so it becomes very valuable tool. As for two way radios, I feel the same way. I've packed them myself for safety reasons in case a party gets split up. I feel that even if you did tell one another thru the use of the radio where an animal is, there again now the real "hunting" begins. You still have to be stealthy enough to get within range of the animal and get a clean and ethical shot. As for other devices such as the use of a smart phone with a phonescope, it would be absurd to think that by taking a zoomed in photo of an animal thru a spotting scope by the use of an electronic is going to give any advantage or aid to hunting. At this point we are still allowed to use the spotting scope so why not be able to take a picture thru it. This then gives us the ability to go home and truly sit down and judge the animal and know the maturity of it. Lots of people out there would rather take a mature animal and let the young ones	Jory christensen		Rigby	Idaho
931	7/6/2017 22:10	No	No	No	Radio communication use is beneficial in case someone gets hurt or you're just making sure a hunting companion is not lost	Charles Davis		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
932	7/6/2017 22:10	No	No	No	There is no ethical reason I can think of that supports any claim that the use of trail camera's or any other electronic viewing device promotes unfair chase of game. In fact, I support that it is more fair to game and to hunters to use such devices. With the use of these devices hunters do not have to continuously approach and disturb the game to get a better view of them.	David Hollaway		Idaho Falls	Idaho
933	7/6/2017 22:10	Yes	Yes	Yes	Any attempt to gain an "advantage" over big game species by using electronic technology is in strict violation of rules of fair chase. This is absolutely the right thing to do.	Chesney Rathbun		Idaho Falls	Idaho
934	7/6/2017 22:11	No	No	Yes	Cameras capable of transmitting photos during hunting season should be illegal. I can't see anything ethically wrong with a camera you have to physically visit to check the photos.	Andrew Andree		Nampa	Idaho
935	7/6/2017 22:11	Yes	No	Yes		Tyler		Shelley	Id
936	7/6/2017 22:13	No	No	No	Trail cameras and other electronic devices give a hunter a good chance to determine size and sex of the game to be hunted. Many ethical hunters follow this and will only pursue game they deem appropriate to shoot. Stop trying to send us ethical hunters back to the Stone Age with ridiculous rules that will only hinder our ability to put food on the table for our families and manage our wild game population!	Kolby Watson		St. Anthony	Idaho
937	7/6/2017 22:13	Yes	No	Neutral	Idaho needs to take a serious look at there policies. You say keeping it traditional.. Such as lighted knocks.. cameras..etc.. Serious hunters put alot of effort into being where they need to be when they need to be. In Today's world... It's difficult to find areas that are not already covered with many hunters. Your rule only impacts the serious dedicated Hunter. Not the majority...who are road hunters. You continue to develop rules in which only impact true hunters. Not the lazy...with costs rising in fuel...tags..licenses...ammo..gear. A hunter needs to improve their odds in ways that are moral and ethical. Scouting an area with a camera only shows your efforts have put you in a decent place for an opportunity nothing more. You still have too hunt. The Camera doesn't tie the game down! Some body in the fish and game stand up and say enough...its time to take a hard look at the leaders of this department. Are you just making rules to make rules. What	Glenn		Saint Anthony	Idaho
938	7/6/2017 22:14	Neutral	No	No		Brandon Hamilton		Challis	Idaho
939	7/6/2017 22:14	No	No	Neutral		Amanda		Sandpoint	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
940	7/6/2017 22:14	No	No	No	Why not work at managing game in Idaho Insead of all the nitty gritty retarded restriction ideas. How does this affect the game population in Idaho? It doesn't. Sorry about issues that might help in the aid of game recovery, such as expandable broadheds, lighted knocks, etc. instead of making it harder to be successful and charging more money for it. You guys are idiots. Must be nice to get new trucks and fancy razors most years with the up tag prices instead of feeding wildlife in harsh winters	Jesse Hunter		Pocatello	Idaho
941	7/6/2017 22:14	No	No	No		Norman Pluid		Rathdrum	Idaho
942	7/6/2017 22:15	No	No	No		Alex Hutchison		Blackfoot	Idaho
943	7/6/2017 22:16	Neutral	No	No	Phones and radios are a SAFETY issue. You cannot restrict our safety in the backcountry.	Jessica Ransom		Pocatello	Idaho
944	7/6/2017 22:16	No	No	No	Just cause you have a trailcam doesn't mean your guaranteed a filled tag, I find this very stupid to try and even do!!! Worry about the wolves instead!!	Bryce Humphrey		Priest River	Idaho
945	7/6/2017 22:17	Neutral	No	No		Nate lauerman		Boise	Idaho
946	7/6/2017 22:17	No	No	No		Brian Owens		Rigby	Idaho
947	7/6/2017 22:18	No	No	Neutral	The use of trail camera does not necessarily help in taking a big game . It does let you know of the quality of animal visiting site. May help prevent taking a sow with cubs if seen on site with cubs before. I have baited three years now and have not taken a bear yet. I enjoy reviewing my pictures each time i go check it. Each year I have spotted a big boar and would settle for nothing less. It could help people report Wolves in the areas they are hunting. Only Time on stand will allow you to take a animal. Ridiculous Rule	Carl W. Claycomb	RMEF /	Mountain Home	ID
948	7/6/2017 22:19	No	No	No		Tyler Christiansen		Meridian	Idaho
949	7/6/2017 22:21	Yes	No	No		Kaleb Johnson		Preston	Idaho
950	7/6/2017 22:22	No	No	Yes		Tom Jones		Idaho Falls	ID
951	7/6/2017 22:22	Yes	No	Yes	I have no problem with the use of trail cameras as I use them and they do not dictate how and where I hunt. They are a fun way to keep me active in the woods when I have time. I do however think it goes to far when they can send you an instant image of an animal so you can rush in to the area in hopes of killing it.	Jesse Minish		Priest River	Idaho
952	7/6/2017 22:22	No	No	No		Wes		Blackfoot	Id
953	7/6/2017 22:23	No	No	No	This is just ridiculous and is only to gain more opportunity to site people for more revenue to benefit the Fish and Game. There are enough laws that we get harassed about as it is.	Joe Roach		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
954	7/6/2017 22:23	No	No	No	I Strongly disagree with the proposed restrictions of the use of 2-way radios and cellular game cameras. First, 2 way radios, and cell phones are a necessary tool in the field while hunting for many other reasons than the taking of game. Second, The benefit received from their use in the taking of game is extremely already plenty limiting with current law. Third, trying to distinguish between acceptable uses and unacceptable uses of 2 way radios and cell phones would be too difficult and provide too much discretion to enforcement officers. The restriction of Game cameras is extremely excessive as well. Game cameras and cellular game cameras do not provide a significant advantage in the taking of animals. First off, cellular reception is extremely limited in hunting areas. Second the primary use of game cams is not to know where the game animals are, but what game is passing through the area. The limited information obtained with the use of game cams and cellular game cams help hunters make better decisions in the field as to what animal they are hunting for. Having a cellular game cam as opposed to a non cellular cam in my experience does not provide much of an added benefit from timing. The benefit is that I don't have to hike to the camera to get the pictures, only to change the batteries and redirect the camera. I have hunted in the area of my cellular game cam many times and it does not change the way that I hunt. But the information we get from the cam hrlps hunters be more responsible. Please do not create more unnecessary restrictions like those proposed, especially in the name of "fair chase." Hunting is pretty	Trent Hone		Blackfoot	ID
955	7/6/2017 22:23	No	No	No		Carla Killian		Idaho Falls	Idaho
956	7/6/2017 22:24	No	No	No		Staci McBrayer		Sandpoint	Idaho
957	7/6/2017 22:24	Yes	No	No		Charles Bray		Pocatello	Idaho
958	7/6/2017 22:25	No	No	No		Mikea Carroll		Meridian	Idaho
959	7/6/2017 22:25	No	No	No		Evan grayson		Idaho falls	idaho
960	7/6/2017 22:25	No	No	No		Bill Wenner		Mountain Home	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
961	7/6/2017 22:26	Yes	No	No	What's wrong with old fashioned game cameras? Other than the idiots who steal them. But sending pics straight to your phone? No. Go get your cam	Dawn	Hunter	Naples	Id
962	7/6/2017 22:26	Yes	No	No		Derk Howard		Bliss	ID
963	7/6/2017 22:26	No	No	No		Marc Essary		BOISE	ID
964	7/6/2017 22:27	No	No	No		Hunter bartausky		Blackfoot	Idaho
965	7/6/2017 22:27	No	No	No	As a non resident hunter who has come to Idaho for the last three years, it has been a crucial element to my success using trail cameras. Not sure why being able to know what animals are in an area should be illegal. And for me to have to wait til the 3rd day of my hunt to be able to actually hunt where I've been scouting with the aid of trail cameras would make me seriously consider not hunting there anymore. This is a senseless measure that Cameras are vital to scouting and limitations such as these are unnecessary. Using radios for hunting is necessary for safety in many cases. Using them solely for locating game shouldn't be allowed but limiting them altogether is a safety risk.	Gerald S.		Rapid City	South Dak
966	7/6/2017 22:27	No	No	No		Sean Warner		Idaho Falls	ID
967	7/6/2017 22:27	Yes	Neutral	Neutral		Rocco Cuda		Meridian	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
968	7/6/2017 22:27	No	No	Neutral	I do NOT and will never support limiting the use of trail cameras. You still have to scout the area, decide where to put the cameras and put in the leg work to get decent pictures. They don't magically make animals appear. Checking the cameras is a special event for my family and we very much look forward to getting out and seeing what if anything was captured on the cameras. As any hunter knows just because you saw an animal the day before doesn't mean it will be back. The same is true of trail camera pictures. It doesn't matter if you check it the same day or not. The animal may be miles away by the time you check the camera so the rule is unnecessary and would be nearly impossible to enforce. Are you going to limit someone from taking a picture of a big deer with their phone from hunting the same day as well? I think you can see how ridicules this whole thing is. Even the few guys who have transmitting cameras don't run right out and get in a stand when they get a picture. It is a piece to a puzzle not the end all answer when deciding where to hunt. We use them on bear baits and it helps us determine where to concentrate out efforts away from sows with cubs and juvenile bears and on the fawn and calf killing boars. It will also help with wolf baiting if that passes. Lets concentrate on real problems and not shudda woulda coulda scenarios that aren't even a problem. If it turns out to be a real	Rex Summerfield		Weippe	Idaho
969	7/6/2017 22:28	No	No	No	Having the use as trail cameras is a great asset for hunters. I have now archery hunted in the island park area for 6 years now. In these 6 years I have used 2 cameras in 2 different places. At NO time has checking these cameras ever given me an advantage over big game. These things are so much harder to hunt since the introduction of wolfs. As a young man, we used to go bugle elk in Harriman State Park. The elk used to bugle like crazy. Now your lucky if you can hear any bugles for more then 15 minutes. So in regards to if it gives me an advantage, absolutely not. This is one idea you guys need to get out of your head quick.	Destry Orr	Hunter	Saint Anthony	Idaho
970	7/6/2017 22:29	No	No	No	You get these kind of rule suggestions when our commission is made up of mostly non hunters.	Jim Hirrlinger		Rexburg	Idaho
971	7/6/2017 22:29	No	No	No		Eric craig		Mountain home	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
972	7/6/2017 22:29	Yes	Yes	No	In my opinion two way radios are a life line. Especially since I hunt with young kids and old men. If one of them gets hurt, I want them to be able to call me for help.	A. Hughes		Oldtown	Idaho
973	7/6/2017 22:29	Neutral	No	No	Trail cameras are a good way to scout for game. For me, I have never taken a big game animal on the day I have a picture of it. I am on the fence with those cameras that can remotely be viewed. Also, restricting the use of two way radios is ridiculous. Having an electronic form of communication as a matter of safety is a smart idea. In many areas cell phones do not have coverage either. Also, unless you ban carrying such devices in the field "restricting" is unenforceable unless you dedicate staff to listen to radio communications or unlawfully intercept cell phone communication. I also have some concerns in two other areas of recent	Dana Maxfield		Weiser	Idaho
974	7/6/2017 22:30	Yes	No	No		Marcus		Clayton	Idaho
975	7/6/2017 22:30	No	No	No	Seriously why would you push an agenda like this? Idaho is already one of the most highly regulated states in the west! Trail Cameras are a great tool and aid in helping Sportsman, and conservationist in the field who have limited time and resources. They provide valuable information about game species patterns and there behaviors. As a big game hunter I value the information I receive from my trail cams. It saves me a lot of valuable time and money, and most importantly it gives me peace of mind knowing what is on my bait sites and on the trails that I hunt,	Clifton Kofoed	Sportsman	Idaho falls	Idaho
976	7/6/2017 22:31	No	No	No	No I just use this as a tool to check my bear bait to see if it is being hit by a bear or not. Also what kind of bear black or grizzlies. Also during the elk/deer hunt I work my butt off hiking off the trail to get away from the road hunters. Its nice to use my camara to see what animals are Are out in my hunting spots. This is the reason I hunt if I can't fill my tag at least I have some good pictures of wild game I have worked so hard to try to harvest. This is the most ridiculous rule you are trying to inforce!	Louis Mickey		Pocatello	idaho
977	7/6/2017 22:31	Yes	No	No		Jeff Lacey		Ammon	Idaho
978	7/6/2017 22:31	No	No	No		Paul Tancredi		Mountain Home	Idaho
979	7/6/2017 22:32	No	No	No		Denine Tancredi		Mountain home	Idaho
980	7/6/2017 22:32	Yes	No	No	Two way radios and gps are to important from a safety and land status use to restrict	Mark jalinski		Boise	Idaho
981	7/6/2017 22:33	No	No	No		Paul Tancredi Jr		Scottsdale	Arizona

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
982	7/6/2017 22:33	No	No	No		Julia		Buxton	Montana
983	7/6/2017 22:34	Yes	No	Yes	I also oppose restrictions to being able to hunt on days where I check my camera and the day after. This proposed change allows for too many loopholes which may be used by less well-intentioned law enforcement to cause trouble for honest hunters. There are just too many ways to negatively interpret this change. cameras are a scouting tool and 2 way radios are used for safety and to check with other hunters and basic communication.This is ridiculous!	Marc Durfee		Rexburg	Idaho
984	7/6/2017 22:34	No	No	No		Steve clark		grangeville	idaho
985	7/6/2017 22:35	No	No	No	While oversight over advancing technology is important to keep fair chase in-play for hunters, this particular rule will allow for far too broad interpretation of use of electronics that hunters commonly use while not at all degrading fair chase. Cameras are extremely useful for learning about the animals we are hunting, preserving the memories of the hunt, and allow for planning of hunting areas and specific game. Please do not pass this rule, it will create far too broad of regulation of reasonable equipment	Ty Rudkin	None	Twin Falls	Idaho
986	7/6/2017 22:37	No	No	No		Breanna Thompson		Nampa	Idaho
987	7/6/2017 22:37	Yes	No	No	Hunting with a trail camera that can transmit photos wirelessly should not be taken out it takes time and effort into baiting and setting up a site, why should if the hunter uses an expensive device not be allowed to use it for it's purpose? Bait sites are checked regularly what where to happen if a big game was walking to the site as the hunter approached the site is he not 2 questions what does banning two way radios do. You aren't going to be able to sneak up on an animal when you are communicating with someone else about where they may be located pretty sure the elk deer or whatever you may be hunting at that time is going to hear you talking on that. And what does banning trail cameras do? They are more or less a scouting aid and they may help you pattern an animal and show you what's in a certain area but how is it any different then sitting somewhere and watching game for hours on end trying to see what the patterns and trails they have and use? This is probably one of the	Christopher weston		Meridian	Id
988	7/6/2017 22:38	No	No	No		Shane Willis		Moscow	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
989	7/6/2017 22:38	No	No	No	The usage of two way to communication is used to advance yourself to the best available shooting positions to allow the most effective ethical kill shot. As well as the usage of trail cameras and other video devices are used to judge the size, sex, and maturity of a big game animal. This is not giving you an advantage in any form it is making you an ethical sportsman.	Tyler ranney		Post falls	Idaho
990	7/6/2017 22:38	No	No	No		Brad Lambson		Idaho Falls	Idaho
991	7/6/2017 22:39	No	No	No	U should be able to use electronic devices at any time that will educate u or help u	Lionel		Rigby	Idaho
992	7/6/2017 22:39	Yes	No	No	I feel that the use of wireless devises that upload pictures or videos in the use of hunting is unnecessary. I don't feel that it is necessary to restrict the use of all game cameras in field use, as they are helpful in allowing hunters to get an idea of what animals are in a area and the general age of those animals.	Wyatt Lott	Idaho sports	Blackfoot	ID
993	7/6/2017 22:39	No	No	No		Rye Hyer		Caldwell	Idaho
994	7/6/2017 22:40	No	No	No	This is nonsense! Please leave us alone! We pay for the tags, and you determine how many we can have based on counts of game above the base line level to sustain the beards. That is all we need. Please stop trying to over do your purpose!	C Sands		New Neadows	Idaho
995	7/6/2017 22:40	No	No	No	I've used trial camera's and two way radio's with my uncles since I started hunting. I have never harvested an animal that I have got pictures of from my camera. I think of trail cameras no different then going out and taking pictures with a regular camera. And the use of two way radio's has been very helpful without them there have been many times I would have been stranded in the mountains. I do agree to a extent that the trail camera's that transmit straight to your email are a little unfair but regular trail camera's that you have to put in the time to go check I don't see	Charles Willis	.	Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
996	7/6/2017 22:40	No	No	No	I do not believe game cameras violate fair chase and hunting ethics. I have used them for years. I also believe that question 3 is very vague and completely unenforceable. I always thought it wasn't legal to use two way radios and I don't have a big problem with that but cell phones? Give me a break. How are you going to enforce that? Also it is an intrusion into personal privacy. I can't understand why Idaho keeps trying to shoot itself in the foot. Why are there always a lot of non-resident tags available that never meet the quota? One reason is because of so many of Idaho's stupid rules compared to our surrounding state like not being able to use lighted nocks for archery. I have two nonresident friends who refuse to hunt in Idaho because of these stupid rules. Lighted nocks are only a benefit to know whether or where you hit the animal, recovery of game, and finding the lost arrow. There is nothing unethical or unfair. This new proposal is just another ridiculous idea that will chase more hunters away and into other	Mike Lawson		St. Anthony	Idaho
997	7/6/2017 22:40	No	No	No		Rye		Caldwell	Idaho
998	7/6/2017 22:41	No	No	No		Robert D Armstrong		Salmon	Idaho
999	7/6/2017 22:41	No	No	No		Michael Bright		Pocatello	Idaho
1000	7/6/2017 22:41	No	No	No	Trail cameras are used as an education tool to help us judge animals better. I use trail cameras to hunt bear in your state, they're a very important tool for my success. Using trail cameras keeps pressure off animals while I'm studying their patterns because I'm not in their core area daily.	Joshua Sunberg		Red oak	Iowa
1001	7/6/2017 22:41	No	No	No		Trenton Stauffer		Nampa	Id
1002	7/6/2017 22:42	No	No	No	I am torn simply because I understand the intention of the purist. When I hunt the middle fork I often use two way radios,not necessarily to pursue an animal. But for communication and safety. Also I use a phone scope to judge animals sometimes miles away to decide if I should pursue. In my opinion these tools make hunters more responsible and conservative and not often used in unfair chase.	Russell Riberich		Harrison	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1003	7/6/2017 22:43	Yes	No	No	Ban the trail cams with the cellphone/email technology. Keep the use of regular trail cams. To ban two way radios is not a smart idea. Parents who take there kids out hunting need to have two way radios in case the get split up. Also regular trail cameras help get kids involved in hunting. When they set a camera, go back and check it and have a buck or bull on it, they have more determination to stay and hunt longer.	Kevin		Rexburg	Idaho
1004	7/6/2017 22:43	Yes	No	Neutral	I do not agree with any wording that would make the use of cameras paired to spotting scopes or binoculars illegal. Being able to take quality photos of game at a distance is a very pleasurable part of the hunting experience as a whole, not to mention a way to relive hunts for years to come.	Tyler Wicks		Star	ID
1005	7/6/2017 22:43	No	No	No	Fish and game already harass hunters based on perceived violations... I can't wait for them to start checking our cell phones along with our tags! Terrible idea!	Amber Heer		Blackfoot	Id
1006	7/6/2017 22:43	No	No	No		Chase telford		Firth	Idaho
1007	7/6/2017 22:44	No	No	No		Casey		Rathdrum	Id
1008	7/6/2017 22:46	No	No	No	I have been using tail cameras for six years and have never harvested an animal that I have captured on a camera. Cameras and two way communication is a means to an end that is no different than using a scope or binoculars.	Shayne Hoskins		Pocatello	Idaho
1009	7/6/2017 22:48	No	No	No		Tony Pozenel		St. Anthony	Idaho
1010	7/6/2017 22:48	No	No	No	It is already incredibly difficult to successfully pull off a DIY hint in Idaho for big game animals without being lucky enough to draw a special hunt or having enough money to pay an outfitter. Trail cameras are not the problem in Idaho and can actually help us stop poachers.	Bryan Hammar		Rigby	ID
1011	7/6/2017 22:49	Yes	No	No		Tim Sroegmiller		Craigmont	Idaho
1012	7/6/2017 22:49	No	No	No	Two way radios can be used to locate and rescue people lost in the woods.	Aaron		Dalton Gardens	Idaho
1013	7/6/2017 22:49	No	No	No		Mike allen		Soda springs	Idaho
1014	7/6/2017 22:50	No	No	No		Fred D Packard		Pocatello	Idaho
1015	7/6/2017 22:50	No	No	No		Daniel Brood		Palouse	Washington
1016	7/6/2017 22:51	Yes	No	No		Chadd Jensen		Rigby	ID
1017	7/6/2017 22:51	No	No	No		Kent		Rexburg	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1018	7/6/2017 22:51	Yes	No	Neutral	Game cams is a nice tool for seeing the size of the game and what's in the area that will be hunted. Big game are going to change there routine when hunters come in and disrupt them, so that doesn't mean it's a big advantage unless you do have the wireless one and that's no different than using a drone.	Eric B		Star	Idaho
1019	7/6/2017 22:52	No	No	No	I really hope the right persons read this and take it all to heart My wife and i have worked our butts off hiking mile after mile for 5 years setting up taking pictures and enjoying nature We have watched cubs grow up and get out on there own and new generations arrive Finally we decided to harvest a bear this year after lot of research We were succesfull in taking a great trophy and leaving the others to grow and support nature We Was planning on getting my grandkids involved next year To carry on the tradition of plannng and respecting nature and all she gives us IF this isn't a fair chase of wild game i dont know what is This idea of taking the freedom to use cameras away is just ridiculous and disrespectful to those of us who spend our money in Idaho	Shane Johnson		Idaho falls	Idaho
1020	7/6/2017 22:52	No	No	No		Jerry wallace		Blackfoot	Idaho
1021	7/6/2017 22:52	Neutral	No	Neutral	The use of my trail camera has made my experience of hunting so much better. I haven't had the best of luck in my first few years of archery hunting but seeing the pictures of deer, moose and turkey have made it worth it and giving me a deeper appreciation for wildlife. I have never been more excited looking though pictures or wildlife that I have had the opportunity to capture on my trial camera. Being able to use trail cameras has taught me more than I could imagine and by taking them away from hunters would be a terrible thing. I know if seeing a picture on my trail camera of two bucks fighting can give me the biggest smile and feel like a kid on Christmas day, and I'm 29 by the way, imagine what it could do for a young boy or girl in their first year of hunting. What joys and excitemnts they might have by going to a trail camera to see what	Ryan Kirwan		Blackfoot	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1022	7/6/2017 22:52	No	No	No	These devices are being used to be safer and more vigilant to what it is we are hunting for. Cameras are great to make sure that while bear hunting or lion hunting that you are not shooting a mother with their young. There is many times that a very nice large bear comes to bait and not far behind her in just a few moments will be her young. Radios are great to assist hunters in communicating with each other to avoid shootings in the path of one another especially in thick timber areas.	Jamie Guthrie		Gooding	ID
1023	7/6/2017 22:53	Neutral	No	Neutral	The use of my trail camera has made my experience of hunting so much better. I haven't had the best of luck in my first few years of archery hunting but seeing the pictures of deer, moose and turkey have made it worth it and giving me a deeper appreciation for wildlife. I have never been more excited looking through pictures or wildlife that I have had the opportunity to capture on my trail camera. Being able to use trail cameras has taught me more than I could imagine and by taking them away from hunters would be a terrible thing. I know if seeing a picture on my trail camera of two bucks fighting can give me the biggest smile and feel like a kid on Christmas day, and I'm 29 by the way, imagine what it could do for a young boy or girl in their first year of hunting. What joys and excitements they might have by going to a trail camera to see what	Ryan Kirwan		Blackfoot	Idaho
1024	7/6/2017 22:54	No	No	No	I understand and support the concept of fair chase, but at the same time, consider the type of hunter who is actually taking the time to hike in to a location to set up a trail camera, to actually track an animal, to determine whether or not there is sufficient population to take an animal from that area. We are already restricted by the illegality of mechanical broadheads and lighted nocks, which I agree falls under the concept of fair chase. Trail cameras enable hunters to make a good, conservative decision as to whether or not to harvest an animal from a specific area. Also, the suggestion to climate two-way communication presents a safety hazard. Many hunters use cell phones as a way to communicate to each other or people who expect them back at home. What happens if a hunter gets hurt and has their cellphone but can't use it because of the restriction? They have no way of communicating and a very high risk of encountering incredible	Cheyenne Brand		Rathdrum	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1025	7/6/2017 22:54	No	No	No		Tyghe Briggs		Shelley	Idaho
1026	7/6/2017 22:55	No	No	No	The language in regards to not being able to hunt same day, or day after checking trail cameras, even in person concerns me, as I am a non resident hunter. The time and money involved in driving over from Montana to check trail cameras and not being able to hunt until the third day would be a burden and would probably decrease the likelihood of me hunting in Idaho.	Jon Putnam		Missoula	Montana
1027	7/6/2017 22:56	No	No	No		Mason Upton		Rathdrum	ID
1028	7/6/2017 22:57	No	No	No	Two way communication is used as much or more for safety in keeping contact with a hunting partner as it is locating game. If devices are increasing percentage of successful hunts then reduce tags available.	Mike Fife		Boise	Idaho
1029	7/6/2017 22:59	Yes	No	Yes	I support the use of trail cameras but not the kind that are transmitting electronically. To me that would be equivalent to spitting game with a drone or an airplane. If your going to use a game camera, get in there and remove the SD card to check your	Joyce Nobles		Orofino	Idaho
1030	7/6/2017 22:59	No	No	No		Logan		Twin falls	Id
1031	7/6/2017 22:59	Yes	No	No	Quit infringing on people's aids in hunting and restrict the about of tags you give everyone over the counter. I'm almost to the point of not buying a hunting licence because everywhere in the state is overcrowded with hunters.	Chet Neilson		St. Anthony	Idaho
1032	7/6/2017 23:00	No	No	Neutral	It is ridiculous to think that hunting the same day you check trail cams gives that much of a leg up! I could see limiting the use of directly transmitted pictures to another device, but you can't cut all options!	Earl Carlson		Born and rai Saint Anthony	ID
1033	7/6/2017 23:00	No	No	No		Larry Schmitt		American Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1034	7/6/2017 23:00	No	No	No	I don't think this is a good idea because where do you stop. Trail cameras still have to be checked. It only helps you know where an animal was not is. You still have to find it and shoot straight, there is still fair chase. Just because you see an animal doesn't mean its a sure thing that you will harvest it whether it is with the eye or on a camera. It would also interfere with bear hunters with dogs. GPS collars would come under this electronic device restriction and we could not find our dogs or even use radio collars to find them. Also it would make having even cell phones on a hunt illegal since they transmit. That has our maps and GPS directions on it. We use our phones to look up maps to find the difference between public and private land. Also, how could you enforce it? Any electronic device? Which would really be unsafe for those emergencies that always come up. You are asking for trouble if hunters can't communicate any way.	Domenique Borg	Hunter	Idaho Falls	Idaho
1035	7/6/2017 23:01	No	No	No	Trail cameras also help assist in wildlife management. From rare footage of seeing wolverines in the Pacific northwest to animals which medical issues/diseases/deformities in which need to be	Nicolina		Ontario	Or
1036	7/6/2017 23:02	No	No	No	This isnt california! Stop with the overreach!	Ryan		Parma	Id
1037	7/6/2017 23:02	No	No	No		Riley broadhead		Preston	Idaho
1038	7/6/2017 23:02	Yes	No	No		Jamie Thompson		Declo	ID
1039	7/6/2017 23:04	No	No	No		Jackson Sharp		Preston	Id
1040	7/6/2017 23:04	No	No	No	absolutely ridiculous, these devices are used for scouting and preparation, more power to the men and women who devote their time into checking and using them	Zach green		Rathdrum	Idaho
1041	7/6/2017 23:05	No	No	No		Dave		Bellevue	ID
1042	7/6/2017 23:06	No	No	Neutral	I feel game cameras are a valuable tool for those of us that have limited time for scouting due to out work schedules. We can gain information regarding an area making a decision to hunt it or not and not wake our efforts.	kenny		athol	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1043	7/6/2017 23:06	Yes	No	Yes	I think trail cameras that must be physically checked (no transmission ability) should still be allowed for hunting. If someone is willing to put the time and effort into scouting on foot to place and check cameras, they deserve to use that information. Photos and videos from transmitted cameras make it too easy for game to be tracked without risk of catching scent in my opinion. Keep the ability for people to see what's out there, but keep it simple by banning transmission and making the efforts more sportsman like. In terms of using radios and other communications, I don't feel that it should be allowed except in situations of tracking downed game, again, in the name of fair	Heather Draney		Shelley	ID
1044	7/6/2017 23:09	No	No	No		Sondra Neihart		Pocatello	Idaho
1045	7/6/2017 23:10	No	No	No		Zach		Cda	ID
1046	7/6/2017 23:10	No	No	No	Huge overreach, do not support at all	Jonathan		Idaho falls	Idaho
1047	7/6/2017 23:10	No	No	No	This the most stupidest thing the fish and game has ever came up with. Whose dumb ass idea was this to ban trail cameras	Tony Stauffer		Pinedale	Wyoming
1048	7/6/2017 23:10	Yes	No	No		Jason chapple		Shelley	Idaho
1049	7/6/2017 23:10	Yes	Yes	Yes	It's cheating. And I consider it a possibility of an invasion of privacy and an intrusion of solitude. If by law we have to display notices of security cameras on private property then why not on public lands too? No cameras for the aid of hunting.	Lydia		Hailey	Idaho
1050	7/6/2017 23:11	Yes	Yes	Yes		Nancy Doyle		Island Park	Idaho
1051	7/6/2017 23:12	No	No	No		Jim Denison		Post Falls	ID
1052	7/6/2017 23:12	No	No	No		Bob Powell		Rigby	Idaho
1053	7/6/2017 23:13	No	No	No		Skyler Dabell		Idaho falls	Idaho
1054	7/6/2017 23:17	Neutral	No	No		William Gentry		Soda Springs	Idaho
1055	7/6/2017 23:17	No	No	No		Robert		Caldwell	IDAHO
1056	7/6/2017 23:17	No	No	No	It's seriously something that allows those of us with a busy schedule to be able to use out limited time to hunt a little more productively. Let's be real here, animals move around and those tools just help us know of good spots to hunt when we are out. We still have to hunt smart and hunt hard. Hunting numbers are on the decline, by outlawing these tools you will continue to lower those of us who love to hunt. This doesn't make sense to outlaw, please use good judgment before implementing such hard rules	Nate Blanchard		Idaho falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1057	7/6/2017 23:18	No	No	Neutral	Having trail cameras is a fun activity for my whole family my wife and kid get to go with me to check out where I go hunting and spend my time. Fun way to spend time before season and to catch some cool images	Jordan Olson		Deary	Idaho
1058	7/6/2017 23:18	Yes	Yes	No	I think it's a good idea to restrict the use of cameras for hunt. Cameras take away from fare chase. Also think you should restrict the use of underwater cameras for use when fishing. I have seen lots of people using them to catch steelhead and salmon	James Hutchison		Lewiston	Idaho
1059	7/6/2017 23:19	No	No	No	Dumb ideas. I hunt with kids. We use 2 way radios. I like to take video of my hunts on my smart phone. I use trail cameras on bear baits to try and harvest mature boars. Any of these rules would only hurt hunting. Why not look at outdated laws like our bullet selection for muzzleloaders. Or the use of lighted nocks. Things that actually help hunters ethically harvest and find animals?	Matthew Whaley		Coeur d'Alene	ID
1060	7/6/2017 23:19	No	No	No	I am opposed to changing the rule to restrict trail cameras. With the limited time most hunters have, this helps increase the chances of getting closer to game. Plus I enjoy seeing the animals in pics in their natural environment.	James Burton		Shelley	ID
1061	7/6/2017 23:19	No	No	No		Sheri Denison		Post Falls	Idaho
1062	7/6/2017 23:19	No	No	No		Mike Bartholomew		Boise	Idaho
1063	7/6/2017 23:20	No	No	No	This is a stupid proposal!!!! Trail cameras provide no aide to hunting big game. Radios and cell phones do not guarantee harvesting of game animals. Maybe the IDFG should review and place the current rules up comment rather than sit around and think of stupid things to try and regulate considering you can't/don't enforce most of the current rules.	Cody Muir		Soda Springs	Idaho
1064	7/6/2017 23:23	Yes	No	No		Jared		Ammon	Idaho
1065	7/6/2017 23:23	No	No	No		Bailey Watson		Lewiston	ID
1066	7/6/2017 23:23	No	No	Yes	Idaho needs to get with the times. We can't even put a GoPro on our bows to document our hunt. Wake up Idaho. I agree with limiting the realtime transmission, but all electronics on a bow? It does not help the hunter out at all but help document their hunt. This will actually help the state promote hunting success!!	Matt Dyche		Meridian	Idaho
1067	7/6/2017 23:24	Yes	No	No		Blair Jacobson		Irwin	Idaho
1068	7/6/2017 23:25	Yes	Yes	Yes		David Koch		Donnelly	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1069	7/6/2017 23:26	No	No	No	In my opinion it still takes a lot of work in the placement of cameras and devices. As for two way communication hunters have been using two way radios to communicate for years right? I think making it illegal to use your cellphone while hunting (which is what this essentially does) is potentially a safety issue. Hunters need to let others know where they are. If you send a pic or text message home and then shoot an animal it could be potentially illegal to take that animal. I don't think we should make rules like these unless the percentage of tags purchased to tags filled moves by leaps and bounds because of technology.	Jason Geiser		Newdale	Idaho
1070	7/6/2017 23:28	No	No	No	I disagree. Trail cameras should allowed. It doesn't guarantee that a hunter will harvest an animal. It only saves time in that they aren't putting pressure and traffic in an area that may or may not be productive	Charlie Jones		Rigby	Idaho
1071	7/6/2017 23:29	No	No	No	Do not restrict them	Austin barnes		Rexburg	ID
1072	7/6/2017 23:29	No	No	No		Clay DeClark		Pocatello	ID
1073	7/6/2017 23:29	Neutral	No	No		Danny smith		Chubbuck	Idaho
1074	7/6/2017 23:30	No	No	No		Jaclyn		Deary	Idaho
1075	7/6/2017 23:30	No	No	No		Allen Fraser		Lewiston	Idaho
1076	7/6/2017 23:30	Yes	No	Yes		Brad		Lewiston	Idaho
1077	7/6/2017 23:32	No	No	No	Do not take these tools away from hunters.	Jeremy Newman		Shelley	ID
1078	7/6/2017 23:33	Yes	Yes	No		Camron Monroe		Hailey	Idaho
1079	7/6/2017 23:33	No	No	Neutral	I am opposed to restricting the use of trail cameras.	Matthew Jutila		Moscow	Idaho
1080	7/6/2017 23:34	No	No	No		Jason Winegar		Tetonia	Idaho
1081	7/6/2017 23:35	No	No	No	Personally, I do not use any trail cams but I do know that they do not unfairly effect the outcome of a hunt. They are simply a	Jason Dlouhy		Orofino	Idaho
1082	7/6/2017 23:36	No	No	No	If a person is willing to go and hike to set up trail camera that should be their right to do so. Trail cameras give a hunter an idea of what kind of big game animals are in the area. Two way radios are helpful if an animal is wound and needs to be tracked.	Stuart Bitton		Grace	Idaho
1083	7/6/2017 23:36	No	No	No	Absolutely absurd to take this right away from hunters. No harm no foul in using electronic devices to educate one on hunting their game. I 100% object to this proposal and will be highly disappointing with Idaho Fish and Game if this is restrictiOn is	Hailey Greenrod		Pocatello	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1084	7/6/2017 23:38	No	No	No	This is a perfect example of the government over-regulating one more thing. Cameras and cell phones do not even approach an infringement on fair chase. Please quit spelling out every detail of how a person can hunt. Keep it simple and focus on the animals, not finding one more way to write a ticket.	Charles Hanson		Chester	Idaho
1085	7/6/2017 23:39	Neutral	No	No	I have used a game camera for at least 7 years and it hasn't helped me a bit. It did, however, let me know there was actually game out there and I didn't feel that I just wasted money on tags. By the average success rates, I would say they aren't providing a huge advantage to very many people anyway.	christopher boston		Rathdrum	Idaho
1086	7/6/2017 23:39	No	No	No		Mike		Blackfoot	Id
1087	7/6/2017 23:41	No	No	Neutral	Trail cameras are scouting tools. All they do is tell you what animals may or may not be in the area. They do not effect fare chase in any way. This is one of THE WORST proposals I've ever	Kristi Miller		Horseshoe bend	Idaho
1088	7/6/2017 23:41	No	No	No	Trail cameras help me to understand which animals currently live in an area I'd like to hunt. It helps determine age, gender and if they potentially have bore offspring. I hunt on my way to my camera and while checking my camera, which is not a short jaunt. I take the information I obtain to make an informed choice of which animals I may choose to harvest or not. I am a selective hunter and take pride in that. I feel like removing the ability to use or concurrently use cameras while hunting (on the same day), would push me to make a less informed choice.	Jake Capaul		CDA	Idaho
1089	7/6/2017 23:42	No	No	No	We are in a busy world where many of us have full and/or multiple jobs to survive in this economy. These trail cams are a tool to help us do what we love and hunt meat for our families. If they are taken away, it will harder to impossible for the working class to find the time to hunt. We can't take off work days like the upper class. How is that fair? I'm a school teacher who only have the weekends to hunt if i even get those with the household errands too. I need those trail cams so I can see how to use my time wisely and where to go. I need to hunt for the meat for my family	Rebecca La Course		Rexburg	ID
1090	7/6/2017 23:43	No	No	No		Travis jensen		Heyburn	Idaho
1091	7/6/2017 23:43	No	No	Neutral	This is a really bad idea. Trail cameras are the working mans only scouting tool in some cases. This Rule would hamper the average hunter. Bad idea	Cliff miller		Horseshoe Bend	Idaho
1092	7/6/2017 23:44	No	No	No		Blake		Clinton	Utah

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1093	7/6/2017 23:45	No	No	No	How about you guys just back off and let us hunt!!	Jay doe		Noname	Idaho
1094	7/6/2017 23:47	No	No	No	You are being influenced by anti hunting people. This is crap all the way	Corey hopkins		Soda springs	Idaho
1095	7/6/2017 23:47	No	No	No		Marrisa Siemon		Soda Springs	ID
1096	7/6/2017 23:48	No	No	No	Most of the people are using these as a fun way to enjoy hunting not in a harmful way. Using a camera is a great way and a fun way to see various wildlife in general hunting or not hunting, trail cameras are not an advantage to hunting what so ever, we need to look into people using drones and planes illegally before we really try to criticize trail cameras.	Andrew Mortenson		Twin fall	Idaho
1097	7/6/2017 23:48	No	No	No	This is poorly constructed law, and is terrible for the already over restricted hunting laws in place.	Nate		Chester	Idaho
1098	7/6/2017 23:49	No	No	No	I strongly believe that electronic devices can be used to help hunters with safety issues in hunting. Trail cameras show hunters some of the animals that are in an area and if some of the animals seen can raise a safety issue. I know hunters that use the devices to help determine if an animal is a mother with babies. Radios help with safety as well as other forms of communication. I strongly believe that these devices should remain legal because of the benefits they provide to hunters. It should be left up to the	Indy Pierce		Jerome	Idaho
1099	7/6/2017 23:51	No	No	No		Nicholas Cureton		Parker	Idaho
1100	7/6/2017 23:52	No	No	No		Richard carter		Buhl	Idaho
1101	7/6/2017 23:52	Yes	Yes	No	Yes, hunters talk about what they see & where they are at, but having communication with your fellow hunters is vital for safety. Even if you don't communicate in the field, you compare notes at camp or the truck & know where to go or not to go back to. If you get an animal down you want to get help getting it out as fast as possible. If you get lost or injured you need to get into contact with someone fast. Trail cameras are another matter. Not needed. Good hunters can read the natural signs in the area to know	Robert N Frantzich		Kamiah	Idaho
1102	7/6/2017 23:52	No	No	No		Natalie Stone		St. Anthony	Idaho
1103	7/6/2017 23:53	No	No	No		Ron		Downey	Idaho
1104	7/6/2017 23:53	No	No	No	Two way communication is a must for safety, and trail cameras are just good research. Due diligence wins the day.	Ernie Bauer		Priest River	Idaho
1105	7/6/2017 23:53	No	No	No	This law should not even be considered it's a bad way to head for sportsman	Josh Williams		Pocatello	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1106	7/6/2017 23:54	Yes	No	Yes	As a spot and stalk bow hunter I don't get much use out of trail cameras but I enjoy the pics if you can't tell what trails the elk are taking without pictures I guess that you don't know what you are doing anyway they are somewhat helpful in finding a mature bull or buck but other than that I see no real advantage animals feed drink and move when they want so it's pretty hard to pattern them off of a camera in my experience those satellite pics to the phone is just lazy	Nate summers	It's me	Idaho	Idaho
1107	7/6/2017 23:54	No	No	No	In our experiences it has aided us in not causing young bears to be orphans. For instance it allows us to identify if a sow has tung cus s with her so we don't shoot her. Even if the animal has been by your trail camera doesn't guarantee you will actually have the chance to harvest it.	Michelle		Post Falls	Id
1108	7/6/2017 23:55	No	No	No		Josh		Middleton	ID
1109	7/6/2017 23:55	Neutral	No	No	two way radios are what allow my hunting partners and I to stay safe and able to locate each other while hunting. Limiting the use of these devices while hunting could put hunters at risk who may get lost or injured.	Chris H		CDA	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1110	7/6/2017 23:56	No	No	Yes	The very way your posing your questions is leading and attempting to get a desired result. Don't patronize the public with loaded survey questions or your not looking for public input at all and your mind is made up already. You work for a state agency we are the people we allow governance by consent alone(that's right we can fire you you work for us) I can see something regarding 3G cameras and use for take but this is way way overboard. Your encroaching on the fourth amendment for a rule, a constitutional amendment however is a LAW don't even try it. If it can be proven that a hunter is using a trail cam with the ability to transmit for take that's a separate issue. The technology is out there to detect wifi and to intercept cellular signal. Yes some of us pay attention... Until such time as those technologies become more affordable to the fish and game. I would suggest you sit back and watch the show. I predict in the next few years with IR detection and both wifi and cellular intercept technology nobody's trail cam is going to be secure or sacred anyway and their popularity is going to be very very degraded. I feel like someone in the department had better do a little more research before dropping blanket proposals that are potentially violations of individual rights including the rights particularly for ranchers farmers and also miners who fall under the protection of both the constitution and the 1872 mining laws. Security is also a concern for logging and construction companies. This potential rule is unwanted unnecessary and will not serve as useful in the long run.	Jeremy Hartig		Pierce	Idaho
1111	7/6/2017 23:57	Neutral	No	No	Stop trying to restrict everything. Most ethical hunters in this s state use a trail cams of some sort to help identify game and non game animals in the area I'm which they are planning on hunting to see if its worth the hunt. I am a fair Chase hunter and rarely use a cam except for bear hunting or if I'm hunting in a new area, but I would like to have the option to use one on big game if I	Dustin woods		Lewiston	Idaho
1112	7/6/2017 23:58	No	No	No	We use handheld radios for coordination to keep track of myself and my children when hunting. They are teenagers and I don't want them to get lost. They are helpful for safety purposes. I have never had a handheld radio or phone aid in the actual taking of an	Justin Jeppesen		Idaho Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1113	7/6/2017 23:58	No	No	No	The guys out there putting up game cameras are the ones who truly dedicate themselves to hunting. The amount of time and dedication to pre season scouting and patterning to prepare for hunting season is what brings success in the field. I myself use game cameras and I know how much work I put in to hike in and set up to scout out a back country hunting spot.	Koda Adamson		Priest River	Idaho
1114	7/6/2017 23:58	Yes	No	No		Brandon		Idaho Falls	ID
1115	7/6/2017 23:59	No	No	No	If the intent is to restrict the use of electronic equipment such as game cameras during the hunting season due to an unfair advantage to game animals, tell me what makes this any different than sitting a mile plus away from the same trail with a spotting scope to achieve the same result. In my opinion the game camera gives the animal more opportunity to overcome the threat. It is very difficult to enter and exit the areas where cameras are placed without leaving scent, and/or creating an audible or visual disturbance which makes the animal very aware the threat of a human is near. There is no way the animal has the same opportunity with a visual aid such as a spotting scope, and/or a rifle scope capable of long distance visual assistance. IDFG had better identify what their objective is here or many that are in favor of this rule change could be opening the argument to then	Rick Roeder	Outdoorsma	Star	Idaho
1116	7/7/2017 0:06	No	No	No	I agree with restricting the use of cameras. I have hunted a area before that had cameras and every time I checked the cameras there were pictures of game but when I would hunt the area, nothing. Never harvested a animal that year. Cameras don't help you in any way to hunt and harvest a animal.They might let you know that animals are moving through the area dut you still have to be in the right place at the right time with the right conditions if you are going to harvest a animal. Cameras don't do that. Not only that but they help to educate you so you know what kind of animals are in the area and what kind of condition they are in. So when you hunt you know what to watch out for.	Kevin Landon		Shelley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1117	7/7/2017 0:07	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras do not guarantee a successful hunt. There is nothing more frustrating than hunting an area and not seeing any legal game (bucks, bulls, etc.). I think trail cams that do not transmit images wirelessly should be allowed. The hunter still has to spend the time and effort to check his camera. A trail cam just lets a hunter know that his area has potential.	David Arzola		Shelley	Idaho
1118	7/7/2017 0:08	Neutral	No	Neutral	Trail cameras are not a problem. Why not limit how far a person can shoot at an animal or how powerful their optics are? The point is that there are way more important "ethical" issues than trail cameras. My cameras only provide minimal, if any, advantage while hunting. The up side is that it gets families in the woods scouting and placing cameras. To our family it is like Christmas day when we go check the cameras. It allows us to scout and "hunt" year round with the camera. It is great fun!	Rex Summerfield		Weippe	Idaho
1119	7/7/2017 0:10	No	No	No	Two way communication should be limited to ensure the hunter doesn't get directed straight to an animal. They should still be allowed to check in, arrange a ride or for safety purposes.	Aaron Craythorn		Rexburg	Idaho
1120	7/7/2017 0:12	No	No	No		Ron Ohlenkamp		Rathdrum	ID
1121	7/7/2017 0:13	Yes	Yes	Yes	At what point do we stop? When is it no longer fair chase? Every year technology moves forward our game animals loose.	Neil anderson	None	Rexburg	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1122	7/7/2017 0:15	Yes	No	No	I support restricting trail cameras with wireless capabilities because I believe people will leave them up year round, only changing batteries when needed. Other issues I have include increased camera thefts, private property trespassing issues, ability to sell information on what area animals are being seen and on what date. It seems like it would make it fall in the same category as flying over animals and then landing and hunting. Instead, someone gets pictures/videos instantly, uses these patterns the animals are taking, showing up and hunting them same hour/day. Also this would only be possible for areas that have wireless signal strength, thus urban areas would benefit more than rural areas.	Joel Biggs		Caldwell	ID
1123	7/7/2017 0:18	No	No	No	I don't think there needs to be anymore restrictions on two communication. The rules that are already set are fair enough and if anything need to be enforced somehow. Also I don't support	Ryan Adams		Clarkston	Washington

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1124	7/7/2017 0:18	No	No	No	<p>The biggest point that I keep coming back to is that these proposals are unenforceable! What is the point of making laws if you can't enforce them? Are you telling me that private land owners can't hang trail cameras for "surveillance" during an open hunting season? And how will you know what day it sent a picture of an animal? Do you get access to my phone and pictures? Heck no you don't.</p> <p>Banning trail cameras would take away half the fun of hunting. Scouting for hunting season and getting pictures of animals and showing them to your friends and dreaming and plotting how your going to out smart that trophy is just as much fun as hunting them. And by the way if you get a real time photo of a deer at your tree stand, and your not in your tree stand, you're too late! They don't help you kill animals. They save you gas money so you don't have to drive up and get your SD card. I wonder if the individual who came up with this proposal has ever used one? I doubt it.</p> <p>And just how exactly do you plan on enforcing no 2 way radio or cell phone communication? I don't see how that's possible without violating people's privacy.</p> <p>God forbid people actually have fun hunting and having some success. It seems like the person or people who are pushing these issues are opposed to people actually enjoying the sport and finding success. Kinda seem like anti hunters.</p>	Hank Grover		Rexburg	ID
1125	7/7/2017 0:18	No	No	No	<p>As a lifetime Idaho resident and Hunter since I was 12 I seriously I'm not in favor of the new ruling. I don't see an issue with people using trail cameras. Using trail cameras is still an ethical way to hunt. You still have to find the animal and get close enough to make an ethical shot. For safety reasons I think it's important to have electronic communication with those you are hunting with so you are aware of where they are when you are going to shoot. These new regulations infringe upon the rights of hunters and would be nearly impossible to enforce. I'm in favor of leaving</p>	Riker Jacobson		Swan Valley	Idaho
1126	7/7/2017 0:19	Yes	No	No		Jessica lowe		Troy	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1127	7/7/2017 0:19	No	No	No	Useing a 2 way radio to make sure you don't get lost or injured should not be considered Illegal.	Sam		Rexburg	Idaho
1128	7/7/2017 0:21	No	No	No		Colter evans		Soda springs	Idaho
1129	7/7/2017 0:24	No	No	No	Two way communication in the back country can be vital for survival. No way For F & G to differentiate whether two ways are being used for survival or not. Very dumb idea.	Craig Gaudio	? I'm a hunt	Idaho Falls	ID
1130	7/7/2017 0:25	Yes	No	No		Mark Durfee		Ashton	ID
1131	7/7/2017 0:29	Neutral	No	Neutral	This will be quick an short.. If you can regulate wirelessly or real time cameras	Zach		Sandpoint	ID
					Id say go for it, I understand the problem there, but please don't take away our ability to scout by way of Trail cam all together. It is a very handy tool and we use them in many ways to learn about our hunt area and make better judgment calls about the animals in that area.				
1132	7/7/2017 0:29	No	No	No	This isn't the way to go. Game trail cameras help hunters and biologists in the aid of judging what is in the area and the potential it holds. Banning the use of two way radios is stupid. If someone is on one side of the mountain with his buddy on the other. The only way of communication would be via radio and if one gets lost or injured in some way. Then how can they call for help if the use of radios and other tech is banned. This situation needs to be reconsidered! My family does that late cow elk hunt and the distance between the elk and is usually 500 plus yards away. We need the radios to communicate when we split up and half looks for blood while the other status back to help the others	Dirk		Ucon	Idaho
1133	7/7/2017 0:30	No	No	Neutral		Magnum P.I.		Bone	Idaho
1134	7/7/2017 0:34	No	No	No		lance cordle		st maries	id
1135	7/7/2017 0:35	No	No	No	Similar to optics, trail cameras, aids a hunter in properly identifying game. Which in turn leads to more educated hunters, which is never a bad thing.	Gabriel Cirka		Juliaetta	Idaho
1136	7/7/2017 0:36	Neutral	No	Neutral	I support restrictions on two way communication while pursuing especially from a plane to someone on the ground which I see right by the Ashton reservoir every year	Kevin Jones		St. Anthony	Idaho
1137	7/7/2017 0:40	No	No	No		Dakota cross		Rathdrum	ID
1138	7/7/2017 0:54	Neutral	No	No		Amber		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1139	7/7/2017 0:58	No	No	No	Cameras are a great way to help see what is in the area and help have a more successful hunt. I know me and a lot of other hunters are still gonna use them. It seems to me just another nonsense way for F&G to get more money	Doug Davis		Post Falls	Idaho
1140	7/7/2017 0:58	No	No	Neutral	I think trail cameras are not all bad but you do have to hike and put them out. I do think radios can be good for some hunters.	Caleb Corrick		Coeur d Alene	Idaho
1141	7/7/2017 0:59	No	No	No	I find it absurd that Idaho is constantly regressing when it comes to hunting. Trail cameras are a vital tool that have become just as much of a tradition for hunters as actually pulling the trigger on an animal. My son and I look forward to running cameras every year and it has become an amazing bonding experience for us from July till December. Even when you have animals on camera the increase in success rates is probably miniscule if any at all. If you guys make them illegal I will just save my money and start going to the mid west for whitetail hunting 1 week a year.	Buddy Shaw		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1142	7/7/2017 1:02	No	No	No	It is a great source for hunters. In the past when our bear bait got hit and if I wasn't able to check the photos I would have sat on the bait just to find a grizzly bear. It potentially saved my life.	Wyatt Miller	Concerned	h Chester	Idaho
1143	7/7/2017 1:03	No	No	No	Please do not make these restrictions reality	Jason Smith	Hunter	Rexburg	Idaho
1144	7/7/2017 1:07	No	No	No	This is ridiculous. There are already so many restrictions, and restricting communication between groups is going to cause major problems. My uncle was killed years ago because of lack of communication while hunting. Please don't kill anyone else because they feel they can't risk using a cell phone or radio for fear of being prosecuted. Game cameras are not bad. Stop encroaching on my liberties.	Lanae Workman		Blackfoot	Idaho
1145	7/7/2017 1:08	No	No	No		Mike		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1146	7/7/2017 1:10	No	No	No	This is the worst rule change that has ever been thought about! The use of trail cameras allows for scouting during hunters busy lives. Never has there been any advantage directly related to taking a big game species with the use of trail cameras. Yes it allows for patterning the animals but you still have to put your time in to be able to harvest an animal. Restricting the use of trail cameras would be a detriment rather than help. The use of trail cameras while bear hunting is truly better for the sport. it gives the hunter an opportunity to size bears up, look for boars and mature bears rather than hunters shooting the first bear that walks in. Restricting the use of cameras would overall hurt all of	Jason Gray		Orofino	Idaho
1147	7/7/2017 1:12	No	No	No		Derek Blake		Salmon	Idaho
1148	7/7/2017 1:12	No	No	No	This is a ridiculous idea. Go back to school you should be ashamed of your self. You can't take away trail cameras and video devices.	Kale Wuthrich		Montpelier	Idaho
1149	7/7/2017 1:26	No	No	No		jordan law		rigby	idaho
1150	7/7/2017 1:31	No	No	No	Seriously?????	Kami		Elk River	Idaho
1151	7/7/2017 1:36	Neutral	No	No		Braeden		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
1152	7/7/2017 1:46	No	No	Yes		Dan Hoover		Caldwell	idaho
1153	7/7/2017 1:47	No	No	No		Felica Robinson		Soda springs	Idaho
1154	7/7/2017 1:49	No	No	No		david schaeffer		lewiston	idaho
1155	7/7/2017 1:53	No	No	No	Trail cameras help hunters determine what is in the area, it doesn't help a hunter figure out where an animal is at the time they are hunting! Restricting two way electronic communication is a safety risk! Two way communication helps hunters determine each other's locations in comparison to where an animal may be, and this would be a serious safety concern without this being able	Jocelyn Drake		Hauser	Idaho
1156	7/7/2017 2:04	No	No	No	Trail cameras don't help you take a animal. They allow you to select where you can better spend your limited time to try and harvest a mature animal to better manage the population of whatever animal you are trying to hunt.	Troy Farmer		Danville	Ohio
1157	7/7/2017 2:06	No	No	No	Very unintelligent too conclude a rule change as such. This keeps hunters educated to make the right choices in the field. Not a smart move in my opinion, not to mention it will be very difficult to regulate. Time and money could be put to use in many different areas that could use the extra aid.	Francisco Cantu		Twin Falls	Idaho
1158	7/7/2017 2:07	No	No	No		Jesse		Ammon	Idaho
1159	7/7/2017 2:11	No	No	No		Hunter Clark		Malad	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1160	7/7/2017 2:14	No	No	No	IDFG is already behind the times on several laws, almost all states allow game camera's, lighted nocks, and expandable broadheads, how about using this time to truthfully count game, give realistic herd expectations through actual study, more accurate wolf counts and totals statewide, does this law not according to the wording make it illegal to film your hunt? Take pictures of game during a hunt? Undoubtedly one of the most	Harley Sharrard	Avid hunter,	Lewiston	ID.
1161	7/7/2017 2:27	Yes	No	No	Hunters carry 2 way radios for safety. I believe it will become a problem when IDFG come across a hunter with a 2 way radio used for safety communication. It's a subject that is going to be difficult for officers to tell what the use of the radio is. Hunters should have the right to keep in contact with each other.	Dillion Paynter		Emmett	Idaho
1162	7/7/2017 2:32	No	No	No	Y'all are just trying to ruin hunting all together. What does a trail camera have to do with aiding hunting? It doesn't help you kill the animal all it does Is just helps you identify what's in the area, if a bear is a sow or a boar. It the area is worth hunting or not and if there are older game in the area so we can leave the young ones alone. Y'all are starting to get real not picky for no reason. Maybe you should worry about the wolf population instead of trail	Justin castro	Elk Addicts	Owyhee county	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1163	7/7/2017 2:41	No	No	No	I have used trail cameras for the last 10 years. I am an ethical sportsman and have never considered using them as having an advantage on the animal. I've never used the ones that transmit photos to my phone. I have, however, had a lot of fun checking my cameras for pictures that I enjoy getting- most times this much of my enjoyment while hunting. Perhaps the F&G should focus more on large fines for anyone caught stealing these type of cameras. I have had one stolen, had a few cards taken out of my cameras and not returned. The people that do this are not true sportsman and they are the ones cheating everyone. I work hard to scout, locate elk every year and have success in the field. I had someone ask me if I felt that trail cams make me a better hunter. My response to that was "no- but they give me a good idea of what is in the area or passing through". I have several thousand photos of deer, elk, moose, bear, lions, birds, cattle, sheep, people, and other animals. Please consider not banning trail cameras altogether- for this specific reason. You are not working with true sportsmen if you do not consider this. I work with a lot of sportsmen who feel the same way. Thank you for taking the time to read my comments. Feel free to contact me to	Travis	Dedicated Hunter	Soda Springs	Idaho
1164	7/7/2017 3:09	No	No	No		lee mccarley		lewiston	idaho
1165	7/7/2017 3:36	No	No	No	Trail cameras are just another way to enjoy gods creatures, and help hunters judge animal size	Kevin brown		Payette	Idaho
1166	7/7/2017 4:04	No	Yes	Yes	With respect to archery hunting big game in Idaho, any attempt to make it harder on the primitive weapon hunter is ridiculous. Please don't follow utahs record of catering to our of state rifle	Dan	Archery hunter	Bear lake	Id
1167	7/7/2017 4:07	Yes	No	No		Robert Davis		Pocatello	Idaho
1168	7/7/2017 4:35	Yes	No	No		Bryan Bosworth		Pocatello	Idaho
1169	7/7/2017 4:48	No	No	No	This is unnecessary there's no reason to put more rules on the hunt. It's confusing enough as is. Less government in our lives!	Ronnie beaman		Pocatello	Idaho
1170	7/7/2017 5:01	No	No	No	I have spent a lot of time and money in my trail cameras and you can not keep me from using them. This is the stupidest rule ever. Not only do i use them for scouting but I also enjoy looking at the pictures and seeing the growth of the animals. Please, please do not make this rule. You never listen to us before. So I hope you listen to us now. You guys already piss me off. Don't piss me off more with this gay rule.	Mark Stucki		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1171	7/7/2017 5:01	No	No	Neutral	Cameras are a tool. And they don't help my success rate that much. If anything it may make you stay a field longer because of that one pick. Two way communication if people are telling folks were game is going or coming that could be unfair. But as far as having those things in the field to communicate where you are for help and safety reasons you need them.	Sheamus Maloney		St paul	MN
1172	7/7/2017 5:11	No	No	No	Using trail cameras hasn't ever given me anymore of an advantage in hunting the game that I hunt. Maybe it is just the way I hunt but I personally like to see what animals are in the area and see if there are older animals that can be taken so that the young can prosper. I haven't had the time to hunt like I'd want to but the way I see it, I don't feel like there should be	Bo Jacobson		Rigby	Idaho
1173	7/7/2017 5:24	No	No	No		Jo Camden		Lewiston	Idaho
1174	7/7/2017 5:27	No	No	No		Chris seaward		Cda	Id
1175	7/7/2017 5:38	No	No	Yes		Greg Fine		Readfield	Maine
1176	7/7/2017 5:42	No	No	Neutral	Trail cameras are an invaluable tool used to get a closer look at animals to check their maturity gender and size	Robert Stephenson		Grand View	Idaho
1177	7/7/2017 5:52	No	No	No		Tenae		Iona	Idaho
1178	7/7/2017 5:57	Yes	No	No	Real time feeds should be restricted. The use of trail cams that are not live feed should not be. These cameras give hunters a way to monitor areas such as bait sites to determine if it has been disturbed by other hunters as well as to verify what animals are coming in to the site. It can also help to determine age and gender of an animal to determine if it can be hunted.	Jeremy		Twin falls	ID
1179	7/7/2017 5:58	Neutral	No	No	Rule G. Needs to be taken out. And rule h needs to be modified and have 2 way radios left out.	Blaise koep		Post falls	Idaho
1180	7/7/2017 6:00	Yes	No	Yes	Enough technology already, Fair chase needs to be promoted and get people off their ass and in the field to do the legwork it takes . Good job F&G !	mark phillips		rexburg	idaho
1181	7/7/2017 6:01	No	No	No		Mike		Rexburg	Idaho
1182	7/7/2017 6:02	No	No	No	You guys relish in making sure that every thing is not legal and try to be sure that hunters are unsuccessful so you can sell more tags to those that you beat out of getting game. Why don't you just leave it alone and let some people be able to fill their tags. You are dishonest and beating the people out of their money so you	Brent Andersen		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1183	7/7/2017 6:03	No	No	No	Game cameras help many hunters on aging animals and or gender on animals such as the bears as well as the numbers of animals in the area. Other electronic such as cell phones and two way radios help in locating other hunters in case of or to keep them out of any bad situations such as lost or injured.	Aaron Sheppuck		Pocatello	Idaho
1184	7/7/2017 6:05	Yes	No	No		Mike Nisson		Pocatello	Idaho
1185	7/7/2017 6:06	No	No	No		Mike Segal		Meridian	ID
1186	7/7/2017 6:06	No	No	No	It would be ridiculously idiotic to not allow use of two-way communication, for the simple common sense fact that people use such means of communication for safety in the field. Families use two way communication to prevent an individual from becoming lost or if they need help when they get an animal down. In regards to game cameras, I believe the only type of game camera that doesn't follow the notion of fair chase is the live-stream style. I believe all others forms of trail/game cameras should not be prohibited! If you people want to make rules, at least use common sense. For example, the use of lighted knocks would be common sense and aid in limiting the amount of wounded or wasted game. Lighted knocks will not cause people to hunt later and cause more animals to be wounded because they do not brighten the field of	Trevor	Hunter	Blackfoot	ID
1187	7/7/2017 6:06	No	No	No	I do not support any change or modification to this rule. I feel like there are a lot more pertinent rules and regulations that should be addressed by and with the Fish and Game Department first.	Teresa Soderling		Emmett	ID
1188	7/7/2017 6:07	No	No	No	This proposed rule is anti hunting! Trail Camera? Really IDFG?	Kevin Bunce		Post Falls	ID
1189	7/7/2017 6:09	No	No	No		Mike R. Brewster	Private citize	Grangeville	Idaho
1190	7/7/2017 6:13	No	No	Yes	I've used trail cameras for 13 years and have only killed 2 animals of the thousands I've seen on the camera. It's more of a hobby than it is and aid. I've been very successful as a bow hunter on whitetail and elk, but it's not because if a trailcam. I can tell if animals are using a trail or waterhole by fresh tracks and other signs, trail games just make it fun to know the size of those	Travis		Idaho falls	Id
1191	7/7/2017 6:14	Yes	No	Neutral		JoAnna Ashley		Soda Springs	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1192	7/7/2017 6:14	No	No	No	We need to vote out who ever is running idfg. Whoever it is has been regulating the hell out of idaho for the past few years. Soon release aids will be too much of an "aid" in hunting. Before to long we the hunters will start to push back. So we would appreciate if you could stop regulating out favorite past times and concentrate on game management and getting heard numbers up. Thats what our dollars are really supposed to be for, not restricting hunters and becoming more like other states. i.e. Utah	Mark		Boise	Id
1193	7/7/2017 6:15	No	No	No		Jeff Duncan		Rigby	Idaho
1194	7/7/2017 6:16	No	No	No		Walter Day	None	John Day	Oregon
1195	7/7/2017 6:19	No	No	No		Darin Bruce	Self	Meridian	Idaho
1196	7/7/2017 6:20	No	No	No	Stop over regulating everything we do in this state. Idaho is so backwards and behind the times it's ridiculous.	Kasidy Manhart		Blackfoot	ID
1197	7/7/2017 6:20	No	No	No		Jake Paynter		Caldwell	Idaho
1198	7/7/2017 6:24	No	No	No		Neil		Orofino	Idaho
1199	7/7/2017 6:24	Yes	No	Neutral	I understand the concern on trail cameras capable of transmitting and how it will create problems. But I've used regular trail camera for years and it helps with scouting. I don't think it's ever actually benefitted me in an actual kill. But the transmitting ones seem like it will take the pursuit out of hunting. I love using trail cams though and would not like to see them all banned. That being said I think the transmitting cameras could be used prior to hunting season as a scouting method but not once the season opens.	Jason wood		Saint anthony	Idaho
1200	7/7/2017 6:25	Yes	No	No		Mike kidman		Shelley	Idaho
1201	7/7/2017 6:25	Yes	Yes	Yes		aaron hatch		dayton	Idaho
1202	7/7/2017 6:30	No	No	No		Josh		Cda	Id
1203	7/7/2017 6:30	Yes	No	No		Steve Hammons		Middleton	Id
1204	7/7/2017 6:33	No	No	No		Heidi Sparrow	Female	Dayton	Idaho
1205	7/7/2017 6:35	No	No	No		Blaze Solomon		Inkom	Idaho
1206	7/7/2017 6:36	Yes	No	No		Jason Rhodes	Hunter	Idaho Falls	ID
1207	7/7/2017 6:36	Yes	No	No		Chanse burdess		Rigby	Idaho
1208	7/7/2017 6:38	No	No	No		Bryce Dolan		Plaincity	Utah
1209	7/7/2017 6:42	No	No	No	All of these forms of technology aid the hunter in effectively harvesting larger, more mature animals. Cameras allow the hunter to not only have an idea what a certain area is capable of, but also cams aid in the ethical harvest by identifying frequently used travel corridors.	Steven Hayes		Post Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State	
1210	7/7/2017 6:43	No	No	No	This makes no sense I have used cameras for years to see what's in the area To think you can see a picture and run to the camera and still find the animal there is unrealistic even if it's a real time photo. Most of Idaho has poor coverage in the back county anyway. There is no real advantage to the cameras other than just to see what's in the area	Shane Mowery		HSB	ID	
1211	7/7/2017 6:43	No	No	No		andy richardson	Citizen	Life time lience ho	Idaho	
1212	7/7/2017 6:46	Yes	Yes	Yes	We simply don't need any more restrictions. These fore mentioned electronics are simply a tool, please stop trying to make something else out of them.	John Rose	Idaho reside	Challis	Idaho	
1213	7/7/2017 6:46	No	No	No		kellen Ballard		Ashton	idaho	
1214	7/7/2017 6:46	No	No	No		Jeff Glauser		West Jordan	UT	
1215	7/7/2017 6:47	No	No	No		Michael Scruggs		Blackfoot	Idaho	
1216	7/7/2017 6:47	No	No	No		Tanner woods		Gresham	OR	
1217	7/7/2017 6:48	No	No	No	Let's get serious. These items are not just for aids to hunting. I don't see how a trail camera, or any other camera is going to aid the hunter. They can be a great learning and teaching device. They make us better hunters by showing the animals in the area. i.e. male or female, bears in the area, other animals not hunted, animals to be aware of, etc. They are also a great device to use in all seasons for pleasure, not just hunting.	Randy J. Stephens	none	Soda Springs	Idaho	
1218	7/7/2017 6:48	No	Yes	Yes		In the court of public opinion technology usage is the sportsman's hamstring. Please stay vigilant and restrict the usage of technology in the field	Rob Patuto		Sandpoint	Id.
1219	7/7/2017 6:50	No	No	No		The way I use trail cameras is to give me an idea of what animals are out there. That way I have a general idea. In all the years that I have used trail cameras I have never taken an animal that I took a picture of.	Dylan Mace		Chester	Idaho
1220	7/7/2017 6:53	No	No	No	I don't support being able to hunt an animal with a trail camera capable of sending images to a smart phone or computer that same day or the day following. How ever I do support being able to hunt an animal that was observed on a trail cam that was gone in and checked that day. I also don't support not being able to hunt an animal that you have taken a picture of through a phone or other device if you observed the animal during a hunt.	CLINT NELSON		idaho falls	IDAHO	
1221	7/7/2017 6:54	Yes	No	Yes		Eric Heywood		Bellevue	Idaho	

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1222	7/7/2017 6:54	No	No	No	Cameras and radios are extremely useful tools for hunters. If you've taken the time and used the money to set-up trail cameras to assist to identifying game for take their use should not be	Billy Bateman		Boidr	Idaho
1223	7/7/2017 6:56	Yes	No	No		evan I roberts		deary	idaho
1224	7/7/2017 6:57	No	No	No		Chad Cannon		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1225	7/7/2017 6:57	Yes	No	No	I do feel like a trail camera that can send photos wirelessly to your phone, comp,,tablet is not really hunting , but i am NOT against trail cams used to get info on a area that you have to physically go check it makes you put the work in collect the info you need to make a informed decisions on your hunt ,2 way radio i also believe are needed if one chooses just for safety if nothing else me and my hunting partner always have them and i feel it is used more for our safety than anything else people get turned around out in the bush or hurt i like to have contact just in case	Raygen sampson		Pocatello	Idaho
1226	7/7/2017 6:59	Yes	No	No	I am absolutely against banning non transmitting game cameras. I Joshua Grant am a non resident hunter that hunts Idaho regularly.			Lake Stevens	Washington
1227	7/7/2017 7:01	Yes	No	Yes	I believe that as a hunter that trail cameras are an aid on scouting an area to see the type of animals that are around. They are a very useful and fun tool. I do believe they shouldn't be able to transmit photos to your home. Two way communication is important. But I do not agree with people using it to put the stalk on an animal via txt or voice with radios or gps	Scott Hayes		Preston	ID
1228	7/7/2017 7:02	No	No	No	I would like to see the fish and game start managing the game and not the people. Also I would like to see lighted nocks for archery hunting allowed to help recovery efforts.	Garan Gallup		Shelley	idaho
1229	7/7/2017 7:03	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Aaron McDonnel		Maumelle,	AR
1230	7/7/2017 7:04	No	No	No	Idaho has more nit picky restrictions than any other state, this is absolutely ridiculous!! You people are making it so difficult for us to hunt! We do not need any more restrictions!!	Ben & Valerie Cowan		Rigby	Idaho
1231	7/7/2017 7:05	Yes	Yes	Neutral		James Sommers		Ririe	Idaho
1232	7/7/2017 7:06	Yes	No	No	You are being ridiculous we need less rules as it is. Idaho is turning into mini California if you don't stop!	Clint Rohrbacher	Hunter	Emmett	Idaho
1233	7/7/2017 7:07	No	No	No		Joshua Porter		Estacada	OR

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1234	7/7/2017 7:08	No	No	No	This will only help to drive away even more out of state money which will in turn lead to higher fees for residents. This state is already hurting badly enough from the decimation of our deer, elk, and moose from wolves. Idaho is already behind the times enough as it is in comparison to other states, I.E. expandable broadheads, lighted nicks, muzzleloader projectiles, etc.	Jason Price		Lewiston	ID
1235	7/7/2017 7:08	No	No	Neutral		Jim Hughes		Chubbuck	Idaho
1236	7/7/2017 7:09	No	No	No		Gerald F Haye Jr		Filer	Id
1237	7/7/2017 7:09	No	No	No		Dalan Lerwill		Declo	Idaho
1238	7/7/2017 7:11	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Matt Schuster	Professional	TUCKER	GA
1239	7/7/2017 7:12	No	No	No		cody clark		Eagle point	Oregon
1240	7/7/2017 7:15	No	No	No		Jason Sevy		Marsing	Idaho
1241	7/7/2017 7:15	No	No	No	I spend over \$1000 a year on tags. Countless dollars on fuel and other supply's in Idaho. I will stop if in anyway trail cams are ban during hunting seasons.	Robert Monroe		Port Hadlock	Washington
1242	7/7/2017 7:16	No	No	No		Wade Davis		Emmett	Idaho
1243	7/7/2017 7:18	No	No	No		Jared Atwood		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1244	7/7/2017 7:19	No	No	No	This is just absurd. Many of us use trail cameras to identify animals -determine if it's a sow with Cubs, help age animals, etc Hunting in Idaho can be difficult enough-but it seems restricting the use of trail cams would make it even more difficult. It just seems like an unnecessary regulation.	Brittany Davenport		Lewiston	Idaho
1245	7/7/2017 7:20	No	No	No		Dick Powell		Washington	KS
1246	7/7/2017 7:20	Yes	No	No		Jeremy Lloyd		Pocatello	ID
1247	7/7/2017 7:21	No	No	No		Justin		Pocatello	Idaho
1248	7/7/2017 7:21	Yes	No	No		andrew blaser		blackfoot	ID
1249	7/7/2017 7:22	No	No	No		Hannah		Idaho falls	Idaho
1250	7/7/2017 7:23	Neutral	No	No		Chris Crosby		Nephi	Utah
1251	7/7/2017 7:23	No	No	No	This country was built on freedom. These are unneeded and unwanted regulations that are unnecessary. Why add more regulations when we already have weighty regulations already in place. Stop clouding the waters and let people make their own decisions... people do make smart ethical choices, I'm one of	Brandon Larson		Garden Valley	Idaho
1252	7/7/2017 7:25	No	No	No		Zackery Hammond		PRESCOTT	Arizona
1253	7/7/2017 7:26	No	No	No	No rule necessary	Brian Crites		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1254	7/7/2017 7:27	No	No	No	That's a bit exstream. A cell phone everyone has one its 2017 now not 1994. Baiting of bears is legal up to 3 baits by law. Selective harvest of older bears is what you look for during bait season. Don't much use a two way radio but I can see them being a nusance in certain situations. My gps I just got has a radio in it. A phone skope recording goats in 39 to check the age class class of a animal. This makes no sense to me. You have a bigger problem with trespassing on closed roads and atv users illegally accessing closed areas, but yet no one is willing to get after that and write tickets. I don't think we as true conservationist actually even abuse the system. But when we watch problems in the field occur, it's a blind eye or a pass the buck to a different agency. Swept under the rug if you will. This	Jamie Lanningham		Nampa	Idaho
1255	7/7/2017 7:29	Yes	No	Yes	I believe that trail cameras with the ability to transmit pictures should only be used for scouting prior to the season. I do not believe that using them like a "trip wire" to located game is ethical	Corey		Sandy	Utah
1256	7/7/2017 7:30	No	No	No	I feel the IDFG keeps over stepping and not listening to the public of IDAHO. Out of state hunters continue to be catered to. I feel (and I could be wrong) that this new proposal is a result of out of state complaints. As a life long outdoors man that was born and raised in Idaho I feel let down by IDFG. In my opinion these devises aid in a way that causes less stress on the animals, by less harassment, being chased less and less time on the hunt for the hunter, If they are used responsibly.	Theron Thomas		Twin Falls	Idaho
1257	7/7/2017 7:30	Yes	No	No		Mike Burt		Rigby	Idaho
1258	7/7/2017 7:31	No	No	No	Over broad regulation with little affect on take. Creates more grey areas for F&G and hunter. Insane rule that over criminalizes sane behavior. 410 h is absolutely burdensome, violates any sense of reality in the world we live. What about drones, long range spotting scopes with video feed, satellite cameras? Perhaps you should require no talking while hunting and require loin clothes and strangulation with bare hands as the only method of capture.	Tyler Olson		Rigby weston	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1259	7/7/2017 7:32	Yes	Yes	Yes	To my way of thinking, who has hunted for 50+ years the old fashioned way (walk til you drop, get on that hill top before dawn) and tagged more often than not, the use of electronics demean the very essence of hunting meat for your table. It's not a fair ratio for the hunter that cant afford the electronics who really needs the meat, versus those can and are only hunting "rack" and leaves the meat. I say no to electronics!	Pat Oliveira	none	Idaho Falls	Idaho
1260	7/7/2017 7:34	No	No	No		ROSEMARY BROWN		Chester	Idaho
1261	7/7/2017 7:35	Yes	Yes	Yes		Patrick Cebuhar		Cuba	Illinois
1262	7/7/2017 7:38	Yes	No	Neutral		Josh Hale		Mackay	Idaho
1263	7/7/2017 7:38	Yes	No	No	A traditional trail camera that has to be visited to pull the SD card doesn't give a hunter any great advantage over game, whereas a camera with a live feed does. I am an avid camera user and collect thousands of pictures every summer to be able to assess what animals are using my families ranch and determine individuals that are mature that we may want to harvest once season opens. These aren't whitetail that develop routines, I may go a day or 2 weeks between sightings of certain bucks. In some cases, I see a buck once and never again. There is no point in restricting traditional cams. As for 2 way communication, you are setting hunters up to wind up in dangerous situations. If someone is afraid they'll be ticketed for having a radio, GPS or cell phone and gets lost and dies, that's purely on the state with this proposed legislation. Quit trying to	Blake		Kemmerer	WY
1264	7/7/2017 7:38	No	No	No		Christopher A Brown		Post Falls	Idaho
1265	7/7/2017 7:40	Yes	Yes	No		Stef		Rigby	Idaho
1266	7/7/2017 7:40	No	No	No	When me and my young son go hunting sometimes we split apart from each other and we use to a radios to communicate so he does not get lost while we were in the mountains hunting and as far as the wireless game cameras I do not know how the wireless game camera will benefit you in harvesting a bear and a bait site it would be impossible to get a picture via email and get to your bai site in time to actually harvest a bear and if you lived close enough that you could do so most likely you would not even have a wireless game camera because you would be up there every day	Steven Bidelman		Caldwell	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1267	7/7/2017 7:41	Yes	No	No	I have used a trail cam several times to aid in scouting it dose not tell you where the game is going to be every day at every second. The ones that give live up dates I can see banning but just a trail cam one has to go out and check then move if nothing is in that area... some of us don't have the time or money to spend weeks in the hills trying to scout and find the trophy buck or bull we all seek. And two way coms is a must have for me when I'm hunting with my friends or wife, safety is always first when we're in the hills and knowing every one is ok or if they need help can not be taken away from us. Two way radios provide us the comfort.	Gabe Jones	Hunter and Filer		ID
1268	7/7/2017 7:41	No	No	No	These changes are crazy. There are no other states in the country with rules that prohibit trail cameras like these rules would. I have always wanted to take a vacation to Idaho in the summer to set trail cameras and come back in the fall to hunt. These rules would restrict how I would be able to do that and I would be making a 1,300 mile trip each way for it.	Zach	Sportsmen	South Range	WI
1269	7/7/2017 7:42	No	No	No	Has a extensive study been done to show negative impact? This is how we learn about the animals we hunt so that we can be effective in our hunting and not cause undue strain on the animals. We have two days in the week to hunt, if we had to spend extra time, money, and gas to have to check cameras one day and hunt the next it would not make hunting worth hunting anymore. We depend on hunting big game to provide for our family. We have been living off big game for 17 years and can't afford to go buy beef! Don't take away our livelihood. F&g uses tags to control the population, leave the methods alone!	Kera Lloyd		Ammon	Idaho
1270	7/7/2017 7:42	No	No	No	I hunt archery most of the time I use my rail cameras to help me pattern game. As hunters if we can pattern game we can put our selves into position for better shots. Better shots mean less wounded animals getting away. Radios are a resource not only to help jeep hunters safe, but also help hunter work together after the shot has been taken.	Justin Gardner		Meridian.	Idaho
1271	7/7/2017 7:43	No	No	No		Wes Gamett		Arco	Idaho
1272	7/7/2017 7:43	No	No	No		Eli Lankford		Saint anthony	ID
1273	7/7/2017 7:44	No	No	No	This is taking things way too far. Cameras are an essential part of scouting game. They help determine size, gender, and habits of game. They are essential to bear hunting to help determine	Cameron	Idaho reside	Burley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1274	7/7/2017 7:44	No	No	No	The only thing that shouldn't be allowed is hunting with the aid of a drone the day of hunting.	Jon Heaton		Caldwell	Idaho
1275	7/7/2017 7:47	No	No	No		Josh Lehmann		SAINT ANTHONY	ID
1276	7/7/2017 7:48	No	No	No		James		Bend	Oregon
1277	7/7/2017 7:48	Yes	Yes	No		Joshua polito		Coeurdalene	Idaho
1278	7/7/2017 7:49	No	No	No	I think that if the state wants to cut back on the amount of game taken they need to cut back on the amount of out of state hunters that are allowed a tag. The residents of this state need to come first. If to many animals are being harvested cut back the tags not the rights of the hunters. Making it illegal to use a cell phone while hunting will cause all sorts of problems and opens the state up to lawsuits by someone who is hurt or a family member that dies because they weren't allowed a phone while hunting.	James J DeLong		Ammon	Idaho
1279	7/7/2017 7:49	No	No	No	I use radios to make sure I don't lose my son when we are	brett hyde		idaho falls	idaho
1280	7/7/2017 7:49	No	No	No		David Wieben		Rexburg	Idaho
1281	7/7/2017 7:50	No	No	No		Jake funderburg		Lewiston	Idaho
1282	7/7/2017 7:50	No	No	No	These are tools to help us determine things like are of animals in the area. Two way electronic communication nor trail cams have allowed me the advantave to harvest an animal.	Scott		Chubbuck	Idaho
1283	7/7/2017 7:51	No	No	Neutral		Jacob		Post falls	Idaho
1284	7/7/2017 7:52	No	No	No		Jennifer		Georgetown	Idaho
1285	7/7/2017 7:54	No	No	No	As a lifetime hunting and fishing license holder, I do not support adding the restrictions mentioned. My main concern is my hunting partners and I use radios and sometimes cell phones to communicate for safety and hunting plans when out in the woods, especially when one party has a physical disability. These added restrictions only add to the confusion of when I'm in violation. For example, if my party is spread out and we see big game move into a draw, and I radio my partner on the other side stay out of the draw so I know where she is when I go after the game, could that be construed as in violation? There are a lot of different scenarios I could possibly, but I feel like these rule changes will	Daniel Vetter		Idaho Falls	ID
1286	7/7/2017 7:55	Yes	Yes	No	A person should be allowed to have two way radios and cell phones with them it is a safety issue sometimes family needs to get ahold of you	James A. Nasados		Orofino	Idaho
1287	7/7/2017 7:55	No	No	No		Jared anderson		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1288	7/7/2017 7:55	No	No	No	How do you manage this law? Whats next binoculars? Or my truck? Or my bow? The fish and game manage the needs of the animals and their seasons only. They are worried that i get to enjoy seeing whats out there, but they can open a season in December when the snow is clear to their bellies, or chase them with helicopters from one mountain range to another in their critical time of the year. This is a waste of money and peoples time. Ask the law enforcement officers how they feel!!	Chet Hopkins		Caribou Fielc Soda Springs	Idaho
1289	7/7/2017 7:55	No	No	No	Where do we stop, it's like the illuminated nocks issue. I wish we could use them, it doesn't make me a better shot, or give me night vision. It let me find my arrow easier and read the signs of a fatal or botched shot. Whether I wait 20 minutes, or overnight. I have had at one point point 6 cameras out, had three stolen, and it let me know whether or not to even hunt an area or if it has predator issues that need addressed. It a plethora of knowledge not only for hunter but for fish and game too. When I have pictures of huge healthy herds, or pictures of 4 wolves where there are not supposed to be any. Camera paint a picture that is crucial to the understanding of our local ecosystems. Let them be.	Zachary Neu		City of sandj Sagle	Idaho
1290	7/7/2017 7:56	Yes	No	Yes		Gregory Szalewski		Menomonee Falls	WI
1291	7/7/2017 7:58	No	No	No	I am a father who only hunts weekends with my 13 year old child. I have a radio on him at all times in case we ever split up. Without radios I would not feel comfortable with him being alone in his treestand. I also can only check my cameras Friday after work when I am in the field otherwise my office is significantly farther away from them and I would not have time to check them before being home in a timely manner. I check them fridays and Saturday I can hunt what I see. I am an ethical hunter who wants to teach my son how to hunt and only take old animals in order for the other generations to thrive. I count on my trail cameras to figure out which animals are the oldest and what thier sex is in order to harvest it. This proposition is absolutly rediculous.	Joe Nigro	Citizen	Salmon	Idaho
1292	7/7/2017 7:58	No	No	No	These devices could also be used for safety purposes if someone got lost or injured	Robert E Friel		Hagerman	Idaho
1293	7/7/2017 8:00	No	No	No	This has very little reasoning and I fear that interpretations could be used to further antagonize law abiding citizens. I am with the majority of the hunting community who does not support this at	Conner burnham		Salmon	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1294	7/7/2017 8:01	No	No	No		Stephanie		Sandpoint	ID
1295	7/7/2017 8:02	No	No	No	This is a terrible proposal. All it will do is turn more people into criminals. Not being able to hunt the same day or day after an image was taken? What if an animal passes by my camera before I get a chance at a shot? Am I just supposed to say oops I guess I have to pass now because he walked by my camera. This is ridiculous. Hunting is not easy and never will be. All this will do is drive more hunters to break the law and we will have fewer sportsmen and women going afield. It seems like the IDFG is trying to make it so you can't even think about hunting without	Joshua Lehmann		SAINT ANTHONY	ID
1296	7/7/2017 8:02	No	No	No		Bryan Hull		Preston	Idaho
1297	7/7/2017 8:03	No	No	No	Trail cameras allow us to judge the animals in the area for age and size. If you hike in 2 or 3 miles to check your camera and then can't hunt till 2 days later is ridiculous. I have just started using a camera to hunt elk. I put up my camera a week before season opening and check off and on during the season. DON'T RESTRICT THE USE OF THEM.	Nick D. Mayes	NONE	Just Homedale	ID
1298	7/7/2017 8:03	No	No	No		Clinton Smith		Greenleaf	ID
1299	7/7/2017 8:04	No	No	No	There is nothing negative that comes from the use of electronic devices. It still takes skill & dedication in order to complete your hunt with a kill. I do not feel as if using these devices makes it any easier for you, it only adds to the thrill of knowing what's truly out there. Also- especially with bears- I feel it has added to the safety and the ability for the younger ones to have the chance to continue to grow. If we are able to see on the cameras that no large, mature animals are coming into that area, we do not waste the time sitting in the stands day after day, resulting in a smaller kill once patience is lost towards the end of the season. Most hunters will	Emmaline Larson		Rexburg	Idaho
1300	7/7/2017 8:04	No	No	No	Informing yourself of where the animals were before you got there does not make it unfair. I use game cameras for a lot of different reasons but it has not increased the amount of game I have harvested.	Patrick		Coeur d Alene	Idaho
1301	7/7/2017 8:05	Neutral	No	No		Bethany		Post Falls	Idaho
1302	7/7/2017 8:06	Neutral	No	Yes		Kevin wells		Blackfoot	Idaho
1303	7/7/2017 8:06	Yes	No	Yes	I believe a 2 way radio should be allowed for the safety of the hunter not as a hunting aid.	Levi	Idaho state	Idaho falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1304	7/7/2017 8:09	Yes	Yes	Neutral	I am old school, you get up at dark head to your hunting spot and hope they come in. Trail cameras are NOT hunting. I do not support them. My husband and I use radios to ,communicate where we are with each of our boys..but we don't use them to hunt. I will always need a radio while hunting in case something happens and we get separated.	Theresa Atkinson		Preston	Idaho
1305	7/7/2017 8:09	No	No	No		Kyle rusho		Post falls	Idaho
1306	7/7/2017 8:10	Yes	Yes	Yes		david stanley		Blackfoot	Idaho
1307	7/7/2017 8:10	No	No	No	Technology can be used for good or bad. Don't punish the good because of a couple bad apples.	Ryan Laird		Rexburg	Idaho
1308	7/7/2017 8:12	Yes	No	No	I think technology can be a wonderful aid to the art of hunting. While it is fun to go old school and get up and personal with a bow, sometimes conditions don't permit a full week off from work to track and hunt an animal worth harvesting. Trail cameras and other such items can help with this. While I agree that transmitting images would be unfair, I think it quite fair that if someone has a camera and sees that an animal worth harvesting passed by 3 minutes before he did, he then finds and harvests	David Wilson	None	Rexburg	Idaho
1309	7/7/2017 8:13	Yes	Yes	Yes	As a non resident elk hunter who looks forward to me trip to Idaho every fall I fully support restricting all electronic aids. Elk hunting should be hard, trying to make it easier defeats the	Adam Neff		East Wenatchee	Washington
1310	7/7/2017 8:13	Yes	No	Yes		Allan Johnson		Grace	Idaho
1311	7/7/2017 8:13	No	No	No		Christopher Wilson		kuna	Idaho
1312	7/7/2017 8:15	Yes	No	Yes	I am ok with the use of trail or game cameras that the images need to be downloaded manually from the camera. However I would like to see a rule restricting the use of any trail or game camera during and the week before season.	Nathan Frisby		St Anthony	Idaho
1313	7/7/2017 8:15	No	No	No		Trevor Smuin		Rexburg	ID
1314	7/7/2017 8:15	Yes	No	Neutral		Jackson Clark		Rexburg	IDAHO
1315	7/7/2017 8:16	No	No	Yes		Greg Fagan		Mountain Home	ID
1316	7/7/2017 8:16	No	No	No		Sean R Moulton		Tetonia	Idaho
1317	7/7/2017 8:17	No	No	No		Wade W Egan		Pocatello	Idaho
1318	7/7/2017 8:18	No	No	No		Austin George		Aberdeen	ID
1319	7/7/2017 8:19	No	No	No		Jonathan fleming		Iona	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1320	7/7/2017 8:19	Neutral	No	No	Trail cameras do not help you make a clean shot and they in no way aid in your arrow placement. If anything should be restricted I could see the remote trail cameras. But to take trail cameras away in whole is not going to make hunting any better. Same with 2 way radios. I personally use them to locate family/friends while in the field to prevent any accidents. If we take away all the hunters tools to protect themselves, what good are we doing. While on the subject, lighted nocks do not help the hunter they could instead help find a wounded animal or save the hunter 20+ dollars. If we keep taking away then you will lose the hunters in general. By having the rule of not hunting before or after checking a camera, we are wasting money on fuel that could be	Kim Johnson	South Fork / Rigby		ID
1321	7/7/2017 8:20	Yes	No	No		Stewart Kuespert		Hayden	ID
1322	7/7/2017 8:23	Neutral	No	No	As someone who is in wilderness most of the time cameras are a great tool for us to see what sex ,size and age of game is in the area or using your bait stations etc. There is no cell service and no roads to use a truck to get around so checking your camera's can tell you what is in the area and if its worth taking a client to that particular bait or area or not. Camera's can also be used to identify wolves and pack size to help with the department in managing wolf populations. I'm against supporting the restriction of the use of trail camera's. As far as restricting the use of two way communications go I'm against this proposal as well. Many of us who operate in wilderness or remote locations have no cell service or ways to communicate with other camps or the outside world for supply's or moving animals or supply's around or just for getting dropped off or getting picked up on certain times or certain days depending on if they harvested or not. This ruling would impact the outfitting world the most for the safety of employee's, clients and there stock. No communication allowed is not acceptable and a nightmare for a CO to handle. Communication in front range via cell phones and such is virtually impossible to track or control and could be a nightmare for again CO's to administer or convict anyone of this. I'm 100% against supporting this ruling especially in wilderness or remote locations in fear of health, safety and welfare of outfitted or non outfitted clients who use this great country in Idaho. Don't make Idaho like	Dave Melton	Bighorn Outf	Carmen	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1323	7/7/2017 8:23	No	No	No		Travis		Nampa	Idaho
1324	7/7/2017 8:23	Neutral	No	Neutral	We have been regulated almost out of hunting. Why not put more effort into access of hunting areas that have been. Closed off.	Lane Owens		St. Anthony	ID
1325	7/7/2017 8:23	No	No	No		Brandon Jackson		Saint Anthony	Idaho
1326	7/7/2017 8:24	No	No	No		Ken troutt		Emmett	Idaho
1327	7/7/2017 8:24	No	No	Neutral		Sally		driggs	Idaho
1328	7/7/2017 8:24	Yes	Yes	Yes		Lawrence C Ford	None	Chubbuck	ID
1329	7/7/2017 8:24	Neutral	No	No	I feel that if your gong to put up a trail cam to scout an area that is ok and fine. I myself love to hunt but due to my full time job and owning property with livestock I also have a 5 year old son, because of this I don't get to go scouting or hunting as much as some guys. So the days I do have are few very few and so for guys like me and normal guys then yes trail cams are a great tool. I however think that the companies that are for hire guide services who are out all the time should maybe not have a thousand cameras out streaming video and picture to their smart phones but that opinion is only in regards to guides. As for two way communication I feel that it is stupid to put restrictions on or ban this. As for me and my friends we use two way radios to communicate with each other our locations and when we do spot big game we let each other know where that animal is so everyone knows where the shot is going. I feel radios are safety	Ken		Athol	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1330	7/7/2017 8:29	No	Yes	Neutral	Restricting trail cams for hunting makes sense. It gives the hunter an unfair advantage against the animal. If we want to know what's in an area, we can just as easily go scout the area. People have been hunting successfully for years without the use of fancy electronics, they certainly can continue to do so. I do think there is merit for the use of trail cameras in research. Perhaps the solution to this would be to sell research permits and require that the cameras be registered with the F&G. This would allow the game wardens to determine if the camera is legally being used. Obviously, this idea would need to be expanded upon to work out all of the possible logistics. I am neutral regarding two-way communication because I feel as it could be a safety life-line as well. Yes, hunters could communicate the location of animals, but is that really a bad thing? You still have to get to them and make the shot. However, if there were an accident in the wilderness that line of communication could save someone's life. As such, I think I could	Tamara Salazar		Pocatello	Idaho
1331	7/7/2017 8:30	No	No	No	I feel that electronics do not give that much of an advantage to hunting. They have to have a tag so it's not like more animals are killed because of electronics. Stop over regulating.	Jill Buxton		Moore	Idaho
1332	7/7/2017 8:30	Yes	No	No		Harvey son		Rupert	Idaho
1333	7/7/2017 8:31	No	No	Yes		Tyron Brown		Rigby	Idaho
1334	7/7/2017 8:31	No	No	No		Jon aikin		Pocatello	Idaho
1335	7/7/2017 8:32	No	No	No	Restricting two way communication (i.e. Walkie talkies and phones) creates an unnecessary risk and feels arbitrary. These are tools that make us safer because we're able to communicate. They don't present any more of an unfair advantage than a rifle. Restricting two way radios will surely end up on the news as the reason why someone in serious medical need died....because they couldn't radio for help.	Syon Elijah	Hunter	Idaho falls	ID
1336	7/7/2017 8:34	No	No	No	Restricting two way radios will surely end up on the news as the reason why someone in serious medical need died....because they couldn't radio for help.	Wendy Lindstrom	None	Garden Valley	ID
1337	7/7/2017 8:36	No	No	No	Trail cameras help to age game. Understand the population dynamics, and tell if an animal is male or female. These help hunters who own private land better balance their herds.	Jacob		Midland	MIchigan

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1338	7/7/2017 8:37	Yes	Yes	Yes	These devices are functionally no different from use of aircraft to spot game, which is prohibited. None of this technology is consistent with the "fair chase" aspect of hunting.	Michael Foley		Cottonwood	Idaho
1339	7/7/2017 8:37	Yes	No	No		Braydon Smith		Preston	ID
1340	7/7/2017 8:37	Yes	Yes	No		KC Lloyd		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1341	7/7/2017 8:38	No	No	Neutral		Troy Pottenger		Coeur D Alene	Idaho
1342	7/7/2017 8:38	Yes	No	Yes		Jason Leavitt	Hunter in Id	Lewiston	Idaho
1343	7/7/2017 8:39	No	No	No	Leave it alone it doesn't make it any easier to hunt by using a trail cam	Bart and Vickie Sorg		Shelley	Idaho
1344	7/7/2017 8:39	Yes	No	No		James dufur		Idaho falls	Idaho
1345	7/7/2017 8:40	Neutral	No	Neutral		Joe Kaul		Blackfoot	Idaho
1346	7/7/2017 8:40	No	No	No		Dawn Paynter		Caldwell	Idaho
1347	7/7/2017 8:41	No	No	No	Leave us alone why do you constantly want to make hunting into a punishment	Bruce Anderson		Rigby	Idaho
1348	7/7/2017 8:41	No	No	No		Paul Nigbur		Ammon	Idaho
1349	7/7/2017 8:42	No	No	No		Jordan White		Hayden	Idaho
1350	7/7/2017 8:42	Yes	No	No		Keshoneschneider		Blackfoot	Idaho
1351	7/7/2017 8:42	No	No	No		Mike		Ashton	Idaho
1352	7/7/2017 8:43	No	No	No	Two way communication is an essential tool in keeping myself and my children safe during hunting season.	Nate davenport		Post falls	Id
1353	7/7/2017 8:44	No	No	No	This is one of the worst ideas. We no longer live in the 1900s. Who proposed this? I am tired of fish and game only listen to those with deep pockets.	Nick torman		Ammon	Idaho
1354	7/7/2017 8:47	No	No	No		Levi Andrews	Sportsman	Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
1355	7/7/2017 8:48	No	No	Neutral		Kip lyon		Blackfoot	Idaho
1356	7/7/2017 8:48	No	No	No		Jake Peterson	Hunter	Grace	Idaho
1357	7/7/2017 8:48	No	No	No		Jordan		Idaho falls	Idaho
1358	7/7/2017 8:50	No	No	No		Daren terry		Terretton	Idaho
1359	7/7/2017 8:51	No	No	No		Luke Meade		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1360	7/7/2017 8:53	No	No	No	Using game camera allow a hunter to harvest a particular animal. It helps to narrow down your hunt to the best animal, and cuts down on people just shooting the first one they find. By taking the larger, older prize buck, younger ones may not be harvested, allowing the gene pool to spread.	Susan Schroeder	None	Rathdrum	ID
1361	7/7/2017 8:54	Yes	No	Yes	Two way radios are essential for SAFETY. Hunters need to be able to communicate location and shot direction information to eachother. As cell reception is often minimal, they are also utilized in case of a lost group member, as hunting alone is a bad idea.	Rusty Hazen		Boise	Idaho
1362	7/7/2017 8:55	No	No	No		Mary		Shelley	ID
1363	7/7/2017 8:55	No	No	No		Adam Freeman		Caldwell	Idaho
1364	7/7/2017 8:55	No	No	No	I will not hunt idaho ever again if they aid in the loss off hunter rights . As a out of stater I have less time to scout as a resident but pay 6 times as much .	Charles		Spokane	Wa
1365	7/7/2017 8:56	Yes	No	Neutral	My vote would be that the use of trail cameras is acceptable in any time frame. You are making it illegal to go check a camera with a rifle or bow on your person. That is WRONG. Transmitting cameras I think is ok to restrict because someone could be at home sitting around then get the message from the camera and go after the animal they never knew was there. Two way radios are a nice aid for hunters to coordinate where they are. This could be a safety issue with the potential of IDFG being responsible for people being injured that are after an animal. Coordination may give them a slight unfair advantage but is it	Isaiah Grose	RMEF Hunter	Arco	ID
1366	7/7/2017 8:57	No	No	No		Tyler Weddle		Rexburg	Idaho
1367	7/7/2017 8:58	No	No	No		John Tolbert		Twin Falls	Idaho
1368	7/7/2017 8:59	No	No	No	Cameras don't help you kill an animal. They only let you know if animals are in the area. From there you still have to locate and harvest. The camera doesn't kill the animal or even locate the animal it just tells you if any animals walked past the camera.	Blaine Tanner		Menan	Idaho
1369	7/7/2017 8:59	No	No	No		Cedar Amy		Clinton	Utah
1370	7/7/2017 9:00	No	No	No	I do not support this change at all cameras are made to educate us so that we were better informed to make good decisions and more ethical decisions while hunting	Tony Romero		Pocatello	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1371	7/7/2017 9:00	Yes	No	No	Radio communication has been around forever and I do not think it has led to population decrease. I believe you would have issues with lost people or injured people not being able to contact each other if they can't use radios. I do however think that cameras that send photographs to smart devices would take away from	Brady Henderson		Peston	Idaho
1372	7/7/2017 9:00	Yes	No	No	You've already taken away 3/4 of the roads people used to be able to run ATVs on. Two way communication devices obviously include radios. With limited access to areas in and out of canyons it honestly becomes a safety device. Everyone is going to use cameras differently as well as radios. I do not agree with trail cams uploading pictures wirelessly from a remote location but I do	Scot Packard		Ammon	Idaho
1373	7/7/2017 9:00	No	No	No	The use of trail cameras is not an aid to hunting. It's a good educational tool. Trail cameras are generally used to "Judge" an animal. They help us determine if a bear is a sow or boar and help you age the animal. I personally (along with many others) try to take older game and let the young ones grow.	Tyson Hopkins		Chubbuck	Idaho
1374	7/7/2017 9:01	No	No	No	I truly understand the theory behind this. But statistically how many big game animals were actually harvested due to this technology? Fish and game should focus more time and energy into making sure people are obeying the laws already in place rather than pursuing something outrageous like this. Catch the unlawful hunters going where they aren't supposed to be going on four wheelers and shooting from their vehicles....	Alex		Rigby	Idaho
1375	7/7/2017 9:01	No	No	No		Randolph Moody		Rexburg	Idaho
1376	7/7/2017 9:03	No	No	No		BRETT		Hayden	ID
1377	7/7/2017 9:04	No	No	No		Dustie Paynter		Wilder	Idaho
1378	7/7/2017 9:05	No	No	No	Trail cams enable hunters to see mature and juvenile animals and allow a hunter to pass on an animal he/she might have taken if they didn't know about a more mature animal.	Cody Leifheit	Concerned h	Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1379	7/7/2017 9:05	No	No	No	Not being able to hunt for two days after checking a trail camera seems a bit excessive to me. I do support rules that restrict the use of drones in the aid of hunting big game, but trail cameras are an aid in scouting. Is scouting the day before your hunt opens a violation of fair chase? The way this rule is written is way too broad. It seems IDFG is concerned about the cameras that transmit directly to a smart phone or email address. If that's the case add the language about transmitting trail cameras., but the way it is written is like you chop of the leg to save the pinky toe. Another example of anti-hunters trying to slowly limit the way we	Chris Street		Shelley	ID
1380	7/7/2017 9:06	No	No	No	Restricting 2 way communication could be seen as a safety	Justin Ruehl		Caldwell	Idaho
1381	7/7/2017 9:07	Yes	Yes	No	I understand the need to regulate trail cams. The way I see it, it is no different than spothing animals from the air. However regulating the use of two way communication is something I do not support. Many times my family and I have relied on two FMRS radios to locate lost members of our hunting party. We use the communication to let others know when we have game down and need help. We use the radios when we have placed a Lee's than perfect shot on an animal and are trying to follow a blood trail. For our young hunters the radio is a sense of security when they are in the woods, they know someone is only a call away. Unlike like trail cams that require no input other than physically hanging it on a tree. Two way radios require sportsmen to be in the field. I believe they are one of the few devices that have made	Ben Nelson	Sportsman	Shelley	Idaho
1382	7/7/2017 9:10	Neutral	No	Yes		Chase case	Idaho Hunte	Shelley	Idaho
1383	7/7/2017 9:11	Yes	No	No		Trey I		Chubbuck	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1384	7/7/2017 9:11	No	No	No	So what restrictions will be next next for the Idaho Fish and Game if these rules are allowed to be implemented? How about not allowing range finders to be used if the field or maybe not allowing any type of optics such as spotting scopes or binoculars to be used on the same day you plan to hunt, maybe restrict rifles to a maximum of a 243 caliber for any big game animals. The list of absurd restrictions could go on and on. The fact of the matter is the hunter still has to be in the field to be able to take the game. Just because you check your camera and see that you have a picture of the game animal you are hunting doesn't mean anything except that it was there at some point in time. If you are not out there when the picture is being taken you are to late to harvest the animal anyways. Its not like they are going to see the flash and go into a trans and stay there until someone can get out there to shoot them. Yes trail cameras, phone scopes, and some other technology do aid in the scouting of game animals, however they are not the ones that pull the trigger. If you want to talk about fair chase and ethics maybe you should look into long range shooting with rifles out to 1000 or more yards or muzzle loaders that are now capable of shooting 500 or more yards (how is this still considered a short range weapon). The next issue is how exactly do you enforce such a stupid restriction? The Fish and Game is always complaining about the lack of funds and resources that they have, so I know that they are not going to be out walking through the woods looking for trail cameras and then sitting and waiting for someone to come and check their camera to make sure they don't hunt that day or the next. The only thing that restrictions like this do is force the law abiding hunter to be even more concerned and restricted. While Two way communication can be crucial for peoples safety	Jared Wilding		Rigby	Idaho
1385	7/7/2017 9:12	No	No	No		Brett Paynter		Wilder	Idaho
1386	7/7/2017 9:14	No	No	No		William Jones		Blackfoot	Idaho
1387	7/7/2017 9:14	No	No	No		Neva Scott		Middleton	Idaho
1388	7/7/2017 9:17	No	No	No		Jake Robbins		Payette	Idaho
1389	7/7/2017 9:18	No	No	No		Abbie		Kamiah	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1390	7/7/2017 9:18	No	No	No	I feel that this is a bad decision for the state of Idaho. The reason I feel this way is trail cams help you be ethical. I can just what kind of trophy potential there is in a area based on my camera photos. It is really not possible to receive a picture of a animal and get loaded up drive up the canyon and get there before that animal is gone. I have baited bears for years and still not harvested a bear even with multiple trail cams in use. They are a way to monitor what is going on in the field because we can't live on the mountain 24 7. As far as recording devices such as phone skopes how is that in anyway a unfair advantage? If I have my shot recorded it aids in finding and retrieving wounded game. As I recall in hunters ed you want us to put forth maximum effort to retrieve game. Please don't take away tools to do so. I can rewind watch the impact of a shot or a animals reaction to plan what the next step we need to take to retrieve the animal. How can you monitor these actions as well I personally do not want someone going through my phone every time I see a officer that is a violation to my rights and a violation to the constitution. I have hunted many states not just Idaho. I have yet to see rules like this else where. I feel this is doing a giant disservice to the sport we love. Why is this relevant how does this prevent poaching or how does this give a hunter a unfair advantage? It levels the playing field for the average hunter that has a job and a home life. It allows us the opportunity to put in the time and effort to find those mature animals please consider this.	Justin Winger	Hunter	Rigby	Idaho
1391	7/7/2017 9:18	Yes	No	Yes	Respectfully	CR		Lewiston	ID
1392	7/7/2017 9:19	No	No	No	Using two way radios is a way to communicate with others as to where you are in the mountains so others can shoot safely. Using trail cameras let's you know what is in the area you are hunting in, i.e. bears, wolves, etc.	Meagan		Lapwai	Idaho
1393	7/7/2017 9:19	No	No	No		dan		idahofalls	id
1394	7/7/2017 9:19	No	No	No	This devices are a part of our everyday life. Why restrict the use of them. This rule is backwards thinking	Cary Cheshire		Casper	Wyoming

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmitting
pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communications in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1395	7/7/2017 9:20	Neutral	Neutral	No	The fact that you are even considering banning things like radios to communicate while hunting shows why you are failing at properly managing the wild life in this state. Banning the use of things like radios to communicate with the people you are hunting with would greatly decrease the safety of hunting. The ability to know where people are in relation to you and possible potential directions where you may be firing a very deadly weapon is one of the best assets a hunter has to keep him and the people hunting around him safe. Also what are you going to do, start searching and ticketing every hunter who is carrying their cell phone...	Peter Ritchie		Idaho Falls	ID
1396	7/7/2017 9:21	No	No	No	This is a bad idea.	Drew nielsen		Pocatello	Id
1397	7/7/2017 9:22	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Desirae Downing		Walla Walla	WA
1398	7/7/2017 9:22	No	No	No		Bob Hudson		Caldwell	Idaho
1399	7/7/2017 9:22	No	No	No		Tuesdee Craythorn		Rexburg	Idaho
1400	7/7/2017 9:22	Yes	No	No		Phillip L. Barringer Jr.		Twin Falls	Idaho
1401	7/7/2017 9:23	Yes	No	Yes	I like the use of 2 way radios for keeping in contact with my son while hunting when I can not see him and I need to give him instructions on what to do of where to go. It is nice to be able to see what types of animals are in the area you are planning on hunting. But I do like the idea of restriction the use of wireless transmission of photos during the season.	Bryan		McMinville	OR
1402	7/7/2017 9:23	No	No	No		Max Winward		Preston	Idaho
1403	7/7/2017 9:26	No	No	No		Chad Helsley		Nampa	Idaho
1404	7/7/2017 9:26	No	No	No	As hunters we use trail cameras to educate us.. in no way does it aid us in taking any given animal!	Casey		Rigby	Idaho
1405	7/7/2017 9:27	No	No	No	Please do not let this pass. This is starting to become overboard	Dax Tomlinson	N/A	Dubois	Idaho
1406	7/7/2017 9:27	Yes	Yes	Yes		Ric Downing		Ahsahka	Idaho
1407	7/7/2017 9:27	No	No	No	Trail camera are used for such a wide variety of reasons. While hunting. Yes you may see the animal you would like to try to kill but it doesn't mean you can it will. I think it is just more of a guide to what could be In the area.	Monica Geouge		Kooskia	ID
1408	7/7/2017 9:28	No	No	No		Greg Prowell	Idaho hunte	Grace	Idaho
1409	7/7/2017 9:28	No	No	No		Abigail		Nampa	ID
1410	7/7/2017 9:28	No	No	No	I personally use a trail camera for white tail and bear it in no way gives me an unfair advantage in being able to take an animal. If anything it helps me make sure I'm taking a mature animal. I do not harvest an animal every year but I still hunt every year.	Spencer Musselman		Shelley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1411	7/7/2017 9:28	No	No	No		Teegan		Shelley	Idaho
1412	7/7/2017 9:30	Yes	No	Yes		Andrea Poser		Sweet	Idaho
1413	7/7/2017 9:31	No	No	No	I believe that technology is not the problem. People that put in the time to set trail cameras, spend time on their spotting scopes, and that stay in communications with there hunting partners are the true sportsmen and believe that what they are doing is part of the conservation effort. There are deeper issues that effect our wildlife management, such as people that use motorized vehicles in restricted areas, or people that encroach on other hunters(the ones that have actually do their homework and have found harvestable game) for their own benefit. Idaho needs to regulate the people not the devices. Other wise its just another form of gun control. I was born in this state and I love to hunt our game and I use my trail cameras because I have a job and can't spend all my time in the mountains unfortunately. I also use a spotting scope with a phone adapter. I also use my cell phone to stay in contact with my family and my hunting partners, I believe its a waste of resources to even try to regulate this when the problem is un-sportsman like behavior from people that think I should find the game for them. I hunt in the same spots frequently and people have taken notice. Last fall when my wife and I hiked into our "spot" at 4:00 a.m., we were inundated with several other hunters(several vehicles were parked next to mine as well as five hunters that decided to sit right next to us) and my trail cameras never showed a single hunter all summer. Limit tags and vehicles that's how we conserve our wild life not by punishing those that	Marcus D. Boswell	avid hunter	Idaho Falls	Idaho
1414	7/7/2017 9:32	No	No	No		David Hay	citizen	Ammon	Idaho
1415	7/7/2017 9:33	No	No	No		Sherrie Bowen		Rexburg	Idaho
1416	7/7/2017 9:33	Yes	Yes	Yes	We need to get back to making this sport we call hunting a hunt again. These devices make it to easy for the sportsman and way to hard for the animal they are hunting. Let's get back to what the sport is supposed to be. A hunt.	Cindy Downing		Ahsahka	Idaho
1417	7/7/2017 9:34	No	No	No		Charles Johnson		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1418	7/7/2017 9:37	No	No	Yes		Justin Streeter		Boise	ID
1419	7/7/2017 9:38	Neutral	No	No		Chris		Boise	Idaho
1420	7/7/2017 9:38	No	No	No		Conner Jacobs		Terretom	Idaho
1421	7/7/2017 9:39	No	No	No		Steven		Idaho falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1422	7/7/2017 9:40	Yes	No	No	I believe wireless transmission should be restricted. I could get a picture at home and run out real quick to shoot the animal. Trail cameras in general are a great asset to the game. A lot of people don't have the time or money to check cameras and then come back 2 days later to hunt that location. Two way radios are a communication device and as long as both parties are on the ground and in the same area I don't see any issues with them.	Gary		Payette	Idaho
1423	7/7/2017 9:40	Yes	No	No	I can understand limiting the devices that send pictures. But not all trail cameras. A hunter still has to do alot of work to check his trail cameras on a regular basis and the animal still can smell and hear any hunter. A game camera does not mean a guaranteed animal. It's not trapping or baiting	David West		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1424	7/7/2017 9:41	Yes	No	No	<p>On behalf of Yellowstone Country Bear Hunters Association and all its members in Idaho and across the west we would like to express our concern with this proposed change. When it comes to bear hunting especially in Idaho over bait trail cameras are a very valuable asset and aid to the sportsmen.</p> <p>Trail cameras allow users to better judge bears age and sex and also allow for sportsmen to better pattern the animal for a more succesful harvest. In a state with very liberal black bear hunting season to help control populations this rule change would hinder the the sportsmen and the tool of hunting to control populations.</p> <p>The rule also states that the sportsmen cannot harvest on the day they visit the camera, does visit mean that the sportsmen cannot go to his bait station with camera in it even if he doesn't check the camera? What constitutes a visit? Many times the sportsmen cannot visit sites on a daily basis and are relegated to hunting weekends. This rule would cut down their days in the field and sportsmen opportunity.</p> <p>While we do appreciate the need for ethical use of these electronic devices we feel this rule could greatly hinder sportsmen and hunter opportunity.</p> <p>Please consider these comments and thank you for the opportunity to participate</p> <p>Joe Kondelis President</p>	Joe Kondelis	Yellowstone cody		WY
1425	7/7/2017 9:41	No	No	No		Keley		Terreton	Idaho
1426	7/7/2017 9:42	No	No	No		Jacque Heyrend		Rexburg	Idaho
1427	7/7/2017 9:42	Yes	No	Yes		nathan wuthrich		Montpelier	ID
1428	7/7/2017 9:42	Yes	No	No		Shawn Cook		Lewiston	IDAHO

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1429	7/7/2017 9:42	No	No	No	Trail cameras, are a major tool especially for baited bear hunting in helping to judge bear size, avoid sows with cubs that may have cubs but only bring them into baits on occasion. From experience, trail cameras, pictures taken from other electronic devices, and being able to communicate with other hunters while in pursuit of big game with radios only add to the enjoyment and promote Idaho's experience as well as provide safety.	Al Bayer	Elk Springs (Grangeville	ID
1430	7/7/2017 9:42	No	No	No		Jeff Bench		Blackfoot	ID
1431	7/7/2017 9:43	No	No	No		Jacque Heyrend	Sportsman/	Rexburg	Idaho
1432	7/7/2017 9:43	No	No	No		Esau clauson		Rathdrum	Idaho
1433	7/7/2017 9:43	No	No	No		Dan		Victor	Idaho
1434	7/7/2017 9:45	No	No	No	Why does Idaho Fish & Game always want to restrict the use of new technology!!!	JIM BUDINE		Mountain Home	Idaho
1435	7/7/2017 9:45	Yes	No	Yes	trail cameras should be allowed to be used. if you wish to restrict any that use wifi or cellular that is fine, but other trail cameras should be available for use. two way electronic communications should be used for safety but not to aid in hunting.	Alan Chapman		alpine	utah
1436	7/7/2017 9:46	No	No	No		Karen Johnson		Meridian	Idaho
1437	7/7/2017 9:46	No	No	Neutral		Andy Andrews		Challis	Idaho
1438	7/7/2017 9:49	No	No	No	IDF&G cannot and will never be able to enforce all the laws in place now. Just ignorant to add even more laws that will never be enforced. Game Camera's are harmless and a great scouting and "THEFT" deterrent. Many times trespassers and such are caught on game camera's.	Scott McGann		Emmett	Idaho
1439	7/7/2017 9:49	No	No	No		Todd Heyrend	Sportsman/t	Rexburg	Idaho
1440	7/7/2017 9:50	Yes	No	No		Kevin Rogers		Post Falls	Idaho
1441	7/7/2017 9:52	Yes	No	Yes	Too much technology tips the odds too much to the hunter. We are not at war with game animals. The emphasis should be on the thrill of the chase and the challenge.	John Vargo		Cedar Rapids	Iowa
1442	7/7/2017 9:53	Yes	Yes	Neutral	I really support the idea of the trail cameras capable of transmitting being blocked, i think its a joke we can use those. The use of cell phones would be real hard to mandate just because everyone has one now days, but this is a big step in the	Caden Hyde		Rexburg	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1443	7/7/2017 9:53	Yes	No	No	To limit the use of non transmitting trail cameras is absurd. Most trail cameras are use to see if and what kind if animals are in the area. Limiting the use of cameras during take is also ridicules. If I have found the animal and want to record the shot for memory or to verify shot placement that should be my choice. Also limiting the use of cameras to judge animal size is invincible. If the goal of conservation is to have and take mature age class bucks, bulls, ect. We need to know the age class of the animals we are looking at be it through a trail camera or a phoneskope.	Tyler Roberts		Preston	Idaho
1444	7/7/2017 9:56	No	No	No	Both qaunity and quality of animals are severly lacking, trail cameras let the hunter judge quality mature animals, NOT immature animals, as far as two way capability is to insure the safety of my family who are very new to the sport of hunting. Getting into and out of the area and in and out of the tree stands are hazardous anyway. Common sense please. DIFF has already stacked the odds against the Idaho hunter.	Troy Neubauer	Concerned I	Pocatello	Idaho
1445	7/7/2017 9:56	No	No	No		Terrill Roberts		Idaho falls	Idaho
1446	7/7/2017 9:58	No	No	No		Nathan Godfrey		Victor	Idaho
1447	7/7/2017 9:58	Yes	No	No	We use trail cams to find areas but none transmit .. you have to go to the camera to get the pictures, that is how it should be... we also use walki talkies while hunting... not to round up game but to keep track of each other, should we get separated...	Leonard Denton		Twin Falls	Idaho
1448	7/7/2017 9:58	No	No	No		Kendall Lancaster	Sportsman	Idaho Falls,	Idaho
1449	7/7/2017 9:59	Yes	No	No	Some of us use cameras because our schedule does not allow for extensive scouting. While it's true you can gather info on a hunting spot just fine without having the camera up the day before or day off the hunt, it makes law breakers out of us that can't get make a special trip there to retrieve our cameras just for the purpose of being compliant. I feel it is a useless technicality that has no effect other than creating a serious inconvenience to those of us who enjoy the hunt, but have a seven day schedule of work or other responsibilities. It makes law breakers out of ethical sportsmen who may only be able to enjoy a hunt one or two days in the season. Furthermore banning 2 way communication is an obvious hindrance to the use of devices for safety purposes, once again creating law breakers out of people who may be lost or in	Robert H Jones		Preston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1450	7/7/2017 10:00	Yes	Yes	Yes	I'm a life long North Idahoan and I hunted for a good portion of my life. When I was an active hunter I was successful about half the time..To me that sounds more than fair. Lets give the game a fair chance, we do not need any more gadgets to help us.	dick Caron	none	Wallace	Idaho
1451	7/7/2017 10:03	No	No	No	With the increase technology and efficiency of the compound bow you are surveying about a camera. Does the camera give the hunter any advantage of getting closer or any other advantage of killing it? The lighted nock gives an advantage but not a camera.	Jameson Hesketh		Pocatello	ID
1452	7/7/2017 10:04	Yes	No	Yes		DENNIS MICHAEL		LEWISTON	Idaho
1453	7/7/2017 10:04	Yes	Neutral	Yes	Trail cameras aid in scoring big game animals, determining age of big game animals, determining if a bear is a boar or sow. Most cameras are a long walk to check and would be burdensome to not be able to hunt same day. I can sort of understand not using cameras that send images wirelessly, but leave standard camera	Steve Hohensee		Moose Pass	AK
1454	7/7/2017 10:05	Yes	No	No		Thane Beckstead		Boise	Idaho
1455	7/7/2017 10:07	No	No	No	This is ridiculous phones and radios are important safety tools. Whoever came up with this should find a new job.	Jared Niblett		Oklahoma City	Oklahoma
1456	7/7/2017 10:09	Yes	No	Yes		Bryce		Ammon	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1457	7/7/2017 10:11	No	No	No	<p>On behalf of Yellowstone Country Bear Hunters Association and all its members in Idaho and across the west we would like to express our concern with this proposed change. When it comes to bear hunting especially in Idaho over bait trail cameras are a very valuable asset and aid to the sportsmen.</p> <p>Trail cameras allow users to better judge bears age and sex and also allow for sportsmen to better pattern the animal for a more succesful harvest. In a state with very liberal black bear hunting season to help control populations this rule change would hinder the the sportsmen and the tool of hunting to control populations.</p> <p>The rule also states that the sportsmen cannot harvest on the day they visit the camera, does visit mean that the sportsmen cannot go to his bait station with camera in it even if he doesn't check the camera? What constitutes a visit? Many times the sportsmen cannot visit sites on a daily basis and are relegated to hunting weekends. This rule would cut down their days in the field and sportsmen opportunity.</p> <p>While we do appreciate the need for ethical use of these electronic devices we feel this rule could greatly hinder sportsmen and hunter opportunity.</p> <p>Please consider these comments and thank you for the opportunity to participate</p>	Nick Hopkins		Inkom	ID
1458	7/7/2017 10:13	Yes	No	Yes		Tate		Blackfoot	Idaho
1459	7/7/2017 10:13	No	No	No	This is an absolutely ridiculous legislation to ethical hunters who use these as a determination to help take the right game. The two way communication is absolutely necessary to help find lost or potentially injured hunting partners. To make this illegal shows blatant disregard for human life.	Preston Ripplinger		Bismarck	North Dakc
1460	7/7/2017 10:15	No	No	No	This is ridiculous, knock it off fish and game	Darla Barden		Fernwood	Idaho
1461	7/7/2017 10:16	No	No	No	This is ridiculous, knock it off fish and game	Darla Barden		Fernwood	Idaho
1462	7/7/2017 10:16	Neutral	No	Neutral		Daniel Brown		Vancouver	WA
1463	7/7/2017 10:19	No	No	No		Jake Rupe	Sportsman	Emmett	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1464	7/7/2017 10:20	Yes	No	Yes	I think that cameras capable of instant transmission should have some restrictions placed on them. I equate this to flying into Alaska and not being able to hunt for a set period as the plane would then be used to scout. Cameras that require you to physically download the pictures should not have restrictions as they generally do not give the "real time" information. Therefore hunting to and from camera location should not be restricted. Two way radios should not be used for hunting, but for recovery of game. I think anything that aids in the recovery(finding) should be	Sean Moore		Rathdrum	Idaho
1465	7/7/2017 10:22	No	No	No		Tom Staheli		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1466	7/7/2017 10:23	No	No	No	Trail cameras are simply research tools. They promote responsible harvesting of big game and allow hunters opportunities to determine if game warrants harvest. The odds of someone using a real-time video feed to harvest an animal are quite minuscule. If this is the concern, it would require publication of the data to support this claim. At the current time, there is no factual data to support this allegation. Leave the cameras alone. There are enough "Idaho specific" rules as it stands. We would be better served by studying other success stories from other states rather than placing additional restrictions (such as the already in place Northwest compliant muzzle loader, no electronic game call use, no doe harvest, short range pistol in rifle caliber prohibited, Please, no more government regulations on hunting!	Justin W Williams	None	McCall	ID
1467	7/7/2017 10:23	No	No	No		Brad Orme		Ashton	Idaho
1468	7/7/2017 10:23	No	No	No		LYDIA ASHLEY		Soda Springs	Idaho
1469	7/7/2017 10:23	Neutral	No	No		Tommy		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1470	7/7/2017 10:24	No	No	No		Chris Williams		Grangeville	Idaho
1471	7/7/2017 10:25	Yes	No	No		Gerald A Stemm		Hausee	Idaho
1472	7/7/2017 10:26	No	No	No		J kapp		Nampa	Idaho
1473	7/7/2017 10:26	Yes	No	No		Jason Hall		Melba	ID
1474	7/7/2017 10:26	Yes	No	No		Alison Croft		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1475	7/7/2017 10:27	No	No	No	We should not restrict these devices in the way proposed. These devices are aids in the hunters pocket that help with identifying and harvesting of animals with no impact on the overall harvests. These devices allow hunters to make informed decisions in the harvesting of animals as well. By allowing these rules to be enacted you are taking away the ability of a hunter to do what he/she does of being a hunter. Did the cavemen have to cover their eyes before tracking a group of animals and harvesting? no they tracked with all of the available tools to them and made informed decisions based on there need. Trail cameras and other devices are another tool that gives a hunter a choice.	Nick mcneil		Iona	Idaho
1476	7/7/2017 10:30	Yes	No	Yes		Hector Ocampo	None	Meridian	Idaho
1477	7/7/2017 10:35	No	No	Neutral		Hank		Fruitland	Idaho
1478	7/7/2017 10:35	No	No	No	I think just because you have a trail cam doesn't guarantee that you will harvest an animal. Knowledge is always good if used correctly. In the technology age we are in, more people are going to be using these devices as a tool. The cameras do not shoot the animal that still take some skill	Tina Butcher		Meridian	ID
1479	7/7/2017 10:35	No	No	No		Sadie Page		Horseshoe Bend	ID
1480	7/7/2017 10:35	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras are part of the modern age. They are here to stay and not leaving anytime soon. Believe it or not, it still requires skill to set up a trail camera properly. I see it as no different then a modern form of checking for sign. Everyone deserves a shot at killing a mature animal and trail cameras help hunters ensure that they are in a good area to hunt mature animals. Also, would that work. You can bait certain animals, but not hunt the same day or day after you pull a trail camera? That's ridiculous. Next, it will be illegal to scout for animals the day before you hunt, that's basically what you are doing with cameras. You should spend your time trying to get proper punishments for violators and poachers. 100's of man hours go into each investigation to then be patted	Josh Johnson		McCall	Idaho
1481	7/7/2017 10:36	Neutral	No	No	I don't feel there needs to be more regulations placed on Idahos hunters. I my self don't use a trail camera but have no problem with those who do. How will you enforce this with regards to cell phones. I think if your officers start trying to make people hand over there cellphone to look through it for images they are just asking for trouble.	Wayne Graves		Payette	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1482	7/7/2017 10:36	No	No	Yes	I do not see the benefit of restricting transmission capable trail cameras or general trail cameras. Certainly not on private property. Transmitting trail cameras work almost nowhere in Idaho, there is not enough bandwidth to allow them to function. As related to standard trail cameras; we have limited hunting time available in Idaho as it stands, and for many of us, trail cameras are the most effective type of scouting we can do. I personally do not have time to take for weeks of scouting. I use trail cameras currently, in an effort to take cow elk, and still have limited success. Due to pressure from other hunters and wolves, the elk herds in my hunt zone move continuously. As a result, to try and find which part of the mountain range they are using for the 5 days I have to hunt, trail cameras are the only viable option I have. It is impossible to cover all the territory the elk live in, and successfully hunt them if it takes 3-4 days to find them. And we put miles on our feet in back country, not just driving and spotting scope work. We also place our cameras in remote locations. I also argue that this is trying to regulate morality of hunters. Who is to decide what a sporting experience is for me as opposed to what others believe? I assure you, I work incredibly hard, as do my hunting partners for any elk we can find. We still have a very poor success rate. I see this as a rule that will reduce my chances at successfully filling my freezer, and as such oppose it. As related to electronic communications, I agree with this portion of the rule. It does seem to be a clarification of a rule that has	Todd Salzsieder		Boise	ID
1483	7/7/2017 10:36	No	No	No		Nathan Jackson	None	Caldwell	ID
1484	7/7/2017 10:36	No	No	No	Even though you get a picture of the animal, does not garentee you will see it again. It is nice to know what is in your area as most trail pictures occur at night. It helps build the excitement for the hunt. Fish and game does the same thing only to a larger scale with your population surveys- aerials Limiting communication will not help with the hunt experience. This proposal is a bad one that should be dismissed.	Jim		Colbert	Wa
1485	7/7/2017 10:39	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rob Thornberry		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1486	7/7/2017 10:40	No	No	No		Chris Cannon		Blackfoot	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1487	7/7/2017 10:41	No	No	No	I use a game camera for bear hunting. It helps with the aid of patterning the bears. Bears are tough to pattern without the use of a camera. As a season hunter, I want to take the biggest bear available. The big bears are the bears that follow cow elk and eat their calves. This is backed by science. I am contributing to the conservation of elk calves each year. Removing game cameras would be detrimental to my success in harvesting a large mature bear.	Matthew Johnson		Hayden	Idaho
1488	7/7/2017 10:41	No	No	No	I feel that trail cameras are an effective aid to hunting, there's no reason to prohibit or limit their use. I feel that imposing a cool down of 48 hours does nothing for us except waste time.	DYLAN THOMPSON		REXBRUG	Idaho
1489	7/7/2017 10:42	No	No	No	Trail cameras are a vital source to aging an animal and defining sex of animal.	Austin Smith		Nampa	Idaho
1490	7/7/2017 10:43	Yes	No	No		Jeff Barnes		Nampa	Idaho
1491	7/7/2017 10:43	No	No	No	There is nothing unethical about using trail cameras or other electronic devices while hunting.	Gary Ward		Tulare	California
1492	7/7/2017 10:43	Yes	No	Yes	How in God's name is the IDFG going to make this stick? I do not like any of this stuff but believe you are opening up a serious hornets nest. One thing to consider checking trail cameras has become a real source of family fun, it is getting whole families out in the woods getting exercise checking pictures. If you are interested in growing hunter numbers this is something that does. If a hunter goes to a bait site shoots a bear then checks or retrieves their game camera they could have a really shitty	Ryan Callaghan	Hunter	ketchum	ID
1493	7/7/2017 10:43	Yes	Yes	Yes		Bob jones		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1494	7/7/2017 10:44	No	No	No	<p>This bill is absurd. The issue of more animals being harvested isn't the radio or trail camera. It's the number of hunters in the field. For example. In Unit 39 (I use these statistics due to the popularity of hunting in unit 39) the success rate for any weapon Deer has not seen significant change dating back to 2001(earliest on record tracking of harvest success). It was 32% in 2001 and today it has only gone up to 35%. Technology has come a long way in the past 15 years, yet the success rate hasn't really improved. However in 2001 Unit 39 had 7650 hunters in the any weapon Deer season where in 2016 it had 11,605 hunters.</p> <p>The camera provides insight for heard health and location of species. Many of these images have lead to added information for Fish and Game to use in their data. The communication between hunters encroaches not only on the ability of the hunter, but most importantly the safety. Knowing the location of the people you hunt with keeps you and them safe. Especially when hunting with young people new to the outdoors.</p> <p>I personally, don't use game cameras for any big game and only use two way radios (CB's) when running my hounds on bear. So, it wouldn't affect me much if this bill passed, but where does it end? If we give a little now, we'll have to give a mile later.</p>	Gerald Coulter		Nampa	ID
1495	7/7/2017 10:44	No	No	No	By restricting two way electronic devises (phones, walky talky you could potential put people in dangerous or life-threatening situations. Where they could get help if they have these devices.	Chancey		Preston	Id
1496	7/7/2017 10:45	No	No	No	This is America, these changes proposed violate our rights as Americans. Our family hunts to feed our family .	Mike		Idaho Falls	Id
1497	7/7/2017 10:45	No	No	No	I bear hunt. Trail cameras help to see if the bears on your bait have cubs. Taking this option away will cause more accidental kills of beers with young cubs, stupid idea!	Diane Honsaker		Coeor dAlene	Idaho
1498	7/7/2017 10:47	Neutral	No	No		Jack Jones		post falls	ID
1499	7/7/2017 10:48	No	No	No		Brady Lamm		Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1500	7/7/2017 10:48	Yes	No	No	With technology becoming more advanced we do have to draw a line somewhere. Using a trail camera that you have to manually check seems more fair chase than ones that get sent to your phone/computer. I don't consider this fair chase at all. I have used trail cameras since 2009 in Idaho. All manual.	William Martin		Post Falls	Idaho
1501	7/7/2017 10:49	No	No	No	While thinking of things to change how about expandable broadheads and lighted nocks to start things off. Or just do away with any modern hunting equipment and go with traditional archery equipment only. Let us be hunters and support the economy by buying usable equipment.	Danny		Nampa	Idaho
1502	7/7/2017 10:52	No	No	No	Enough restrictions already. Transmitting trail cams are more likely to catch a poacher than aid in killing an animal.	Nathan Jackson		Caldwell	Idaho
1503	7/7/2017 10:52	No	No	Yes		Chris		Rigby	Idaho
1504	7/7/2017 10:54	No	No	No		Doug Wilson		Twin Falls	Idaho
1505	7/7/2017 10:56	No	No	No		Cody Roeder		Star	Idaho
1506	7/7/2017 10:56	No	No	No	This rule is completely ridiculous!! Get with time new age times. This allows education and more information for hunters. Trail cameras are and aide for hunting to help judge Game and aide in conversation. They don't make a manic bullet and guarantee a harvest of an animal. Most people using these devices put them off the beaten path and it takes much investment of time and energy to check them. The extra burden of an additional day to begin a hunt increase the cost for the hunter. if hunter needs to check a camera and still wants to hunt on the same trip they are likely to have to take additional time off of work. Those wanting to hunt with these devices will automatically turn all outings into 3-4 days instead of 2-3 days. These restrictions are	Cheryl Bell	American bo	Rupert	Idaho
1507	7/7/2017 10:57	No	No	No	No ! I do not agree at all with this.	Eric Williams		Moore,	Idaho
1508	7/7/2017 10:59	No	No	No		Royce Bell	None	Rupert	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1509	7/7/2017 10:59	Yes	No	Neutral	While I do support limiting the use of real-time video and images from cameras is a good thing, I find the proposed rule for ALL game cameras ridiculous. I often place game cameras on a weekend and leave them in the field until the next weekend when I would be able to check them. I can see no need to prevent a hunter from checking his camera and then proceeding to hunt the area on the same day, as the camera will only tell the hunter what has passed by there. Any animal that the game camera picked up would be readily visible to the hunter before even checking the camera giving him no special edge what so ever. These cameras are useful for determining what animals are moving around and at what time of day. Through a season these factors can change in the span of a day, let alone a week. Adding a two day waiting period effectively bars weekend hunters from being able to collect	Daniel Key		Moscow	Idaho
1510	7/7/2017 11:00	No	No	No	There are already plenty of laws on the books, many of them are good. I prefer to not make the hunting regulations so long and complicated that you need a law degree to decipher them. I feel like trail cams and electronic communication is a form of hunting that some people really enjoy. Seeing what's there and being involved in teamwork to accomplish a goal makes the sport fun. I don't typically hunt that way, and some people prefer to restrict themselves. I don't see herds decimated or over harvested because people use trail cams or radios.	paul		idaho falls	idaho
1511	7/7/2017 11:01	No	No	No		Bruce Speidel		Sundance	Wyoming
1512	7/7/2017 11:02	No	No	No	We use trail cameras to educate ourselves on animal habits , to be able to better observe and judge animals, and to be able to observe behavior. I do believe that the use of "live" trail cameras should be regulated. But standard trail cameras, phonescopes, and or cell phone cameras should remain the same.	Jordan Bruning		Pocatello	Idaho
1513	7/7/2017 11:05	No	No	Yes		Blake Lyons	Avid outdoor	St. Maries	Idaho
1514	7/7/2017 11:06	Yes	Yes	Yes	something has to be done in many ways this hunting has turned into an x box killing game technology is only going to increase take a drone with camera a lap top some trail cams smart phones this is not hunting its just a killing aid and should be	richard sidell		pocatello	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1515	7/7/2017 11:09	No	No	No	We should be able to use trail cameras and phones while hunting. Phones help us keep track of our hunting partners, and where they are going. Cut this ability out and we'll be back to calling search and rescue to assist rather than just calling our hunting partner. We should be able to photo graph and enjoy the wildlife and hunt in the same time period. This would be a prime example of over regulating Idaho citizens/ hunters. It's a waist of time and effort for enforcement.	Ellen Holjeson		St Anthony	ID
1516	7/7/2017 11:09	No	No	No		Coltyn		Horseshoe bend	Idaho
1517	7/7/2017 11:09	Yes	Yes	No	There should be a season on Trail Camera usage. Allow it through the summer months to scout water holes etc... but once archery antelope season starts on Aug. 15th trail cameras should not be used, especially the ones that transmit images. Also, during hunting season when people leave their cameras on a water hole, it gives them the false sense that because their camera is on the water hole, it is their water hole to hunt. Removing all cameras from the field (Excluding bear baits with non-image sending trail cameras) from Aug-May would only make the outdoor experience better for everyone. It has become an unfair tool used for bear baiting as well. People monitor their phones and when the bait is hit they leave with their dogs. I would prohibit the use of image sending cameras for bear baiting. regular cameras are okay on baits but it when they are capable of sending images to phones it creates an unfair situation for the animals. Two way radios are and still should be restricted as an aid to hunting. I use two way radios on occasion, but it is to keep track of my hunting party when we are in the outdoors. These radios could save someones life and should be allowed to use in the field, but NOT for guiding someone in on an animal, and as I	Drew Gamett		Arco	Idaho
1518	7/7/2017 11:10	Yes	No	No		JEFF HENSON		POCATELLO	ID
1519	7/7/2017 11:12	No	No	No	I am all about being fair to the game I hunt but these tools are used to better judge the animals on their age and size and we can better control the animals we harvest in the areas we hunt by taking the elder and letting the younger grow	Daniel		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1520	7/7/2017 11:12	No	No	Yes		Don Gable	Outfitter	Jackson	Wy
1521	7/7/2017 11:13	Yes	Yes	Yes	Go back to the old way of hunting where luck was on your side. Hunting now is a trophy sport who can get the biggest rack for their wall not this will feed my family for the winter	Brandi		Horseshoe Bend	ID
1522	7/7/2017 11:14	Yes	No	No	Regular manual trail cameras that you physically have to walk/hike to to retrieve a sim card to even see if you have a photo/video is, in my opinion, fair hunting. I also support the use of two way radios. I've used both of these methods and it has not	Alyssa Chaney		Post Falls	Idaho
1523	7/7/2017 11:14	No	No	No		Stephen payne		Olathe	Ks
1524	7/7/2017 11:15	No	No	No		Charles Stamm		Boise	Idaho
1525	7/7/2017 11:16	Yes	Yes	Yes	Outlaw drones during hunting season, your proposal hits this but needs to specify droned	William adamson		Lemhi	Idaho
1526	7/7/2017 11:16	No	No	No		Alysha Ewing		Homedale	Idaho
1527	7/7/2017 11:16	No	No	No		michael		idaho falls	idaho
1528	7/7/2017 11:17	No	No	No	This is some bullshit you guys need to pull you Fucking heads out of your ass and let us Fucking hunt	Art gustin		Harrison	Idaho
1529	7/7/2017 11:18	No	No	No		Harold		Rigby	Idaho
1530	7/7/2017 11:19	No	No	No	I think outlawing the use of recording devices will limit my own ability to identify game. Particularly I feel we can use smartphones to record game through spotting scopes or binoculars, then review footage to determine, for example in the instance of sheep hunting, reviewing recordings can allow us to determine if a ram is legal to harvest. This would also prevent people recording hunts in	Michael Brunson		Rexburg	Idaho
1531	7/7/2017 11:19	No	No	No		Nick mack		Nampa	Idaho
1532	7/7/2017 11:19	No	No	No		Nick mack		Nampa	Idaho
1533	7/7/2017 11:19	No	No	No	I think trail cams do not pose a significant advantage other than being good scouting. Especially for baiting bears. And I consider a cell phone or 2 way radio a must for safety when hunting. And for Pete sake legalize lighted nocks already recovering arrows is awesome and recovering game is just ethical hunting	Erik Mendoza		Boise	Idaho
1534	7/7/2017 11:20	No	No	No		Jeremiah Banks		Coeur d Alene	ID
1535	7/7/2017 11:20	No	No	No		chris		mccammon	idaho
1536	7/7/2017 11:22	Yes	No	Neutral		Robert Ward		Garden Valley	Idaho
1537	7/7/2017 11:25	No	No	No		Aimee D Burnett-Hartwig		Veneta	OR
1538	7/7/2017 11:25	No	No	No	I also support the use of expandable broadheads and luminox. I would think you have better things to worry about than trail cams.	Dusty Winters		Rexburg	Idaho
1539	7/7/2017 11:26	No	No	Neutral		richard baese	vendor	smelterville	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1540	7/7/2017 11:26	No	No	No	To way communication is nice to have during a season insane someone doesn't arrive at a certain location by a preset time.	Aaron Lockart		Lewiston	Idaho
1541	7/7/2017 11:27	No	No	No	Why would you do this, Trail Camera why would you stop, get a life get a job, who is proposing this should get leave Idaho. Just like Muzzle Loading, we have the most stupid laws in the nation,	Reed Payne		IDAHO FALLS	IDAHO
1542	7/7/2017 11:27	No	Yes	No		Cliff Lickfold		Post falls	Idaho
1543	7/7/2017 11:29	No	No	No		Randall Searle		Shelley	Idaho
1544	7/7/2017 11:31	No	No	No		MICHELLE PAYNE		IDAHO FALLS	IDAHO
1545	7/7/2017 11:32	No	No	No	We hunt black bears in Grizzly country! Trail cameras have saved us on several trips from walking in on grizzlies that were in on our baits! I think it should be mandatory to have a trail cam on your bait where grizzlies maybe encountered! This will save lives of people and grizzly bears!	James Wolcott		Rexburg	Idaho
1546	7/7/2017 11:33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Let hunters hunt, shouldnt be a vending machine sport	Smith		Idaho falls	Id
1547	7/7/2017 11:34	No	No	No		Danielle		Grace	ID
1548	7/7/2017 11:35	Neutral	No	No		Robert Thompson		Lewiston	Idaho
1549	7/7/2017 11:35	No	No	No	Sometimes the use of trail cams is needed throughout the year to see where the good area are to hunt.	Daniel Carmack	Hunter	Franklin	Idaho
1550	7/7/2017 11:36	No	No	No	Hunters like myself use radios to communicate with one another when in the field.	Chantae		Coeurdalene	Idaho
1551	7/7/2017 11:37	No	No	No	The use of two way communication devices has aided me in the woods numerous times. None of which were used in the taking of game!! I believe removing or restricting it is a safety issue foremost!! As for the later, a camera is a camera!! No trail cams then no hand cameras! Pictures don't kill.	Jeff Hickman		St. Maries	ID
1552	7/7/2017 11:38	Yes	No	Neutral		KIRK JUDY	HUNTER	SHELLEY	IDAHO
1553	7/7/2017 11:39	No	No	No		Jennifer ling		Salmon	Idaho
1554	7/7/2017 11:40	No	No	No		Eric Lenz		Calder	Idaho
1555	7/7/2017 11:42	No	No	No		Rodrick w brown		St. Maries	ID
1556	7/7/2017 11:43	No	No	No	These devices do not aid in the killing of the animal, just the finding of it, therefore should not be restricted to use.	Kenna Preuit		Rupert	Idaho
1557	7/7/2017 11:44	No	No	No		Brett gatten		Rathdrum	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1558	7/7/2017 11:45	Neutral	No	No	Walkie talkies are a life support to hunters in the field. For communication in letting someone know if they are injured or lost. Also used to let someone know they have an animal down and can radio for a hunting partner to come help them. I think restrictions on them could result in the possible death of someone and or possible loss of game animal. I know of an incident where a friend was radioed about a cougar heading his way and ended up having said cougar track him and almost attack him. He luckily held a cougar tag and shot it while it was crouched getting ready to pounce. So I believe restricting hand radios/walkie talkies is an	Chris Jacobson		Rathdrum	Idaho
1559	7/7/2017 11:45	No	No	Yes		Charlie derry		st.maries	idaho
1560	7/7/2017 11:46	No	No	No		Kamren		Franklin	Idaho
1561	7/7/2017 11:48	Yes	No	No	The use of real time game camera shouldn't be used but the use of traditional game cameras should be used for location and identification. The use of two way radios should still be allowed for safety	Justin		Lewiston	Utah
1562	7/7/2017 11:49	No	No	No		Todd Kaufman		COEUR D ALENE	Idaho
1563	7/7/2017 11:49	Neutral	No	No	If you can check hunt the day you check your trail camera is ridiculous, especially in person you hole miles in to set cameras, this would completely eliminate trail camera use. In bear hunting this helps you know what has been coming to your bait and helps take the bigger aging bears.	randy steadham		Jerome	ID
1564	7/7/2017 11:50	Neutral	No	No		John		Worley	Idaho
1565	7/7/2017 11:51	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	I look at 2 way radios and cell phones as more of a safety thing than a hunting aid. Especially when hunting with older and new hunters. Gives them a means to get help if they need it.	Kenneth Christensen		St. Maries	Idaho
1566	7/7/2017 11:51	Yes	No	Yes		Dan Ryan		Post Falls	Idaho
1567	7/7/2017 11:52	Yes	Yes	Yes		Paul Marsden		Tucson	Arizona
1568	7/7/2017 11:52	No	No	No		Brooke Alfonso		Post Falls	Idaho 838!
1569	7/7/2017 11:53	No	No	No	I don't see how our Big game herds are being effected by the use of cameras. By the time someone has the chance to see the picture of the animal on their camera, that animal is not anywhere near the camera any more. I don't feel the use of cameras affect the free chase at all.	Blake Jolley		Firth	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1570	7/7/2017 11:53	No	No	No	My elderly Father and I use two-way electronic communication while hunting,, but not to locate animals. We use them to stay in touch, it's my way of making sure my Dad (who has had hip replacement surgery) is okay. It also serves as an effective tool if we were to get lost. We can also call each other for help in the event that one of us makes a kill. I believe these reasons outweigh any aid to hunting and this would hurt ethical hunters	Nathan Chad Brockus		Post Falls	Idaho
1571	7/7/2017 11:54	No	No	No	NOT EVERYONE HAS 2,3 OR 4 DAYS TO HUNT. IT WOULD BE ABSOLUTELY INSANE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY AWAY FROM SPORTSMAN. MY SON IS NOT OLD ENOUGH TO HUNT YET. I TAKE HIM ALL OF THE TIME TO CHECK TRAIL CAMERAS WITH ME AND IT IS THE HIGHLIGHT OF HIS WEEK EVERY TIME. HUNTING IS HUNTING NO MATTER HOW IT IS DONE. ALL HUNTERS HAVE THE SAME GOAL IN MIND. TO PROTECT OUR WILDLIFE AND MAKE THEM PROSPER. TAKING AWAY THE USE OF TRAIL CAMERAS IS THE MOST RIDICULOUS THING I HAVE EVER HEARD.	JORDAN PAYNE		IDAHO FALLS	IDAHO
1572	7/7/2017 11:54	No	No	No	This is completely and utterly ridiculous! We use trail cameras to help us aid in hunting...not just to kill, but to see what predators are in the area, and the age of the game (we do not kill young game, allowing them to mature for the next season). If you're going to pass this "rule," why don't you just completely outlaw the use of any cameras PERIOD because we all know that's what this is leading up to.... This is crazy. What's next? We have to hunt	Stacey L Kinnick		Lewiston	ID
1573	7/7/2017 11:55	No	No	No	This proposal is ridiculous and ludicrous. Even if such a law were passed? (Which it should not be) how would the fish and game enforce such a law? You could not enforce it.	Thomas Thiel		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1574	7/7/2017 11:57	No	No	No	I believe if you use them in the correct way they are a great tool to add to your hunting experience.	Orion Bergeson		Rigby	Idaho
1575	7/7/2017 11:57	No	No	No	The use of game cameras does not support the illegal taking of game. It is a method to judge an animal is mature enough to take, thus letting the lesser mature animals grow. There is nothing wrong with using game cameras to legally take animals.	Spike Gatten		Dalton Gardens	Idaho
1576	7/7/2017 11:59	Neutral	Neutral	No		Josh kubista		Post falls	Idaho
1577	7/7/2017 12:01	No	No	No		Chris		Hayden	Idaho
1578	7/7/2017 12:02	Yes	No	No	This is the most ridiculous thing I have seen yet.	Jaime Tesky-Gendrow		Osburn	Idaho
1579	7/7/2017 12:05	No	No	No	It helps to ensure the older animals are harvested	Buck Alderman		Post falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1580	7/7/2017 12:06	No	No	No	I don't think trail cameras give a hunter any more of an advantage than a range finder, spotting scope, or rifle scope does. 2 way radios are an important safety item for anyone in the outdoors to have on their person. I had a heart attack while hunting 13 years ago and would not be here today if I hadn't had a 2 way radio on me to summon help. They are also important to have to keep track of others in your hunting party.	Mike Watson		Lewiston	IDAHO
1581	7/7/2017 12:07	Yes	No	No		Chad Glover		Star	Idaho
1582	7/7/2017 12:10	Yes	No	No	Safety first, never take away our ability to communicate with each other. Also, don't make rules that are impossible to enforce. Being out of state, cameras are one of my only options to scout.	Cash Chesselet		Salem	Oregon
1583	7/7/2017 12:10	No	No	No		Shelly		Garden City	Idaho
1584	7/7/2017 12:11	No	No	No		Danny Eddy		Idaho Falls	ID
1585	7/7/2017 12:11	No	No	No		Lee Blankenship		Nampa	Idaho
1586	7/7/2017 12:12	Neutral	No	No		Brandon Jaecks		Worley	Id
1587	7/7/2017 12:12	No	No	No	This is opening hunters up to being screwed by the game warden. To broad. leave trail camera laws alone. You guys just seem determined to end all hunting in Idaho. this will also hurt Idaho because of people choosing to hunt states that are WAY less	Donnie Harkins		Homedale	Idaho.
1588	7/7/2017 12:12	No	No	No		Brook valley		Osburn	Id
1589	7/7/2017 12:13	No	No	No		William Anderson		St maries	Id
1590	7/7/2017 12:14	No	No	No		Paul Rogo		Coeur d Alene	Idaho
1591	7/7/2017 12:14	No	No	No		Travis Falter		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1592	7/7/2017 12:15	Yes	Yes	No		Calvin asger		Nampa	Idaho
1593	7/7/2017 12:15	No	No	No		Henry symons		Hayden	Idaho
1594	7/7/2017 12:15	No	No	No		Jason tolman		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1595	7/7/2017 12:16	No	No	No		Joe wold		Idaho falls	Idaho
1596	7/7/2017 12:17	Yes	Yes	No	Trail cams prior to individuals specific hunting tag season should be allowed. They are useful for scouting i would imagine.	Josh olson		Boise	Idaho
1597	7/7/2017 12:19	No	No	No		Nathan Jensen		Cda	Id
1598	7/7/2017 12:22	No	No	No		Amber Johns		Nampa	Idaho
1599	7/7/2017 12:23	No	No	No		Eric Taylor		Nampa	Id
1600	7/7/2017 12:25	Yes	Yes	Neutral		Dave Neal	Retired	Twin Falls	Idaho
1601	7/7/2017 12:27	Yes	Yes	Yes		Ray Miller		Sandpoint	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1602	7/7/2017 12:29	Yes	No	Yes	Making a hunter wait almost two days after checking a trail camera is a little much. If a hunter has a camera up and checks it Friday night when he has the weekend off, he wouldn't be able to hunt until Sunday, effectively ruining his hunting weekend. The same day rule is fine, said hunter checks his camera on Friday and would be able to hunt Saturday and Sunday probably as planned.	Cory Cummings		Boise	ID
1603	7/7/2017 12:29	No	No	No	How is this going to be enforced? This is just as dumb as the rules against lighted knocks and expandable broad heads. You keep making these rules and running everyone out of Idaho and forcing them to flee to Wyoming.	Nikky Jensen		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1604	7/7/2017 12:30	No	No	No		Mike	Hunter	Spokane	Wa
1605	7/7/2017 12:30	Yes	No	No	I feel using a trail camera that you physically have to go check should be fine. But if you're getting pics sent to your phone/computer automatically, that's a bit too far. I use a trail camera to watch their growth during this time of year just because it's very cool to see. I do not use it for hunting. The purpose of hunting is "the hunt" in the great outdoors, not to be notified that something is walking by and better run out and shoot	Jennifer		Spirit lake	Id
1606	7/7/2017 12:31	No	No	No	Even when using all the above it is still not a guarantee that you will harvest an animal you still have to hunt and put in the time	Casey Feldner		New plymouth	Idaho
1607	7/7/2017 12:31	No	No	No		Crysta Buffington		Boise	Idaho
1608	7/7/2017 12:31	Neutral	No	Neutral		Jon Shovald		Hayden	Idaho
1609	7/7/2017 12:31	No	No	No		Steven Marsden		Pleasant Hill	CA
1610	7/7/2017 12:32	Neutral	No	No		Beau Cannon		Caldwell	Id
1611	7/7/2017 12:32	No	No	Yes	If I drive 300 miles to go check a game camera on Friday night and find there is a large deer using this Trail I should be able to pull that camera and hunt the next day without having fish&game looking over my shoulder because I hunted within 24 hours of seeing a picture. This is completely asinine	Clayton Upshaw		Nampa	Idaho
1612	7/7/2017 12:32	No	No	Yes		Shad McDaniel		Athol	Idaho
1613	7/7/2017 12:33	No	No	No		Trevor		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
1614	7/7/2017 12:34	No	No	No		James		Burbank	Washington

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1615	7/7/2017 12:34	Neutral	No	Neutral	Prohibiting the use of all trail cams does not aid in the taking of game. Just because an animal walked thru an area a day ago does not aid in the taking of that animal. They are actually advantageous in determining sex and age of bears over baits diminishing the chances of shooting sows with cubs. Live streaming trail cameras may prove advantageous toward the hunter and I'm on the fence about them but prohibiting regular	mike reider		rexburg	id
1616	7/7/2017 12:35	Yes	No	Yes		Scott Record	Professional	Kevil	Kentucky
1617	7/7/2017 12:35	No	No	No		Tyrell Simpson		Preston	Idaho
1618	7/7/2017 12:35	No	No	No		Matthew Hansen		Post falls	Idaho
1619	7/7/2017 12:35	No	No	No		Duane		Caldwell	Idaho
1620	7/7/2017 12:36	No	No	No		Dakota		Ashton	Idaho
1621	7/7/2017 12:37	No	No	No		Brayden		Idaho falls	Idaho
1622	7/7/2017 12:37	No	No	No	This is rediculas. Trail cameras are a research tool you take the time to set up and monitor your cameras and take care of preserving an area for the taking of big game this is a tool and	Lance Dilworth		Soda springs	Idaho
1623	7/7/2017 12:37	Yes	No	No		Nathan Sylvester		Post Falls	Idaho
1624	7/7/2017 12:38	No	No	No	Please show me the Study Idaho Fish and Game conducted where you have a direct correlation between using Trail Cameras/Video Cameras/Still picture cameras and how they give the hunter an unfair advantage. I am assuming you have data to back up this stupid proposal. Please explain to me how Trail Cameras are any different than Fish Finders or spotting scopes? And why can i use a trail camera over a bear bait and not an elk wallow? Why is one considered unethical and the other not? I look forward to your answers.	Jake	Hunter	Rexburg	Idaho
1625	7/7/2017 12:39	Yes	No	No	trailcams are fine, real time surveillance no	John Huetter		Hayden	ID
1626	7/7/2017 12:42	No	No	No		Josh		St. Maries	Idaho
1627	7/7/2017 12:43	No	No	No	Trail cameras are a good way to ethically identify exactly what bears are found, and then decide how much of an investment to make on an area. If only small/young bears, (or sows with cubs) are in the area, leave them be and move somewhere else. If large/mature boars are found, invest the time and resources available for the most ethical take.	Scott Poisson		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1628	7/7/2017 12:43	No	No	No	Technology in regards to hunting is ever changing. I know people who use all of these technologies and don't harvest that year. I also know people who use non of it and fill their tag in the first 10 minutes. Another reason I am against this is because I am a disabled hunter and without the use of some of the mentioned technology I would not be able to hunt safely. So while I put hunting ethics high on the list I do not put them above my safety.	Brandon Garner		Filer	Idaho
1629	7/7/2017 12:44	No	No	No		Isaac Brunson		Idaho falls	Idaho
1630	7/7/2017 12:45	Yes	Neutral	No		Levi Cress		Lehi	UT
1631	7/7/2017 12:46	Yes	No	Yes		Sean Murphy		Wallace	Idaho
1632	7/7/2017 12:48	Yes	No	No	I have MS. My radio is my lifeline and location indicator for me and my hunting party. I will not give up the ability to know where my party is at ALL TIMES. THE RADIO IS A SAFETY TOOL. I WILL NOT STOP BEING SAFE!!!!	Thomas Cossairt	Life time	Ida Blanchard	Idaho
1633	7/7/2017 12:49	Yes	No	No	There is no need to restrict cell phone use. I depend on mine to locate where i am so i don't get lost. Using the GPS signal to find my way back to camp. Restricting hunting two days after checking a trail cam is point less also. If i hike all the way in check my cam i don't want to have to wait till the next day to hunt	jake kunz		nampa	idaho
1634	7/7/2017 12:49	Neutral	No	No	I do not support the camera restrictions. As far as the radios, I thought there already was a law that a person couldn't communicate the location of game to another person over a radio.	David Fulwyler		Pocatello	Idaho
1635	7/7/2017 12:51	No	No	No		Craig fletcher		Nampa	Idaho
1636	7/7/2017 12:51	Yes	Yes	No		Keith Van Slate		Coeurd'alene	ID
1637	7/7/2017 12:52	Yes	No	Yes		Kyle Dykstra		Nampa	Idaho
1638	7/7/2017 12:53	No	No	No	The use of trail cameras or electronic communications devices in no way affects the fair chase or ethical hunting of game animals, but is a tool just as binos, and range finders are.	Justin Chase		boise	idaho
1639	7/7/2017 12:55	Yes	Neutral	No		Braden labau		Post Falls	Idaho
1640	7/7/2017 12:55	No	No	No		Ray M Schmidt		Sweet	Idaho
1641	7/7/2017 12:56	Yes	Yes	No		Jeremy huston	Iaff firefight	Garden valley	Idaho
1642	7/7/2017 12:58	Yes	No	No		Andrew Cunningham		Twin falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1643	7/7/2017 12:59	No	No	No	Restricting two-way electronic communications is a safety hazard. Yes granted people use them to help identify where animals are. However they also aid to help in knowing where other hunters are and help communicate of downed game. Trail cameras have helped a lot of people in the aid of hunting. They can have a possibility not helping watching game for assisting hunters that may not be able to go out an hike due to medical reasons. For those reasons I am totally against banning any of the items.	Ethan Pfeifer		Heyburn	Idaho
1644	7/7/2017 13:01	No	No	No	Focus these efforts against drone use or restricting trail use of vehicles.	Joshua varley		Idaho falls	Id
1645	7/7/2017 13:03	No	No	No		Chris markham		Coeur d alene	ID
1646	7/7/2017 13:03	No	No	No	are you people a bunch of nut jobs telling use we can not have are phones	butch andrews	local	cda	idaho
1647	7/7/2017 13:05	Neutral	No	No	Trail cameras are fun for the whole family. I cannot see how they give an unfair advantage as you still have to hunt the animal.	Greg Sternberg		Rathdrum	ID
1648	7/7/2017 13:05	Yes	Yes	No	Radios can save lives and also help to locate downed game when multiple people are covering an area looking for a wounded or expired animal.	nathan wilson	hunter	fruitland	Idaho
1649	7/7/2017 13:05	Neutral	No	Yes		Gun Lemke		Sherwood Park	Alberta
1650	7/7/2017 13:07	No	No	No		Fredrick Botts		Los Molinos	Ca
1651	7/7/2017 13:08	Yes	No	No		John		Ashton	Id
1652	7/7/2017 13:09	No	No	No	Trail cams are a great way to see what caliber of animals are in an area but do not actually help in the harvesting of an animal. That part relays on a hunters knowledge and skill. I'm against the restriction of trail cameras use.	Chris root		Lewiston	Idaho
1653	7/7/2017 13:09	Yes	No	No	I believe if you are to set a trail camera, that you should be responsible for that camera and check it in person. Wireless transmitting cameras should be banned. As for communication devices, walkie talkies only help in hunting when you're already in the field.	Michael Arzola		Shelley	Idaho
1654	7/7/2017 13:11	Yes	No	No		Connor		Orofino	Idaho
1655	7/7/2017 13:11	No	No	No	That doesn't hurt anything and I am tired of things changing for no reason!!!!	Brenda		Idaho city	Idaho
1656	7/7/2017 13:12	No	No	No		Harry stafford		Mountain Home	Id
1657	7/7/2017 13:13	No	No	No		todd payne		idaho falls	idaho
1658	7/7/2017 13:14	No	No	No		Rob Wallace		Coeur d'Alene	ID
1659	7/7/2017 13:14	No	No	No		terrell payne		idaho falls	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1660	7/7/2017 13:14	No	No	No		Ryan Searle		Shelley	Idaho
1661	7/7/2017 13:15	Yes	No	Yes		Shane Vlcek		Springfield	Oregon
1662	7/7/2017 13:15	Yes	Yes	Yes		Matt smith		Post falls	Idaho
1663	7/7/2017 13:16	No	No	No		Derick Johns		NAMPA	Idaho
1664	7/7/2017 13:17	No	No	No		Georgia Botts		Los Molinos	CA
1665	7/7/2017 13:20	No	No	No		Scott Stewart	Idaho citizen	Sugar City	Idaho
1666	7/7/2017 13:21	Yes	No	No	The use of two-way communications while hunting has become important to many, from a safety aspect. There is no way to know how many accidents and injuries are prevented, or abated by the use of two-way communications, and it seems reckless to ban them because someone might be able to gain an advantage in hunting an animal.	Scott Jutte	Resident	Moscow	ID
					If it were made illegal, I would stop carrying my radio/GPS combo because there is no way to be sure you won't get caught up in an overzealous officer's hunt for a guy he heard on a radio - if you have one, you can't prove it wasn't you. The only way to avoid the penalty is to not have a radio.				
					Now, if I'm hunting, fall and twist an ankle, I'm on my own. Better hope someone can find me. Prior to this law I would have a GPS radio, that even if I couldn't talk, or was incapacitated, all my hunting partner would have to do is query the unit and it would tell him within 20 feet where I am, anywhere on earth.				
					Do you really want to discourage people from having their radios and GPS units on them? Is that really a well-considered, good				
1667	7/7/2017 13:21	No	No	No	The radios help us to know where each other are at!	Steve nelson		Shelley	Ifaho
1668	7/7/2017 13:23	Neutral	No	No	If a person calls a friend and informs him that there is game across the next hill, if that is to be made illegal then it should be given a waiting period.	Sam Graham		Ammon	ID
1669	7/7/2017 13:25	Yes	Yes	Neutral		Abby Wade		Idaho City	Idaho
1670	7/7/2017 13:25	No	No	No	stop restricting our rights!!!	Alexis		Idaho city	ID
1671	7/7/2017 13:28	Yes	No	No		Bryon prather	Bow hunter	Nampa	Idaho
1672	7/7/2017 13:29	No	No	No	Possibly the dumbest proposal you have ever came up with	Lincoln Hirrlinger		Rexburg	IDAHO
1673	7/7/2017 13:30	No	No	No		Anna M Hubert		Deary	ID
1674	7/7/2017 13:31	No	No	No		Scott Stovern		pinehurst	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1675	7/7/2017 13:31	No	No	No	Rediculous, trail cameras are simply research for the hunter. I could see restrictions for smart trail cams that are connected to internet straight to your phone but the old style that you have to go check the SD card camera should be fine. Taking video and pics with s cell phone should also be permitted. I see nothing	Brad Bearnson	bradbearnso	McCammon	ID
1676	7/7/2017 13:31	No	No	No		Michael slinkard		John day	Or.
1677	7/7/2017 13:32	Yes	No	Yes		Seth Matthews	Hunter	Post falls	Idaho
1678	7/7/2017 13:32	No	No	No		Nick calhoun		Boise	ID
1679	7/7/2017 13:32	No	No	Yes	I think the rules are just fine the way they are. If you keep restricting poeple your going to run out of hunters. Not that my statement matters you guys are going to do what you want	Daniel Barnes		Bancroft	ID
1680	7/7/2017 13:33	Yes	No	No		Brian Nance		Middleton	Idaho
1681	7/7/2017 13:33	No	No	No		james I severns		Boise	Id
1682	7/7/2017 13:34	Neutral	No	No		Mike Ellis		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
1683	7/7/2017 13:34	No	No	No	REAL!!!! I dont know where to start with this B.S. idea!!!!!!!!!! STUPID hell no, dumb, f*** no, etc GET MY POINT!!!!!!!!!!!! MANGE THE GAME NOT THE PEOPLE.... Whats next no scopes on rifles because of long range shooting. Give it time you will do	nick		challis	Idaho
1684	7/7/2017 13:37	Yes	Yes	Yes	The use of electronics to hunt game should be abolished. It seems that hunting has become more about electronics and other gadgetry and less about ' fair chase" of the animal being hunted. The electronics brings out the worst in hunters. It becomes a lazy way of determining where the animals are. It also brings out the worst of the "trophy" type hunters. I have lived in Idaho 64 years and have hunted for 53 years. I do not like how electronics have shaped hunting. I feel that Idaho should also abolish the mechanical ducks that are used in waterfowl hunting. Thank you for your consideration on these subjects. Stephen Patrick Bistline	Stephen Patrick Bistlir	Idaho	reside Hope	Idaho
1685	7/7/2017 13:38	Yes	No	No	Non transmitting trail cams are a wonderful tool and need to be allowed, the pictures we get off our bear baits are as much fun as the hunt. Two way radios are a very useful to ensure safety when split up and hunting the back country, we use them strictly for communication and not for directing each other on to game. Many places cell phone service is used for the same purposes.	Darrell Wheeler	Self-back co	Moore	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1686	7/7/2017 13:39	Yes	No	Yes	I'm against any live streaming device or two-way electronic communications. However, the use of trail cameras that require someone to return to the camera to retrieve images off of a memory card I think are fine. Given the sometimes limited time we have to get into a unit to scout/hunt, I think this type of tool strikes a balance that allows a sportsman to scout an area or location effectively to make the best use of their time once able to	Matthew Kaiserman		Donnelly	ID
1687	7/7/2017 13:39	No	No	No	I have never seen the use of a game camera guarantee anyone kill anything. These animals still have instincts that far outweigh ours. I am sure you will find a way to charge us for using game cameras, just like you charge us for everything else.	Zac LaPierre	Idaho Resident	Cataldo	ID
1688	7/7/2017 13:39	No	No	No	Trail cameras are great tools in gathering information and determining patterns of animals without having to sit in your tree stand every night, which is completely unrealistic for anyone. Restricting the use of two way communication devices is also unrealistic and reduces the ability for hunters to communicate while in the same area which is incredibly dangerous!	Devin		Idaho falls	Idaho
1689	7/7/2017 13:39	No	No	No		Olivia Hirrlinger		Rexburg	Idaho
1690	7/7/2017 13:41	Yes	No	No	Do not restrict game cameras as they don't guarantee success. they just tell a story. However having instant information sent to the hunter so they can quickly intercept the animal is wrong. Restrictions on the technology to send images to your phone or computer should be considered.	Greg Harris		Moscow	Idaho
1691	7/7/2017 13:41	No	Neutral	Yes	It's is our constitution right to be able communicate between our hunting partners' to be able to know where we are at all times! Plus using a game cam gives us an idea of what is out there stocking out game! Such as wolves and other prey! So why would you want to take our rights away! You use collars and planes to count and keep track of game so why shouldn't we be able to use	Halee		St.Maries	ID
1692	7/7/2017 13:41	No	No	Neutral		Tamara Elam		Pinehurst	Idaho
1693	7/7/2017 13:42	Yes	No	No	Keep out of it	Sean kelley		Rathdrum	Idaho
1694	7/7/2017 13:43	Yes	No	No		Ben		Pocatello	Idaho
1695	7/7/2017 13:44	No	No	No		Eric Soderling		Emmett	Idaho
1696	7/7/2017 13:44	Yes	Yes	Yes		Roger Raymond		Rigby	Idaho
1697	7/7/2017 13:44	Yes	No	No		Clint Knox		Athol	Id
1698	7/7/2017 13:45	No	No	No		Scott davis		Rexburg	Idahp
1699	7/7/2017 13:47	Yes	No	No		Douglas Christensen		St. Maries	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1700	7/7/2017 13:47	Yes	Yes	Yes	About time something is done!	George T Law		Boise	Idaho
1701	7/7/2017 13:49	Yes	Yes	Yes	Trail Cameras should not be allowed when any hunting season is open like in montana	Brent		Meridian	Idaho
1702	7/7/2017 13:49	No	No	No		Nikki Holmes		Hayden	Idaho
1703	7/7/2017 13:50	No	No	No	Idaho is so far behind in the hunting world anyway and then to take away looking at ur trail cams when you are out hunting is bull shit. We let you do that then goes compound bows, scopes on rifles, everything. How about instead of going backwards we progress and let us use expandable and lummiocks and maybe even attractants. Let's progress not digress. This is bullshit	Jeffrey nelson		Troy	Idaho
1704	7/7/2017 13:50	No	No	No	Idaho is so far behind in the hunting world anyway and then to take away looking at ur trail cams when you are out hunting is bull shit. We let you do that then goes compound bows, scopes on rifles, everything. How about instead of going backwards we progress and let us use expandable and lummiocks and maybe even attractants. Let's progress not digress. This is bullshit	Jeffrey nelson		Troy	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1705	7/7/2017 13:51	Yes	No	Yes	Seems in past there were rules making it illegal to use communications devices to track or intercept wildlife....or maybe it was just how I was raised. I think using cell phones for voice or text updates to alert hunters as to animal locations or direction of travel to increase the odds of a successful hunt should be illegal. I also think the use of game cameras that transmit images or video in near real time to the owners laptop or smart phone should also be outlawed and is no different than flying over area in plane, helicopter or with use of a unmanned drone. It is allowing you to cover a large area in real time and identify numbers, location and direction of travel...where you could potentially react immediately where otherwise would be unaware of their presence. I would support these bans as stated for general hunting....and also support movement to return our PRIMITIVE SEASON back to primitive weapons. No mechanical or crossbows in bow season, no electronics on bow or arrow in bow season, no releases or mechanical heads in bow season. Only granulated powder stuffed with lead ball or conical bullets in rifles...with iron sights. No scopes, fiber optics, no pellet powder, no sabot rounds, no rear breech loading. These season were put in place due to the difficulty in hunting with non-mechanical bows and traditional muzzleloaders...to equal playing field with animals and allow these hunters to pursue them without impact of center-fire weapons and the horde of hunters making getting within 20 yds with a recurve/longbow or within 75 yds with a traditional blackpowder rifle impossible. Let them shoot what they want if it is legal weapon in general season...but in wilderness areas or primitive only weapon season...lets put the primitive back into those hunts with recurves, longbows, selfbows and front loading iron sighted	Ronald C Herman Jr	Professional	Charleston	South Carc
1706	7/7/2017 13:52	No	No	No		c	hunter	idaho city	ID
1707	7/7/2017 13:54	No	No	No		James Svoboda		Port jefferson stati	New York
1708	7/7/2017 13:56	Yes	Yes	Neutral	Too many game cams and people trying to mark their territory. Too many people communicating as animals are moving not giving the animals a fair shot. With the increase in technology we need to keep a level playing field and not encourage lazy hunting	Peter Hitt		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Neutral	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1709	7/7/2017 13:57	Neutral	No	No	I don't use trail cameras very often, but when I do I don't hunt over them, I usually will be somewhere on a trail that may lead toward the camera, and maybe not at the same elevation on the mountain as the trail that leads to the camera, so how far away from the camera are you going to need to stay? What about if you don't visit your camera and you hunt the area, or even go by your camera. And what if its someone elses camera and you don't know its there until its to late, and you end up with a ticket. as far as two way radios I do hav a gps with a radio built into it, I do use the gps often, and the radio, as my hunting partner and I split up and check in every so often to find out where each other is at and when and whrere we are going to meet up,. so how do you distinguish between that and someone saying the animal is heading toward you? the things you are proposing seem to me to vague and leave to much to interpretation.	james messerschmidt		rathdrum	id
1710	7/7/2017 13:57	Yes	No	No		Rob Parkins		Victor	ID
1711	7/7/2017 13:58	No	No	No		Cody		new Plymouth	Idaho
1712	7/7/2017 13:58	No	Yes	No	THEY PREVENT KILLING OF SOWS WITH CUBS. THE USE IS GOOD FOR DETERMINING THE # OF PREDATORY ANIMALS IN THAT SPECIFIC AREA. USE OF COMMUNICATION DEVICES ARE A SAFETY FEATURE NEEDED WHEN IN THE WILD COUNTRY. THESE RULES SHOULD NEVER BE MADE.	WILLARD DILLON	DISABLED V	KAMIAH ,	IDAHO 835
1713	7/7/2017 13:59	No	No	No	All are a tool in helping educate hunters, whether for aging or keeping jn touch with friends and family in the hills. Ive always understood you couldnt use radios for aid in hunting. But love them when out hunting with my kids.	Freddy Larios		Die-hard hur Rupert	Id
1714	7/7/2017 14:02	Yes	Yes	Yes		Janice Solum		Wallace	Idaho
1715	7/7/2017 14:04	No	No	No		Tim		Bellevue	Id
1716	7/7/2017 14:05	Yes	Neutral	Yes	What about legalizing lighted knocks.	Curt Griep		Boise	Idaho
1717	7/7/2017 14:05	No	No	No	Any law restricting the use of trail cameras is obnoxious, and it will be my duty as an american citizen to disobey the obnoxious	steven read	its technical	hayden	ID
1718	7/7/2017 14:07	No	No	No		Sam stone		Preston	Idaho
1719	7/7/2017 14:07	No	No	No		Jordin Olive		IDAHO FALLS	IDAHO
1720	7/7/2017 14:07	No	No	No		Justin		Eagle	Idaho
1721	7/7/2017 14:08	No	No	No		Mark Bove		Spokane	Washington
1722	7/7/2017 14:09	Yes	No	No	Radios can and are a piece of safety equipment while in remote locations among hunting partners	Ed Button		Moscow	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1723	7/7/2017 14:10	No	No	No		Jeff		Roy	Utah
1724	7/7/2017 14:12	No	No	No	With the dept. Always screaming about lost revenue putting these undo and unneeded regulations on types of equipment the hunters use is ridiculous you want people to buy licences and tags don't regulate them out of it just because a few purists think it's unfair . They will spend there money in other states	Kevin Klimes	None	Twin falls	Idaho
1725	7/7/2017 14:12	No	No	No		Ray Miller		Nampa	Idaho
1726	7/7/2017 14:15	No	No	No		Dusty Powers		Wanship	Ut
1727	7/7/2017 14:16	Yes	No	Yes	Any live or instantaneous feedback should be restricted. Idaho laws need to keep up with advancing technology.	Scott L Lasher		Spokane Valley	WA
1728	7/7/2017 14:17	No	No	No	Leave everything the way it is..	daniel duncan		Orofino	Idaho
1729	7/7/2017 14:18	Neutral	No	Neutral		Dan Chisholm		Mullan	Idaho
1730	7/7/2017 14:20	No	No	No		Austin		Hayden	Idaho
1731	7/7/2017 14:22	No	No	No		Austin		Hayden	Idaho
1732	7/7/2017 14:22	Neutral	No	No	Many times when you create rules to do something good it has many unintended results. By making laws about all trail cameras it greatly impacts someone like me who puts his cameras far, far back in and often don't get any opportunity to change memory cards before hunting season. I then take the cards home, and we make it a real family experience for the kids to see what critters are out there. It has never aided my hunt in the least to check my cameras while hunting, but would effectively end my use if I couldn't simply check them while hunting. I do see wireless cameras, drones, etc... as completely different. As for restricting 2-way radios it would also have lots of unintended consequences. I often take out a young cousin or sibling to teach them to hunt, and am able to separate a little ways from them to let them experience the solitary woods while being in easy contact distance of our radios. If hunters could no longer use radios then they would leave them at home, and that would produce greater risk in emergency/rescue situations.	Jeremy		Newport	Washington
1733	7/7/2017 14:22	No	No	No		Zack		Rupert	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1734	7/7/2017 14:23	No	No	No	I'm honestly disappointed in the fact that fish and game would even try to do this. People use these tactics to generate and scope out young and older & see what kind of bears they need to harvest so they wont shoot a sow instead of a boar. Why would you even consider on trying to do this to hunting. It's going to ruin it and everything will be a mess. We need to focus on stuff that actually matters, not pity stuff like this.	Kevin		Emmett	Idaho
1735	7/7/2017 14:26	No	No	No		Emily		Post falls	Idaho
1736	7/7/2017 14:27	Yes	Yes	No		Jim Johnson		Rathdrum	Id
1737	7/7/2017 14:28	No	No	Yes	I oppose grouse and bear hunters utilizing dogs during an open archery elk hunt	Chris		McCall	Idaho
1738	7/7/2017 14:30	Yes	Neutral	Yes	You will get a lot of negative comments based on emotion. Please consider the cumulative effects of advancing technology on hunter efficiency, and the effects of efficiency on opportunity. For my children's sake, I hope the commission can get a handle on technology advances so we can maintain the incredible opportunities we have today. Most of your commenters won't draw these connections.	Clay Hayes		Kendrick	Idaho
1739	7/7/2017 14:30	Yes	No	Neutral	g. With any game camera or other electronic device capable of recording images used as an aid to take a big game animal during the same day or following day as the images were transmitted or the camera was visited in the field. This rule is not good. I like to get photos of animals as much as hunt them. Based on the wording would it still be ok for me to have my camera set up as long as I don't check the images during season? I also use my video trail camera to video my shot so I know when to chase an animal based on my hit. It helps prevent me from chasing an animal too soon and losing it. Trail cameras with SD cards that a person has to go check should not be banned. There is no unfair advantage to this as I don't know an animal is there before I go there to check my cameras. Cameras that send pictures via wireless communication would be ok to ban as this may alert a hunter if an animal is in an area and give them an unfair advantage.	james hollis		meridian	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1740	7/7/2017 14:30	No	No	No	I Am a hunter. Most of my most cherished memories with my family and friends are through hunting. I also believe in the ethical pursuit of these game animals. That being said a trail camera is not an unfair advantage, it is a vital scouting tool. With the demands of family, work and so on a non intrusive and easy scouting method is by using trail cams. Even after you get a positive sighting you still have to pursue the animal the camera gives you no unfair advantages. 2 way radio communication is absolutely vital in the salmon Idaho area. Most of the hunting areas are far outside cell range. They are a vital communication tool as well as a safety net. Restrictions on them would be a very unsafe course to take not to mention near impossible to enforce. Also it is incredibly important for this communication ability for me as a guide. No unfair advantage is given you have to be far enough away to talk without spooking game and it enables me to	Jeremey Varley	Outdoorsma	Salmon	Idaho
1741	7/7/2017 14:31	No	No	No	IDFG's mandate does not include all these restrictions. Issues like, lighted nocks, mounted electronic devices on a bow, using trail cameras, baiting... the list goes on and on. When will the regulation stop? How about IDFG start to simply manage game animal populations using SCIENCE... Not opinion! If a hunter wants to go by fair chase then that is their choice and should remain a CHOICE, not be mandated by overstepping the purpose Fish and Game is supposed to serve! There is no science that states for example, that not using a game camera leads to larger herds and healthier game animals. IDFG laws should be dictated by those objectives along with safety and supported by scientific data. Instead they are dictating a minority opinion to everyone while having no positive affect on their purpose which I stated	Chad Sanders		Pocatello	Idaho
1742	7/7/2017 14:31	No	No	No		Greg Martin		Salmon	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1743	7/7/2017 14:31	Yes	No	Yes	I am in support of the rule change regarding restricting the use of trail cameras which transmit data wirelessly to remote devices, as I believe these devices can be used to prompt an individual to approach a site knowing that game is currently present. I strongly suggest removal of the verbage "during the same day or following following day" as it is irrelevant for usage with non-transmitting cameras. For example, if a hunter chooses to hunt a water hole with a camera located in the area, and game approaches the water hole... a picture or video could be taken before, after, or during successful harvest of game. That camera did not aid in the take of said game, but this rule change would result in illegal method of take. Further, this rule would prevent any filming of hunts, as any game filmed during the hunt would prevent legal harvest. Please consider revision of the proposed rules, as they are overly restrictive of technologies not utilized in fair chase and	Jeremy Ell		Spring Hill	Kansas
1744	7/7/2017 14:33	No	No	No	What will be the definition of as an aide to hunting? Can I have them up preseason to scout and get pictures? Can I have them up during season and just not check them? I like to see animals and watch them on my trail camera. Banning traditional game cameras that a person must go to the location and pull an sd card to check is wrong. There is no unfair advantage to see what animals are in the area and allowing a hunter to be more selective and take mature animals instead of all the young ones.	Cortny		Kingston	Idaho
1745	7/7/2017 14:34	Yes	No	Neutral		Amber Hollis		meridian	ID
1746	7/7/2017 14:35	No	No	No		Nick Bolender		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1747	7/7/2017 14:37	No	No	No	-Game Camera: I have cameras on my property...I do not use them for hunting, but to make sure I do not have trespassers. Come on, how are you going to differentiate the use from hunting to surveillance? This rule is not enforceable. Even if it were being used on public land...some people set up camp and when they leave their camp they need to have surveillance. Latah/Benewah County had a meth head and his meth head girl-friend breaking into hunting camps and stealing whatever they could get their hands on. This proposed rule is ridiculous! -Cell Phone/Two-Way Radio: How are you going to prove that the two-way radio being carried by a hunter was used to aid in hunting a big game animal? Maybe, just maybe the two-way radio is being carried because the hunter uses it to communicate with their hunting party that they are ok or they need help because they are disabled, or new to the area (non-resident). Or the cell phone is carried because it is used for pictures or for the same as a two-way radio. If the two way-radio or cell phone rule is adopted, it is an unenforceable rule, therefore it should not be adopted. This rule should not be considered.	Mike R		Latah County	Idaho
1748	7/7/2017 14:37	Yes	No	Neutral	What will be the definition of as an aide to hunting? Can I have them up preseason to scout and get pictures? Can I have them up during season and just not check them? I like to see animals and watch them on my trail camera. Banning traditional game cameras that a person must go to the location and pull an sd card to check is wrong. There is no unfair advantage to see what animals are in the area and allowing a hunter to be more selective and take mature animals instead of all the young ones.	Amber Hollis		meridian	ID
1749	7/7/2017 14:37	No	No	No	quit taking our rights away.	Bret Jones		Kellogg	idaho
1750	7/7/2017 14:39	No	No	No		Jarred crezee		Firth	Idaho
1751	7/7/2017 14:40	No	No	No		Jake Watson	Citizen	Boise	Idaho
1752	7/7/2017 14:41	Yes	No	Yes		Del Achenbach	None	Box 197.Deary	Idaho
1753	7/7/2017 14:41	No	No	No		Mike buck		Emmett	Idaho
1754	7/7/2017 14:41	Yes	No	No	I use 2 way radio while hunting big game to help me know where other hunters in my group are. Sometimes young hunters need to be able to be in contact with older hunters. This is a safety issue in my opinion.	Kevin Griffiths		Chubbuck	870 Canal

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1755	7/7/2017 14:42	No	No	No		Jason Heinz		Chubbuck	Idaho
1756	7/7/2017 14:43	No	No	No		Charles		Sweet	Idaho
1757	7/7/2017 14:43	Yes	No	Yes		Del Achenbach	None	Box 197.Deary	Idaho
1758	7/7/2017 14:44	No	No	No		Tod Navarro		Bayview	Idaho
1759	7/7/2017 14:44	Yes	Neutral	Yes	I support a ban on trail cameras especially ones designed for wireless communication during open hunting seasons.	Chad Eidson		Moses Lake	WA
1760	7/7/2017 14:45	Yes	No	Yes		Monty R. White		GlennsFerry	ID
1761	7/7/2017 14:45	Yes	No	Yes	I am getting up there in age and can't make it into the woods like I use too. I love seeing photos from my grandkids trail cams. I would hate to see them banned. I agree the wireless transmitting cameras should be banned. I know i am not the only one who likes to see photos of my old past time and of our wildlife in general. I don't see that traditional game cameras give an unfair advantage.	Bob Hilkey		middleton	ID
					Reading the rule as written it is confusing. SO would one be able to still use game cameras in the field during hunting season if the hunter doesn't hunt the day of checking them or the day after				
1762	7/7/2017 14:45	No	No	No		Dustin Hale		Pocatello	Id
1763	7/7/2017 14:45	Yes	No	No		Joel Cory		Ashton	ID
1764	7/7/2017 14:45	No	No	No	I believe there should be no restrictions. I believe this topic could be argued both directions . We are getting restricted to death!!!! People are just going to stop hunting.	Brett Cordingley		Rexburg	Idaho
1765	7/7/2017 14:46	Neutral	No	No	If you arw out hunting, you should be able to communicate with other hunters that you went out with. Will this prohibit multiple people from cumminating or just stop live streaming? Live streaming i do not agree with but still cameras you have to go to to check i am fine with. Hunting the same day as checking the	Shellie Tolman		Sugar City	Id
1766	7/7/2017 14:46	No	No	No		Mike Anderson		Rexburg	Idaho
1767	7/7/2017 14:48	Yes	Yes	No	If sportsmen want to take big game, it's more rewarding to locate it using traditional methods (scouting, tracking, etc). Trail cameras and other electronics are just plain cheating. Nobody in this country depends on taking wild game for survival, so the most sportsmanlike rule is the one that challenges the hunter to use their own senses and abilities.	Philip Lovelace		Pocatello	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1768	7/7/2017 14:49	No	No	No	I use trail cameras every year, and I have never killed an animal that we had a picture of before I harvested it. In my opinion trail cameras simply help us as hunters narrow down an area to hunt. Also because we get picture of giant bulls and bucks, we are much less likely to shoot a young animal in search of the big one we got a picture of which in many cases saves the animal population because in our search for a giant buck or bull we run out of time during our hunt and then don't kill anything.	James Boyd		Klamath Falls	Oregon
1769	7/7/2017 14:49	No	No	No		Colter Lowe		Horseshoe Bend	Idaho
1770	7/7/2017 14:49	Yes	Yes	Yes		Jeremiah Geary		Post Falls	Idaho
1771	7/7/2017 14:50	Yes	No	Neutral	I do not like the idea of banning all trail cameras. Is it really an unfair advantage if I go to my blind, check my camera and then hunt? Animals do not go to the same exact spot every day at the same exact time. There is no advantage to using a trail camera within 24hrs of harvest. Calling is more of an advantage to the hunters. Are we going to ban all calling next? With that said I do agree wireless transmitting should be ban as that can alert potential hunters of an animals presence	Craig Jones		Nampa	Idaho
1772	7/7/2017 14:51	No	No	No		Anthony Pottenger		Harrison	Idaho
1773	7/7/2017 14:51	Yes	No	Yes		Robert Lloyd		Mccammon	Idaho
1774	7/7/2017 14:52	Neutral	No	No		Mike Murphy		Pocatello	Idaho
1775	7/7/2017 14:53	Yes	Yes	Yes	Let's take it back to a more simple time. To when those that hunted had to learn other skills than just pulling the trigger. I am all for restricting the use of video surveillance for big game.	Steve		Postfalls	Id
1776	7/7/2017 14:53	No	Yes	Yes		Travis Meyer	Sandpoint Hunter	Sandpoint	Idaho
1777	7/7/2017 14:53	Yes	No	Yes	Fair chase is a big issue. Equitability in the availability of technology to all hunters would not be fair.	James Cattin		Cheney	Washington
1778	7/7/2017 14:53	No	No	No		Kevin Lupton		Hailey	Id
1779	7/7/2017 14:54	Yes	No	No		Sara Bellah		Coolin	Idaho
1780	7/7/2017 14:55	Yes	No	No	I think transmitting game cameras should be outlawed and that's it. Game cams are useful and have a place in Idaho hunting. Also outlawing two way communication is ridiculous and potentially a huge safety violation to Idaho hunters. Don't even consider it, someone gets lost and dies because they read somewhere two communications were outlawed would be an embarrassing travesty for fish and game and Idaho as a whole.	Tyler Carrico		Silverton	Idaho
1781	7/7/2017 14:55	No	No	No		Spencer Uhl		Twin Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1782	7/7/2017 14:56	No	No	Yes	By my understanding it is already illegal in Idaho to use transmitting devices to aid in big game harvest	Ty Berry		Malad	Id
1783	7/7/2017 14:58	No	No	No	I think trail cameras can aid I. Only taking nature game, or the right sex of game. Two way communications are needed in order for hunters not to lose one another. If you say they can't use them at all this will likely cause other issues.	Katie yearout		St. Maries	Idaho
1784	7/7/2017 14:59	Neutral	No	No		William gray		IdAho falls	Idaho
1785	7/7/2017 15:00	No	No	No	This is absolutely ridiculous ! Trail cameras let you know what is in the area. It doesn't have a weapon that deploys when the animal you've been hunting walks in front of it. That's how this proposal basically sounds.	Ryan		St.maries	Idaho
1786	7/7/2017 15:00	No	No	No	restricting or doing away with the use of trail cameras and or 2 way radios would be the worst rule change you could make. Trail cameras help you people do your job by keeping the non honest people honest. Things people would normally do they do not anymore because they are scared they may get caught on a trail camera doing something they should not be doing. Many hunters use 2 way radios as an emergency tool. To eliminate them would cause many people mostly women and children to not want to	Jared Wilhelm		Pocatello	Idaho
1787	7/7/2017 15:01	No	No	No	Trail cameras aid in scouting not harvesting. You would be arrogant to think this aids in or attracts an animal to an area.	Jon		Rathdrum	Idaho
1788	7/7/2017 15:01	No	No	No	restricting or doing away with the use of trail cameras and or 2 way radios would be the worst rule change you could make. Trail cameras help you people do your job by keeping the non honest people honest. Things people would normally do they do not anymore because they are scared they may get caught on a trail camera doing something they should not be doing. Many hunters use 2 way radios as an emergency tool. To eliminate them would cause many people mostly women and children to not want to	Jared Wilhelm		Pocatello	Idaho
1789	7/7/2017 15:01	No	No	No		Patrick Eiman		Twin Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1790	7/7/2017 15:01	Yes	No	Yes	trail cameras that transmit images is not right. you could be at home and get text with a image of a buck in your spot and then slide in for a kill. however if you have to check your camera in the field I have no problem with that. seeing a picture of a animal that was there yesterday is no different than seeing yesterdays tracks. 2way comunication I have a problem with because some people will abuse it. However, we all carry cell phones for emergencies, meeting up with family and friends, letting people know you need help packing meet and of course cell phones are now our cameras. It would be tuff to enforce and I see many legal issues for the department. Now if you catch a group of hunters using 2way communications to aid in taking a animal please hang them from the highest tree.	Rod Arrhenius		Boise	ID
1791	7/7/2017 15:01	Yes	No	Yes	I support the use of trail cameras but not the use of those cameras that could be viewed remotely.	Kevin		Lewiston	Id
1792	7/7/2017 15:01	No	No	No		Charlie		Gooding	Idaho
1793	7/7/2017 15:03	No	No	No		Kirk grogan		Spirit lake	Idaho
1794	7/7/2017 15:03	Yes	No	No		Camiel		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1795	7/7/2017 15:03	No	No	No	The logistics of trying to enforce these proposed rules are challenging at best and create too many gray areas left for interpretation and abuse. The use of trail cameras wither they send photos/videos do not create an unfair advantage to the hunter, as there are no guaranties that an animal will pass by everyday at the same time, or that an animal wouldn't detect the hunter and avoid the area. Then to prohibit a hunter from hunting for two days after checking a camera is an enforcement nightmare that would require far too many man hours to even attempt to enforce. The way the propose rule is written, it prohibits the hunter from hunting two days period, not in the location of the camera, but everywhere. Regarding the 2-way communication devices, this too is challenging to enforce and ripe for abuse by both enforcement officers and hunters. There is no verbiage limiting the time frame or location of such conveyance of big game animals. The way it is written, if I saw a big game animal while out hunting and called a friend or family member that night on my cell phone and told him/her the location I had seen the animal we would be in violation of this rule. Even if this is rewritten to include "while in the field", this rule is not feasible to enforce. While I do carry a cell phone and occasionally a GPS or a radio, they are for my use in monitoring the location of a family member or to convey my location to a family member as a safety precaution. The use of such devices doesn't provide a hunter with an unfair advantage. But using my cell phone in the field followed shortly by me harvesting an animal could be construed as violating this rule if I was being watched by an enforcement officer who wasn't able to hear my conversation.	Brent	public land c	Rexburg	Idaho
1796	7/7/2017 15:04	Yes	No	No		Camiel		Pocatello	Idaho
1797	7/7/2017 15:04	Yes	No	Yes		Herbert Klein		Sagle	ID - Idaho
1798	7/7/2017 15:04	Yes	No	Neutral		Russell TACKETT		Greenup	ky
1799	7/7/2017 15:05	No	No	No	This proposal is absorbed, not only do cameras not help at the time but restricting communication within hunting parties while in the field can lead to more lost hunters, and the ability for someone to call for help if they become injured	Vern robinson		Idaho Falls	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1800	7/7/2017 15:05	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hunters in our great state have taken to the field for generations without the need of electronic devices and have been successful. Please hold your ground on this issue, there is no need for trail cameras/monitoring devices, lighted nocks, expanding broadheads, or any new flavor of the month device that may come along. Hunting has always had a sense of simplicitie that supports the teaching of traditions to the next generation of hunters, theses devises erode these traditions.	Michael Salazar		Pocatello	Idaho
1801	7/7/2017 15:05	No	No	Neutral		Judd leguineche		Gooding	Idaho
1802	7/7/2017 15:08	No	No	No					
1803	7/7/2017 15:08	No	No	No					
1804	7/7/2017 15:08	No	No	No		Haley Gosline		Kingston	Idaho
1805	7/7/2017 15:10	Yes	No	No		Casey McCormack		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
1806	7/7/2017 15:12	Yes	No	Yes		Tim koerner		Cda	Id
1807	7/7/2017 15:14	No	No	No	why do you have to kill?	Venus Endicott	Nez Perce Tr	Lewiston, ID	Idaho
1808	7/7/2017 15:15	No	No	No		Jason		Pinehurst	Idaho
1809	7/7/2017 15:16	No	No	No	My husband, father-in-law and I use trail cameras when we scout and we never kill the animals that we get pictures of but it does help us to locate where the animals are coming to. I feel that trail cameras only aid us in finding the general area of where the animals are. It is still incredibly difficult to harvest an animal. I also feel that using trail camera help preserve the population. For example, when we get a picture of a really big buck, we try our best to harvest him and pass up smaller animals. This allows them to grow and helps the population grow stronger.	Nicole		Klamath Falls	Oregon
1810	7/7/2017 15:17	Yes	Yes	Yes		Danielle Charters		Boise	ID
1811	7/7/2017 15:18	Yes	No	No	I think wireless trail cameras should be restricted but not all. Trail cameras are a use of studying areas, animals patterns etc, they do not help hunts in anyway. Of course it shows you which animals are hanging around, but does not help a hunter. Scent, wind direction, noise, and being able to sit still for hours is what helps a hunter take big game, not the use of a trail camera, before you start making rules , you should be looking at how The idaho fish and game claims they know how to manage	Clinton Anders		Salmon	Idaho
1812	7/7/2017 15:19	No	No	No		Matthew Pooler		Hayden	ID
1813	7/7/2017 15:21	No	No	No		Nichole Miller		Bonnors ferry	Id
1814	7/7/2017 15:21	No	No	No		Zack Zander		Soda Springs	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1815	7/7/2017 15:22	No	No	No		Gary Haltom	Hunter	Meridian	Idaho; 836
1816	7/7/2017 15:23	Yes	No	No	I don't support the restriction of cameras except wireless transmitting Cameras that can be viewed remotely. Analog/digital cameras that require you to visit the site should remain legal with no restriction of when you can resume hunting the site after viewing the cam. I do not support the restriction of 2 way comm	James Morton	Idaho Resident	Mountain Home	ID
1817	7/7/2017 15:23	Yes	No	No		Mr. Smith		Anytown	Idaho
1818	7/7/2017 15:23	Yes	No	No	I feel like us as hunters are constantly on prowl to being taken advantage of. We buy our licenses and tags and put in hours and miles of time scouting and hunting. I agree wirelessly transmitted photos and videos should be illegal as one could stalk in on the animal when they see it's there. But still motion pictures on an sd card you physically have to walk up to and check is fair hunting in my opinion. It let's us know whether or not there are mature animals in the area or in some instances if you took a bad shot, did the animal return healthy etc. Also two way radios and cell phones aren't used as an aid in any way at least in personal experience, we use them to contact other hunters in the group if we have an animal down and need help or perhaps you fall and break your leg, and now it's proposed to be illegal? Law or not, I will never go out without some way to contact help if need be and I'm sure others hunters agree with this logic.	Christopher Peoples		LEWISTON	Idaho
1819	7/7/2017 15:24	No	No	No		Ben Reynolds		Yakima	WA
1820	7/7/2017 15:25	No	No	No		Zachariah Southwell		Klamath Falls	OR
1821	7/7/2017 15:25	Yes	Yes	No		rich kinyon		castleford	idaho
1822	7/7/2017 15:28	No	No	No	Fish and Game, you make it more and more difficult to enjoy hunting in this great State of Idaho. If you would like to make "considerations of fair chase, ethics, and sportsmanship" then you should restrict the number of out of state tags and licenses issued	Keith Aamodt		Kellogg	Idaho
1823	7/7/2017 15:28	Yes	No	No	No way of enforcing the ban of two way communication. Safety reasons and no way to tell if it's getting used for hunting or not.	Dennis Young		Caldwell	Idaho
1824	7/7/2017 15:29	No	No	No	My husband and I use our phones or radios to check on eachother. I don't think that should be restricted.	Alicia Ward		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1825	7/7/2017 15:29	No	No	Neutral	I sometimes use trail cameras on my trap line to make sure people don't steal my traps. I have used trail cameras for along time I don't believe I have ever harvested a animal that I have a previous picture of. We enjoy seeing what is out there . I t don't help with harvesting a animal . Your time in the woods	Michael winterringer		Kamiah	Idaho
1826	7/7/2017 15:29	No	No	Yes	I'm a Licensed Guide out of Eastern Oregon and the only problem that I recognize is the use of 2 way radio's to be able to aid another Hunter to a Game Animal by way of a Drone or other flying object from over head!	Greg Dyson	Guide/Hunte	Canyon City	Oregon
1827	7/7/2017 15:32	Yes	Yes	No	I believe that restrictions related to two-way radios could possibly put some individuals in danger.	Travis Galloway		Post Falls	Idaho
1828	7/7/2017 15:33	Yes	No	Yes	I strongly support the use of trail cameras, except those that stream real time pictures and video.	Nick Styhl		Iona	ID
1829	7/7/2017 15:33	Yes	Neutral	No	Restricting two-way radios is a massive safety concern. Hunters who become lost in New areas could find themselves in life-threatening situations without radios. Secondly something as small as a sprained ankle can become a life-threatening situation and without radios may find themselves unable to reach help	Peter Wheeler		Parma	Idaho
1830	7/7/2017 15:38	Yes	Yes	No		Larson		Post Falls	Idaho
1831	7/7/2017 15:38	No	No	No	Why? Makes as much sense as not allowing mechanical	John		Bountiful	Utah
1832	7/7/2017 15:39	Yes	No	No		Mike Banks		Rathdrum	Idaho
1833	7/7/2017 15:40	Yes	No	No		Braden Buttars		Lewiston	Idaho
1834	7/7/2017 15:40	No	No	No		LaVoy Eborn	Hunter	Paris	Idaho
1835	7/7/2017 15:41	No	No	Yes		Stace Duval		Ammon	ID
1836	7/7/2017 15:41	Yes	No	No		john dickman	avid hunter	Pocatello	Idaho
1837	7/7/2017 15:41	Yes	Yes	Yes		Matt Drews		Osburn	Idaho
1838	7/7/2017 15:42	No	No	No	The use of cameras are a great hunting tool. Please do not add another rule that will push hunters away from a long time family tradition.	Tyson Smith		Soda Springs	Idaho
1839	7/7/2017 15:44	Yes	No	Yes		Christopher Mickelsen		Rupert	Idaho
1840	7/7/2017 15:45	No	No	No		Megan Thomas		Malad	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1841	7/7/2017 15:46	Yes	No	No	There is no advantage gained from using a trail camera. It simply allows a person to get pictures of game that would likely not ever be seen. I enjoy my camera pictures from my game camera. I have NEVER harvested an animal at my game camera location, but I sure enjoy knowing that the animals exist in the area. I think there are bigger issues that need to be addressed, such as enforcing catch and release fishing regulations - specifically on the Lochsa and Weitas. I also think the whitetail buck population in unit 11 and 11A are being hunted to the point that it is rare to see a mature buck in those areas. Too many days to hunt whitetail	Bruce Wassmuth		Cottonwood	Idaho
1842	7/7/2017 15:47	No	No	No	It's silly this even a discussion. Trail cams get sportsmen excited about hunting and creates tons of conversations around hunting and the potential big game that is out there in Idaho. I would not eliminate this.	Logan Coulter		Nampa	Idaho
1843	7/7/2017 15:48	Yes	Yes	No		mike wright		buhl	Idaho
1844	7/7/2017 15:50	No	No	No	We use trail cams for the fun of it, never have had it " put me on an animal ". Still have to walk into the areas ...	Vic Peterson		Emmett	ID
1845	7/7/2017 15:53	No	No	No		Luke Austin		Deary	Idaho
1846	7/7/2017 15:57	Yes	No	Neutral	These will be an enforcement nightmare. How will officers be able to tell if cameras were checked that day. Ban the transmitting cameras from the start of the season and leave the others alone. Enforcement of a radio ban would be the same. No way to tell if it was a legal communication or not. Now is the time to restrict transmitting pictures with cameras and drones.	Steve Walling		Pocatello	Idaho
1847	7/7/2017 15:58	Yes	Yes	Yes		Steve Reynolds		Horseshoe Bend	ID
1848	7/7/2017 15:58	No	No	No		Kathrin McCarthy		Caldwell	Idaho
1849	7/7/2017 16:01	Yes	No	No	Stop the wireless transmitted pictures, but keep the regular use of game cameras.	Chester Davidson		Post Falls	ID
1850	7/7/2017 16:04	Yes	Yes	Yes		Darrelld Walton		Arco	Idaho
1851	7/7/2017 16:07	No	Neutral	No		Rod Schaeffer		Mercer	PA
1852	7/7/2017 16:08	Yes	No	No		Kyle		Lewiston	ID
1853	7/7/2017 16:08	Neutral	Neutral	No	As for trail cameras I dont have an opinion. But as for using communication devices I see no reason to restrict. They also can help you and your partner know where you are for safety reasons.	James Harris		Caldwell	Idaho
1854	7/7/2017 16:18	No	No	No		Sabrina		Sagle	Id
1855	7/7/2017 16:22	Yes	No	Yes		Debra Valenzuela		Idaho falls	Idaho
1856	7/7/2017 16:26	No	No	No		John McAdams		Post falls	IDAHO (ID)

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1857	7/7/2017 16:26	No	No	No	Aren't tags enough? Stop restricting hunters.	Jason Gibbs	None	Rigby	ID
1858	7/7/2017 16:27	Yes	Yes	Yes		Ross Copperman		Hailey	Idaho
1859	7/7/2017 16:28	No	No	No	2-way radios are a necessity to communicate with your party in cases one gets lost, hurt, etc since in most remote places in Idaho do not have cellular services. Using trail camera does not mean that the animal seen is going to be standing next to the tree waiting for the hunter to return, I don't see the issue	Jessica Priest		Boise	Idaho
1860	7/7/2017 16:28	No	No	No	We use Two-way electronics as a safety measure if someone is	STACY L PEARCE		Red Bluff	CA
1861	7/7/2017 16:29	No	No	No		KEVIN PEARCE		RED BLUFF	California
1862	7/7/2017 16:29	No	No	No		Craig Lathen		Pocatello	Idaho
1863	7/7/2017 16:29	Yes	No	No	I believe that normal game camera do not cause any issues with hunting as they are not contacting you to tell you the game is there at that specific time. The two way radios as used for the purpose of hunting is already not legal. To use the radio as communication as to your whereabouts for the purpose of not getting lost or emergency or to communicate in general should not be restricted. The GPS radios would also be impacted and would be a step in the wrong direction for the hunter trying to keep tabs on The learning process for children and adults. People could be more susceptible to being lost and end up in death if not able to communicate in an emergency situation.	Jason topp	Hunter	Sandpoint	Idaho
1864	7/7/2017 16:29	No	No	No		Kaden Bodi Pearce		Red Bluff	CA
1865	7/7/2017 16:30	Yes	No	No	The cameras that transmit wireless can be used as a hunting aid, while just a camera is just used for locating and seeing what is in the area. If a hunter is going to spend the time and effort to set out cameras that he has to physically check. That should be his advantage. Two way radios can be a good way to communicate with fellow hunters to check on them for safety and or if they need help. Some people use them to talk another hunter on a sneak, but most hunters I know do not.	Bill Stutzman		Meridian	Idaho
1866	7/7/2017 16:30	No	No	No		Mike		Boise	Idaho
1867	7/7/2017 16:31	Yes	No	No		Sean Wasden		Nampa	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1868	7/7/2017 16:31	Yes	Yes	Yes	Thank you for drawing a line in the sand regarding technology. Hunting technology has become a slippery slope. If you didn't stop this technology now, you would be doing something similar with cameras on drones in a few years. "Primitive weapons hunts" defy any resemblance of the primitive weapons that were the premise for the original liberal hunting seasons. Some rifle "hunters" are now taking shots of up to 1000 yards with the newest technology in scopes and rifles. Over the last 50 years, luck and skill has gradually been replaced by money and technology. I would encourage you to consider reversing the technological revolution by designating a high quality traditional archery (or muzzleloader) hunt unit similar to Oregon's Trout Creek Unit. It might initially be slow to catch on, but in the long-term would provide more hunting opportunity with minimal effect on managed populations. While my information is anecdotal, I have talked to hunters from other states that hunt this unit because it is uniquely "traditional." There are still hunters that	Lyle Lewis	None	Springfield	Oregon
1869	7/7/2017 16:32	Neutral	No	No	Collecting trail camera pictures is one of my main summer and fall activities. It's enjoyable to learn what caliber of animals and just what kind of animals are in the area you posted a trail camera. Most of the time I won't even have a tag for the unit im hanging my cameras in. It's just a good way to pass the time until hunting season arrives. I don't use the satellite ones that send pictures to your device the minute it takes them, but at the same time I don't see why they should be illegal. As far as use of a two-way radio, I'm still going to have one on me regardless of whatever law you decide. It's a matter of personal safety and for those I'm hunting with. No we don't use them to talk each other into an animals bed but we do use them to figure out where the other is at. Especially important in an area where cell service is impossible to get, what is one supposed to do if they break a leg or wind up with some sort of serious injury. Shoot three times and hope for the best? Maybe the law won't say your not supposed to have a two way radio at all but how are you	Kyle		Gooding	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1870	7/7/2017 16:35	Yes	No	No	During the normal trail camera you need to go check and get the card or pictures from the camera is acceptable. Transmitting that to a smart phone is not!	Curt Giese	None	Meridian	Idaho
1871	7/7/2017 16:35	No	No	No		Maurika S Knott		Moscow	Idaho
1872	7/7/2017 16:37	No	No	No	Still have to have the skills to get into the right place at the right time to get a shot!	Jeff Jackman		Red Bluff	California
1873	7/7/2017 16:38	Yes	No	Yes		Zane bass		Nampa	Idaho
1874	7/7/2017 16:38	No	No	No		James Nelson		Shelley	ID
1875	7/7/2017 16:39	No	No	No		Billy Redd		Klamath Falls	Oregon
1876	7/7/2017 16:41	No	No	Yes	I do not believe it should be acceptable to receive real-time feedback on game presence via an electronic device ie wireless game cams.. it takes the sport out of it. However, i do feel normal trail cams should be allowed. they only notify you of traffic in that area well after it has happened (when you go to check your camera). Not sure what number 3 is referring to. Hunters need hand held radios for logistics and coordination in the woods. It is a safety thing. It would be ludicrous to ban two way radios.	Guy Bonner		Weippe	ID
1877	7/7/2017 16:44	No	No	No		Lonnypinnock	Hunter	Rigby	Idaho
1878	7/7/2017 16:46	No	No	No	I think 2 way radio is a must when hunting for safety especially with young hunters and people new to an area. I think beeps from the radio likely scare away more animals than you gain from using them and I strongly disagree with restricting the use of	David Pond		Boise	Idaho
1879	7/7/2017 16:48	No	No	No	Two-way electronic communications can be a huge help to new hunters unsure of exactly what they are seeing.	Katie Woodard	Military,	Cda Coeur D Alene	ID - Idaho
1880	7/7/2017 16:49	No	No	No	2 way radios are for safety... cameras just show what was there.	John	None	Nampa	Idaho
1881	7/7/2017 16:50	No	No	No		Hunter kotschevar		Coeurdalene	Idaho
1882	7/7/2017 16:51	Yes	No	Yes	the last one has safety issues attached to it but the use of radios to work game has to stop	Aaron		Sandpoint	ID
1883	7/7/2017 16:51	No	No	No		Mardell Fresh	Hunter	Idaho falls	Id.
1884	7/7/2017 16:55	No	No	No		Kintauna		Coeur d alene	Idaho
1885	7/7/2017 16:56	No	No	No		Matt capson		Blackfoot	Idaho
1886	7/7/2017 17:00	No	No	No		Melissa		Caldwell	Idaho
1887	7/7/2017 17:01	No	No	No	Who comes up with this Crap.	Danny Peterson	Hunter	Pinehurst	ID
1888	7/7/2017 17:01	No	No	No	Who comes up with this Crap.	Danny Peterson	Hunter	Pinehurst	ID
1889	7/7/2017 17:02	No	No	No	It's important to be able to have a radio in case you get lost	Dan Nagrone	Hunter,	Resi Coeurd'alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1890	7/7/2017 17:03	No	No	No	The use of cameras is for studying habits of animals and also gauging caliber or quality. It does not directly impact the hunters ability to take game.	Mike		Selah	Wa
1891	7/7/2017 17:07	Yes	No	Neutral	If you have to hike into get your card out of your camera and take it back to your computer to view you should be allowed to hunt same day or next day i think that using cameras that wireless send pics i would agree to a restriction.	Darrin Hibbs		Post Falls	Idaho
1892	7/7/2017 17:15	No	No	No	We need these!! There is a hand filled of people that miss use these but the other true sportsman use these to enjoy the wildlife and to help communicate with each other.	Kody Mackenzie	Sportsmen	Idaho City	Idaho
1893	7/7/2017 17:15	No	No	No	I feel these types of restrictions are useless and accomplish nothing. Idaho Fish and Game has imposed enough restrictions	Liberty Smith		Caldwell	Idaho
1894	7/7/2017 17:15	No	No	Neutral	Two way communication is important when hunting with others especially if you split up, etc. However the use of cell phones, two way radio's can and are used to assist in helping others locate game by unethical hunters. The gray area is allowing the use for non hunting communication, while not allowing it to aid in the harvest of a game animal. How is it enforced, what defines when legal becomes illegal, how does fish and game get that information without violating our 4th amendment?	Brian		Eagle	ID
1895	7/7/2017 17:18	No	No	No		Denise		Garden Valley	Idaho
1896	7/7/2017 17:20	No	No	No		Justin		Shelley	Idaho
1897	7/7/2017 17:20	No	No	No		Eric Garside		Rathdrum	Idaho
1898	7/7/2017 17:21	No	No	No	I'm all for fair chase , but really don't see how looking at game camera pics of what is walking by at times is really hurting any thing , really is not in my opion as big of an advantage as long range shooting or range finders or a lot of things just another limit imposed on sportsman and an inforcement night mare. You still got to be there and put in the time . Just my opinion.	Daniel Hooper		Moyie Springs	Id
1899	7/7/2017 17:21	No	No	No	These tools help hunters choose the more mature animals and help judge sex. I can only guess IDFG is looking for more revenue. I can see already IDFG agents scanning Facebook for trail cam photos looking for 16 year olds instead of hunting for actual	David Hoyer		Plummer	Id
1900	7/7/2017 17:23	No	No	Neutral	As an avid Bear hunter I can attest to the fact that Bears still have an upper hand even IF there's any trail cams being used, IDF&G wants a higher Bear harvest in most areas of the state? Then DO NOT tamper with existing trail cam regulations (which there's	Troy Hess	Yellowstone	Post Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1901	7/7/2017 17:26	Yes	No	No		Bart Thomas		Chubbuck	Idaho
1902	7/7/2017 17:27	Yes	No	No	If wireless cameras are to be used I thing there should be a 2 hour delay on when the picture/video is transmitted. This will allow fair chase and be cost effective for the hunter who might otherwise spend hundreds in fuel costs to check a camera.	Clayton Wilson		Ashton	Idaho
1903	7/7/2017 17:29	Neutral	No	Neutral		Tyler long		Clark fork	Idaho
1904	7/7/2017 17:33	No	No	No	The ONLY thing I don't mind restricting is the use of ear pieces being used to guide hunters in on game via radio. Now the radios such on a garmin rhino should not be restricted because those of that nature are needed on hunts for safety and also recovering hit	Casey Fairchild		Buhl	ID
1905	7/7/2017 17:36	Yes	No	No	Use of radios hound hunting is a safety for the dogs issue. Keeping them off of Rd ways and letting log trucks know your	travis Hunter		Fernwood	Idaho
1906	7/7/2017 17:36	No	No	No	Two way communication devices are a safety measure. Game cameras are no different then preseason scouting. They do not provide an unfair advantage to the hunter.	Scott Rios		Wilder	Idaho
1907	7/7/2017 17:38	No	No	No		Adelee		Soda springs	ID
1908	7/7/2017 17:38	No	No	No		Shane Richards		Pierce	ID
1909	7/7/2017 17:38	No	No	Neutral		JD Henry		St.Anthony	Idaho
1910	7/7/2017 17:39	No	No	No		Holly Borresen		IONA	Idaho
1911	7/7/2017 17:41	Yes	Yes	Yes		Gregory Jones		Garden Valley	Id
1912	7/7/2017 17:41	No	No	No		Todd Springer		Meridian	Idaho
1913	7/7/2017 17:46	Yes	No	Yes		Walter Ferrell		Boise	Id
1914	7/7/2017 17:48	No	No	No		Daniel Hill		COEUR D ALENE	Idaho
1915	7/7/2017 17:49	Yes	No	Yes		Ben Aguilar		Pocatello	ID
1916	7/7/2017 17:49	No	No	No		Bobbie watkins		Wilder	Idaho
1917	7/7/2017 17:49	No	No	No		Drew sparrow	Male	Dayton	Idaho
1918	7/7/2017 17:50	No	No	No		Hailey sparrow		Dayton	Idaho
1919	7/7/2017 17:56	No	No	No		Dustin Sparrow		Dayton	Idaho
1920	7/7/2017 18:00	No	No	No		Shelby scoffield		Gooding	Id
1921	7/7/2017 18:02	No	No	No	I don't believe these enter the realm of bad ethics or sportsmanship in hunting big game	Tristan Garceau		Bellevue	Idaho
1922	7/7/2017 18:02	No	No	No		Mike mathies		Post falls	Id
1923	7/7/2017 18:03	No	No	No		Dillon sparrow		Dayton	Idaho
1924	7/7/2017 18:04	No	No	No		Kylie McClure		Ola	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1925	7/7/2017 18:05	No	No	Yes	The trail camera restriction is ridiculous. They are used for scouting. Not for real time hunting. Please please do not restrict the use of trail cameras. They are not an unfair advantage to the animals. They are an integral part of responsible hunting. They allow you to judge game, determine sex etc...	Dan drake		Middleton	Idaho
1926	7/7/2017 18:07	No	No	Yes	Trail cameras are a very good tool for determining the size or age class of an animal, or if the animals frequent a certain area. Anyone who has spent any amount of time hanging and using trail cameras know that they don't help you take a particular animal on a certain day or even season. You have to be there when the animal is there. It's just a nice tool to let you know certain things about the animals to give the blue collar Hunter a decent chance	Tivon Miller		Horseshoe bend	Idaho
1927	7/7/2017 18:08	No	No	No	Only restriction that I would support for trail cameras is a restriction on cameras that use cell phone or cellular data to remotely transmit photos. Bluetooth or other near field communication methods should be allowed. Restrictions on two way radios, text messages or cell phones should not be restricted in any way (other than current restrictions on air to ground).	Alan Braden		Meridian	ID
1928	7/7/2017 18:10	Yes	No	No	These are a waste of government time. The use of these items has no determent to hunting or harvest. Quit listening to Oregon and California. These rule changes are absurd.	Erik Dyal		Idaho Falls	Id
1929	7/7/2017 18:11	Yes	Yes	Yes		Justin Bailey		Eagle	Id
1930	7/7/2017 18:12	No	No	No		Jeffrey walker		Mccall	Idaho
1931	7/7/2017 18:12	No	No	No		Kelly Zimmerman		Boisr	ID
1932	7/7/2017 18:13	Yes	No	Yes	I see no problem with standard game camera checking on what bears are coming into bear bait. We are trying to identify mature male bears and not to harvest female bears. Especially females with cubs. We check our bait every 3 days and try to analyze what is hitting the bait. I see no problem with checking camera, re baiting and hunting all at the same time as it takes a lot of travel time to get to bait site. Not being able to check the camera and hunt would add significant travel expense and would most likely make me stop baiting and hunting.	Dennis Carter		Boise	Idaho
1933	7/7/2017 18:16	No	No	No	Communication is key for many uses in the field. And trail cams give hunters the opportunity to exam their game and make a smarter decision on the animal to take.	Dennis Campbell	Hunter	Idaho City	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1934	7/7/2017 18:18	No	No	No		Amber Barnes		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1935	7/7/2017 18:18	Yes	Neutral	No		Nicole dial		Blackfoot	Idaho
1936	7/7/2017 18:18	No	No	No		Trevor bassett		Post falls	Idaho
1937	7/7/2017 18:19	No	No	No	I do not believe that the use of trail cameras, two-way radios, and other electronic devices present any unethical advantages to harvest game. These are tools that can be deployed to allow the hunter to gain information about their quarry. Much the same as other scouting and hunting techniques. That prey must still be hunted in a fair-chase environment, which is left to the hunters skill, determination, and many other factors to determine if they	Derrick Pierce		Nampa	Idaho
1938	7/7/2017 18:19	No	No	No	We dont need any more restriction	Mike		Caldwell	Idaho
1939	7/7/2017 18:19	No	No	No	Trail cameras and radios should Be allowed as it aids to	Troy		Boise	Idaho
1940	7/7/2017 18:20	No	No	No	this is crazy. go back to California with these stupid rules	Owen		Post Falls	idaho
1941	7/7/2017 18:20	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fair chase has become a word that holds no weight with	Wade Potter		Mission Viejo	CA
1942	7/7/2017 18:21	No	No	No		James Shannon		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
1943	7/7/2017 18:25	Yes	No	No		Zack whitaker		Mountain home	Idaho
1944	7/7/2017 18:27	Yes	No	No		Ben		Phoenix	AZ
1945	7/7/2017 18:30	No	No	No	I'm curious if this is a problem, is success so high that now we need more restrictions to keep in line with management goals? Is there push back from the non hunting community about such devices? I see no reason to restrict use of any device at this point, ethical hunters which I believe to be the majority dont misuse cameras or communication.	Tyler Beeman		Coeur d alene	Idaho
1946	7/7/2017 18:35	No	No	No		Karin Sullivan		Fernwood	ID
1947	7/7/2017 18:37	No	No	No		Kyle ross		Kingston	Idaho
1948	7/7/2017 18:38	No	No	No		Fred Botts		Lod molinos	California
1949	7/7/2017 18:38	No	No	No		Dustin Schneider		idaho falls	id
1950	7/7/2017 18:41	No	No	No		Joshua Olson		Saint Maries	Idaho
1951	7/7/2017 18:41	No	No	No		Scott pierpont		Post falls	Idaho
1952	7/7/2017 18:45	No	No	No	I enjoy talking photos of big game. If I was out for a hike with phone I do not want to be treated as an illegal act taking a photo of an elk. Also the use of two radios or cell phone when hunting is away to communicate with family at base camp or at home..Trail Cameras should be tagged with owners information., just like crab pot. If on public land it should be subject to legal search if a crime has been committed in its rage of focus.	Christopher Larson		Post Falls	Idaho
1953	7/7/2017 18:46	No	No	No		Alicia Conlon		Shelley	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1954	7/7/2017 18:46	No	No	No		cris perry		dayton	id
1955	7/7/2017 18:47	No	No	No		Bonnie		Kingston	Idaho
1956	7/7/2017 18:48	No	No	No	Trail cameras are necessary over bear bait sites during season to assure you are running appropriate game and also to keep your dogs safe from wolves. Also two way radio/phone/gps communication is necessary during big game hunting with hounds	Conner Peery	Resident hur	Spirit Lake	Idaho
1957	7/7/2017 18:48	No	No	No		Kyle Jones		Deary	Idaho
1958	7/7/2017 18:48	Yes	No	No	I absolutely think transmitting camerasshould be banned. Too much grey area for "hunting from home". I do however think that if someone has taken the trip and put in work for a camera say on a bait or game trail that should remain legal. I'm not sure what the 2 way communication device is about but I would not be happy if I could not communicate with my wife or young some by a cell phone or 2 way radio	Kevin Franklin		Boise	Idaho
1959	7/7/2017 18:50	No	No	No					
1960	7/7/2017 18:51	No	No	No	Open the fucken gates to our OUR forests.	Claud Dallas		Your town	Idaho
1961	7/7/2017 18:51	No	No	No					
1962	7/7/2017 18:54	No	No	No	You have a tag and there is a limit set, one should not be restricted on filling that tag. Fare chase is a scam! These are resources for us to use. Do we argue fare chase while butchering a cow? It should be our freedom to pursue to fill our tag as we see fit. God gave us this resource to manage and use not to turn it into a sport. Special interest groups force fare chase on us to limit our freedom for their gain. Only fools fall for it! If you believe in it than you have believed in a lie. Step back and take a hard look at your life because you've probably believed many other lies.	Walter Beddow		Middleton	ID
1963	7/7/2017 18:54	No	No	No	Trail cameras are good to see whether it is worth hunting in the area or not, and I like to have a communication device so I can get help if I need it.	Melissa Chandler		Peck	Idaho
1964	7/7/2017 18:57	No	No	No		Zack Davis		Kuna	ID
1965	7/7/2017 18:58	Neutral	No	No	My father and I use two way radios in case we get separated or are tracking downed game. I believe this is a big issue to restrict	Cayleb krear		Kimberly	Idaho
1966	7/7/2017 19:00	Yes	No	No		Doug Armstrong		Ovid	IF
1967	7/7/2017 19:03	No	No	No		Tyler		New Plymouth	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1968	7/7/2017 19:03	No	No	Neutral		Judy Carson		Boise	Idaho
1969	7/7/2017 19:10	No	No	No	Would be pretty hard to accomplish with out violating freedom of speech.	Dillon Turner		St.Anthony	Idaho
1970	7/7/2017 19:10	No	No	No	Spend your money on something useful like getting a grizzly hunt open! Trail cams aid as a judgement tool and a safety tool as well (example. Think of a bear hunter with a bait, if he has a grizzly on a camera they would know not to turn hounds loose or possibly move to a different area) , also if the idaho fish and game want to restrict a person from having a communication device while hunting I can see a law suit already. (I know people in the past that have went hunting by themselves and left a 2 way radio at camp and carried one just in case something happened.) Also if the wardens interpret the law different (as we all know they do) and think that they can look through a person's phone I see an Using drones is wrong, trail cams and radios are ethical and fair	Tejay Barnes		Downey	Idaho
1971	7/7/2017 19:11	No	No	No		Sam wagner		Coeur d Alene	ID
1972	7/7/2017 19:13	Yes	No	Yes		Chris hyer		Meridian	Idaho
1973	7/7/2017 19:16	Yes	Neutral	Yes	I	Brady Bever		Salmon	Idaho
1974	7/7/2017 19:16	Yes	No	No		Brent Marty		Boise	Idaho
1975	7/7/2017 19:17	Yes	No	Neutral		Cheryl Howell		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1976	7/7/2017 19:17	Yes	No	Yes		Nathan		Montpelier	Idaho
1977	7/7/2017 19:19	Yes	No	No	Using trail cameras to track game movement is fine in my opinion but having real time access to that camera via smartphone or other methods is extreme and should not be allowed. As for two way communication devices, we use those in our hunt parties as a method of keeping track of each other to help avoid a party member becoming lost while hunting larger areas together. They also help ensure we are not shooting at game between us or in each other's general direction. I would be extremely dissatisfied if they were no longer allowed as we use them mainly for very important hunting safety reasons.	David Henderson		Meridian	Idaho
1978	7/7/2017 19:20	No	No	No		Chris Carpenter		Moyie springs	Idaho
1979	7/7/2017 19:23	Yes	No	Yes	I think trail cameras that don't transmit should still be allowed during the Big Game seasons. I'm against wirelessly transmitting of images from trail cameras (the only exception would be spring bear hunting seasons) and I'm against using any electronic device that can communicate an animals location.	Zack Boughton		Bozeman	Montana

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1980	7/7/2017 19:30	No	No	No		Darrel Owens		Shelley	Idaho
1981	7/7/2017 19:31	Yes	No	No		John L. Weston, Sr.		Jerome	Idaho
1982	7/7/2017 19:34	Yes	No	Neutral	I understand eliminating the use of the wirelessly transmitting devices because you know in real time where that animal is and I don't see that as fair chase anymore. Getting a gps location of a deer as he is standing there is anything but fair chase. However, as far as "regular" trail cameras are concerned I don't think they corrupt any ethical standpoint from the fair chase aspect as I still need to go check the camera and disturb the area before I even know what's been there, trail cameras allow me to take a more scientific approach tonpatterning peak times of activity as well as gauge mature bucks in the area so that I only harvest mature animals with minimal disruption of the area. Without the use of trail cameras people would have to resort to spotlight surveying fields to have an effective way to get inventory on the land they hunt. Which cause increase problems for you because there is always a concern for poachers in that situation. I also think this would have a negative effect on populations because hunters would be a lot less effective at harvesting mature animals, Limiting the harvests to does and yearlings even more than	Cameron Dalley		Idaho Falls	Idaho
1983	7/7/2017 19:34	Yes	Yes	Yes		Steven Armstrong		Coeur d'Alene	Id
1984	7/7/2017 19:37	No	No	No		Steve Blankenship		Nampa	I
1985	7/7/2017 19:38	No	No	Yes	Trail cameras are a good source of pre hunt. Called scouting. I see no issues.	Doug		Nampa	Idaho.
1986	7/7/2017 19:38	No	No	No	We need all means available for predator mitigation.	Todd Peery		Spirit lake	Idaho
1987	7/7/2017 19:40	No	No	No		Jeremie Streeter		Post falls	Id
1988	7/7/2017 19:40	No	No	No	With losing all the hunt able ground constantly here in Idaho then the cuffs of our own game and fish you will loose more and more ethical hunters. Take the cuffs off.	Kevin Lukens		Donnelly	Id
1989	7/7/2017 19:42	No	No	No		Craig R. Baker		Orofino	Idaho
1990	7/7/2017 19:45	Yes	No	Neutral		matt fowler		emmett	idaho
1991	7/7/2017 19:46	No	No	Yes	I use trail cameras to help me no what's moving on trail rather than wasting time and money for some thing that's not there the fish and game may have the money to waste but I don't.	DALE ROBINSON		McCammon	Idaho
1992	7/7/2017 19:54	No	No	Yes		Dave gendrow		Osburn	idaho
1993	7/7/2017 19:56	No	No	Yes		Ricky Nelson	None	Post Falls	Idaho
1994	7/7/2017 19:58	No	No	No		Robert Willis		Post Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
1995	7/7/2017 19:59	No	No	No		Bob Hawkins		Lewiston	Idaho
1996	7/7/2017 20:00	No	No	No		Jeffrey L Anderson	Handicapped	Soda Springs	Idaho
1997	7/7/2017 20:01	Yes	No	Yes	All drone use should be band for the use of hunting The use of transmitted data from trial camera to phone or computer I think should be band. The use of normal trail camera should be leagle as long as you actually have to go retrieve the data and still be able to hunt that day. Camera sights maybe should be tagged with owners name phone and address.	Matt Johnson	Sportsmans	Blackfoot	Idaho
1998	7/7/2017 20:03	No	No	No	I don't use either but at some point I might. They are used all over the country. Back off IFG	Paul Wilmot		Cocolalla	Idaho
1999	7/7/2017 20:03	Yes	No	No	I think the trail cameras that you have to check by going and checking them should still be used but the ones that send pictures to your phone should be banned. Also everybody that I know that has two way radios when they are hunting use them only if they need help not to use them for getting other people onto game	Jason davlin		Caldwell	Idaho
2000	7/7/2017 20:06	Yes	No	No		Chuck Gackstetter		Tyler	Minnesota
2001	7/7/2017 20:06	No	No	No		Brad Brown		Hayden	ID
2002	7/7/2017 20:07	No	No	No		Susie		Emmett	Idaho
2003	7/7/2017 20:07	No	No	No		Brent		Sanford	MI
2004	7/7/2017 20:08	No	No	No	I believe trail cameras help hunters do a better job of identifying the animals they want to harvest . Almost any rule can be abused by those who are not ethical. That is no reason to punish the majority of ethical hunters .	Les Ball	Self	St. Anthony	Idaho
2005	7/7/2017 20:08	No	No	No		MARK E BALDWIN		Emmett	ID
2006	7/7/2017 20:13	No	No	Yes		McDonaldPete		Elk	WA

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2007	7/7/2017 20:13	Yes	Neutral	Yes	<p>As an avid hunter and active supporter of conservation through the use of scientific research, I will admit that I do own a trail camera and I have enjoyed using it. It however is not capable of transmitting images wirelessly and I strongly feel that should be the limitation of all trail cam use. My trail cam has never aided me in taking an animal, but it has given me the opportunity to appreciate the game abundance and diversity in some of my favorite spots. I do strongly oppose the use of wireless trail cams and I could easily understand restricting and/or prohibiting the use of trail cams during season.</p> <p>On the concern of two-way radios and cell phones, this is a subject I strongly detest. There is a reason more record book bucks and bulls have come out of Utah and it is due to the use of electronic communication. You can watch countless videos on YouTube of MossBack Outfitters using numerous guides and spotters to cover miles of ground, just to put someone with a fat enough pocket book in front of an animal. I have even watched YouTube videos of people pursuing and taking big game in Idaho with the use of two-way radios.</p> <p>While in the back country my hunting partner and I both carry two-way radios, but for the purpose of safety while out solo for the day. We do hourly check-ins to keep tabs on each other. The Frank Church is a big place and safety is always our top priority when out there. This I feel is the only acceptable use of two-way</p>	Dirk Anderson Jr.	Active Hunte	Boise	Idaho
2008	7/7/2017 20:17	Yes	No	No	the use of technology has helped hunter to take more mature	gatzke		tucson	arizona
2009	7/7/2017 20:18	Neutral	No	No		Daniel Fuhrmann		Nampa	ID
2010	7/7/2017 20:31	No	No	No	For anyone that has hunted the rugged terrain of the Rocky Mountains, they would know two way communication is absolutely necessary. With the confidence of the location of a big game animal, it will allow for the opportunity of a closer, more confident shot. More confident shots equal more ethical hunting.	Joel Long		Rathdrum	Idaho
2011	7/7/2017 20:31	No	No	No		Dalton VanderPol		Post falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2012	7/7/2017 20:33	Yes	No	No	Cellphones/ twoway radios are important SAFETY items/tools. As always people will give fair chase or they won't. Legislating morality won't make people moral. Legalize lighted nocks already.	Jordan Phillips		Boise	Id
2013	7/7/2017 20:33	No	No	No		Jj Sherburne	Resident	Pocatello	ID
2014	7/7/2017 20:35	Yes	Yes	Yes		Bruce VanPelt		Bancroft	Idaho
2015	7/7/2017 20:38	No	No	No		GREG HAYES	U.S. CITIZEN	CALDWELL	ID
2016	7/7/2017 20:38	No	No	No	Ridiculous to halt the use of walkie talkies. What about the safety of hunters knowing where their party is?	Dustin Harris		Rigby	Idaho
2017	7/7/2017 20:38	No	No	No		Mitch Bitter		Terreton	Idaho
2018	7/7/2017 20:39	No	No	No		Scott conrad		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2019	7/7/2017 20:40	Neutral	No	Neutral	Trail cameras are tools used to help locate game animals. They help identify where and what animals are in an area.	Marv Nelson		Fernwood	ID
2020	7/7/2017 20:41	No	No	No		Harrison Bertsch		Rathdrum	Idaho
2021	7/7/2017 20:43	Yes	No	No		Matthew Joslin		Pocatello	Idaho
2022	7/7/2017 20:45	No	No	No		Jacob		Idaho falls	Idaho
2023	7/7/2017 20:45	Yes	Yes	No	Strongly agree - visual aids remove the sport of the game. Communication is essential for safety.	Michael		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2024	7/7/2017 20:51	No	No	No		Stephen wasylko		Sagle	ID
2025	7/7/2017 20:52	No	No	No		Jake butcher	David idaho	Waynesburg	Pa
2026	7/7/2017 20:52	No	Yes	No	I do not support restricting the use of two-way radios because they can be used for safety purposes. A hunter may get lost. Using two-radio can be used to find the lost hunter by calling his hunting companions close by. I hunter may be injured and need to call his companions nearby. Hunters can use two-way radios to let other companion hunters know their location so they do not accidentally shoot each other.	David Bradford		ALAMEDA	CA
2027	7/7/2017 20:53	Yes	No	No		Dick Ross		Sandpoint	Idaho
2028	7/7/2017 20:54	No	No	No		Cody christensen		Grace	Idaho
2029	7/7/2017 20:57	No	No	No	These new proposed rules on radio communication need to be stopped. It would lawfully hurt the sport of hound hunting, where 2 way radios are used in the recovery of sporting dogs especially in areas where wolves are present, (all of Idaho). The 2 way radio proposal conflicts with the matter of safety for dogs and hunters.	Travis Reggear	Outfitter	Orofino	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2030	7/7/2017 20:57	No	No	No	The state is to TRADITIONAL as it is when . It comes to hunting, especially archery. What I wouldn't have a problem with is if you put a 5-10 hrs waiting period on trail cameras so for example. you could check it the night before, otherwise your going to hurt the young working class who work 50+ hrs a week and can only hunt on the week ends	Tyral furgason	Resident Hui	Gooding	ID
2031	7/7/2017 20:58	No	No	No	I find rules stupid and illegal and unnecessary. Hunters have used these devices to help locate big game, and I find the restrictions against the rights of hunters making it harder to hunt. I feel that these rules being implemented are from people who don't like hunting and want hunting to be stopped, thus the stupid illegal regulations. There is not harm in using electrical cams or phones to help hunters to find where the big game is at. Granted hiking up hills and higher areas to look for game is the old school method. But to help out other buddy hunters find big game, two way radio's are a must; especially if there has been an accident. Two ways are always necessary in helping find people if they are lost or have been hurt. Taking that right away will put hunters more at risk. Please do away with these kinds of restrictions because they will do more harm and foul to hunters than doing	Stephanie Dawn Whip	I am a Idahc	Nampa	Idaho
2032	7/7/2017 21:01	No	No	No	I am against the restriction of game cameras, which aren't really used for "hunting" anyways, but I am very, extremely strongly opposed to a restriction on the use of radios (or I guess phones, although they often don't work anyways, so restricting them is basic idiocy). In fact I am so strongly opposed that if such a rule was to go into effect I would be organizing a group to overturn it, either through the courts or through legislation, whichever was	Travis Letteer		Deary	Idaho
2033	7/7/2017 21:01	No	No	No	No in my opinion our IDFG is a bunch of crooks! They keep restricting our hunting year after year. They should learn to manage the game and not money and quit screwing the guys who help fund them! The use of electronic communication and cameras does not aid as much as people think so they need to drop this	Brady		Idahofalls	Idaho
2034	7/7/2017 21:02	No	No	No		Steve		Rexburg	Idaho
2035	7/7/2017 21:03	Yes	No	No		Aaron Goodfellow		Middleton	Idaho
2036	7/7/2017 21:03	No	No	No	I've been hunting for awhile even before technology came into the woods but I use cameras today. It's not really to see what to kill it's to help manage and see the health of game populations.	Casey Wallace		Port Neches	Texas

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2037	7/7/2017 21:05	No	No	No		Tom Hines		Kuna	Id
2038	7/7/2017 21:07	No	No	No		M Hanson		Jerome	Idaho
2039	7/7/2017 21:07	Yes	No	No		Chris Johnson		New Plymouth	Idaho
2040	7/7/2017 21:07	No	No	No		Chris Conlon		Shelley	Idaho
2041	7/7/2017 21:09	No	No	No		Tim mack		Cataldo	Idaho
2042	7/7/2017 21:10	No	No	No	Pulling your sd card while sitting at your computer or viewing your videos or pictures in the field in no way give an unfair advantage. The knowledge of sex or age is invaluable. The implication that cameras pattern animals is ridiculous.	Matt Nystul	Hunter	Post Falls	ID
2043	7/7/2017 21:12	No	No	No		Jordan paybe		Idaho falls	Idaho
2044	7/7/2017 21:14	No	No	No		Eric Jensen		Shelley	Idaho
2045	7/7/2017 21:14	No	No	No		Eli Nightingale		Lewiston	Idaho
2046	7/7/2017 21:14	Neutral	No	No	With the amount of people who have had a hard time tracking down a mature buck or bull I don't think the use of trail cameras is an unfair advantage. Also I think it is a safety concern to restrict the use of two way communication.	Brian		Rexburg	Idaho
2047	7/7/2017 21:15	Neutral	No	No	With the amount of people who have had a hard time tracking down a mature buck or bull I don't think the use of trail cameras is an unfair advantage. Also I think it is a safety concern to restrict the use of two way communication.	Brian		Rexburg	Idaho
2048	7/7/2017 21:18	Yes	No	No		Bryce Wells		sagle	Idaho
2049	7/7/2017 21:24	Yes	Neutral	Yes		g		pinehurst	id
2050	7/7/2017 21:24	No	No	No	these are imbedded into our way of hunting already. no more unethical than long range shooting, range finders, scopes with built in range finders, high powered scopes, etc two way radios and cell phone usage is also a safety factor. they can help locate downed animals. i do not believe they substantially increase the	Thane Winward	none	Preston	Idaho
2051	7/7/2017 21:25	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras that transmits are a bad idea. Two way radio are good for safely.	Russell card		Orofino	Idaho
2052	7/7/2017 21:26	Neutral	No	No	How about getting off your asses and do something about the wolves so maybe we will have some game left to hunt.	Mark Beard		Moscow	Idaho
2053	7/7/2017 21:27	No	No	No	Technology like drones and thermal imaging should definitely be restricted, but wanting to take pictures of big game animals using trail cameras and/or smart phones through spotting scopes or binoculars should be permitted.	Kendrick Burton		Rexburg	ID
2054	7/7/2017 21:27	Neutral	No	No	Outlaw them or not. It wont stop anyone from using them. Thats like outlawing guns...	Christopher Hammonc Sportsman		Rathdrum	i

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2055	7/7/2017 21:27	Yes	No	No		derek		rathdrum	idaho
2056	7/7/2017 21:28	No	No	No	Get out of the Stone Age. It's not like the animals are stuck where their picture is taken. Two way radios are used for more than locating game. When we have game down they save time locating friends to help. Also aid in dangerous situations or if someone is hurt. I truly believe our fish and game officers have more important things to do than monitor hunters conversations.	Steven Langedyke		Soda springs	Idaho
2057	7/7/2017 21:29	No	No	No		Tyler schwartz		Orofino	Idaho
2058	7/7/2017 21:30	Yes	No	Yes		John McCrite		Athol	Idaho
2059	7/7/2017 21:36	No	No	No		Ashley		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2060	7/7/2017 21:36	No	No	Yes		Rex Williams		Moscow	Idaho
2061	7/7/2017 21:36	No	No	No	Dumbest rule ever	Thomas		Meridian	Idaho
2062	7/7/2017 21:38	No	No	No	Saying you can't use any two way electronic communications is ridiculous! Lots of hunting Partners use it and work together; that should have never even been considered. If you are willing to walk into the field and a check trail camera there is no reason you should not be allowed to take an elk on that same trail that day or the following day. As for the use of a electronic communication sending things wirelessly you would be hard-pressed to receive the picture and get out in the woods quick enough in order to even get the animal. where most people hunt and have wireless communication being sent to their phone they won't even have cell phone service while in most National Forest Woods up here. They will most likely only get the transmitted photos while in town or far away from cameras. Hunting big game like elk is hard enough as is. These rules are nitpicky and ridiculous.	Jon Paragamian		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
2063	7/7/2017 21:41	No	No	No	Cameras help us determine what species and when & where they travel. In " my opinion" cameras are more informative purposes than for hunting. I think they should be allowed.	Dan Boylan		Coeur d Alene	Idaho
2064	7/7/2017 21:41	Yes	No	No		TC		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2065	7/7/2017 21:41	No	No	No	I absolutely DO support the use of trail cameras and two-way Communications. My husband and I hunt as a team and we use it to keep in contact with each other and to communicate to each other. Getting big game such as elk is hard enough already. Without being able to communicate with my husband through GPS I would be hard-pressed and probably not hunt elk anymore. As far as the trail cameras that transmit things wirelessly I don't think it's an issue however I personally don't use it either. Most people don't get cell reception out in the National Forest therefore someone sitting at a trailer not far away from a camera sending photos isn't that much of a threat because they won't have cell service in order to receive the photo. If you are in town you would be hard-pressed to get everything together and get out in the woods and by that time the animal would most likely be gone. hunting big game is hard enough as is! Don't try and make it	Rebekah Paragamian		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
2066	7/7/2017 21:43	No	No	No		Jacob Hollis		Caldwell	IDAHO
2067	7/7/2017 21:48	No	No	No	I have used 2 way radios for years. Fish and game wants to encourage youth to hunt. Both my boys when they were young was able to set on a Ridge by them selves and be guided into harvesting deer with the use of 2 way radios. How better way to get youth involved than through actually harvesting an animal. I am highly in favor of the use of 2 way radios.	Brett Dabell	Idaho citizer	Rigby	Idaho
2068	7/7/2017 21:48	No	No	No		Liz Orr		Saint Anthony	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2069	7/7/2017 21:51	Yes	No	Yes	Banning the use of trail cams is not right. I can see banning the wireless remote one that send pictures straight to a cell phone or tablet. But to ban a trail cam that saves a picture or video on a sd card and the hunter has to go in and check it personally. I can't agree with that. The time lapse of the animal walking in front of the camera and the hunter checking it could be minutes or days. Trail cams give a hunter an idea what's in the area. If there is an over population of wolves in an area. A hunter knows the elk population will be slim to non. Also trail cams can help conservation. A hunter can set a trail cam up on a bait site and see what animals are coming in. He can target an animal. Not knowing if that animal will show up again. Cause nothing is Guaranteed. Use of bait or scents have more of an advantage over trail cams. I think wireless trail cams and two way radio communication should be banned. That gives a hunter the upper hand advantage and knows what's in the area in real time. Two way radio hunting isn't fair chase. A hunter shouldn't be guided in to an animal on a radio or cell phone. He needs to learn tactics and skills to be successful. Not rely on a hunting partner to talk him into an animal to be successful. Traditional trail cams should be legal. Along with baiting and scents. Wireless trail cams and	Aaron Hendricks		Woodland	Wa
2070	7/7/2017 21:51	No	No	No	No on all. This is ridiculous.	Joshua Larsen		Cottonwood	Idaho
2071	7/7/2017 21:53	No	No	No	Why make it harder for people to make an ethical choice on the age of an animal and take one that is older verse something that should be let be to grow.	Mike		Orofino	Idaho
2072	7/7/2017 21:53	No	No	No	The government has taken enough from the Americans that hunt. They don't need to take this too	Ashley		Nampa	Idaho
2073	7/7/2017 21:54	No	No	No	These are all tools to help us retrieve our hounds and help us stay safe, then losing our hounds to wolfs. The cameras let us know what's in the area. So No I do agree with taking these tool away from us thank u	Josh Austin	Hunter	Spring creek	Nevada
2074	7/7/2017 21:54	No	No	No	These are all tools to help us retrieve our hounds and help us stay safe, then losing our hounds to wolfs. The cameras let us know what's in the area. So No I do agree with taking these tool away from us thank u	Josh Austin	Hunter	Spring creek	Nevada
2075	7/7/2017 21:55	No	No	Yes		Nathaniel Van Valin		Dalton Gardens	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2076	7/7/2017 21:57	No	No	No	How is this an ethics issue? How is it more or less ethical to observe an area with a trail camera? The idea is to hunt, doing it in a more efficient manner isn't unethical. Two way communication doesn't change the ethics of hunting either. You still can only kill your animal. Someone pointing you to an animal you wounded via radio seems better than letting an animal suffer because you can't communicate with your hunting buddy.	Don crowell		Meridian	Idaho
2077	7/7/2017 21:57	No	No	Yes		Clif Collins		Post Falls	Idaho
2078	7/7/2017 21:57	No	No	No		Jason Allen		Nampa	Idaho
2079	7/7/2017 21:59	Yes	No	Yes	Static game cameras that don't transmit images should have no restrictions.	Logan brudenell		Boise	Idaho
2080	7/7/2017 22:00	No	No	No	You've got more than enough rules. Leave it be.	Michael Curnutt		Shelley	ID
2081	7/7/2017 22:02	Yes	No	No		Chet Cawley		Rigby	Idaho
2082	7/7/2017 22:06	No	No	No	This is just another nit picky rule to write tickets. If hunters get tickets for nit picky stuff. They won't help the f and g. If you teach them when they do something on accident or a mistake instead of ticketing them, they will try to help you get those that are	Dean Hanson		Chester	ID
2083	7/7/2017 22:07	No	No	No		Erik Blevins	Hunter/trap	Naples	Idaho
2084	7/7/2017 22:09	No	No	No		Kevin johnson		Osburn	Id
2085	7/7/2017 22:11	No	No	No		Savanah		Hill AFB	UT
2086	7/7/2017 22:12	No	Yes	No		Danielle		Coeur d Alene	Idaho
2087	7/7/2017 22:12	No	No	No	I would certainly want to know if bears are wandering around the area I hunt. You use cameras to catch poachers. The big game would hear hunters and move from the area if the hunters were in fact using the cameras.	Alan Warner	Hunter	American Falls	Ida ID
2088	7/7/2017 22:12	Yes	No	No		Greg Harris		Star	Idaho
2089	7/7/2017 22:13	No	No	No	This is a safety issue when my kids are in the bushes and can't be seen this keeps us in contact.	Edward Seaton		Pocatello	Idaho
2090	7/7/2017 22:14	No	No	No		Mike Schenck		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2091	7/7/2017 22:17	Yes	No	No		Matthew Kirlkand		Idaho City	ID
2092	7/7/2017 22:19	Yes	No	No		Colt Duba		Pocatello	Idaho
2093	7/7/2017 22:22	No	No	No		Kurt		Fruitland	Idaho
2094	7/7/2017 22:23	No	No	No		Bretton Moosman		Rigby	Idaho
2095	7/7/2017 22:29	No	No	No		Ben		Tetonia	IDaho
2096	7/7/2017 22:32	No	No	No		Darron Kelley	Concerned s	Preston	Idaho
2097	7/7/2017 22:33	No	No	No		Nathan Boehme		Preston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2098	7/7/2017 22:34	No	No	No		Aaron Bateman		Idaho falls	Idaho
2099	7/7/2017 22:36	No	No	No	I think restricting the use of trail cameras, or any camera is unfair to the hunter who practices proper game management. We hunters use trail cameras to ascertain what game is in the area and is an important tool in the scouting. I strongly urge Idaho Fish & Game to rethink these propositions.	Brittany Hunt		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
2100	7/7/2017 22:36	Yes	No	Neutral	He is what I wrote on a prominent hunting forum: " Many Fish and Game/Wildlife departments use a string of cameras that send their pictures from one camera to the next, with an unlimited number of cameras in the string, eventually sending all the pictures video to a vehicle equipped to receive the pictures, and be viewed, in almost real time. With the advent of cameras sending pictures real time to one's cell phone, and the availability of the first system I described, clearly we no longer have a fair chase situation. Using a camera to identify animals in a given area is one thing, knowing when and where they are in real time, is a whole different thing, and once again, it violates fair chase. As hunters, as the true conservationists, we should not only be welcoming such regulations as Idaho is considering, we should be pushing for it. I have no problem with hunters using game cameras that they need to go into the field to check, during the season. I have no problem with hunters using any kind of game camera off season. But cameras that provide what can be considered pretty much real	Gary Villalobos		Northridge	Ca
2101	7/7/2017 22:41	Yes	No	No		Dave		Brigham city	Utah
2102	7/7/2017 22:42	No	No	No		Spencer		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2103	7/7/2017 22:43	Neutral	No	No	I have used two way radios and my cell phone while hunting, I don't see how this can or should be a law to not be able to be used while hunting? No matter what laws are in place people will break the law, this however is not the case for all of hunters. These law propositions to me are like banning guns. With good hunting wthics people shouldn't use them for locating game. Times have changed and we have technology that we can carry with us and have communication to the world. I carry my mobile device with me for safety reasons or to contact friends if I need help packing the animal I have harvested out of the woods in a	Andrew Kotschevar		cda	Idaho
2104	7/7/2017 22:45	Yes	No	No		Damon		Orofino	Id
2105	7/7/2017 22:46	Yes	No	Neutral	Two way radios to stay intouch with other in your party is very smart. Using them to walk someone in on an animal is completely different. It's also hard to tell the difference if not involved with the situation. Tough call to make.	Adam Vindasius		Coeur d'alene	ID
2106	7/7/2017 22:49	No	No	No		Macey Warner		Mackay	Idaho
2107	7/7/2017 22:50	Yes	No	Neutral		Josh fosberg		Payette	IDAHO
2108	7/7/2017 22:51	No	No	No		Paul Loutzenhiser		Coeur d Alene	Idaho
2109	7/7/2017 22:53	No	No	No		Chris Cromwell		Boise	Idaho
2110	7/7/2017 22:55	Yes	No	Yes		Travis Tappe		rigby	id
2111	7/7/2017 22:56	Yes	No	No		Kris Keller		Boise	Idaho
2112	7/7/2017 22:58	No	No	No		Connar Lutz	Hunter	Paris	Idaho
2113	7/7/2017 23:01	No	No	No	Not all of us that hunt have time to scout manually. Trail cameras give the working class people a chance to see if an area we have picked is worth the little time we have to hunt. Two way radios and communication devices are a matter of safety and should be never be banned!	Chris Bush	None	Nampa	Idaho
2114	7/7/2017 23:03	No	No	No	Part of the hunting experience is in my opinion, going out into the woods and doing your best to locate game, try and determine patterns and aid in the hopeful harvesting of game. It in no way assures success. It is only a helpful tool, for those that use game cameras and are successful I say congrats! Let it be!	Jim Keough		Hayden	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2115	7/7/2017 23:05	Yes	No	Neutral	I can see the need to limit live transmission from trail cameras. However, using trail cameras that simply take pictures or video but do not transmit wirelessly do not give the hunter any significant or unfair advantage in my view. I have greatly enjoyed viewing pictures of various game animals from my trail cameras but have never harvested an animal as a result of that scouting method. Scouting from planes is a much bigger concern to me and I think gives a very unfair advantage. Many guides and outfitters use this	Brett Burton		Shelley	Id
2116	7/7/2017 23:08	Yes	No	Neutral		Rosemarie Larson		Rosburg	WA
2117	7/7/2017 23:10	Yes	No	No		Tiffany Beutler	Hunter	St Maries	Idaho
2118	7/7/2017 23:10	No	No	No		Melissa		Post Falls	ID
2119	7/7/2017 23:10	No	No	No		Darby Smith		Rigby	Idaho
2120	7/7/2017 23:11	Neutral	No	No		Nicholas Beutler	Hunter	St Maries	Idaho
2121	7/7/2017 23:13	No	No	No	Just because we have advancements in technology, which might aid in finding and/or identifying big game, doesn't mean we should just automatically restrict it. If that were the case, we shouldn't be able to use optics (binoculars, spotting scopes or rifle scopes) as these certainly help me find and identify an animal that I may want to pursue. And for that matter, we shouldn't even be allowed to use a gun if this is the argument. Long story short, to me, I think this is more about ethics than it is about technology or a certain electronic device/communication. More effort should be put into managing controlled hunts in my opinion.	Bill		Saint Anthony	ID
2122	7/7/2017 23:14	Yes	No	No		Joshua Scrafford		Hayden	Idaho
2123	7/7/2017 23:17	Yes	No	Yes	All electronics capable of wireless communication should be banned, picture cams ok. Let's create fair chase!	Dave Fiedler		Idaho falls	Idaho
2124	7/7/2017 23:20	Neutral	No	No		Peter Valora		Rexburg	Idaho
2125	7/7/2017 23:23	No	No	No		Christopher kershaw		Sugar city	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2126	7/7/2017 23:23	No	No	No	In no way do trail cameras aid in my hunts. I check my cameras a day or two prior to my actual hunt, as well as go a full week between camera checks. Yes they do show pictures of elk and deer. At no time since I've started using cameras have either deer or elk displayed a "pattern " that I could use to narrow down a specific time to actually hunt. Instead it tells me that it's worth sitting in that general area from sun up to sun down. They have also showed me pictures of potential poachers which I turned over to law enforcement officers. Cameras, just like illuminated knocks, DO NOT create an unfair advantage to hunters.	Clinton Netherton		Weiser	Idaho
2127	7/7/2017 23:26	Yes	No	Neutral		Josh Zuck		Ketchum	Idaho
2128	7/7/2017 23:27	Yes	No	No	Restricting use of radios can be detrimental to maintaining contact between hunters for safety reasons. It's too difficult to determine if a person is using a radio for hunting purposes or safety.	Erik murphy	Hunter	Meridian	Idaho
2129	7/7/2017 23:35	Yes	No	No		Daniel Pelayo		Blackfoot	Idaho
2130	7/7/2017 23:36	Yes	Yes	Yes	IDFG should expect people who hunt in this state to do so ethically and with the concept of "fair chase" as a guiding principle. The Department, therefore, should quit giving into the continued demands of some hunters who aren't prepared to follow ethical practices. Technology should never be considered appropriate in a hunting venue.	Lynn and Vince Murra	Private Idaho	Moscow	Idaho
2131	7/7/2017 23:36	No	No	No		Mandy Frazier		Post Falls	ID
2132	7/7/2017 23:36	No	No	No	As a hunter of Idaho. I love putting trail cameras out. Checking see what is moving around. Seeing how healthy the herds are. I really enjoy having one over my baits. Try and make sure to judge the bears correct. Take a more mature older bear. When I get to my baits I swap the cards out and check them when I get back to the truck that night. You saying I wouldn't be able to hunt is pretty ridiculous. Checking animals through my spotting scope with my phone. Come on getting pretty petty with things I think. And another thing. What if an animal is wounded and your trying to help your hunting partner find him to put one last shot on him. Your saying that would be illegal to help him find his game and This is crap. Quit changing what has worked for years.	Jason		Meridian	Idaho
2133	7/7/2017 23:39	No	No	No		Jason Bartel		Hayden	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2134	7/7/2017 23:40	Yes	Yes	Yes	I am strongly against the use of trail cameras. Hunters see the animals and track their movements and then ambush them. It is especially true for archery hunters because they get to hunt during the rut and can see the pictures and times to track the animals and predict their movements.	Dwight Hurd		Weiser	Idaho
2135	7/7/2017 23:43	No	No	No		Lee Roy Thompson		Hayden	Idaho
2136	7/7/2017 23:45	No	No	No		Heidi Cooper		New Plymouth	Id
2137	7/7/2017 23:54	Yes	No	No	Using trail cams with live feeds is ethnically questionable. Using trail cams with sd cards or other memory that require you to physically access the device to pull pictures is reasonable even if visited same day.	Phil Miller		Star	Idaho
					Pro limiting the use of two-way communication to identify location of game while hunting; but, no restrictions should prevent communication for hunting parties to locate each other generally during/after a hunt or after a kill to help retrieve game both for safety reasons and maximizing meat retrieval.				
2138	7/7/2017 23:57	No	No	No		Larry Nelson	Idaho hunter	Idaho Falls	Id
2139	7/7/2017 23:59	Yes	No	No		David Weeks		Rigby	IDAHO
2140	7/7/2017 23:59	Yes	No	No		David Weeks		Rigby	IDAHO
2141	7/7/2017 23:59	No	No	No		Jay	Hunter	Tremonton	Ut
2142	7/8/2017 0:00	Yes	No	Yes		Casey Clements		Blackfoot	Idaho
2143	7/8/2017 0:03	No	No	No		Kyle Steven		Emmett	Idaho
2144	7/8/2017 0:05	No	No	No	This proposed restriction is ludicrous. Just one more nudge to give up on Idaho hunting.	Robert		Ammon	Idaho
2145	7/8/2017 0:08	No	No	No		jame halstead	Concerned c	pocatello	idaho
2146	7/8/2017 0:09	Neutral	No	No		Weston Waddoups		Blackfoot	ID
2147	7/8/2017 0:09	No	No	No		Mike Reggear	Private land	Orofino	Idaho
2148	7/8/2017 0:11	Yes	Yes	Yes		Judy		North Bend	Washington
2149	7/8/2017 0:14	No	No	No		Nick		Postfalls	idaho
2150	7/8/2017 0:16	No	No	No		Crystal Brown		Nampa	Idaho
2151	7/8/2017 0:20	No	No	Yes		Jared stoller		Rigby	Idaho
2152	7/8/2017 0:20	Neutral	No	No	If overly large amounts of animals were being harvested it would be different. I think the impact these devices make is very minimal on a hunt.	Ryan Doyle		Nampa	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2153	7/8/2017 0:22	No	No	No	Two way radio's are very important for my hunting party. Since it is the way we stay in contact, for safety reason's and location of each other when we are hunting.	Frank		MH	ID
2154	7/8/2017 0:29	Yes	Neutral	No		Jaime Gardiner		Mountain Home	ID
2155	7/8/2017 0:31	Yes	No	No		Derek Andreason		Meridian	ID
2156	7/8/2017 0:36	No	No	No	This rule change would restrict and limit access to photos that many none hunters enjoy. When your friend posts photos from his trail cam you see the majesty of Idahos big game. It may assist him in hunting but it also assists Idaho's reputation and shares the awesomeness of wildlife in general. If you want to protect the big game manage the wolves better. My cousin is a guid in Idaho, my relatives live there and I am a former resident. I lived in spirit lake and clarks fork.	Ben compton		Beaverton	Oregon
2157	7/8/2017 0:40	No	No	No	There are many people with disabilities that can't be without communication to other hunters and family members when in Mountain locations, it would cut out many hunters from doing something they love to do. There are so many regulations on hunting allready it complicated enough to try to fill a tag with no health issues and retrieve your game. I think if hunters have atvs , we should be able to ride on all roads and trails as long as the atvs licenses and tags are current, that's why we get the licensed and tags every year for the upkeep of the trails and the Little by little trying to take all our rights away.	Jason Stokesberry		Twin Falls	Idaho
2158	7/8/2017 0:45	No	No	No	Your basis for the trail camera ban is ignorant at best.....but then again you all signed off on the wolves in 95 which has impacted game several 1000 times more than any trail camera ever has.	Spencer, jeff		Blackfoot	Id
2159	7/8/2017 0:45	No	No	Neutral		Glenn Johnson		Athol	Idaho
2160	7/8/2017 0:53	No	No	No		Ty		Princeton	Id
2161	7/8/2017 1:04	Yes	No	No	I was raised in idaho, was taught to follow the rules and regulations. I understand that not everyone follows these. But using them to know what's out there, and having pictures sent to your phone so you can rush out there, are very different things. So I can see why the one makes sense.	Kate Province		Orofino	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2162	7/8/2017 1:11	No	No	No	I agree with the two-way radio hunter to hunter communications proposition. I'm not sure how you'll enforce it considering everyone in their right mind carries one or a Sat phone for emergencies if they hunt alone. I do agree with the wireless proposition as well, all you need to do is require ALL game cams used in Idaho to not be capable of any wireless communication. These lazy hunters should hike their butts in if they expect to get video/pics/audio. Again, enforcement is going to be tricky, you going to go find every game cam that's potentially wireless? Good luck, I'm on the side of "hunt during the season and scout with	Alex Green	Archery/Rifle	Boise	ID
2163	7/8/2017 1:14	No	No	No	I simply can not imagine as to why the need for these changes, less government is needed not more rules. I would like to know who at fish and game wants this, and who if said fish and game person was just passing it along who asked for it? Then we can figure out as to Why it's needed	Dan Kern		Meridian	Idaho
2164	7/8/2017 1:14	No	No	No		Gomer Davis		Rathdrum	Idaho
2165	7/8/2017 1:17	No	Yes	Yes		Amy Keenan	Idaho Resident	Post Falls	Idaho
2166	7/8/2017 1:17	No	No	Yes	A camera only tells you what's in the area, it's a means of scouting, that's it. It does not in any way help you pursue an animal. They are of free will and usually are just passing through. Because you get a picture of an elk or deer the night before you plan to hunt does not mean they will be there the next day. I don't believe it helps you in harvesting an animal. Two-way radios and cell phones I do believe should not be used in pursuing game animals. Usually trail cameras are used during archery season, archery is hard enough without limiting us even further. Movement and smell are your worst enemy not a camera.	Eric Barnard		Idaho Falls	ID
2167	7/8/2017 1:17	No	No	No		Jeff Glyn-Jones		Boise	Idaho
2168	7/8/2017 1:19	Yes	No	No	Using trailcams to assist with scouting is acceptable, however using a trailcam to wirelessly see when animals are there on the day of your hunt is not fair play. Having to go into an area and check your game cam the day before a hunt and manually review	James Bostock		Twin Falls	Idaho
2169	7/8/2017 1:22	No	No	No		Hannah		Orofino	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2170	7/8/2017 1:30	No	No	No	I absolutely do not agree with any restrictions on game cameras. Why make more laws to restrict our use of land and chances at success. How do you even think this is needed? Just to stay relevant? Leave us alone it's hard enough to harvest Idaho as is with all the other restrictions you put on bow hunters and non drawing hunters.	Ben walgamott		Jerome	Idaho
2171	7/8/2017 1:35	No	No	No	I carry 2 way radios to communicate with my kids so no one gets lost its stupid to even think of banning them	Jody young		Pocatello	Idaho
2172	7/8/2017 2:29	No	No	No		Jeff Halverson		Idaho Falls	ID
2173	7/8/2017 2:34	Yes	Yes	No		Christine Tiddens		Homedale	Id
2174	7/8/2017 2:36	No	No	No		FRANCOIS VANDERVEGT		CLARKSBURG	Maryland
2175	7/8/2017 2:55	Yes	No	Yes	Trail camera are fine to use, just not the one's you can communicate with.	Dennis Rowe		pocatello	Idaho
2176	7/8/2017 3:10	Yes	Yes	Yes	They all should be pulled before any big game hunts seasons open....I have seen way to many cams in the mountains...talked to one guy that had 24 of them out last year...he would wake up in the morning and just get on his phone and find out were they was...his party of 8 people tagged out on elk in 2 days... then all the out of state hunters too that come set them up and don't have to put in the time scouting is bs...	Justin		Fruitland	Id
2177	7/8/2017 3:10	No	No	Yes	The Bonner County Sheriff's new countywide Neighborhood Community Blockwatch program has been mentioning the use of trail cameras as one means to keep track of undesirables in the local communities of Bonner County. We have also been mentioning the use of a particular radio for use among very rural block watch groups, that does a better job of allowing communication in heavily forested areas of the county.	Jim Corcoran		Bonner Cour Sagle	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2178	7/8/2017 3:36	No	No	No	Here are my two cents. I am a very avid archery hunter in Northern Idaho and we bow hunt throughout bow season and rifle season. We use trail cams to locate and help select which animal we want to target throughout the year. I do not have a trail cam that will send photos to my phone because they are so expensive, but if I could afford one I would love to have one. I can easily see how it could be used to locate a big bull in our field so we could run out there and shoot him, but that is not real hunting to me. Sadly there isn't a way the IDFG can effectively manage and enforce a regulation on those trailcams without just making them illegal to use during hunting season. I see that as a huge slippery slope evolving into making all trail cams illegal as some areas have done and I do not support that at all.	Eric Beghtel		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2179	7/8/2017 3:48	Neutral	No	No	In my opinion the use of trail cameras has no real advantage in the scope of fair chase. You still have to locate the animals in order to put up a trail camera that will be effective. The use of trail cameras allows a hunter to do pre season scouting without disturbing the animals in their habitat. You simply set the camera up and make a couple trips in over a few weeks/months to change batteries and SD cards, thus downsizing the impact on the area in comparison to a more traditional scouting method. This pre season scouting is essential to making sure that you can locate a good mature animal thus minimizing the impact on the younger breeding stock within the heard. On the topic of game cameras that can transmit images wirelessly I am personally neutral on the matter. I can definitely see as to the fair chase standpoint of knowing exactly when that animal was last at your camera minutes after it happened, It could lead to some unfair or unethical hunting practices. However, I believe the cost of the camera and wireless service subscription alone would rule out most hunters from using such a device. There could most certainly be advantages argued over such a device though as it generally allows for more photos to be taken without the need to go back into an area to check SD cards. Lastly the use of two way communication is extremely important to me personally. If I or another hunter I know takes an animal we know about it immediately and can help the other hunter track the animal leading to fewer lost animals due to having too much ground to cover and not enough eyes. Furthermore it keeps us all in the loop with each other. If you had a hint plan in the morning and then it got changed due to factors related to most hunting days anyone that you are hunting with can be contacted and informed so that	Jacob Sallee	Avid outdoor	New Plymouth	Idaho
2180	7/8/2017 4:12	No	No	No		Jason lundahl		Hyrum	Utah
2181	7/8/2017 4:45	No	No	Yes	Trail cameras are an aid for hunters. They tell hunters what is in the area, you don't always kill the animals that are caught on camera. I beleave that the use of trail cameras tells us a lot of information, even fish and game uses them.	Bill Parsons	J & V big game	Post falls	Idaho
2182	7/8/2017 4:53	Yes	No	No		Kathi Hjelm	fisherman	Blackfoot	Idaho
2183	7/8/2017 4:54	No	No	No	Absolutely ridiculous that you are considering this	JR young	Hunter	Waynesburg	Pa
2184	7/8/2017 5:05	No	No	No		Matt Bitter		Rexburg	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2185	7/8/2017 5:19	Yes	Yes	No		Matt bridges		Hagerman	Id
2186	7/8/2017 5:25	Yes	No	No	Game cameras are a good management tool that help us avoid Bear sows with cubs, grizzly bears and other protected wildlife when hunting over bait. Two way communications should be allowed AFTER a game animal has been shot to improve recovery efforts. Thank you for your efforts to receive public input on this	Guy Cordle		St.Maries	Idaho
2187	7/8/2017 5:31	No	No	No	It's a research tool! Let the hunters use the resources given them to make an educated chase	Cami		Parma	ID
2188	7/8/2017 5:31	Yes	No	No	We use 2 way comm's for safety reasons while in the woods. Intermittent contact with your hunting partners is a must for absolute safety in the woods.	David Busselle		Caldwell	Idaho
2189	7/8/2017 5:33	No	No	No		Ben Pearson		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2190	7/8/2017 5:56	No	No	No	I often use trail cams for Bear hunting(Baiting). I might have a bear on the camera and never see him. I don't believe that having a camera increases my chances of success. It does however help me decide what bait to hunt as i might have a sow with cubs hitting on a bait . I have also set trail cams out for deer and gotten some amazing pictures on a daily basis only to hunt for days on end with no sightings of deer. Just having the cams out does not automatically mean i will get an animal. I don't believe they give me an unfair advantage when hunting. Sometimes its just the opposite as the game have moved on but im to stubborn and stay put. the use of 2 way communications should also be allowed. If i were to get in trouble while hunting or need help packing an animal out i should be able to have the ability to call for help. Just because a person sees a game animal and radios its location to there hunting partner doesn't mean they will get a shot at the animal. it does however give the hunters a way yo coordinate an approach so they are both safe. There has been times where having a phone and keeping in touch with the other person not only kept them from getting lost but also in a location that is safe and not	Rick Gomes		Middleton	Idaho
2191	7/8/2017 5:56	Neutral	No	No		Casey schelin		Coeur d alene	Id
2192	7/8/2017 5:57	No	No	No	I am especially against the radio restriction, if my son/daughter and myself become separated the use of these devices aids tremendously in our effort to reunite.	Travis Willard		Meridian	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2193	7/8/2017 5:59	No	Neutral	Yes		Rhett Madsen		Sparks	Nevada
2194	7/8/2017 6:04	No	No	No	if this would include radios this is completely absurd. Radios are used between hunting parties for safety, making sure hunting parties don't get lost. It also helps in recovery of game by allowing one hunter to guide another to his kill. Also this allows a hunting party to radio to friends and family to come help process a big game animal. This rule would utterly shatter hunting in Idaho.	Alex McRae		Caldwell	ID
2195	7/8/2017 6:04	No	Neutral	No	Cell phones are and have been life saving tools and a means to communicate between hunters,It is impossible to exclude cell phones from hunting at this point.	Dave Alderson		Twin Falls	Idaho
2196	7/8/2017 6:08	No	No	No		Rebecca Smith		Meridian	ID
2197	7/8/2017 6:12	Yes	No	No		Matt Hill		Gooding	Idaho
2198	7/8/2017 6:18	No	No	No		Dustin Hymas		Rexburg	ID
2199	7/8/2017 6:28	Yes	No	No		aaron m smith		north fork	idaho
2200	7/8/2017 6:31	No	No	No		Doug		Potlatch	Idaho
2201	7/8/2017 6:34	Yes	No	No		Travis Parker		Idaho fAlls	Id
2202	7/8/2017 6:36	Neutral	Neutral	No	The 2-way radios we use when hunting are for a safety feature more than anything. We cover big my ranges and must know where all of us are at in order to be safe. Born and raised, ong time Idaho hunter, now living out of state but still hunt in Idaho.	Preston Otte	Avid hunter	Marana	Az
2203	7/8/2017 6:37	Neutral	No	No		Karalee Maldonado		Chubbuck	Idaho
2204	7/8/2017 6:41	Yes	Yes	Neutral		Peter McMiller	Professional	Fort Atkinson	Wisconsin
2205	7/8/2017 6:42	Yes	No	Yes	It is getting too hi-tech in hunting . Hunters should not be allowed to view on line camera images to aid in hunting. Again, money enters into a persons success (being able to buy multiple cameras to decide where to hunt). Enforcement would be tuff- but if that's the law , most people would obey it. Trail cameras are also an aid to hunting- but they have to visited to see the images, which takes effort, and other sign (tracks, droppings, rubs etc.) are observed at the same time.	Gary Miller		Wayan	Idaho
2206	7/8/2017 6:42	Yes	Yes	Yes	I don't think a hunter should have an advantage while "HUNTING". Might as well let them bait or chum. But for the safety of the hunter they shouldn't be punished for having their	Abraham L. Romo		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2207	7/8/2017 6:45	Yes	Yes	Yes	I support the use of these electronic devices ONLY for the collection of research by Idaho game and fish department. These devices can be a great asset for determining populations, health, etc. if used correctly by the IDGF. I think hunters rely way too much on gadgets to get an edge for bagging the big one whatever that might be. These so called professional TV hunters would be lost if they didn't have a truck load of cameras to set and do the scouting for them. Well that would be just too bad in my opinion.	michael holland		challis	id
2208	7/8/2017 6:46	No	No	No	It will do more harm than good to take away communication between hunters.	Andriana McRae		Rexburg	Idaho
2209	7/8/2017 6:46	No	No	No	The use of trail cams allows hunter to harvest older animals and allowing younger animals to grow. 2 way radios in the back country all hunters to communicate if they get Lost. There are many other reason but safety is key.	Jonathan		Gooding	Idaho
2210	7/8/2017 6:48	No	No	No	The use of radios and trail cameras, in my opinion, is part of modern hinting. I think restricting these things is going to open a can of worms for our conservation officers and tap valuable man hours to catch hunters who utilize these things. If this law is passed, there are still going to be those who disregard the law, and fish and game will be inidated with calls to investigate offenders. Currently we have approximately 100 officers in Idaho. I don't think we want them wasting their time pusuing these types of things. Let the status quo stay.	Justin Young		Rigby	Idaho
2211	7/8/2017 6:57	No	No	No		John McRae		Kuna	Id
2212	7/8/2017 6:59	No	No	No	So if I understand you want to restrict all electronic devices, that must mean that you are not allowed to use drones,airplanes with electronic devices from air no longer use tracking collars. What is good for the goose is good for the gander! What you are really doing is working to take all our Guns away. I will hope to see my comment in your public records.	Eric		Montpelier	Idaho
2213	7/8/2017 7:07	No	No	No		Charlotte Leonard		Orofino	Idaho
2214	7/8/2017 7:12	No	No	No		Jeff		St anthony	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2215	7/8/2017 7:16	No	No	No	What the hell? I don't think this is a good idea and I also think that the IFG needs to focus elsewhere! Like building game population, and cutting down numbers of out of staters and focus more on there own people. For example, unit 66A & 76! Damn near as many out of staters as there is residents! That's not right! As far as radio's and phones I am against use of them from the air to spot game but to use the to communicate with each other I am totally against! It's time IFG gets on the same page as other	Rick popejoy		Blackfoot	Idaho
2216	7/8/2017 7:16	No	No	No	There is nothing wrong with wanting to video or watch a hunt	Mike		Wendell	Idahowhat
2217	7/8/2017 7:17	No	No	No		Fred Olsen		Rockland	Idaho
2218	7/8/2017 7:17	Yes	No	No		Steven		Boise	Idaho
2219	7/8/2017 7:20	No	No	No	It is a very useful tool to see is if a bear has cubs and for determining the size.	Lynette Casperson	None	Salmon	Idaho
2220	7/8/2017 7:22	Yes	No	Neutral		Scott Robinson		Ketchum	Id
2221	7/8/2017 7:25	No	No	No		Alex	N/a	Emmett	Idaho
2222	7/8/2017 7:26	Yes	No	No	I feel that the use of 2-way handheld radios and trail cameras (not capable of wireless transmission) should continue to be allowed during big game and upland game seasons. I feel that they comply with fair chase etiquette and do not give hunters an unfair advantage in my experience. We use radios more for safety and hunt coordination.	Jeff Barney	Backcountry	Boise	Idaho
2223	7/8/2017 7:31	No	No	No		Jeff Thomas		Pinehurst	Idaho
2224	7/8/2017 7:37	Yes	No	No	We use two way radios as a safety precaution while hunting. We have times we check in and use radios to call for assistance when the animal is down to help pack it out. The use of trail cameras should be used as a tool but have them removed before hunting season. I do agree with banning the ones that transmit via wifi.	Dakota Ray	Outdoorsma	Jerome	Idaho
2225	7/8/2017 7:37	No	No	No		Tyler Borg	Hunter	Idaho Falls	Idaho
2226	7/8/2017 7:38	No	No	No		Casey Dye		Rexburg	Idaho
2227	7/8/2017 7:41	Yes	No	No		Camiel		Pocatello	Idaho
2228	7/8/2017 7:45	Yes	Yes	Yes	Though not an Idaho resident any longer, my best memories were had back at camp after a fair hunt, and harvest. I don't care for the trophy aspect of our pastime, even though it has become a financial necessity to some.	Paul Drollinger	Avid hunter	Logandale	Nv

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2229	7/8/2017 7:48	Neutral	No	No	This needs to be broken in smaller more digestible chunks of regulations; 1) trail camera. 2) live streaming video. 3) two-way communication A simple trail camera does not provide the hunter a unfair advantage in a hunting situation - it just shows what walked by. The live streaming video is a totally different beast and should be looked at as such. Also how would this be regulated on private property? Private landowners could place cameras (trail or video) throughout their property and their would be little a regulation like these would do to stop or prevent it. As far a two-way communication, any regulation at this point is too late to be of any value. I agree there is an issue of fair chase involved as it does offer the hunter the chance to be guided into an animal by another. However, with FRS, text, and cell phone usage as common as it is now the "Genie" is way out of the bottle. There is no way a regulation could be written that would; 1) be enforceable, 2) be constitutional 3) be practical. The commission would be better off making a two-way communication permit (similar to a second rod stamp) and having the sporting public pay for the benefit of being able to converse with their hunting	Dane Cook		Salmon	idaho
2230	7/8/2017 7:48	No	No	No		Holden Sanderson		Twin falls	Idaho
2231	7/8/2017 7:51	Yes	No	No		shay watterson		burley	idaho
2232	7/8/2017 7:52	No	No	No		Ryan		Boise	Idaho
2233	7/8/2017 7:52	No	No	No	I absolutely oppose this rule change. There are lots of reasons to use a trail camera that do not aid in the fair taking of an animal. Understanding what you may be hunting in advance is a more ethical take in my opinion.	Aaron Driggs		Driggs	Idaho
2234	7/8/2017 7:52	Yes	No	No		kim watterson		burley	idaho
2235	7/8/2017 7:53	Yes	No	No		easton watterson		burley	idaho
2236	7/8/2017 7:53	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameraS do help hunters to determine the size of a animal, especially in the case of Bear hunting it can aid in determining the gender in some cases.	John Nobi		Stevensville	Montana
2237	7/8/2017 7:54	Yes	No	No		slayder watterson		burley	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2238	7/8/2017 7:56	Yes	No	No	It's hard enough to find and take game as it is. Any small amount of aid may help make the difference in providing my family with meat for the year as not. I have 3 unfilled deer tags and 2 unfilled cow elk tags to show for the last 3 seasons. Competing for game with all the out of state hunters only drives my point home. Any advantage I can get to help make my hunt a success I will take as long as it is fair and ethical. I don't feel as this technology is unethical, it's just a small advantage I can use to help be	Joe Curnutt		Rigby	ID
2239	7/8/2017 7:57	No	No	No	This new law would have a huge impact on bear hunting. I could possibly see restrictions put on cameras that stream live to your phone, but not the old style cameras that you have to work to go check the SD card.	Bradley T Bearson		Inkom	Idaho
2240	7/8/2017 8:00	No	No	No		Stephanie Bearson		McCammon	Idaho
2241	7/8/2017 8:01	No	No	No	I think you guys forget for paying your salary. I also think that you guys forget that there is such a thing called the Constitution and the freedom of speech and also the freedom of press. I think you guys are getting too big for your britches you guys are already in trouble with the lottery tags and I think that you guys need to think about that and not making these ridiculous laws. Needless to say if you guys pass a stupid law I will not be buying my hunting tag this year from you guys I will go to Washington and Hunt there by the way I probably spend about a hundred sixty bucks on my tags that's a hundred sixty bucks I rather pay	Matt wagner		Cda	Id
2242	7/8/2017 8:03	Yes	No	No	How would you determine carrying a cell phone for emergency use is breaking this rule or not?	Russell Johnson		Blackfoot	Idaho
2243	7/8/2017 8:04	No	No	Neutral		Dannie Egan		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2244	7/8/2017 8:04	Yes	No	Yes		Jacob Cooley		Roberts	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2245	7/8/2017 8:06	Neutral	No	No	I am completely for ethical hunting practices and may not agree with wireless live feed cameras while hunting public land, that said however regular cameras that hunters research, place, hike, and check regularly should be allowed to hunt. Phoneskope recording for cell phones and spotting scopes should be completely fine because a hunter is actually there glassing. I whole heartedly believe in 2 way radios, cell, or satellite phones for safety practices and I dont believe that should even be an issue. Like I said I am for what the goal is here but lets not make this like a neighborhood HOA where the goal and intent may be good but all of the sudden we rule ourselves out of parking on our own	Jeremiah Johnson	Hunter	Boise	Idaho
2246	7/8/2017 8:06	No	No	Yes		Kelsey Brockman		Sandusky	Ohio
2247	7/8/2017 8:08	No	No	No		Kylie		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2248	7/8/2017 8:13	No	No	Yes		David Luce		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2249	7/8/2017 8:16	No	No	No		Laci mcrae		Kuna	Id
2250	7/8/2017 8:18	No	No	No	The transmitting cameras are going over board. Put in the time to check cameras like everybody else.	Daniel Austin	Sportsman	Garland	Utah
2251	7/8/2017 8:22	Neutral	No	No	My concern with restricting two-way electronic communications is "where/when does it end?" If I text a buddy that I saw a good buck this morning at such and such, will that violate the law? Would I no longer be able to communicate my location, hunting experiences for the day, game seen or not seen to my friends/hunting partners etc. At what point does "aiding" hunting end? 24 hours? 48 hours? 2 hours? What is the definition of "aiding?" Telling someone where to go? Telling someone you saw game? Telling someone where you're hunting? This law would have to be written so extensively, it would be stupid and difficult	Dave Castro		Chico	CA
2252	7/8/2017 8:23	Yes	Neutral	No	How can I keep track of my kids without my two way radios? I grew up in Idaho and still hunt Idaho.	Mike		Garland	Utah
2253	7/8/2017 8:23	Yes	Yes	No	If I sit on my black bear bate and a sow walks in how do we know if she has cubs do we use a trail cam we see here mor than once so we get the time to study the bear poulation of bear in the area thanks	Aaron		Worley	Idaho
2254	7/8/2017 8:24	No	No	No		Rex W Clark		Rexburg	Idaho
2255	7/8/2017 8:27	No	No	No		Brett Penske		COEUR D ALENE	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2256	7/8/2017 8:30	No	No	No	I use trail cams for research and for helping me determine the size and age of an animal. If, while hunting, I see an animal I have gotten a picture of, I know immediately if that animal is old enough to kill or wait another year to take the shot. I hike in to hunt a particular area and swap out the sd card in any camera I have in that area. I take the sd card home after the hunt and then view the photos. Based on the wording of the proposed rule change, I wouldn't be able to hunt in the area the same day I	Craig Nordfelt		Rexburg	Idaho
2257	7/8/2017 8:30	No	No	No		Kelly Moore		Post Falls	Idaho
2258	7/8/2017 8:30	No	No	No		Chad dabell		Idaho falls	Idaho
2259	7/8/2017 8:33	Neutral	No	No		William mortensen		Buhl	Id
2260	7/8/2017 8:36	No	No	No	This is one of dumbest restrictions I have ever heard of. First off, trail cams only give a idea of the time when animals have been around. They can also be a good thing. Taking in mind that there might be another hunter in area so use caution for their safety and the users as well. They might even give a idea that there's a dangerous animal in the area, like a bear or wolf so use caution or move to a different area. As far as two way electronic devices my opinion is they would be to hard to regulate. I'm not going out alone hunting without my phone for safety. Same thing if hunting with someone else and we decide to split up. I'm going to make sure we can communicate using some type of electronic device. I don't understand how a officer could stop me and try to make a decision whether or not I'm using my electronic device as a aid or not. The reason I think this is dumb is there's a lot of different ways to restrict hunting big game. Such as weapon use in different areas. I'm not trying to be rude and will respect and honor all rules and changes made by the Idaho Fish and Game.	Devin King		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2261	7/8/2017 8:36	No	No	No	I believe you are overreacting to the actions of a very small portion of the hunting population. The average person uses a trail camera to try to see if there are any animals worth hunting in a particular area. That certainly doesn't mean you're going to have any better chance of harvesting an animal than anyone else. And telling people they can't communicate while out hunting, is just plain ridiculous, in my opinion.	David Giachino		Mullan	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2262	7/8/2017 8:37	Yes	No	No	Do not make laws that are impossible to enforce. Such as monitoring cell phones.	John McRoberts	Sportsmann	Nampa	Idaho
2263	7/8/2017 8:37	Yes	No	No		Dan Taylor		Hayden	Idaho
2264	7/8/2017 8:41	No	No	No		DPBeamer		Dalton Garden	Idaho 838:
2265	7/8/2017 8:42	No	No	No	I'm n the example of bear hunting it would be awful to not ever know if it's a sow and cubs or a boar that is coming in. If a young guy sits his bait and a bear walks into view he may get exited and take the shot, the cubs could be further back and he would have known if it was a bear to take if he had a camera set to identify it as the sow with cubs. As far as deer and elk are concerned, lots of guys myself included strive to take a mature animal. In some cases you will never be able to age without the little bit of help from cameras. It's not just about finding trophies. It's a huge part of the experiece. It's like capturing a moment in that animals life. When I was younger I would set a camera anywhere and hope to see a coyote, some does or just a rabbit. As far as the two way communication goes I'm shocked that that is even proposed. I know that I would have ended up in some bad situations if I didn't have a good way to communicate. Maybe the thought is that people are using it to there advantage just in the aid of taking the animal but it goes much further than that. I can see how that is questionable on the physical stalk and all but I know that the benefits definitely outweigh the problems in the woods. It's one of those things that will be used whether it's legal or not because it just makes sense.	Hank Hanson	Logical think	Twin falls	Idaho
2266	7/8/2017 8:42	No	No	No		Tiffany		Monteview	Idaho
2267	7/8/2017 8:43	No	No	No		Allen scott		Monteview	Idaho
2268	7/8/2017 8:48	No	No	No		Zach Brooks		Caldwell	Idaho
2269	7/8/2017 8:49	Yes	No	No		DJ		Salmon	ID
2270	7/8/2017 8:49	Neutral	No	No	The wording on restricting two way communications is to ambigious. As I read it, a rancher could pick up the phone, call his buddy in town (20 miles away) and simply tell him that the elk are coming in and break the law if an elk is killed that day. Even casual telephonic conversation about game location is common between hunters in season. At best this needs more precise	Mike Palmer		Salmon	Idaho
2271	7/8/2017 8:50	No	No	No		Ivan landon		Sbelley	Idaho
2272	7/8/2017 8:53	Yes	No	No		blane holley		Twin Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2273	7/8/2017 8:53	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rick Jacobs		McCall	Idaho
2274	7/8/2017 8:54	No	No	No	Using trail cameras helps sportsman narrow down an area that has healthy population and in many cases can help find a sick or wounded animal that either needs help or removed from the herd. I'm afraid if this rule passes, many hunters may stop supporting the IDFG altogether. It starting to seem more like a institution to control our hunting rights rather than one that helps promote and control healthy wildlife populations in the state. If numbers are were they are supposed to be there is no need to reduce our hunting tactics. This rule is ridiculous.	Matthew Smith	Sportsman	Moscow	Id
2275	7/8/2017 8:55	No	No	No	Trail cams don't not provide an unfair advantage. They are a useful scouting tool as well as help identify properly them game you hope to harvest. Two way radio communication is a must in the field for safety.	Andrew		Boise	Idaho
2276	7/8/2017 8:55	No	No	No	I am an Idaho hunter. We use GPS units to communicate locations and movement, and use the camera function to lock in GPS coordinates. The proposed verbiage may make a safety net for me (someone who gets lost easily) inadvertently illegal. The proposed verbiage is too vague and broad. The only electronic restriction I would support is the use of DRONES equipped with live feed video. But even then, I feel the proposed verbiage is aimed at legislating ethics, and that is not appropriate. I believe most local (Idaho resident) hunters are good stewards of our wildlife. There will always be a percentage of people who will try to cheat any system but, laws should not be written to penalize the masses in response to the actions of the minority.	Karine Johnson		Caldwell	Idahol
2277	7/8/2017 8:57	No	No	No	Use of radios is essential to keep groups of hunters safe, connected, and not lost. Idaho's terrain is so rugged that it is easy to get lost, or have serious injuries that can become fatal because hunters won't be able to radio or call for help.	Chad Borup		Boise	ID
2278	7/8/2017 8:59	Yes	No	No	Restricting the use of electronics is not only a unneeded change, it will have serious medical and possibly fatal consequences. I'm ok with the use of trail cameras that you have to go check and download or replace the memory card and ok with the use of two way radio to help guide someone in on their hunt and GPS is ok as well	Josh Easterday		Winnemucca	Nevada

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2279	7/8/2017 9:01	No	No	No		Jeremy Holland		Boise	Idaho
2280	7/8/2017 9:01	No	No	No	I have no issues with trail cameras even though I do not use them. Radio communications is a safety issue and allows you to keep in contact with others in the woods. If you are concerned about animals being harvested at a higher rate because of these devices why not restrict the number of tags. It is a simple math problem if data is available. What percentage of hunters are successful and how much does using a device increase that percentage? How many animals do we want harvested and do the math? The only thing this does not account for is REVENUE!! Perhaps you have done the math as a way to decrease success rate while still maintaining revenue and it has little to do with fair chase? I'm skeptical.	Lyle Bayley	None	Nampa	Idaho
2281	7/8/2017 9:03	Yes	Neutral	No		Janae		Wendell	ID
2282	7/8/2017 9:03	No	No	No	I especially do not agree with the rules about not being able to use a phone to capture pictures, video in the aid of taking game. Many of us use this to help after the shot by helping us find game, see how well the shot was placed to see if we should head rite in to recover or give the animal a short amount of time to expire. Before the shot it helps us identify our target and watch it's habits and actions so we can time our kill and make a clean one not a hurried sloppy shot that could just injure animals	Caleb hallett	Concerned c	Coeur d alene	Idaho
2283	7/8/2017 9:04	Yes	No	No	A sportsman should be able to have phone/radio communication available to help with being lost or injured. There is no way to limit how this is done, so let the hunters have communication. There is no sport in using a trail camera or drone during the hunting season. Those should be restricted to outside of the	Doug		Kimberly	Idaho
2284	7/8/2017 9:06	No	No	No		Cody wells	Rmef/BHA/b	Sagel	ID
2285	7/8/2017 9:09	No	No	Yes	I see nothing wtong with trail cameras, it is a part of scouting , radiis on the other hand is definitely unfair	Shawn Auger	Hunter	Spirit Lake	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2286	7/8/2017 9:09	Yes	No	No	I support rules A, C, F, and alt. g of the proposed changes. I do not agree with the other rule changes. I would still like to be able to use two way radios to talk to another hunter in aiding the take of big game. I think one should be able to trap or snare bear and mountain lion if they so choose. A trail cam should still be allowed if a hunter has to visit the camera to retrieve data or photos. One should also be allowed to hunt the same day the data or photos were retrieved from that camera.	Thomas Clancy		Kuna	Idaho
2287	7/8/2017 9:15	No	No	No		Matt Keogh		Salt Lake City	Utah
2288	7/8/2017 9:15	No	No	No		Lori Darbin		Caldwell	Idaho
2289	7/8/2017 9:15	No	No	No	It would be easy after you pass this to just say get rid of cameras all together. Then it will be any camera, including phones and spotting Scopes. Idaho already has some of the most strict rules for archery, don't take another tool away.	Carter Hartley		Franklin	Idaho
2290	7/8/2017 9:16	No	No	No		Terence Jow		Nampa	Idaho
2291	7/8/2017 9:16	Yes	Yes	No		Joe Gribble		Lewisville	Idaho
2292	7/8/2017 9:19	No	No	No		Andrea Asmussen		Cove	Oregon
2293	7/8/2017 9:20	No	No	No		Frank Harris		Saint Anthony	Idaho
2294	7/8/2017 9:23	No	No	No	We need to move hunting forward - make it safer and more mainstream. The number of hunters is declining. The success ratios are not great. We need to allow the use of these technologies including mechanical broad heads.	Jared frothinger		Eagle	Id
2295	7/8/2017 9:27	No	No	No	Many people are using "modems" on their trail cameras as a way to put up a trail camera in an area across the state that would cost too much in fuel to be continually driving up to check. I do not believe that a "modem" enabled trail camera gives any kind of significant unfair advantage to the hunter who uses it.	Tate		Blackfoot	Idaho
2296	7/8/2017 9:28	No	No	No		Kody Donnenwirth		Kellogg	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2297	7/8/2017 9:32	No	No	No	I don't know how much my opinion matters in this little comment box, but here it is anyway. Trail cameras in particular are a tool used to help a Hunter understand what is or is not known to be in the area. Important information for Bear hunters and any other game that has visual differences that help hunters determine age and sex. Do hunters ever trail cam Mountain Goats? Of course not because they're not the type of game that allows for it. But if you take away a recording device or phone used to help determine sex you're harvest rate on Nannys I assume would go way up. I don't think it's necessary to take away the use of cameras as a tool to help hunters judge their game. What specifically is the issue? Too many people properly identifying sows or knowing a certain color of bear may have a cub close, because you had a picture of that bear the day before? I'm really curious what scenario or situation prompted this line of thinking. Thanks for your consideration.	Erik		Idaho Falls	ID
2298	7/8/2017 9:33	Yes	Yes	Yes	This would be a great addition to the regulations. We need to keep hunting fair for the animals and for other hunters. This goes a long ways towards being fair and ethical. I am a hunter and I support these changes.	Stephanie Smith-Latham		Carmen	ID
2299	7/8/2017 9:33	No	No	No	There is no way of enforcing these rules. If a Hunter is found with a camera, phone or 2 way radio they can be fined? Stripped of future hunting rights? This can lead into law abiding hunters going into the woods without any way of contacting emergency help. I see this causing more harm than any good it is trying to	Andrea McRae		Homedale	ID
2300	7/8/2017 9:34	Yes	Yes	Yes		Wayne Chase		Chehalis	Wa
2301	7/8/2017 9:35	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras are used to judge animals, to help hunt older age class animals, to determine if a bear is a sow or boar. Restricting the use of trail cameras will hurt the future development of game animals, as without cameras people will start shooting the first thing they see rather than holding out for the older age class animal they had documented on their trail camera.	Trevor wright		Flagstaff	AZ
2302	7/8/2017 9:37	Yes	No	No		Brent Rightmeier	RN	Meridian	Idaho
2303	7/8/2017 9:37	No	No	No		Wes Bunderson		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2304	7/8/2017 9:44	No	No	No	My observation of the comments on this subject that popped up on social media; all of the people that have shared this and that have commented on this are not hunters. I had multiple friends and family post this link that I know well enough to know that they are anti hunting. Unfortunately these people will be giving biased feedback without having an actual real world knowledge of the subject. The reality of the use of these products may be much more innocent than ones imagination may lead one to believe. Two way communication devices are often used for safety, orientation and general communication. Disallowing two way communication devices will undoubtedly result in disoriented hunters remaining lost, hunting parties accidentally shooting towards each other, etc. as far as game cameras, parts of the state are extremely difficult to hunt. In my experience, I don't see cameras causing the unfair slaughter of game. It seems IDFG might incorrectly identify the hunting population with an incorrect percentage of trophy hunters. Myself and the vast majority of Idaho hunters I have had contact with are subsistence hunters. Filling out elk tags has not been easy lately. More regulation isn't the answer here. And as a friendly reminder, I vote. *all of the Facebook posts I saw on this were from WA state	Jeremy mcspadden		Post falls	Id
2305	7/8/2017 9:49	No	No	No	Great so now if we get hurt up hunting we are supposed to do what.. And taken away the game cams..... Wtf. Unless my cam is shooting the animal with a arrow or bullet why couldn't I get pictures.. All around bull..	Cameron Myers		Coeurd'alene	Idaho
2306	7/8/2017 9:51	Yes	No	Yes		Tyler Sisson		Boise	ID
2307	7/8/2017 9:52	Yes	No	No	I fully agree with the wireless transmission part shouldn't be allowed. People have been using two way communication for years from walkie-talkie to cell phones for a long time. It helps with finding game to finding lost people. Seems stupid to take that away. I hunt with my 5 kids and to leave them without a way to communicate with me is stupid.	Scott brandhagen		Twin falls	Idaho
2308	7/8/2017 9:55	No	No	No		Nicholas Erekson		McCall	Idaho
2309	7/8/2017 9:56	No	No	No	There is already plenty regulations in place.	Brandon		Boise	Idaho
2310	7/8/2017 9:58	No	Yes	Yes		Edward Papenberg		Donnelly	Idaho
2311	7/8/2017 9:58	Yes	No	Yes		Randy Cornman		Kingston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2312	7/8/2017 10:00	No	No	No	I think if you are going to limit things of this nature (which do not really assist in the taking of a animal) then you might as well do away with optics, vehicles (of any nature, to include aircraft to do your jobs of counting animals) and all modern equipment. This is taking things way to far!!!! Totally DISAGREE with this ban	Gregg Harvey		CoosBay	Oregon
2313	7/8/2017 10:01	No	No	No		ray bednar		Sacramento	CA
2314	7/8/2017 10:01	No	No	No	These are all tools to help to.have a successful hunt why don't spend your time on blocked public Access from private land owners or land owners selling are wildlife to the high bidder or limit the amount of out of state hunting opportunity this is the easiest and cheapest state for out of staters or limiting tags in unit that get over hunted there is alot of ways you guys can help are wildlife and and Idaho hunters but you seem to be interested in the all mighty dollar whats next you can only use a rock and a stick and can't use any calling technique get your priorities	Jeremy Harker		Idaho falls	IDAHO
2315	7/8/2017 10:03	Yes	No	No	Its all about the money for fines.not anything to do with ethics.just as stupid as not using eliminated knocks on arrows to	Paul		Middleton	Id
2316	7/8/2017 10:03	Yes	Yes	Yes		Sam Richardson		Osburn	Idaho
2317	7/8/2017 10:04	Yes	Neutral	Yes	I don't mind the game cameras where you have to walk out to the camera to grab and replace the SD card.	Terry Gritts		Blanchard	Idaho
2318	7/8/2017 10:06	No	No	No	I'm completely against restricting trail camera use. I'm from Twin Falls and mainly hunt for antelope in unit 37, deer and elk in units 36b or 27, and bear in unit 44 or 50. I have a deep appreciation for our lands and wildlife. I just don't see the point of punishing hunters that take the time and energy to expand there knowledge of the area they are hunting through extensive scouting and trail camera placement. My question would be does living in Challis, Stanley, Mackay, or Clayton defeat fair chase hunting? Using trail cameras to monitor an area three to four hours away that I've already scouted gives me the same opportunity to scout as if I lived in those places. There are other issues that effect fair chase like numerous atv and utv trails in certain areas that have a negative impact and allow lazy hunters to flourish that should be	Blake Packer		Twin Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2319	7/8/2017 10:08	No	No	No	I oppose these regulation changes. Technology is a part of everyone's life wether we like it or not. Most hunters, especially the younger generations, are using technology to be more successful and ethical hunters. If IDFG takes technology away from hunting, current and future generations of hunters will be less successful and the hunting tradition will diminish. Hunters are conservationist and IDFG needs the help of all hunters, current and future, to be able to manage each species to the best of their	Richard McDonald		Meridian	Idaho
2320	7/8/2017 10:08	No	No	No	I use two-way radios in case one of us get lost.	Steve hill		Ashton	Idaho
2321	7/8/2017 10:08	No	No	No	I believe this is a bunch of crap I use trail cameras and still don't get the same animal we should fight this tell the end if Idaho is going to continue to make it so we cant fill are tags and then allow the slaughter of wintering animal because there are to many big game animals or give out 500 tags to a unit what the hell is next if you want to make Idaho a road hunt state or a anther California stand up Idaho and fight	mike	nuneua	ammon	idaho
2322	7/8/2017 10:13	No	No	No	These proposed rules help harvesting an animal very little. I have seen so many big bulls that I never see while hunting.	Ryan Mason		Boise	Idaho
2323	7/8/2017 10:15	No	No	No	Just let us hunt whoever brought up this idea should be fired! Stop micromanaging the rules	Jesse		Idaho falls	Idaho
2324	7/8/2017 10:17	No	No	No	I'm tired of restrictions. I'm ready to quit supporting anything related fish and game. I'm At the point just quit buying licences all together. I'm am fine having to eat a tag and go home empty handed, in search for bigger and better hunting but not to have my rights be taken away. It's gonna get to the point we all just	Jesse Law		Idahofalls	ID
2325	7/8/2017 10:18	No	No	No		Jeremy Hobbs		Preston	Idaho
2326	7/8/2017 10:20	Yes	No	No		Gene Jess		Twin Falls	Idaho
2327	7/8/2017 10:21	No	No	No		Mike Robison		Boise	Id
2328	7/8/2017 10:24	No	No	No		Shayne		Jerome	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2329	7/8/2017 10:25	No	No	No	Trail cameras that instantly send pictures or video are too expensive for the average hunter and work better at catching human thieves than aiding the hunter in a hunt. In my experience trail cameras are more for fun rather than a tool that eliminates fair chase. You put them out before a hunt and you see all this great wildlife. Then you sit your stand or blind and you barely see anything, especially not the big elk or buck or bear you caught on camera every day two weeks before. The animals know, they stop coming in and the trail camera's pictures end up just feeding your dreams and not your tags. Trail cameras are just for fun and something to look through while you are bored in a tree stand. I'd hate to lose this freedom, especially since it in no way excludes fair chase. As for the two way communication, I think it would be a safety hazard to ban that. Not to mention I don't see how fish and game	Deanna Jacobson		Swan Valley	Idaho
2330	7/8/2017 10:26	No	No	No	Where would the cut off line be with the use of cell phones. If I see a herd of elk while I am hiking back to my truck and I call my buddy to go chase after them the next day with me, or if I tell him that I saw the herd and he goes after them, is that crossing the line. Limiting trail camera usage seems like it would be very hard to regulate. Can I go sit on a water hole that I have a trail camera on but that I didn't check that day? How would I prove that I didn't look at the pictures that day? My brother has a few trail cameras that he uses as a security devices at his cabin. When he reviews the pictures for security and sees a big buck on his camera would he be limited to the waiting period even though he	Jordan Anderson	Hunter and f	Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2331	7/8/2017 10:27	Yes	No	No	I feel that 2 way radios are a help when hunting. Keeping in contact with your hunting partner and getting assistance in bringing out your game. As with everything this can be abused. As a young boy hunting I kept my 2 way radio with me when my dad and I split up. This was a kind of safety net and helped me feel more at home in the forest and nature. So I stand by keeping the use of 2 way radios. Also I believe that wireless transmitting game cameras are a bit to far to still be considered fair chase. I feel that game cameras are a very useful tool, but you need to hike to them to download and work a little to get your pictures. On top of all of this Conservation Officers do not have the time and funding to enforce this law. Therefore I would say no to wireless transmitting game cameras. Yes to the use of traditional cameras,	Nick Porter		Stevens Point	Wisconsin
2332	7/8/2017 10:29	No	No	No	I think these devices. Are not used to aid hunting. Just for locating and lot of people just enjoy pics on trail cameras. Two way radios are essential to use for safety reasons also. Hunters use them for communicating to help each other. If you take these devices away people will be not happy. So on that note if you take our radios then fish and game don't need radios either. Plus if you want to take more hunting technology away then take radio collars off of wolves. Open year around wolf hunting. Quit chasing elk in helicopters and running them in lakes. If you want to go old school then go hike down elk count them. And track wolves down. It's a technology world now. No harm no foul	Robert		Spokane	Washington
2333	7/8/2017 10:29	No	No	No		James	Born raised in	Craig	CO
2334	7/8/2017 10:30	No	No	No	It seems rather than trying to limit hunters and technology the fish and game would actually just manage. Hopefully they will make less rules and just go the job	Jeremy	Concerned c	Burley	Idaho
2335	7/8/2017 10:31	Neutral	No	No	Two way radios are important for communication between fellow sportsman. Taking them away could cause future issues with people being lost or accidents happening.	Kolton Hanson		Pocatelli	Idaho
2336	7/8/2017 10:31	Yes	No	Yes	Not every hunter has access to electronics. We also have different views on fair chase. To keep everything equal these rules should be enforced.	dustin hennefer		carey	id
2337	7/8/2017 10:32	No	No	No		Thiago Alberto		Boise	Idaho
2338	7/8/2017 10:33	Yes	Yes	Yes		Wes Robertson		Rigby	Idaho
2339	7/8/2017 10:34	No	No	Neutral		Scott Willumsen		Missoula	MT

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2340	7/8/2017 10:35	Yes	No	Yes		Andy osler		Boise	Idaho
2341	7/8/2017 10:36	No	No	No	It is dangerous to hunt in groups where the use of two-way communication is not allowed. I see the use of this communication as a way to make sure you are not in ones line of fire while hunting with others.	Neihlee Muir		Chester	Idaho
2342	7/8/2017 10:36	No	No	No		Larry Ayers		Boise	Idaho
2343	7/8/2017 10:37	Yes	Yes	Neutral	I've never used a trail cam so I'd care less if you ban them. Walky talky should be allowed for safety reasons as long as people are using them for communication to figure out if the shot they just heard was in there hinting party and if help I'd needed to gut or drag a kill. Also should be allowed for safety in case of injuries in the feild. However. If the hinting party is using the communication device with others to push big game in a single direction that doubt be illigal. My question is, can I film my fair chase/diy hunts and exploits to put on you tube and/or make it on tv?	Trevor cardine	outdoorsma	Pocatello	Idaho
2344	7/8/2017 10:39	No	No	No	Being handicapped I rely on 2-way radio's to communicate with my kids if and or when I have issues out in the field. Please don't outlaw them! It would keep me out of the field with my kids and family if so. As far as the trail cam issue I do not use them but I see no advantage to hunting if you do use them. The animals are and where there anyway and you still have to hunt for them. The trail cam dosent shoot the animal just takes a Picture so I'm not for that proposed law!	Shawn Keller		Nampa	Idaho
2345	7/8/2017 10:44	No	No	No		Troy Paulsen		Nampa	Idaho
2346	7/8/2017 10:47	No	No	No		Steven Koger		Pocatello	Idaho
2347	7/8/2017 10:47	Yes	No	No		Richard Criss		nampa	ID
2348	7/8/2017 10:48	No	No	No		Keith Kessinger		Portland	Oregon
2349	7/8/2017 10:49	No	No	No	the idea that government or the fish and game has a say in how we hunt is wrong. The rules we have now work. Stop selling idaho tags to the highest bidder and limit fish and game involvement as much as possible. We already HAVE to pay for the license and tags, we don't need you telling us how to pursue game as well.	Mitchell Rightmeier	Avid outdoor	Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2350	7/8/2017 10:50	No	No	No	With today's day and age technology is a part of everyone's life. Trail cameras aid in the use of scouting and which we all know is a big part of being successful hunting. Some of us do not have all summer to spend out in the woods scouting. If it leads to increased success rates then just limit the number of tags in an area. As far as communication goes if we are not going to be able to carry phones or radios out hunting, that just becomes dangerous and guaranteed will limit the # of people hunting especially with the younger generation. I can guarantee that I will not let me children leave my side out hunting if I have no way to communicate with them and know that they are safe. If you want to limit something how about doing something to allow an animals senses to help them survive. Letting someone shoot an animal from a mile away with the use of a high powered scope doesn't give an animal a chance as they have no chance to even detect a	Tanner		Boise	Id
2351	7/8/2017 10:50	Neutral	No	No	Trail cams are used for judging animals and research! knowing times of day the animals pass through is key to success of the hunt. two way radios also give safety and help to hunt	carson glover		Star	Idaho
2352	7/8/2017 10:55	No	No	No		Brandon Hobbs		Preston	Idaho
2353	7/8/2017 10:55	Yes	No	Yes		Rudy		Boise	Id
2354	7/8/2017 10:56	No	No	Yes	Trail cameras have a ton of preparation associated with their success. A lot of time in the mountains for placement and retrieval. Even with this success is not a guarantee. It's not hard to find a good crossing area to put up a blind or tree stand but with a trail camera you'll know if the area is something worth sitting. Hunters that use trail camera's are most likely to be dedicated to their sport by not allowing them, do really think they are not going to pull their one animal out of the unit? The percentage of hunters that use trail camera's in our state is a very small percentage. The hunters that use trail camera's that transmit to a phone, even smaller. So you are going to make a restriction for the minority? Might as well education, government, and the judicial system have been doing it for years. With horrible success I might add. The use of two way radios is a more threatening subject. They can up the success rate on a stalk. But then again, I'm not sure enough of a percentage do this practice. I would like to see a	Shane		Jerome	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2355	7/8/2017 10:57	No	No	No		Michael Knuchell		Boise	ID
2356	7/8/2017 10:57	Yes	No	No	I think it's just fine to use trail cameras until the hunting season starts then after the hunting season starts I think they should be	Brandon mickelsen		Blackfoot	ID
2357	7/8/2017 10:59	No	No	No		Shawn benson		Lewiston	Id
2358	7/8/2017 11:00	Yes	No	No		Dusden Dursteler		Preston	Idaho
2359	7/8/2017 11:04	Neutral	No	Neutral		Fred Borges	Public Land	Star	Idaho
2360	7/8/2017 11:04	Yes	No	Yes		Loecca		Blackfoot	Idaho
2361	7/8/2017 11:04	No	No	No		Cassandra Preston		Poet Falls	ID
2362	7/8/2017 11:10	No	No	No		Terrence Taylor		Paul	Id
2363	7/8/2017 11:12	Yes	No	Yes	Radios are great to have in case of an emergency, but using them to communicate to push game is unethical. People have forgotten how to hunt. It is not just about size of an animal, but about the time spent in the field. I don't want to be like Utah or Colorado.	Trent Leavitt		Woodland Hills	Utah
2364	7/8/2017 11:16	No	No	Yes		Jason Stewart		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2365	7/8/2017 11:19	No	No	No		Dakota Stallions		Emmett	Idaho
2366	7/8/2017 11:20	No	No	No		Kirk weinmeister		Wendell	Idaho
2367	7/8/2017 11:23	Yes	No	No		Jarrod Bordi		Kimberly	Idaho
2368	7/8/2017 11:24	No	No	No		Lora Johnson	hunter	Preston	Idaho
2369	7/8/2017 11:24	No	No	No		Elizabeth Holguin		Sacramento	CA
2370	7/8/2017 11:24	No	No	No	You need to focus more on increasing our herd's and fisheries. Instead of making more unnecessary rules!! Or increasing the fees to compensate most land owners that won't let anyone hunt on there land!!	Joe		Idaho	Idaho
2371	7/8/2017 11:24	Yes	Yes	No	I hunt with my sons, grandsons and soon to be great grandsons, I walk and hunt at different pace then the younger generations. So i hunt mostly by myself in the same area. I sometimes need help so I like being able to contact them on the radio. Please consider people like me when passing communication changes.	Clifford F Mc Donald		LOMPOC	CA
2372	7/8/2017 11:26	Yes	Yes	Yes		Michael Larkin		Pocatello	Idaho
2373	7/8/2017 11:28	Yes	No	No		Justin dumars		Cascade	Id
2374	7/8/2017 11:28	No	Yes	No	I use a two way radio for the simple fact that I hunt with a buddy and we walk different paths, if he gets lost or I get lost/hurt or whatever the case is we can atleast help each other out in a timely manner, two way radios are a life saver and I don't think that they should be taken away from hunters, trail cams on the other hand is just a tool for trophy hunters	Rick pool		Buhl	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2375	7/8/2017 11:29	Yes	Neutral	Yes	Trail camera use outside of hunting seasons that are non transmitting could be used. Once a hunting season is open should be banned. Ban the use of attractants for use of trail camera.	Ryan Los		Wenatchee	WA
2376	7/8/2017 11:30	No	No	No	I live in the Idaho Falls area and have used trail cameras for several years. I mostly hunt in the Leadore area and the cameras save me time and gasoline and lost time at work for needless trips to my hunting area. I don't not use trail cameras while I am hunting - only as an aid, like a rangefinder. I am a bowhunter. By passing this law it would cost me \$100's of dollars more per season to hunt which is totally unnecessary. My recreational hunting helps You manage the game population in Idaho. .	Ben		Jerome	Idaho
2377	7/8/2017 11:31	No	No	Yes		Kord Dickson		Ammon	Idaho
2378	7/8/2017 11:34	Neutral	No	No	I consider it cheating if someone is using a trail camera or device that can transmit video wirelessly to another device such as a phone, computer, or tablet. To me it takes away from the hunt and give hunters another edge on game. Hunters already have the edge they need, they just need to learn how to actually hunt, track, and look for signs. Now I believe trail cameras that don't transmit wirelessly are fine if used in moderation. Trail cameras should be used before the hunting season. As far as two way electronic communication goes, I believe it is a vital tool for safety and possibly survival if a situation goes wrong. Yes it could be used to track an animal from two different vantage points to increase the odds of making the kill, but how would it be enforced? Why would it be bad for hunters to know where eachother are ? What happens if two hunters split up to conquer seperate prices of terrain on a hillside, one hunter finds an animal then aims in on it with checking his back ground and the other hunter happens to be sneaking in on the same animal for a close up shot. That's to close for comfort in my book. Lets say I go hunting with a partner, we split up an I get an animal. I now have no help to dress that animal and pack it down to camp. Also the other hunter has no idea where I am nor my situation. In my	Jordan emfield		Shelley	Id
2379	7/8/2017 11:34	No	No	No		Ransom Smith	Sportsman	Nampa	Idaho
2380	7/8/2017 11:37	Yes	Neutral	No		Levi Green	Hunter,	Resi Kimberly	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2381	7/8/2017 11:37	Yes	Yes	Yes	I strongly feel trail cameras and hunter use of two way communications in the field fail to adhere to the principles of fair chase, and their use contribute to the erosion of hunting ethics and hunter skill; two things strongly tied to fair chase and to maintaining a positive image of hunting.	Anna Owsiak		Pocatello	ID
2382	7/8/2017 11:38	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cams are important but not to be used with live feeds. My opinion it takes the entire point of hunting out. I could sit in a house or camper, wait for something to show and go shoot it. No sport in that. Might as well go hunt a farm. Two way communications is important in survival or emergency situations but not ok with use for guides and groups who are just out to	Dan Shephard	Lewiston	Idaho	83501
2383	7/8/2017 11:41	Neutral	No	No		Gregg Davis		Nampa	Id
2384	7/8/2017 11:41	No	No	No		Justin Smith		Post falls	Idaho
2385	7/8/2017 11:45	Yes	No	No		Justin Blankenship		Boise	Idaho
2386	7/8/2017 11:46	Neutral	No	No	I do not believe that a camera aids in the hunt. I've archery hunted elk with a bow in the same area for about 5 years. We've seen amazing animals on our game cameras. Never once have I had an encounter with an elk in the spot where the game cameras were located. And to date, I have not taken an elk with my bow. The encounters I have had were complete surprises no where near a game camera. I think I can safely say, the elk are winning regardless of the use of cameras. I know not all hunters are ethical, but taking away cameras from those of us that are will not eliminate unethical people. They use things they aren't supposed to as it is. Do you really think this law would change that? I love seeing elk and other animals. The cameras are about much more than just harvesting an animal. Please reconsider this law. Also about two way communication. How is an officer going to know if you're using it as a communication tool to keep in touch with your hi tong partner vs. Telling someone where an animal is. I am a female hunter and my husband and I split up to hunt. I want that communication tool for my own personal safety and comfort knowing I can reach him if I need him. Again we archery hunt. If I so shoot an animal, he won't know it since he won't hear a bang. I also like having my phone to take pictures of nature and the beauty of this country while I'm out. Again please reconsider this rule. I think the majority of hunters give fair chase to the	Becky Swenson	None	Mountain Home	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2387	7/8/2017 11:48	Yes	Neutral	No	I believe the use of two way communication is important for safety.	Sharon Dean		Meridian	Idaho
2388	7/8/2017 11:58	No	No	No	Technology is a part of our society but I do believe that this is unbelievable needs to be discussed on how to do it ethically and Trail cameras are used mainly in idaho to determine if bears have cubs i think taking them away a lot of sows with cubs will be harvested	Trent		Nampa	Idaho
2389	7/8/2017 11:59	No	No	No		Ryan Furman		Emporia	KS
2390	7/8/2017 12:03	No	No	No	In today's world of increased costs of food, Transportation, gear, and everything else it takes to harvest or try to harvest a big game animal; I feel using technology like trail cameras and two way radios allow for a more humane way of harvesting an animal... to get to the correct location to take aim and fire. Hunting is still hunting. It isnt "getting". It has helped me to actually not put myself in bad situations or go down a path that could injure myself. All in all, i would say we keep the ability to use such technology. Also, it would be great to use iluminock	Aaron Joelson		Nampa	ID
2391	7/8/2017 12:08	Yes	No	No		Cassie		Rigby	Idaho
2392	7/8/2017 12:08	Neutral	No	No		Mike olguin		Nampa	Idaho
2393	7/8/2017 12:08	No	No	No	With decreasing % of hunter success rates on a trend, I am not not in favor of limiting hunters even more.	Eric Thompson		Cascade	Idaho
2394	7/8/2017 12:08	No	No	No	if you start restricting technology, you might as well restrict any modern way of taking game. Make everyone use a stick bow with homemade arrows! The goal is to get sportsman into the field and help them ethically take game. Restricting modern equipment only makes it more difficult. It helps hunters who on this day and age might not have the time to scout as much as they'd like, due to both spouses working and the increase in cost of living in Idaho. Cameras will help those who don't have the time.	Colt Modawell		Boise	ID
2395	7/8/2017 12:09	No	No	No		Carla Thompson		Cascade	Idaho
2396	7/8/2017 12:09	Yes	Yes	Yes		Mark McDevitt		Idaho falls	Idaho
2397	7/8/2017 12:11	Yes	No	Yes	Ease up on some of the equipment restrictions on Archery equipment. I.e. Lighted knocks and expandable broadheads.	Chris biddle		Burlington	Kentucky
2398	7/8/2017 12:12	Yes	Yes	Yes		Dale mc farland m		Kimberly	Idaho
2399	7/8/2017 12:12	No	No	No		Darren Rocheleau	Scibercout	Chico	CA
2400	7/8/2017 12:12	Yes	No	No		Ben Medeiros		Coeur D Alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2401	7/8/2017 12:15	No	No	No	Two-way communication helps ensure the safety of two or more hunters who are separate. Trail cameras are a great way to stay engaged with the wildlife during the offseason.	John Johnson		Johnsonville	Tennessee
2402	7/8/2017 12:15	No	No	No	This is just another attempt to choke collar sportsman that are paying fees too participate in these programs I wonder if we are choking down out of state hunters this way because there fees are higher than locals	William		Pocatello	I'd
2403	7/8/2017 12:16	No	No	No	Big mistake. How in the world will hyou be able to stop someone from using thier phones. You are trying to tie our hands more and more. I am a meat hunter and rely on big game to feed my family. Hunting is hard enough. And now you want to cinch the rope up tighter.	Delbert	Hunter	Rexburg	Idaho
2404	7/8/2017 12:17	No	No	No	Trail cameras and 2 way radios do not increase or decrease the odds of harvesting big game animals. You should be adding the use of lighted nocks (to help on seeing shot placement on big game animals) or cameras attached to bows (to record the hunts especially for 1st time hunters or youth hunters). This seems like something that older hunters do not want available to use as they are afraid of change. Why not starting to fine photographers for not purchasing a hunting license since the fall under the definition	Nathan Corson	None	Kuna	ID
2405	7/8/2017 12:18	Yes	No	No		K. Christian		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2406	7/8/2017 12:18	No	No	No		Sean Clow		Parma	Idaho
2407	7/8/2017 12:18	Yes	No	Yes	I would caveat support on using remote enabled trail cameras by saying that a private owner on their own land should have the prerogative to use them. With cell phones, its functionally impossible to police yheir use for locating game, so I am leery of their inclusion in new laws and regulations. Functionally, I have no problem with the idea of someone locating an animal and calling a buddy, but I understand this becomes a slippery slope quickly.	Jay Dubb		Elmer dinkleyville Ak	
2408	7/8/2017 12:19	Yes	No	No	In regards to the communication question: Based on my expirience; I believe this would lead to abuse by law enforcement.	Jim Briggs	Hunter	Craigmont	Idaho
2409	7/8/2017 12:19	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Skyler		Tabiona	Utah
2410	7/8/2017 12:19	Yes	Yes	Yes		David s french		Victor	ny

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2411	7/8/2017 12:19	No	No	Neutral	I like the use of two way radios to keep in touch with others hunting with me in case of emergency , or if my wife get a deer I can go to her .I like trail cams had on my private property ,not to keen on public lands though .my option only .	Harold Peckenpaugh		Emmett	Idaho
2412	7/8/2017 12:21	Yes	No	No	I understand the advantages over the game we pursue if electronic devices are used, however, being able to navigate in and out and communicate are far more important. Do some abuse the use of these devices, yes. Will regulations stop them from using them, no. Hunting safely should not come at the cost of a	Josh Pisani		Boise	ID
2413	7/8/2017 12:22	No	No	No	I find it hard for anyone to determine if a device was used to communicate either for aiding the hunt or for "lawful" use. I call b.s. because the next thing you are going to try and say is that no one will be allowed communication devices in the future. Where are your moral obligation to the safety and security of the hunter. This is wrong on many levels. Please reconsider your choices when it comes to these rules. Thank you.	Dustin Rone		Emmett	Idaho
2414	7/8/2017 12:22	Yes	No	No		Scott Barrus		Grantsville	Utah
2415	7/8/2017 12:22	Yes	No	No	I hate cameras that send instant pictures to a hunter allowing them to know where there prey is at immediately. That's cheating.	Gabriel	Hunter	Tucson	Arizona
					Cameras create optimism for hunters. But the use and over use by guides and outfitters has created issues with the average hunters and gives an unfair advantage to average hunters. Guides and outfitters should be limited and checking cameras on waters should be done where they don't affect other hunters.				
					Communication between hunters has assisted them to take game but your asking your wardens to really work a lot more to catch hunters because the cases will be incredibly hard to make.				
2416	7/8/2017 12:24	No	No	No	In no way can a trail camera help you harvest. It helps with scouting and planning but not the actual hunt itself. Animals are not programmed they come and go as they please. Also walkie talkies help people that get lost and potentially get hurt. If those get banned I have no hope for fish and game anymore..	Cody		Idaho reside Lewiston	Idaho
2417	7/8/2017 12:25	Yes	No	Yes		Joshua McIntosh		Nampa	Idaho
2418	7/8/2017 12:27	Yes	Yes	Yes		Cody Tam	Hunter	Boise	Id
2419	7/8/2017 12:27	Yes	No	No		Michael knutti		Dingle	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2420	7/8/2017 12:28	Yes	No	No	Instead of wasting time and money trying to implement rules that do not effect harvest and would be impossible to enforce why don't we actually study big game migrations and actually do a Owyhee county pronghorn survey along with sheep.	James Weaver		Mountain Home	Idaho
2421	7/8/2017 12:29	Neutral	No	No		Scott LaBuda		Mountain Home	ID
2422	7/8/2017 12:30	Yes	Yes	No	Trail cams have given the hunter a crutch, we are forgetting how to read sign, scout and learn the woods. Let's get back to hunting and learning the animals and not getting texts when a deer or elk walks by our camera	Ben		Sun prairie	Wi
2423	7/8/2017 12:30	Yes	Yes	No	Two way communication devices are also a safety feature for hunters in case of emergencies, so have to be a must for every hunter in the field. Trail cameras can be use to know the game that takes certain trail primarily but scouting the woods before hunting season adds more fun and excitement to the hunting later. Cameras are more related to commercial aspect of hunting now. This type of gadgets were not used in the 1950 - 1980, and you still hunted that times	Alejandro Vargas	Hunter	Portland	Oregon
2424	7/8/2017 12:30	No	No	No		Monte Tesch		Ogden	Utah
2425	7/8/2017 12:31	Neutral	No	Yes	I don't think there is enough information to make a sound judgment on the issue of trail cameras that transmit pictures. I can see where that would be beneficial to people who are handicapped or those who work long hours. More info needed how those who use them can take unfair advantage of game.	Ron Richman	None	Edinburg	Pennsylvar

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2426	7/8/2017 12:31	Yes	No	No	<p>When in the backcountry of Idaho, my partner and I will split up most days. We will each take a radio to remain in contact for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Safety concerns -Animal down, the other ends their chase and begins the process of meat recovery -Locate where camp will be that night as we pack camp on our backs <p>I am against "party hunts" where communication can be used to alert others of a filled tag if multiple persons are trying to fill the same tag. Also for use when someone draws a coveted tag and has a group looking for the right animal to harvest. When there are multiple people looking for one animal and they are the push of a button away from coming to said draw/ ridge/ basin, that is not fair chase and ethical in my opinion. The proper way to handle this scenario would be to observe the animal and bed him down, return to camp and come up with a game plan in person, should you wish to have multiple people scouting.</p> <p>Trail cameras can be a great "inventory" tool because they are never "sleeping" or need to come off the mountain, they are on guard 24/7. For this reason I have mixed feelings about them, I can see both sides of the coin. I am against transmitting cameras. Checking cards can take a lot of work, if someone elects to use cameras they should check them via boot rather than remotely. My point of view may change in the future, but right now I am fine</p>	tyler cook		cove	or
2427	7/8/2017 12:33	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cams that you hAve to hike to to retrieve pics are ok. Those you can download to phone or computer without visiting camera location gives an unfair advantage to hunt several locations at	Rob brazie		Horseshoe bend	Idaho
2428	7/8/2017 12:34	No	No	No		Shaylon T. Passey		Dingle	Idaho
2429	7/8/2017 12:36	No	No	Yes		ron Lengacher		troy	Idaho
2430	7/8/2017 12:39	Yes	No	Yes		JENNIFER MCLEMORE	Fish and Gar	Jerome	Idaho
2431	7/8/2017 12:42	No	No	No		Mark		Mtn home	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2432	7/8/2017 12:43	No	No	No	While I'm hunting, I use radios to keep myself and others from getting lost. I also use them so if I am fortunate enough to get a big game animal than I can call for help with the animal. Trail cameras are a great tool. To be honest, people who put in the extra work and effort to scout and find good game animals are the people who are going to hold out for that better game animal and not shoot the first baby they see. They deserve that good game animal for putting in the time and effort to scout and make the most they can out of their hunt.	Jake Transtrum		St. Charles	Idaho
2433	7/8/2017 12:43	No	No	No	Trail communication??? That's a safety hazard. How will the law differentiate between using a 2-way communication device as personal safety And not something used against fair chase practices. Hunters used to use bugles and horns for crying out loud. As for trail cameras. How does that make it NOT fair chase. You still have to scout for animal sign to place a camera. And two digital transmission of photos potentially disturbs game less than hunters stomping through the woods. Someone has an agenda that has nothing to do with fair chase.... find something else to	Dustin Jones		Pocatello	ID
2434	7/8/2017 12:48	Yes	No	No	Hand held radios are used by our group to find each other after long hikes and to make sure we are not in someone's line of fire. This would increase the number of cases of lost hunters or hunting accidents. I believe in fair chase and practice it. Let make smart decisions and not risk safety.	Jason K Rasmussen	Licensed hur	Chubbuck	Idaho
2435	7/8/2017 12:49	Yes	Yes	Yes	Just because you have a camera doesn't guarantee you will automatically get a game animal. It's not that big of advantage. I think it should still be legal to use both cameras and radio s	Larry Nelson		Kingsburg	California
2436	7/8/2017 12:49	No	No	No	I think that the use of radios are more of a safety issue when you have younger people out hunting. If you worded it to prevent people from using them to directly aid in locating game while hunting would be ok, but to restrict them from being used while hunting would not the smartest idea in my opinion. They help when someone is lost or hurt to help them get aid quicker.	Kenneth E Jorgensen		Blackfoot	ID
2437	7/8/2017 12:49	No	No	No	On the trail cams, limiting the restrictions to live streaming would be ok, but for the purpose of gathering info on the quality of animals I think that would be acceptable use of the trail cams.	Jamie Mitchell	None	Arco	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2438	7/8/2017 12:51	Neutral	No	No		Tim Paul	Hunter	Nampa	Idaho
2439	7/8/2017 12:54	Yes	No	No		Sage Kendall		Emmett	Idaho
2440	7/8/2017 12:55	Yes	Yes	No	Support restrictions only because people clog water holes/ drinkers and put unnecessary stress on game animals. I'm also for not allowing suppressor for a number of reasons. I also believe high fence or canned "hunts" should be eliminated on private lands. Wild animals are not livestock. Fair chase is hunting.	Ed Pidgeon		Chandler	Arizona
2441	7/8/2017 12:59	No	No	Yes		Eric E. Kincaid		Emmett	ID
2442	7/8/2017 12:59	No	No	No	I am a Disabled Veteran Hunter. I would fight this if my home state of Wyoming tried to do it. While I do not own or use a trail camera, and will not. The FRS 2 way radios are needed in case I get hurt, or wound an animal. I hunt old school, but see nothing wrong with electronic aids. Idaho will lose hunters	William Allen Crowder	USN RET	Casper	WYOMING
2443	7/8/2017 13:00	No	No	No	I am a Disabled Veteran Hunter. I would fight this if my home state of Wyoming tried to do it. While I do not own or use a trail camera, and will not. The FRS 2 way radios are needed in case I get hurt, or wound an animal. I hunt old school, but see nothing wrong with electronic aids. Idaho will lose hunters	William Allen Crowder	USN RET	Casper	WYOMING
2444	7/8/2017 13:00	No	No	No		Hudson English		Great falls	Montana
2445	7/8/2017 13:00	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rance Marquez	Free thinker	Phoenix	AZ
2446	7/8/2017 13:00	Yes	Neutral	Neutral		Bill allen		Lambertville	New Jersey
2447	7/8/2017 13:02	Neutral	No	No		Joey Covert		Pocatello	Id
2448	7/8/2017 13:05	Yes	No	Yes		Leslie Milligan		Rapid City	SD
2449	7/8/2017 13:08	No	No	Neutral	This totally ridiculous!	Fred Baldwin		Kamiah	Idaho
2450	7/8/2017 13:08	Yes	No	Yes		Cody McFarland		Kemmerer	Wyoming
2451	7/8/2017 13:09	No	No	No	Trail cams are an essential tool in determining where prime areas are to hunt.i see no reason at all to interfere with a hunters ability to increase his success rates while hunting	Timothy G Jones Jr	Hunter	Michigan City	Indiana
2452	7/8/2017 13:11	No	Yes	Yes		Roger Lawrence	RMEF, B & C	Dolores	Colorado
2453	7/8/2017 13:11	Yes	Yes	Yes	I believe no trail cameras should be allowed during the hunting season. Communication devices should not be allowed at all to aid in taking game.	Tim		Rawlins	Wy
2454	7/8/2017 13:11	Neutral	No	No		David Anderson		Fairview	Illinois
2455	7/8/2017 13:12	Yes	No	No		Troy Stratford		Richland	WA
2456	7/8/2017 13:14	Yes	No	Neutral		Colter Stewart		Lowman	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2457	7/8/2017 13:17	No	No	No	These electronic devices are in use in other states and i do not believe they are negatively impacting the sport in any way. Run n gun truck hunters are the largest problem i have faced while hunting Idaho, Wyoming, and Colorado every year. Also consider restricting atv and motorcycle use in these area's to early, lunchtime, and evening. Having motorized vehicles running around all day long spooks game clear out of the area.	James Kerns		N.Bloomfield	Ohio
2458	7/8/2017 13:19	Yes	No	No		Carl Erquiaga		Fallon	NV
2459	7/8/2017 13:20	Yes	No	Yes	The actual physical presence of the hunter at the time and place that the animal is encountered is the true essence of hunting !!	Brad Gould	Hunter	East St Paul	Manitoba C
2460	7/8/2017 13:26	Yes	No	No		Levi		Pocatello	Idaho
2461	7/8/2017 13:29	Yes	No	No	To allow any restriction is just getting a foot in the door. I do believe a camera that transmits data is pushing the limit. Radios are a tool and help with safety so that shouldn't even be up for	Tyler		Nampa	Idaho
2462	7/8/2017 13:32	No	No	No		Chansey McMillin		Lame Deer	MONTANA
2463	7/8/2017 13:34	Yes	Yes	Yes		Del Riggan		Brewster	WA
2464	7/8/2017 13:34	Yes	No	No		Keith Griswold		Olympia	WA
2465	7/8/2017 13:35	No	No	No	theses add in the minimal intrusions of a person into the hunting range during scouting. Helping in reducing the spooking factor of large game.	Jordan		Blackfoot	ID
2466	7/8/2017 13:38	No	No	No	Fish and game needs to stop this nonsense. You already limit hunts to short periods of time and limit land to hunt on. Residents should be able to use technology to help find big game to maximize the odds of success in the short window they have to	Morgan		Nampa	Idaho
2467	7/8/2017 13:38	Neutral	No	Yes		George Bennett		Payette	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2468	7/8/2017 13:39	Neutral	No	No	I don't like the idea of two way radios. But I think it's hard to enforce phones without invading privacy by searching someone's phone to see if they are talking to someone. I am also worried about someone not taking a device that could help finding them in a search and rescue situation. I think a provision protecting people to PLB or InReach to make sure people are safe. I don't really care if you use a game camera that can send pictures over wifi or cellular. Real hunters are going to put camera so far back they won't get a signal. Which is also why I want to keep using my trail cameras. I enjoy hiking in every few weeks and changing SD cards.	Dru Strange		Montpelier	ID
2469	7/8/2017 13:39	Yes	No	Yes		Ross		Spokane	Wa
2470	7/8/2017 13:39	No	No	No		Joe Wheatley		Kuna	Idaho
2471	7/8/2017 13:39	Yes	No	No	I do not agree with remote trail cams. I have no problem with cams you have to retrieve media card from. As an out of state hunter I pay a lot of \$ to hunt Idaho and remote cameras give an unfair advantage to residents. I Especially do not want to see a ban on two way radios. We Never use them to communicate to track game. Just to communicate with my 72 year old father with health issues to make sure he is ok and when to meet at the truck after being in the woods. Banning two way radios would force me to spend a lot	Martin Rivera		Gilroy	Ca
2472	7/8/2017 13:41	No	No	No		Sarah		Preston	Idaho
2473	7/8/2017 13:41	Yes	No	Yes		Lorne cook		Sparwood	Mt
2474	7/8/2017 13:44	No	No	No	This is a complete joke! We as hunters use tools to help us to determine if an area is capable of putting the right game for us to hunt. We cannot keep taking away more and more rights of hunters who spend days upon days in the field.	Anthony		Hayden	Id
2475	7/8/2017 13:45	No	No	No	This is ridiculous restricting our rights to use our phones and two way radios and trail cameras is absurd for one how are you planning on enforcing this new law and two what if an animal is downed or wounded and we can't properly communicate to find it.	Solomon usary		Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2476	7/8/2017 13:46	Yes	Yes	Yes	man was gifted with opposable thumbs and deductive reasoning. If you are unable to outsmart an big game animal using either of those tools then you may want to consider a new hobby. How many advantages do we need to guarantee success? I'm sure you all have heard the phrase "there are no guarantees in life except	Cody harsch		Star	Idaho
2477	7/8/2017 13:48	Yes	Yes	Yes	I've been back and forth on this because it's such a wide topic. It will be hard for officers to regulate. I feel like four wheelers should be more of a focus. I see hunters riding wheelers talking on the phone. Hunters sitting on two ridges without wheelers makes no sense to regulate them. Restrict the whole state for four wheeler	Douglas Miller	Citizen - Life Pinehurst		Texas
2478	7/8/2017 13:50	Yes	No	Yes		Bradley T Bearson	McCammon		ID
2479	7/8/2017 13:50	Yes	No	Yes	Two way radios should not be allowed for hunting big game.Wi-Fi trail cameras should not be allowed either. Trail cameras that are not Wi-Fi should be allowed. Cell phones you really can't because they can be used for emergencies.	Tracy Holt	Sportsman	Carey	IDAHO
2480	7/8/2017 13:50	Yes	Yes	No	Spend less time sitting around and thinking up new rules and more time finding access to public lands. Get rid of some rules, not make more	Todd W johnson		idaho falls	ID
2481	7/8/2017 13:51	No	No	No		mittchell A. Jaurena		meridian	id
2482	7/8/2017 13:54	No	No	No	My son and I use radio's to know where each other is located, so how would you enforce this change. You can't cite me for having the radio, and you have no way of knowing if they are used for a hunting aid, sounds like a legal bundoggle to me.	Bruce Clancy	Native Idahc	Nampa	ID
2483	7/8/2017 13:55	Neutral	Neutral	No	While I don't believe it is fair to use cameras all the time it helps to not waste time where they are not, but two way radios are an absolute must lives can be saved by use of two way radios if someone gets lost	Ethan		Filer	Idaho
2484	7/8/2017 13:58	Yes	No	No	Two-way radios help anyone that's hunting or just being in the mountains keep track of each other	Jerry Lyle		Boise	Idaho
2485	7/8/2017 14:03	No	No	No		Brandi		Pocatello	Idaho
2486	7/8/2017 14:05	No	No	No		Byron Fairchild		Declo	Idaho
2487	7/8/2017 14:14	Neutral	No	No	Game cameras are a important tool to judge animals especially bears. They help determine maturity and especially sex female bears with cubs come to your baits all the time and frequently without the cubs during daylight hours	Jason Turner		Nampa	Id
2488	7/8/2017 14:15	Yes	No	No		Matt howard		Wallowa	Oregon
2489	7/8/2017 14:18	No	No	No		Brandon Chatterton		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2490	7/8/2017 14:20	No	No	No		Dave	None	West Jordan	Utah
2491	7/8/2017 14:21	No	No	No	All these devices do is tell you where an animal has been. Taking these tool away from hunters will push them to spend their money in other states that allow their use.	Brian Jarry		Chicopee	MA
2492	7/8/2017 14:22	No	No	No		Mike Forest		Orofino	Idaho
2493	7/8/2017 14:26	No	No	No		Shawn McGee		Glenville	Ny
2494	7/8/2017 14:29	No	No	No	Completely unnecessary trail cams help hunters determine age and gender of animals there for helps aid younger animals not being taken to early and certain genders of animals such and bear can be difficult to detect without help of game cams. Two way communication devices are not only aid but also can be a helpful safety device for hunters. This new rule is completely ridiculous!!	Justin widmyer		Fernwood	Idaho
2495	7/8/2017 14:29	Yes	No	Yes		Patrick B Krapfl		Rathdrum	ID
2496	7/8/2017 14:38	Yes	Yes	Yes		Tom pettiette		Houston	Texas
2497	7/8/2017 14:39	Yes	No	No		Eddie Hynek		Weiser	Idaho
2498	7/8/2017 14:39	No	No	No		Patty Pope		Orofino	Idaho
2499	7/8/2017 14:46	Yes	Yes	Yes		Mark Natale		Townsend	MT
2500	7/8/2017 14:47	No	No	No	Technology is becoming more ingrained & intertwined in our lives whether we like iy or not. To place restrictions on yhe use of tjese devices will not only decrease hunters chance of success, but it would also discourage the next generation from getting into the outdoors, and hunting in particular. It is hard enough to get people interested in hunting as it it is, (those who were not born into it) and if certain aspects are taken away, that will most likely either discourage them altogether, or have them take theut hunting dollars to a different state where said equipment is legal, and not worry abojt getting a citation for something that is commonplace simewhere else.	Nathan Rouse		Meridian	ID
2501	7/8/2017 14:47	Yes	No	No		Brock Cassity		Marsing	Idaho
2502	7/8/2017 14:47	Yes	No	No		Greg baer		Boise	Idaho
2503	7/8/2017 14:48	No	No	Neutral	Trail cams don't guarantee anything as far as successful hunts. However, they do give you a chance to see some of the animals in the area which you can judge before you come across them in the wild. It is a positive tool to make good ethical decisions.	ERIC STACY		Kenner	Louisiana

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2504	7/8/2017 14:54	Yes	No	No	The use of 2 way radios during hunting should be allowed, because I believe radios can be used as a tool for hunter safety. It affords a way to communicate with hunters in your party in case of injury or being lost. There is no way to determine how radios are being used, hence they should be allowed.	Thom Nichols	NONE	Caldwell	Idaho
2505	7/8/2017 14:57	Yes	No	No	I bowhunt mostly. I didn't use trail cameras until the last week of Nov to find one or two spots pressured deer may go. I used a head set twice and killed my first Maine deer with-in hours and the other hint pushed deer into my old retired hunting buddy. I use the cameras April-may then sept oct . Usually and they usually are where I already know the deer are, the direction of travel etc. I busted my buddy's choos after flanking these does (already punched mine) a few times. Bigger fish to fry like prixy wars and corruption save your breath for bigger battles ahead	Keith Ortolani	Sons of Libe Lowell		MA
2506	7/8/2017 14:58	No	No	No	There is no scientific data supporting this legislation and the effects of these specific technologies on the harvest of game animals. This legislation will only deter new hunters and also create potential safety concerns for all hunters.	Kendra wageman		Shelly	idaho
2507	7/8/2017 15:01	Yes	No	Neutral		Kyle Sellner		Sleepy eye	Minnesota
2508	7/8/2017 15:05	Yes	No	No	Radios are too critical for safety to eliminate use during hunts. This is almost impossible to enforce if you allow use for safety and not for pursuit of game. Wireless game cams take the chase out of patterning animals. It gives too big of an advantage to those with deep pockets that are not willing to do the work by putting boots on the ground to look for sign and relocate cameras.	Mark Zinser		El dorado hills	California
2509	7/8/2017 15:05	No	No	No		Camille		Bellevue	ID
2510	7/8/2017 15:05	Yes	Yes	Yes		Butch Suor	Self	Stites	ID
2511	7/8/2017 15:10	No	No	No	Two way radios? Seriously? That's a safety tool. I would not hunt without my radio. If I need help I need to be able to talk with someone. As for Trail cameras, they haven't aided me in killing any animal. Just because your camera captures animals, sure as hell doesn't mean you will.	Tyler		Vancouver	Wa
2512	7/8/2017 15:15	No	No	Yes		Travis burns	Sportsman /	Idaho falls	Idaho
2513	7/8/2017 15:17	No	No	No		Greg nelson		Walla walla	Washington
2514	7/8/2017 15:20	Yes	No	No		Nathan Wright		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2515	7/8/2017 15:22	No	No	No	This is not ok. The rules are just fine. Leave them how they are.	Joseph penney	Hunter	Star	Idaho
2516	7/8/2017 15:25	Yes	Neutral	Yes	I support the restriction of 2 way comms during the pursuit of an animal, but I believe that after an animal is downed that it should be legal.	Dustin Loya		Nampa	Idaho
2517	7/8/2017 15:26	Neutral	No	No		Justin moyer		Malad city	Idaho
2518	7/8/2017 15:31	No	No	No		Sam		Pocello	Id
2519	7/8/2017 15:31	No	No	No		Malachi mclain		Pocatello	Idaho
2520	7/8/2017 15:37	Yes	No	No	As a hunter with severely limited time to go out into the woods and hunt in the fall I have found trail cameras to be an indispensable hunting tool. I can get out for a few hours every couple of weeks during the summer and place and monitor cameras. When the season comes I have an idea which area is at least holding animals to hunt and I don't waste my time where the animals are not present. The only restriction I would find reasonable would be limiting real time transmission of game cam pictures electronically. As far as texting goes everybody has a phone in their pocket these days. I use it to keep my wife updated on my success and safety etc. With everybody carrying a phone and with such	Steve Cook		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2521	7/8/2017 15:38	No	No	No		Rachel Gatten		Dalton Gardens	Idaho
2522	7/8/2017 15:41	No	No	No	Everything Will soon be illegal if we don't be careful. Fish and game needs to go back to managing the growth of wildlife so families can continue to hunt in order to supplement food to their tables. We can't turn it into a rich mans sport!!! How many trail cameras can be out there that work in the wilderness. Is it truly an issue? Do we truly need so many new laws that grow police like game officers running around in the woods policing hunters??? Hunting was always a family bonding time for our large group. It use to be easy a season, type of gun, area, tag. And you are off!	Lyn	Camp cook & Eagle		Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2523	7/8/2017 15:44	No	No	Neutral	I personally think this is too difficult to manage as well as, these are tools to assist in hunting. If the goal is to manage a species, the amount of tags should be limited or changes based on the population needs and success rates over the years.	Joe buschemi		Omaha	Nebraska
					I personally think that any aerial pictures, videos and the use of drones should be limited and that is something much easier to see flying around as well so you can actually manage that. A trail camera is a needle in a haystack and I don't personally see that truly upping odds. Communicating with someone while hunting is much more about where they are at and how they are doing in regards to seeing anything than it is about sharing location of animals. I would never go running over to where another hunter is hunting to try and get an animal because they were seeing them too. That sounds like it would spoil their success with another person trudging around compared to making you and them both successful				
2524	7/8/2017 15:45	Yes	No	No		Justin wright		Emmett	Id
2525	7/8/2017 15:50	No	No	Yes		John Moran	Jrock42945@	Donnelly	ID
2526	7/8/2017 15:52	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think restricting rangefinders would also be a great idea. I use one but would be fine with eliminating them.as hunters we have had so much new technology that has extended our range to the point that we have surpassed wild games natural defense system. I have Idaho for 2 years now. I love using trail cameras as a part of scouting both before and during season! Living out of state doesn't give us as much time to scout! If these are outlawed I will not hunt Idaho again.	Thad Griffith		Moses lake	Washington
2527	7/8/2017 15:53	No	No	No		kaitlen Beal		Cheney	Washington
2528	7/8/2017 15:53	Yes	Yes	No	I agree that trail cameras are somewhat unethical. But there have been many times when two way radio communication had been helpful regarding safety concerns. Rarely do I use radio communication to gain unethical advantage over game. It's use is for maintaining contact with your hunting companions and calling help in when it is needed. I think it would endanger the public to rid the use of radio communication	Andrew White		Meridian	Idaho
2529	7/8/2017 15:53	Yes	No	Yes		Ed Elo		Spring Lake	NJ

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2530	7/8/2017 15:54	No	No	No		Matthew		Blackfoot	Idaho
2531	7/8/2017 15:58	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras that send live feeds or pictures to a computer or device of any kind should be restricted. Using trail cameras that only take pictures and videos should be allowed, if you walk in and check your camera and it shows a picture of a deer at 2 pm in that spot there is absolute no guarantee of any kind that means that deer will be there at 2pm the next day. Regular trail cameras that only take pictures and video both day and night are totally	Randal Fry	Idaho hunter	Boise	Idaho
2532	7/8/2017 16:00	No	No	No		Raymond Carnegie		White Bird	Idaho
2533	7/8/2017 16:01	No	No	No	No it isn't a huge aid and is something that shouldn't be	Trenton	Fellow bowh	Grace	Idaho
2534	7/8/2017 16:02	No	No	No	The communication devices are helpful to help an older hunter to possibly fill a tag and may help reduce some unneeded travel for some hunters. Just because a specific animal is on a given day does not mean it will be there later that day or next several days.	Ken H		Roy	UT
2535	7/8/2017 16:03	No	No	No		Mitchel Reggear	Mreggear@g	Peck	Id
2536	7/8/2017 16:03	No	No	No	I hunt with my 79 year old father and both of my sons. It is very important for Safety that we all are able to communicate via 2 way radios. It is also very important we use our Gps's to identify where we are and where our base camp is. I have been disoriented during a snow storm where visibility was poor and was able to find camp due to having marked camp on my GPS. As the leader of our hunting party I insist everyone have a GPS and a 2 way radio. First and foremost for Safety and to relocate our harvest once we all go back for a pack out.	Rick McDonald		Lompoc/Meridian	Ca/Idaho
2537	7/8/2017 16:07	No	No	No	The cameras give general ideas of the locations of the location of the game, but they do not pinpoint anything! A hunter may see a picture of a large animal on his trailcam, but that is no guarantee that the animal will still be there when season opens.	Charlene Boyd		Klamath Falls	Or
2538	7/8/2017 16:09	Yes	No	No	We use Garmin rinos in our hunting party. When used no one gets lost and when we get something down everyone can find you to come help. The faster we get the meat hanging or out of the forest the better. As for trail cams I don't use them much , but can see how they could be used as big game stalking. IF DONE VIA INTERNET. I believe in putting in the time in the woods.	Mike Scharff		Post falls	Idaho
2539	7/8/2017 16:16	No	No	No	Two way communication is a safety issue, I hunt with a 13 and 15 year old and need to stay in contact with them.	Dan Lacy		Boise	Idaho
2540	7/8/2017 16:18	No	No	No		Chris		Coeur d'alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2541	7/8/2017 16:19	No	No	No	I think that my situation is like a lot of others in Idaho. Due to the lack of wildlife in Idaho it is hard to keep the younger generation interested in the outdoors because you can drive or hike all day and not see a single animal. Trail cameras give me a tool to help keep younger generation interested by showing them animals that we just missed or would have never seen due to their numbers. This gives younger people and myself the drive to keep trying. Cameras help in many other ways such as harvesting only bores and not sows with cubs. The sows rarely bring their cub into a bait every time. With cameras a person knows what has been frequenting their bait site. Also not over hunting areas and knowing what the numbers are and when to move and let the numbers recover. Harvesting only mature animals or injured. Bottom line is Cameras are not the problem people are we don't need more rules we need more people who respect the wildlife	James Hershey		kamiah	ID
2542	7/8/2017 16:20	No	No	No		Mike jakomeit		Meridian	Idaho
2543	7/8/2017 16:27	Neutral	No	No		Curtis Harwick		Ashton	Idaho
2544	7/8/2017 16:28	Yes	No	No		Nick Gastelecutto	Hunter	Boise	Idaho
2545	7/8/2017 16:28	No	No	No		Tyler Summers		Teton	ID
2546	7/8/2017 16:29	No	No	No		Carrie Bishop		Meridian	ID
2547	7/8/2017 16:30	No	No	No		Hailey Bishop		Meridian	Idaho
2548	7/8/2017 16:30	No	No	No	I view camera as an hunters educational tool. Cameras can help an hunter to determine sex, age etc.	Kevin		Spokane	Wa.
2549	7/8/2017 16:33	No	No	No		Dustin		Colorado Springs	Co
2550	7/8/2017 16:34	No	No	No		Jeremiah freer		Rathdrum	Idaho
2551	7/8/2017 16:36	No	No	No		Damon Mitchell		Orofino	Idaho
2552	7/8/2017 16:38	Yes	No	No		Bob Bailey		Wendell	Idaho
2553	7/8/2017 16:43	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras that take motion activated still pictures are a simple hunting aid. Live streaming is not fair chase.	Susan Hunt	Idaho hunter	Deary	Idaho
2554	7/8/2017 16:43	No	Yes	No	Radio communication between a hunter and others is vital especially in the case of an emergency.	Jake Erwin		lpg.erwin@g Susanville	CA 96130
2555	7/8/2017 16:45	No	No	No	You cannot regulate forms of communication used in the wildlife without creating greater risk to the people outdoors. These devices are also used for our safety and more rapid rescue in an	Jesse Ware		Menan	Idaho
2556	7/8/2017 16:46	Neutral	No	No	Two way communication and use of cellphone is also used for our own safety.	Travis		Deary	Idaho
2557	7/8/2017 16:51	No	No	No		Ken Hollis		Caldwell	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2558	7/8/2017 16:55	No	No	No		Anita hollis		Caldwrl	Idaho
2559	7/8/2017 17:04	Yes	No	No	Don't like live streaming cameras or video, but if one of your buds spots an animal and doesn't want to spook it so he texts you, I think it should be fine.	Keegan	Sportsman	Boise	Idaho
2560	7/8/2017 17:05	Yes	No	Yes	i don't think the use of trail cameras are bad but the ones that send a picture to your phone or computer yes i do think they are unfair.because a buck could show up when you are at one spot send pic to your phone and then you go there and shot one no thats not fair chase.	David Kocher		reading	P.A.
2561	7/8/2017 17:05	No	No	No		Austin	Hunter	Meridian	Idaho
2562	7/8/2017 17:13	No	No	No	This has nothing to do with fair chase. Communication is essential To safety in the mountains. It would be like saying the CO's are not allowed to communicate with their radios in he mountains. It does not make sense. Game cameras are essential and great tools to focus a hunt. To take these away is	Trevor chadwick		Star	Id
2563	7/8/2017 17:17	No	No	No		Billie Mitchell	None	Arco	Idaho
2564	7/8/2017 17:19	Yes	No	No		Dennis Read		Murphy	ID
2565	7/8/2017 17:19	No	No	No	Using a trail camera for hunting is no different then a fish finder for fishing. If hunters cant find game you will get more road hunting, more illegal hunting and more trespassing.	John		Boise	Id
2566	7/8/2017 17:21	Yes	No	Neutral		James Titland		Ammon	ID
2567	7/8/2017 17:21	Yes	Yes	Yes	fair chase ethics, and sportsmanship Evelyn	Evelyn	N/A	Elk City	Idaho
2568	7/8/2017 17:23	No	No	No		Joshua Zingler		Carson	CA
2569	7/8/2017 17:26	No	No	No	Taking away 2 way radios and gps, cell phones would cause many problems. Hunters getting lost with much more frequency, make hunting more dangerous and less enjoyable.	Riley Rawlings		Preston	Idaho
2570	7/8/2017 17:27	Yes	No	No		Rocky Banks		coeur d alene	Idaho (ID)
2571	7/8/2017 17:29	Yes	No	No		Nathan Ziegler		Post Falls	ID
2572	7/8/2017 17:29	Yes	Yes	Yes	why take ALL chances for wildlife to evade the "hunter", - no longer hunting when every device, motorized/electronic is used, just becomes killing & that has to STOP aswell as longrange target practice by wannabe snipers; another law needed for range engagement restrictions due to lazy cowards who think they're snipers engaging an enemy but to much a coward to go military/mercenary to do it. if you want to hunt then hunt, do not use devices as if your engaging an enemy!! ALL this makes for unsafe hunting aswell!!	Eric Rumpel	hunter in & c	Bristow	Nebraska

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2573	7/8/2017 17:29	No	No	No	This is a joke. You people need to pull your heads out.	Kennon Reynolds		Princeton	Idaho
2574	7/8/2017 17:30	No	No	No		Travis zohner		Pocatello	Idaho
2575	7/8/2017 17:36	No	No	Yes		Larry Hall		Boise	Idaho
2576	7/8/2017 17:37	No	No	No		Kormick Lutz	Avid bad-ass	Paris	Idaho
2577	7/8/2017 17:38	Yes	No	No	this survey is too vague. Must be more specific or request feedback for each bullet of the attachment. This was poorly put	David Paskett		Boise	Idaho
2578	7/8/2017 17:38	No	No	No	You guys are getting a little ridiculous	Bud York		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2579	7/8/2017 17:39	No	No	No	This is the most ridiculous proposal I've ever seen!	Derwin Anderson		Middleton	Idaho
2580	7/8/2017 17:42	Yes	Yes	Yes		Doug marsters		Middleton	Idaho
2581	7/8/2017 17:42	No	No	No		Brent Colborn		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2582	7/8/2017 17:44	Yes	Yes	Neutral	The limiting of real-time two-way communication is a seriously complicated issue to alter a problem that is not a clear issue, but it is certainly not nearly as large an issue as trail cameras.	Matthew St.Amand		Post Falls	IDAHO
2583	7/8/2017 17:46	No	No	No		Daniel Bradford		Victor	Idaho
2584	7/8/2017 17:46	No	No	No		Micah Andersen		Rigby	Idaho
2585	7/8/2017 17:48	Yes	No	Yes		Jason staker		Lewisville	Idaho
2586	7/8/2017 17:49	Yes	Yes	Neutral	I don't mind two way radio conversation while hunting if both parties are on the ground. I do, however, oppose communication via drones, planes, ultralights, helicopters, etc. to someone on the	Mathew Holtman		Freedom	Wyoming
2587	7/8/2017 17:53	Neutral	No	No		Charles Honeycutt		Pierce	ID
2588	7/8/2017 17:55	No	No	No		Raymond G Smith	Self	Mountain Home	Idaho
2589	7/8/2017 17:57	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras aid in good conservation by allowing a hunter to see the game in the area. He is more likely to let young animals pass if he knows mature animals are there.	Schuyler Watt		Great Falls	Montana
2590	7/8/2017 17:58	No	No	No		Kortney Behrens	Resident	Lewiston	Idaho
2591	7/8/2017 17:59	No	No	No		Allen		Naples	Idaho
2592	7/8/2017 18:03	No	No	No	Probably one of the most ridiculous things I've ever heard. I don't personally use trail cameras but I see nothing wrong with it, but to try to take to way radios from hunters is a safety issue.	Jason hay		Meridian	Idaho
2593	7/8/2017 18:04	Yes	No	No		Alex Benscoter		Lewiston	Idaho
2594	7/8/2017 18:05	No	No	No	Pull your head out.	Cam		Kuna	Idaho
2595	7/8/2017 18:06	No	No	No	Those proposals are absolutely ridiculous. Radios and phones are used for the safety of the huntet.	Larry Martin		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2596	7/8/2017 18:10	Yes	No	Yes		Jake cahill		Lewiston	Idaho
2597	7/8/2017 18:11	Yes	No	Yes		George Freidenberger		Boise	Idaho
2598	7/8/2017 18:11	Yes	Yes	Yes		Lydia Wills		Hailey	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2599	7/8/2017 18:13	Yes	No	No	I don't believe that a man should have a dozen cameras over a wate hole and sit in his truck waiting for something to come into one of his cameras. I feel that cameras that transmit pictures to a cellular device should be allowed. I hunt areas that take days to hike into. I simply don't have time to hike in to check cameras several times before season. So the long version yes I want cameras that can transmit pictures. But no I don't want lazy hunters to fill their tags solely off of camera use. Also I feel that all regular cameras should be used in planning and Carrieng out my hunt. It's hard enough already to fill my tags.	Riley P Reynolds		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2600	7/8/2017 18:14	Yes	No	No		Anna McGarrah		Moscow	Idaho
2601	7/8/2017 18:14	No	No	No	I don't understand who came up with this. It had to be an anti hunter. You restrict the use of radios while hunting and someone gets lost and dies. You know who is going to be on the news taking interviews. You can't enforce the regulations you have now. Trail cameras are great fun and great scouting tools. My concern over this really is what direction is are F&G headed. It looks more and more to the tree hugging anti hunters. Do not bite the hand that feeds you. I'm a Idaho Native and I have seen the changes in the state. We have a lot more hunters and hunting pressure. We have regulations and laws that seldom get enforced. This would	Jake	Native Idahc	Caldwell	Idaho
2602	7/8/2017 18:16	No	No	No	If you have a group of guys executing military style operations to harvest game then yeah, that should be illegal. However, a trail cam that transmits pics to a solo hunter in WA is not a problem. Also, two-way electronic communications are radios and cell phones. If I'm hurt, or I'm not going to be able to make it back to base camp, it would be great to be able to legally let my buddies know. If this is in reference to drones, yeah they should be illegal and not used to pursue game.	Travis Eiler	United State	Puyallup	Washington
2603	7/8/2017 18:16	Yes	No	Yes	I think trail cams as part of scouting during off-season and where you have to go manually remove the SD card to view the footage is fine. I don't think during season or real-time streaming should be allowed. I think restricting any form of communication during a big game hunt is fine.	Adam Nielson		Middleton	Idaho
2604	7/8/2017 18:19	No	No	No		Paul Caldwell		American falls	ID
2605	7/8/2017 18:19	No	No	No		Paul Caldwell		American falls	ID
2606	7/8/2017 18:21	Yes	No	Yes		Jack Van Riper		Tecumseh	Michigan

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2607	7/8/2017 18:27	Yes	No	No		Josh Thueson		Lewiston	Idaho
2608	7/8/2017 18:29	No	No	No		Rob Camp		Caldwell	Idaho
2609	7/8/2017 18:32	No	No	No		Adam		Idaho falls	Idaho
2610	7/8/2017 18:34	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras have been used for years and although they show game in a general area I don't believe this greatly improves the chances of successful hunt. I do oppose use of cameras that transmit wireless data transmission as this can be set up to alert or notify hunting parties when game are imaged by the camera truly giving unfair hunting advantage. Signals that are delayed 24-48 hours wirelessly would be ok as they are not transmitting real time data. 2way communication as in hand held radio or GPS systems like "rhino" radio I am in favor of use simply because we use these devices for safety to communicated with others we are hunting with and not location of game. Cell Phones are obsolete in my opinion but as technology improves that could be an issue. Those using the devices as a way of party hunting should be punished as the law allows.	David Marsh		Meridian	Idaho
2611	7/8/2017 18:36	Yes	No	Yes		Kyle stiltner		Abingdon	Virginia
2612	7/8/2017 18:41	Yes	Yes	Yes		William Long		Coeur d Alene	ID
2613	7/8/2017 18:44	No	No	No		Andrew seher		Post falls	Idaho
2614	7/8/2017 18:44	No	No	No		Adam knewbow		Lewiston	Idaho
2615	7/8/2017 18:47	No	No	No		Scott Vogel		Lewiston	ID
2616	7/8/2017 18:47	No	No	No		Austin		Clifton	Arizona
2617	7/8/2017 18:48	Yes	No	No	I believe that train cameras and radios are not a new technology and should be usable for hunting. Radios for hunting is very useful for my wife and I but we use it to let keep in touch with hunting party. Trail cameras are generally use for preseason not while	Josh		Nampa	Idaho
2618	7/8/2017 18:48	No	No	No		Shawn Moffat		weston	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2619	7/8/2017 18:49	No	No	No	Restricting any communication would put lives in danger !!!!!	Richard Gipson		Boise	Idaho
					Restricting the use of trail cameras has no bearing on hunting and taking game, it simply allows you to see what's been there and what's in the area. By using trail cameras most see the potential and allow younger less mature animals to walk and grow older.				
					As far as the wireless cameras go I don't see how that aids in harvesting an animal. You still have to approach the area without detection. Good luck.				
					I think using all available methods still only lets the good hunters harvest game. Limiting the abilities of the Hunter is restricting our God given rights				
2620	7/8/2017 18:53	No	No	No	It's already so damn hard to get a legal place to shoot! I've been buying an elk tag for 15 years never got one yet. Trail cameras show you size and frequency and how many hunters walk through. Cameras don't kill game!	Brenon Reindel	Post Falls	Post Falls	Id
2621	7/8/2017 18:58	Yes	Yes	Yes		Ethan Albretsen		Syracuse	UT
2622	7/8/2017 19:00	Yes	No	No		Jeremiah wynott		Craigmont	Id
2623	7/8/2017 19:01	Yes	Yes	Yes		Leigh Redick		Challis	Idaho
2624	7/8/2017 19:03	Neutral	No	No		Jeremy Miller		Filer	Id
2625	7/8/2017 19:03	No	No	Neutral		Michael R Paul		Kooskia	Idaho
2626	7/8/2017 19:03	Yes	No	Neutral	2 way radios should not be used in stalking game, but for safety and general communication with others. Trail cam that take pictures and/or video that are only accessible when the information is physically removed (ie memory disk or downloaded	Mike Murphy	Former resid	Ely	Iowa
2627	7/8/2017 19:05	No	No	No		Jon Bivens		Mazon	Il
2628	7/8/2017 19:07	Yes	No	No		Brad York		Lewiston	Idaho
2629	7/8/2017 19:07	No	No	No	Absolutely should not limit everything. Modify things accordingly, but growing with the times is necessary.	Jamie Sorenson		Meridian	Idaho
2630	7/8/2017 19:08	Yes	No	No		John Fagundes	Outdoorsma	Martinez	Ca.
2631	7/8/2017 19:10	No	No	No	This is beyond messed up!!!!	Tina		Idaho falls	Idaho
2632	7/8/2017 19:11	No	No	Yes		Jeff reynolds		Rupert	Idaho
2633	7/8/2017 19:12	Yes	No	Yes		Nate Blyleven		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2634	7/8/2017 19:15	No	No	No	This is ridiculous! Trail camera help educate hunters to make good decisions on is it a sow or boar? Is there mature buck and bulls in this particular area? I believe by taking away trail cameras it will make hunting a rich man sport, a who can take the most vacation days to go scouting and hunting, for those of us that only get to go out a couple of times a year it will be in fair and just a matter of luck. As far as no cell phones and no radios that's just unsafe and absurd as well I know I have had accidents 2 way communication is vital for hunter safety and should not be banned. I fully support the use of trail cams that cannot alert or transmit wirelessly.	Jake		Lewisville	Id
2635	7/8/2017 19:17	Yes	No	No		Jon Newton		Post Falls	Idaho
2636	7/8/2017 19:17	No	No	No		Jamie Vogel		Lewiston	ID
2637	7/8/2017 19:19	No	No	No		Jenn Reindel		Post Falls	ID
2638	7/8/2017 19:22	Yes	No	No		Denny Bailey		Spirit lake	ID
2639	7/8/2017 19:30	No	No	No		Sean Barnes		Boise	Idaho
2640	7/8/2017 19:31	No	No	No	These additional restrictions are unnecessary and will really negatively impact those who hunt over baits. We need less bureaucracy regarding how we choose to pursue our game	Jordan Alvarez		Blackfoot	Idaho
2641	7/8/2017 19:34	Yes	No	No		Jim		Meridian	Idaho
2642	7/8/2017 19:35	Yes	No	No		Terry Eslick		Swan Valley	Id.
2643	7/8/2017 19:35	No	No	No	Game Cameras that transmit, can not transmit far enough to give an advantage. Two way electronic communication is not an advantage, more times the communication from a radio interrupts the hunt, rather than giving advantage. Not to mention the safety reasons for carrying two way communication. Cant believe the state wants to take that liability on.	Shad Staples		Idaho Falls	ID
2644	7/8/2017 19:36	No	No	No		Skylar fehringer		Pocatello	Idaho
2645	7/8/2017 19:38	No	No	No		Janice Galbraith		Sagle	Id
2646	7/8/2017 19:38	Yes	Yes	Yes	the adventure of the chase is going into the hunt not knowing the outcome. Today with all the electronics available a so called hunter can know when to leave the house to go (hunting) Its no longer hunting its shooting of an animal that has come to a feeder which the (hunter can see from their living room .	Dan Bridegroom	none	North Judson	in
2647	7/8/2017 19:39	No	No	Yes	Two way radios are an unfair advantage to the animals	Jared Winterbottom	NA	Idaho Falls	Idaho
2648	7/8/2017 19:40	No	No	No		Bob Galbraith		Sagle	Id
2649	7/8/2017 19:40	Yes	No	No		Brett Wilponen		Lewiston	Id
2650	7/8/2017 19:46	No	No	No		Ryan Rhoades		Melba	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2651	7/8/2017 19:47	Neutral	No	No		Zack Bakker		Lewiston	Idaho
2652	7/8/2017 19:49	Yes	Neutral	Neutral		Tonya Brooks		Gannett	Idaho
2653	7/8/2017 19:50	No	No	No		T graham		Moulton	Al
2654	7/8/2017 19:54	No	No	No	I really don't see it as an issue. I like being able to communicate with my hunting partners for safety reasons and it is nice to be able to say "Hey, I'm seeing lots of deer in this basin, why don't you come on over" Plus, how would you ever enforce these new restrictions? Focus on catching poachers and tag violations.	Eric Jorgeson		Nampa	IDAHO
2655	7/8/2017 19:59	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think any of the above are maybe acceptable up until a week before the season. If you keep improving the pump without improving the well, it eventually runs dry. I support the restriction of any technology that effectively simplifies the location of game.	Shane Humphries		Jerome	ID
2656	7/8/2017 20:00	No	No	No	#3 would restrict the use of cell phones to call for help.i'm 75 yo and need help once my game is down	william m gardunia		nampa	ID
2657	7/8/2017 20:08	No	Yes	No	Please! No. This might sound good, but it is government overreaching beyond its purpose. It sounds a lot like a California	Leonard Hollingsworth		Caldwell	Idaho
2658	7/8/2017 20:13	Yes	Yes	No		Tyler Peterson		Bellevue	Idaho
2659	7/8/2017 20:15	No	No	No		Monique		Caldwell	Idaho
2660	7/8/2017 20:19	Yes	Neutral	No	I use two way radio for communication and keeping track of party members. I think banning this would set IFG up for a lawsuit if a hunter got lost and died because he couldn't communicate with his hunting party.	Travis Thornton		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2661	7/8/2017 20:23	No	No	No	Are you people nuts !!!!! You expect me to travel 20 hrs from out of state, after paying a ton of money to get there and hunt and then set up and look at my cameras for a day or two and not hunt until the 3rd or 4th day? Bull shit . There should be no restrictions in wireless or otherwise and no laws about cameras at all. Oh... And change the scope rule so I can record my kill shot with my ATN x-sight using the built in video recorder.	dennis boring	self	Oroville	Ca
2662	7/8/2017 20:24	No	No	Neutral		Benton Christensen		Preston	ID
2663	7/8/2017 20:26	Neutral	No	No	I fully understand the restriction to the trail cameras that do a live feed to a device. However I see no point in restricting game cameras in general to solve this problem. Being able to see that an animal was there that morning does not give us an advantage over the animals' senses. All you will do is create a bigger headache for yourselves and us hunters by enacting these laws.	Tyler Newton		Post Falls	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2664	7/8/2017 20:30	Yes	No	Yes	While I do agree that using trail cameras that transmit real-time video or photos should be banned, as it would allow a hunter to know in real time what is happening at a particular location and if that hunter is nearby, can allow that hunter to ambush the animal and violates fair chase and ethical hunting standards, the overall use of trail cameras does not. I do not agree with the proposal that hunting would be prohibited the day of checking a camera and the day after. Even if a camera is checked on one day, it does not mean that any animals captured on that camera was there that day or the day before. This would depend on when the camera was last checked. These cameras are used as a tool to know what animals might be passing through, but having used these cameras, I do not feel it has given me an unfair advantage in the taking of animals (as my hunting record would clearly show). In addition, this rule would be nearly impossible to	Thia Anderson		Palouse	WA
2665	7/8/2017 20:31	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hunt the old way	Tim Johnson		Lewiston	Id
2666	7/8/2017 20:36	Yes	No	No		Cole Reeder		Meridian	Idaho
2667	7/8/2017 20:39	Yes	Neutral	Yes	But I do hope that radios and phones can still be used as safety devices.	Matt Darrington		Idaho Falls	ID
2668	7/8/2017 20:41	Yes	No	No	Not allowing radios can be a safety issue for hunters and their	Tyson		Wendell	Idaho
2669	7/8/2017 20:45	Yes	No	No		Alexander Romashko		Ketchum	Idaho
2670	7/8/2017 20:45	No	No	No		Douglas Potter		Montgomery	TX
2671	7/8/2017 20:49	Yes	No	Yes		Brian Muir		Wayan	Idaho
2672	7/8/2017 20:51	Neutral	No	No		Scott Duff		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2673	7/8/2017 20:59	No	No	No		Nick		Boise	Idaho
2674	7/8/2017 21:05	No	No	No	Keep it legal, there is nothing wrong with people willing to go out and better prepare for the hunt	Marcus		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2675	7/8/2017 21:12	Yes	No	Neutral	I do not object to the use of electronic devices when used to transmit images of animals to allow judging of age class and sex. I do believe that trail cameras are a useful management tool allowing hunters/ sportsmen with limited access or time because we work for a living or travel great distance as a non-resident to make best use of our time to target those animals that we have tags for more effectively. I do not like the cellular capable cameras except for those with a legitimate handicap.	Mark Howell	N/A	Conover	NC
2676	7/8/2017 21:15	Yes	Yes	Yes	Communication is an extremely important part of hunting. What a completely idiotic rule to even propose. Idaho Fish and Game is coming up with more and more ridiculous rules. Eventually they are going to allow the animals to own weapons so they can properly defend themselves.	Bryce Winterbottom		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2677	7/8/2017 21:16	No	No	No		Tanner		Rexburg	ID
2678	7/8/2017 21:17	Yes	Yes	Yes	How about restricting ballistic range finders to 600 yards or less. Long range laser guided bombing is not hunting. It is target practice on live animals. Show off your skills, real or imagined, on targets, not live animals.	Russ Johnson		Nampa	ID
2679	7/8/2017 21:19	Neutral	Neutral	No	Nothing wrong with trail cams as long as the work to place them, follow up on checking them as part of scouting routine, then removing them after the season. The communication issues is not as cut and dried. It has its place in being able to check in with other party members in regards to animals down or if they need help. The issue is that if that's allowed some will abuse it and use it to try and walk hunters in to animals on stalks. Pretty hard to avoid the later when allowing any use of them.	Lisa millard		Pocatello	Idaho
2680	7/8/2017 21:27	No	No	No		Matt Wilson		Melba	Idaho
2681	7/8/2017 21:27	Yes	No	Yes		Brad marshall	Former Idah	Layton	Utah
2682	7/8/2017 21:27	No	No	No	Maybe if you people hadn't reintroduce the wrong Wolf's into our state we would be having to make stupid rule.	Andrew Wilson		Lewiston	Idaho
2683	7/8/2017 21:29	No	No	No		Richard Harris		Hayden	Idaho
2684	7/8/2017 21:30	No	No	Yes		Corby Thompson		Sandpoint	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2685	7/8/2017 21:32	Yes	No	No	Just to sum up my response, look at the state of Nevada, you can use two way radios to communicate while hunting, this hasn't depleted their big game population whatsoever! Nor has the use of trail cameras! What I am against is the waiting period or lack there of after drawing a big game tag in Idaho! There needs to be extended wait periods, 3 year minimum, needs to be a wait period on antelope draws also.....figure it out please .	Aaron Mabee		Star	Idaho
2686	7/8/2017 21:32	No	No	No		Tony smith		Hayden	Id
2687	7/8/2017 21:39	No	No	Neutral	I do not believe that you shouldn't be able to use trail cameras for a scouting tool. It in no way makes a hunt any less fair chase just because that animal was there 12 hours ago doesn't mean it will be there by the time your ready to hunt. The fish and game is sticking their nose a little too far into this issue. As far as radios go they are usefull as well it helps you keep in contact with your hunting team so you know where they are at all times so to avoid any hunting related accidents. Or if a member of you group gets lost they are very valuable in trying to locate them. If you guys want to help make hunting better and more fair try giving thought to what the people who pay the outrageous price for tags and licenses and stop trying to squeeze and restrict us so much that you make the sport we love miserable.	Justin Bly		Lewiston	Idaho
2688	7/8/2017 21:43	No	No	No		Gary Moore		Middleton	Idaho
2689	7/8/2017 21:44	Yes	Neutral	Yes	As a non resident hunter, i think the use of electronic aid should be limited.	luke kline		Durango	CO
2690	7/8/2017 21:44	No	No	No	The people have spent a lot of money to buy these cameras. You are years to late trying to stop the use of them. I feel like you need to buy them if you get this passed.	Ralph McRea		Leadore	ID.
2691	7/8/2017 21:46	No	No	No		Garrett		Postfalls	Idaho
2692	7/8/2017 21:49	No	No	No		Sherri Griffin		Idaho Falls	ID
2693	7/8/2017 21:49	Yes	No	No		Jerome Seymour		Martin	Tennessee
2694	7/8/2017 21:53	Neutral	No	No		Bryant Ward		Boise	ID
2695	7/8/2017 21:53	Neutral	No	Neutral		Kyle adamson		Mountain Home	Idaho
2696	7/8/2017 21:55	No	No	Neutral	It's really informative to see what is on the cameras, even when they are not mine. I like to see who and what is up behind the house. Especially when my kids run those trails	Alisa Lewis		Wallace	Idaho
2697	7/8/2017 21:57	No	No	No		Alex Panting		Rexburg	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2698	7/8/2017 21:58	No	No	No	I really enjoy setting up trail cams every year to see the game travelling through an area. I can honestly say that I've never harvested an animal that I've had on camera other than bears. As far as cell phones/ 2 way radios are concerned I've personally been able to use these to help locate hunting buddies that have been turned around or needed help with a downed animal.	Beau Luthy		Lewiston	Idaho
2699	7/8/2017 22:01	Yes	No	Yes		Myla Naillon	Hunter	Challis	Idaho
2700	7/8/2017 22:05	No	No	No		Michael Goodson		Parma	Idaho
2701	7/8/2017 22:06	No	No	No		Brady Austin		Rigby	Idaho
2702	7/8/2017 22:06	Yes	Yes	Yes	I am a semi avid hunter and strongly support these restrictions. I think electronic devices are outside the bounds of "fair chase".	Josh Skinner		Ririe	Idaho
2703	7/8/2017 22:07	Yes	No	No		Jory Howell		Rigby	ID
2704	7/8/2017 22:08	Yes	No	No	I don't support live feed to electronic devices as an aid in hunting, and definitely not the use of drones. I have no issue with the use of a trail cam, checking it, and humtomg the same day.	Scott Brewer		Newdale	Idaho
2705	7/8/2017 22:11	Yes	Neutral	Neutral		Spencer Wirig		Cda	ID
2706	7/8/2017 22:13	Yes	No	Yes		Will Naillon		Challis	Idaho
2707	7/8/2017 22:15	No	No	No		Brett Harris		Post Falls	ID
2708	7/8/2017 22:23	No	No	No	As a Hunter who uses trail cam for bear purposes to see if Cubs and their momma's are coming into the bait so we can avoid them. I feel like this would cause more issues then help at all.	Samantha		chubuck	idaho
2709	7/8/2017 22:25	No	No	No		Jarrod Mangum		Orofino	Idaho
2710	7/8/2017 22:27	Yes	No	No		Mikayla		Spirit Lake	Idaho
2711	7/8/2017 22:29	No	No	Yes	It is impossible to stop two way communications. Not worth even discussing. Trail cameras are a tool but they really do not give much usable hunting info unless you are hunting small areas where game does not move far. I would only restrict the use of wireless cameras same day. The reason being you can have some advantage seeing an animal walk a draw and you can get ahead of him etc. But if I have to walk in and check my camera it is no	Laurien Riehl		Missoula	Montana
2712	7/8/2017 22:32	No	No	No		Josh Lehigh		Gooding	Idaho
2713	7/8/2017 22:43	No	No	No	I believe these proposed rules will further hinder the publics ability to find trophies on the very limited ground we are able to hunt anymore. Maybe concentrate on updating our archery rules to help us find game that we have hit. Lighted nocks is something that should be allowed.	Tyrel Fredrickson		Troy	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2714	7/8/2017 22:44	No	No	Yes		Casey Walker		Rock Springs	Wyoming
2715	7/8/2017 22:44	No	No	No	Trail cameras let us know if it's a high populated area of not just animals to be hunted but also if there's a lot of other Hunters there. The use of two-way radios for us is a safety Factor why would you want to take that away?	Nancy Winterbottom-Boswell		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2716	7/8/2017 22:48	No	No	No		Barry hopkins		Caldwell	Id
2717	7/8/2017 22:49	No	No	No		Chris White	Hunter	Eugene	Oregon
2718	7/8/2017 23:00	No	No	No		Tim		Sandpoint	Id
2719	7/8/2017 23:01	No	No	No	Hunting is hard enough and I think restricting the use of camera is ridiculous...with most honest people that have full time jobs they only get to hunt a few days..at least with a camera they know they have a chance at finding the big one. Unethical people will still be unethical no matter what the rule..this only hurts the	Reiny		Sandpoint	Id
2720	7/8/2017 23:02	No	No	No		Tyler White		Soda Springs	Idaho
2721	7/8/2017 23:02	Neutral	No	No		Matt McDonald		Meridian	ID
2722	7/8/2017 23:03	No	No	Yes		Ronda martin		Post falls	Idaho
2723	7/8/2017 23:03	No	No	Yes		Ronda martin		Post falls	Idaho
2724	7/8/2017 23:04	Yes	No	No		Jessica snook-curr		St Anthony	Idaho
2725	7/8/2017 23:07	No	No	No		Brandon Plott		Chubbuck	Id
2726	7/8/2017 23:08	No	No	No		Kandra Plott		Chubbuck	Id
2727	7/8/2017 23:10	No	No	No	This is the stupidest thing fish and game has ever opposed..... trail cameras don't help you get game it allows you to see what kind of game is in the area. referred to as scouting, you know what guys do all your long waiting until opening day!!! I think I speak for many when I say I'm super disappointed and Idaho Fish and Game right now!!	Matt Oliver		Post Falls	Idaho
2728	7/8/2017 23:25	Yes	No	Yes		Andy Roosa		Rock Springs	WY
2729	7/8/2017 23:30	Yes	Yes	Yes	Technology has gone to far in the hunting industry! It's time to reset and everyone get back to the basic fundamentals of hunting.	Eric Harry Nittka	None	Monmouth	Oregon
2730	7/8/2017 23:33	No	No	No		Dustyn Brownlee		Twin Falls	ID
2731	7/8/2017 23:38	No	No	No		Cris LaMorena		Ucon	Idaho
2732	7/8/2017 23:41	No	No	No		Kyle		Eagle	Idaho
2733	7/8/2017 23:41	No	No	No	This is a joke! Idaho regulations are getting out of hand. First illuminated nocks and now trail cams? They help to have a successful hunt. I'm starting to wonder if the IFAG cares about hunters success or just the tags they sell. I fully support the restriction of drones. But trail cams are a useful tool that can only	Travis	Hunter	Menan	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2734	7/8/2017 23:41	Yes	No	No	I understand wanting to limit the use of real time trail cameras during the hunting season, however regular trail cameras should continue to be allowed. The third question is confusing. I think I should be allowed to use my cell phone and walkie talkies while hunting as this is safer and part of hunting with a partner in my opinion. I don't want someone to be able to sit at home and wait until a nice game animal walks in front of their camera and then be able to run out and shoot it. I do think the work required to check a regular game camera during the hunt is ethical and should	Sarah Miller	None	Rathdrum	Idaho
2735	7/8/2017 23:49	No	No	No	I do not believe that using trail cameras gives anyone an unfair advantage. They are used primarily as a tool to see if there is game in area and generally requires a lot of pre-season work. This in no way affects the actual taking of the game as it still requires physically finding and taking the game. Two way radios are a tool to help hunters keep track of each other more that track game. It can also help in finding wounded game when it's difficult to track making it more ethical.	Michael Bozarth	Hunter	Winnemucca	Nevada
2736	7/9/2017 0:05	No	No	No		Daniel Stephenson		Salmon	Idaho
2737	7/9/2017 0:05	Yes	No	Yes		Billy Ray Whitney		Pocatello	Idaho
2738	7/9/2017 0:13	Yes	No	No		Clint Richins		Mountain Home	Idaho
2739	7/9/2017 0:21	Yes	No	Yes	- For those of us hunters that don't have much time-off for hunting, the use of a trail/game camera (not one that has the ability to transmit any information) to help in scouting an area, gives me a chance to fill a tag that I otherwise might not get. I don't consider this any sort of advantage when it comes to fair chase, especially since I'm competing with groups of hunters that setup hunting camps a couple weeks prior to opening day. Something I don't have the luxury of being able to do. And that camera doesn't guarantee I'm even going to get a shot at an animal. - I do consider the use of any device that can transmit any imagery or voice communications the location of game animals	Jerry Arel		Mountain Home	Idaho
2740	7/9/2017 0:24	No	No	No		Cory Johnson		Sagle	Idaho
2741	7/9/2017 0:31	Yes	No	Yes		Jayson holton		Ririe	I'd
2742	7/9/2017 0:32	No	No	No		Richard Widdison		Rexburg	Id
2743	7/9/2017 1:02	Yes	No	Yes		Kevin shaw		St. Maries	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2744	7/9/2017 1:05	No	No	No	They are there to help educate us as hunters. They do not help us shoot or kill the animal in any way shape or form.	T.J. Hofhines		Caldwell	ID
2745	7/9/2017 1:21	No	No	No		Aaron Conde		Eagle Mountain	UT
2746	7/9/2017 1:32	No	No	No	This is outrageous.	Paris		Hayden	Idaho
2747	7/9/2017 2:57	No	No	No	Must not have anything else to do. Soon hunting itself will be restricted along with so many trails that are being closed throughout Idaho, because you too busy making up more bull crap than actually getting outside and taking care of things.	Anderson		American Falls	ID
2748	7/9/2017 3:01	No	No	No	I think resources and efforts should be used to stop poaching not law abiding lovers of the natural resource. Perhaps fish and wildlife departments could also use remote cameras to actively pursue poachers and use evidence in courts of law. It works both ways. Plus, cameras that transmit via phone allow for game to act natural versus always walking thru the natural habitat, disturbing	Linden Ferguson	Scorer,	Hunt Crestwood	KY
2749	7/9/2017 3:27	No	No	No	I think the hunting shows on tv overstate the real value of trail cams and give the impression that their success really depended on the electronics. Yet if you watch, the editor of the 30 minute, less adds, clip just sells stuff and doesn't increase the kill %! It also true that a large % of the "hunters" are really very unskilled at actually shooting a rifle. The idea of leaving a game animal overnight says that the meat is wasted and only enough of the animal to make a mount is taken.	Elvin Mix	Hunter	Sammamish	Washington
2750	7/9/2017 4:20	Yes	No	Yes		DAVID CHU;A	HUNTER	NORTHFIELD	MASSACHUSETTS
2751	7/9/2017 4:21	No	No	No		Brand Avery		Rathdrum	Idaho
2752	7/9/2017 4:39	No	No	Yes		Jesse leis		Forest lake	Minnesota
2753	7/9/2017 4:47	Yes	No	Neutral		Bryan Summers		Rigby	Idaho
2754	7/9/2017 5:37	Yes	No	No		Kerry LaFramboise		Boise	Idaho
2755	7/9/2017 5:52	Neutral	No	No		Riley Brown		Star	Idaho
2756	7/9/2017 5:53	No	No	Neutral		Darin Farnham		Meridian	Idaho
2757	7/9/2017 6:02	Yes	No	No	If you are looking for a downed animal communication could help retrieve it faster when one or the other locate it	John Perez	Hunter	Mccall	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2758	7/9/2017 6:05	No	No	No	I do not support trail cameras that will notify you of live feed. I think trail cameras and cell phones are a good tool to have in hunting. I do not agree with using radios to walk someone in on a bedded deer or elk. However I think radios are a great tool to have in the back country for safety. I do not think radios should be banned but i wish there was a way to regulate the walking hunters in on a buck on radios.	Brady Bingham		from weston Weston	Idaho
2759	7/9/2017 6:05	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Dale Karch	Nonresident	Angola	Indiana
2760	7/9/2017 6:06	No	No	No		Charlie Higbee		Kuna	ID
2761	7/9/2017 6:37	No	No	No		Skylar C Ziegler	Police Office	Sandpoint	Idaho
2762	7/9/2017 6:40	Yes	No	Yes		Davis Tyrrell		Twin Falls	Idaho
2763	7/9/2017 6:43	No	No	Yes	I use trail cameras in aiding me. They inform me of what kind of game is using the area I have chosen to hunt, or I should possibly relocate to some other place with more game. Using to way radios I believe is wrong. I worked in a shop where you would here a outfitters talking to one another trying to get in front of elk. If they can't walk out sit down do some calling an get a response they aren't good enough to be called an outfitter	John Bentley		Grangeville	Idaho
2764	7/9/2017 6:54	Yes	No	Yes		Melvin Gregoire	PBS Member	Tickfaw	Louisiana
2765	7/9/2017 7:12	No	No	No		Aaron Monzon		Moscow	Idaho
2766	7/9/2017 7:16	Yes	No	No		Zachary Radke		New plymouth	Id
2767	7/9/2017 7:16	Yes	Yes	Yes		David Tapke		Portage	Wisconsin
2768	7/9/2017 7:19	No	No	Neutral	All of these items still require time and devotion to utilize them successfully. Putting more regulations on us hunters is a ridiculous proposal... why not lift all regulations and seasons on wolves to help our big game population?	Jesse tibbets		Lewiston	Idaho
2769	7/9/2017 7:24	Yes	Yes	Yes	Restrict durring the season.... allow radios to communicate after hours...in emergency...and when help is needed to pack out game....a break down ...allow between 10 AM to 1400...2PM Trail cams That you personally set up and inspect should be	Phil Ehrlich	Retired Colo	Alamosa	CO
2770	7/9/2017 7:25	Yes	No	Yes		George Vandermeer		Idaho Falls	ID
2771	7/9/2017 7:29	No	No	No		Jake	Sportsman	Boise	Idaho
2772	7/9/2017 7:30	Yes	No	No		Jason House		Moscow	Idaho
2773	7/9/2017 7:31	No	No	No		HARVEY RICHARDS	SPORTSMAN	KUNA	ID
2774	7/9/2017 7:47	No	No	No		Leslie Boston-Hyde		Boise	Idaho
2775	7/9/2017 7:50	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Chris York		Arco	Id
2776	7/9/2017 7:50	No	No	No		CHUCK THOMSON		TF	IDAHO
2777	7/9/2017 7:54	Yes	No	No		Ty		Weiser	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2778	7/9/2017 8:04	Yes	No	No	Having two way radios is a safety measure as much as hunting aid. Without them I would have been in a whole lot of trouble, injured in the field with a bow and arrows. No way to signal for help, could have been the end of me!	Aaron		Cascade	Idaho
2779	7/9/2017 8:05	Yes	No	No		Jeffrey Marx		Geneva	Idaho
2780	7/9/2017 8:08	Yes	No	No	I use trail cameras for the different pictures of all that is out there and to see what predators are effecting our game.	Daniel Kraut	RMEF memb	Moscow	Idaho
2781	7/9/2017 8:11	No	No	No		Kristina Radke		New plymouth	Id
2782	7/9/2017 8:16	Neutral	No	No	The trail camera debate is kind of a mute point. Most hunters use them for pre season scouting and the very few that own the Wi-Fi trail cameras arnt going to be able to view pictures from there couch and magically hold the animal there until the Hunter reaches the trail cam setup. As far as communication goes I hunt with two teen kids of mine and they will both have a hand held radio on them at all times in case of emergencies and or just need any kind of help.	John hahn	Hunter	Allyn	Wa
2783	7/9/2017 8:17	No	No	No		Michael Schenck	Idaho State	Idaho Falls	ID
2784	7/9/2017 8:21	Neutral	No	No	I am neutral on the first question because I don't agree that game cameras should be restricted. Just because a camera takes a picture to give you an idea of what's in the area, a person still has to hunt the animal. They still have to find it where ever it went after the camera took the picture. However cameras capable of transmitting wirelessly make for lazy hunters. I hunter needs to get of his ass and go check his game camera in my opinion. An accpection I could see for this would be to allow handi cap hunters to use cameras capable of transmitting wirelessly. It's hard enough for some handi cap people to get out in the woods and harvest and animal. Giving them the ability to use these cameras would free up a little time and discomfort of continually having to	Justin valley		Osburn	Idaho
2785	7/9/2017 8:23	Neutral	No	Yes		Jade Helmich		Lewiston	ID
2786	7/9/2017 8:37	Yes	No	No		Curtis Mahoney		Pocatello	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2787	7/9/2017 8:37	Yes	No	Yes	The easier it is to find animals (by using electronic devices to so hunters can find animals without boots on the ground, or by communicating via radios etc on a stalk) the less our future hunters will develop true woodsmanship skills. As well, the more we move away from Fair-chase standards, the less the voting public will approve of hunting. Hunting is not supposed to be easy, otherwise we'd call it ranching.	Preston Taylor		McKinleyville	CA
2788	7/9/2017 8:38	No	No	No		Crista VanOvermeer		Moscow	Idaho
2789	7/9/2017 8:39	No	No	No		Jordyn		Chubbuck	Idaho
2790	7/9/2017 8:45	No	No	No	Trail cameras are one of the biggest keys to hunting big game. The only reason I ever use trail cameras is the see how mature the deer or elk are in that area. Trail cameras are not a tool that gives you success, to harvest an animal during season. You might get a picture of an animal and never see it again. This rule is something that should not be passed, only because it helps you identify the maturity of the animals you have in the area. Phone skopes are used for taking pictures and filming hunts for memories. There is nothing harmful about that . The best part about a phone skope is being able to record the shot and going back to see if it was a good shot or not. If it's not then at least your not pushing the animal farther and farther, to we're you might possibly loose it. These rules you guys are bringing up are tools that makes you a ethnical hunter. Taking mature animals	Nathan Zavala		Post Falls	ID
2791	7/9/2017 8:52	Yes	Yes	Yes		Chuck Stevens		Idaho falls	Idaho
2792	7/9/2017 8:53	Yes	No	Yes	I don't agree that if you have still footage trail cams and walk into the the field to check it should impact your ability to hunt that	William Murkle	hunter	Boise	Idaho
2793	7/9/2017 8:55	Yes	Yes	Yes		STANLEY Burke		Layton	Utah
2794	7/9/2017 8:55	Yes	No	Yes		Dean Brookins		Eagle	ID
2795	7/9/2017 8:59	No	No	No		Steve monk		Rigby	Idaho
2796	7/9/2017 9:01	No	No	No	Why restrict these activities and continue to sell extra deer tags?	Randy Transtrum		Chubbuck	Idaho
2797	7/9/2017 9:01	Yes	No	No		Tyler Woods		Lewiston	Idaho
2798	7/9/2017 9:09	Yes	No	Yes		Ret MSgt Bruce Cooper		Boise	ID
2799	7/9/2017 9:12	No	No	Yes		Tyler		Iona	Idaho
2800	7/9/2017 9:14	No	No	No	I think the delay of not being able to hunt for the day of checking cameras and the day after is ridiculous. Trail cams are typically used to determine size and see if further scouting is worth while in	Tanner		Idaho reside Weiser	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2801	7/9/2017 9:17	Neutral	No	Yes	Trail cameras getting pictures of a deer doesn't mean their going to be there when you are, radios are fine to keep track of your hunting partners.	Chris Price		Georgetown	Id
2802	7/9/2017 9:18	No	No	Yes	the GMRS radios are being used in ways that i think are not right. Like one group of hunters using them to tell another hunter where the animals are. Okay to use them to keep in touch but not to locate animals for other hunters. Trail Cameras only let the hunter know that there are critters in the area, not where they are at any given time.	Kenneth Lloyd		Montpelier	Idaho
2803	7/9/2017 9:18	No	No	No	WE USE THEM TO SEE WHAT IS IN THE AREA , IF U R CHECKING YOUR CAMERAS IT IS ALL DAY HIKING NOT HUNTING. ALSO WE ONLY USE RADIOS FOR SECURITY CHECKS ABOUT EVERY 1 to 2 HOURS ON OUR HUNTING PARTNERS , NOT FOR HUNTING , AS YOU KNOW CELL PHONES WILL NOT ALWAYS WORK IN IDAHO TERRAIN SO FOR SAFETY THE RADIOS ARE VALUABLE. THE HUNTERS WHO USE THEM AND TRAIL CAMERAS FOR TAKING GAME ARE NOT ETHICAL HUNTERS.	CHARLES (CHUCK) W	ETHICAL HU	Idaho Falls	Idaho
2804	7/9/2017 9:19	Yes	No	No	The restriction of two way communication would be too restrictive and hard to enforce.	Kent		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2805	7/9/2017 9:19	Yes	No	Neutral	I use trail cameras. I love seeing what animals come by. It has not aided me in taking any animals. I think it is wrong in taking that away from us hunters. Idaho has taken away mechanical broad heads, scopes on muzzle loaders, made us use lead bullets only. Where these items would be beneficial in aiding getting better shots on animals. When do sportsman's get what they want. We are not Washington, Oregon, or California.	Joe Martinez		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2806	7/9/2017 9:20	Yes	No	No	I am kind of in shock about this. Did those proposing this ever hunt or use a trail camera? This looks more like a witch hunt with someone that has a problem with trail cameras. I have never used a live feed camera and don't think there is much public hunting ground in Idaho that you would get enough cell coverage to work. You think that seeing an animal on a live stream somewhere would somehow allow you to travel to that location and have an unfair advantage to harvest that animal? You guys have much more important things to worry about. This proposal is ridiculous. This should be removed today and not waste	Bryan Langford		HAYDEN	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2807	7/9/2017 9:21	No	No	No	I do not support these actions , the use of trail cameras for me is to see if I have mature animals that are traveling through the area and or if my bears are sow's or boar's . And using two-way electronic communication to aid in hunting that is ridiculous , I use my cell phone to make calls to people I use a hand held radio to keep in contact with my hunting partners when they are far away! We do not need any more laws and rules with our hunting seasons you guys are making it to where hunting is almost not possible in some areas.	Stephen Reichert		Postfalls	Idaho
2808	7/9/2017 9:28	No	No	No	For my hunting group. Two way radios help keep some members who are not as familiar with the area in communication for safety purposes. We have elderly, handicapped and some people unfamiliar with the areas we hunt. Two way communication is vital at times to keep them in touch in case of trouble.	Paul Ockerberg		Princeton	Idaho
2809	7/9/2017 9:31	Yes	No	No	We usually hunt with an elderly Grandpa and younger children/great grand children. The need to communicate is critical. We do not use it for reporting game movement to each other. Only to keep tabs on Grandpa or the younger new hunters. Using a trail camera that sends a live video feed is not "hunting". That's spying. Also not sportsman like. Hunting should require some skill and effort.	Josh Johnson		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2810	7/9/2017 9:32	No	No	No	Next thing you guys will do is say, muzzle loader only because rifles take shots out to 1000 yds, give us a break idaho. Why is it all of a sudden a concern?	Thayne Christensen	Hunter, trap Dingle		Idaho
2811	7/9/2017 9:32	Yes	Yes	No	Some of the technological advances take away from the spirit of the hunt and place a higher priority on money available than skill and dedication.	Jarrod Torkelson		Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2812	7/9/2017 9:36	Yes	Neutral	Yes	I believe in fair chase and ethics of hunting. I believe the use of these electronic devices can give hunters a distinctly unfair advantage vs. the animals and also vs. those people who don't have the means to spend hundreds or thousands of dollars on that technology. At a certain point, it ceases to be hunting.	Spencer Farrin		Moscow	Idaho
2813	7/9/2017 9:37	No	No	No	I am "neutral" on the measure about all trail cameras because I would support a "season" when they're allowed and a point at which they become restricted, at least on public land.	James Ramirez		Nampa	Idaho
2814	7/9/2017 9:37	Yes	No	No	Running trail cameras is something a lot of people do over the summer before the hunts to get in shape and to have knowledge of size and age class. I don't know why we would want to take that away.	Trent Morton		St. Anthony	Idaho
2815	7/9/2017 9:40	Yes	No	No	With the wireless transmitting trail cams people don't have to hike to go get them which I don't like. sd card trail cams should be allowed but as far as the hunting the day of checking them that's a bunch of CRAP!!! IF I WALKED UP TO CHECK A CAM ON MY BAIT BARREL AND THERE WAS A BEAR ON IT ID BE SCREWED. PEOPLE NEED TO STOP BEING DUMB THERE'S NO ROOM FOR STUPIDITY IN THIS SPORT!	JOHN		victor	Idaho
2816	7/9/2017 9:43	Yes	Neutral	No	The phone thing is a safety thing and its the only reason i own one	Andy Dansereau		Nampa	Idaho
2817	7/9/2017 9:45	No	No	No		Travis		Rigby	Idaho
2818	7/9/2017 9:45	Neutral	No	No	short range Wireless communication with game camera would be ok to prevent disturbing camera , 100 foot maximum range would be good to prevent unfair advantage to hunter.	Dan Wsll		Harrison	ID
2819	7/9/2017 9:47	No	No	Yes		Kelly		Blackfoot	Idaho
2820	7/9/2017 9:50	No	No	No		Anna		Montpelier	Idaho
2821	7/9/2017 9:55	No	No	No	Two way communication and smart phones help us (hunters) so if we get split up we can group up again. Trail cams are a resource most hunters use to get information on what animals were there at the time but, just cause the elk, deer, or bear was there that day it could be miles away by the time you get there	Karter	Hunter	Chester	ID
2822	7/9/2017 9:58	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Please note. I like the way montana does regulates trail cameras. Cameras are not legal for use during any big game hunting season.	Taylor Gregory		Ketchum	ID
2823	7/9/2017 9:59	Neutral	No	No		Allison York		Lewiston	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2824	7/9/2017 10:01	No	No	No	As an archery Hunter, it is more challenging for me to get up closer to take the shot. Trail cameras are convenient to me, so I can track their pattern. Instead of guessing where they are and hiking for miles, I can set up a spot and wait.	angela dansie		st anthony	ID
2825	7/9/2017 10:01	Yes	No	Yes	Allow nothing " Real time / Live" electronic to directly aid hunting. I see no harm with a historical type trail camera, you get back to camera, check it to see what strolled by, ok by me.	Kevin Cormack		Star	Idaho
2826	7/9/2017 10:05	No	No	Yes	Cameras also take pics of bad guys. Poachers,Trespassers or anything bad guys do. I like the idea when everyday folks help watch our forests. Pics tell great stories for animal management. I'm for cameras in our forest for hunting and bad guys.	Chris Bates		Syringa/Richland	Idaho/Was
2827	7/9/2017 10:06	Yes	No	No		Jon who		Boise	Idaho
2828	7/9/2017 10:08	No	No	No		Phillip Fry		Gillette	Wyoming
2829	7/9/2017 10:10	No	No	No		Taedyn Gunn		Preston	Idaho
2830	7/9/2017 10:12	No	No	No	As I only archery hunt the use of trail cameras help in hopefully harvesting an animal. It does not guarantee a harvest for me. I like to gather pictures an see how nature works, with antler growth and other wildlife.	Andrew Dansie		St. Anthony	Idaho
2831	7/9/2017 10:15	Yes	No	Yes		Joseph lefler		Ririe	Id
2832	7/9/2017 10:16	No	No	No		Jacob Denham		Clark Fork	Idaho
2833	7/9/2017 10:18	No	No	No	Leave it to the hunters! Government stay out!	Lisa		Montpelier	Idaho
2834	7/9/2017 10:21	No	No	No		Brady shultis		Montpelier	Idaho
2835	7/9/2017 10:22	No	No	No	Rules are already tight enough and archery hunting is already a challenge. What's next my range finder because it aids me I'm making a shot. Leave things alone. Don't fix anything that isn't Levels the playing field a bit.	Anthony Reyna	Idaho vetera	Nampa	Id
2836	7/9/2017 10:25	Yes	Yes	Yes	I see no problem here. Why make more rules to ensnare hunters? Pretty soon we will be restricting hunting boot treads as they might cause erosion....!!	Chuck Vore		Cascade	Idaho
2837	7/9/2017 10:32	No	No	No		Mike Butler	Nonresident	Clarkston	Washington
2838	7/9/2017 10:33	Yes	No	No		Joseph		Shelley	Idaho
2839	7/9/2017 10:41	No	No	No	The Idaho Fish and Game needs to worry more about the Fish and Big Game animals and less about electronics and The Idahoan population of people..STOP WASTING OUR TIME AND MONEY! It's saddens me that the Idaho fish and game has to have an attitude of guilty until proven innocent and think they need to make even more laws to obtain the all mighty dollar.	Brad Camphouse		Idaho falls	Idaho
2840	7/9/2017 10:41	No	No	No		Jennifer		Montpelier	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2841	7/9/2017 10:42	Yes	Yes	Neutral	Trail cameras are not just about fair chase but having a "wild experience" when outdoors. For that reason I do not support the use of trail cameras. Two-way communications to aid archery hunting I consider to be fair chase, but not for rifle hunting, unless	Gifford Gillette		Hazelton	Idaho
2842	7/9/2017 10:48	No	Yes	Yes	I think this will be a good think and make a better hunt.	Max		Burley	Idaho
2843	7/9/2017 10:49	Yes	No	Yes	I feel trail cameras are a way of scouting an area early in the year and very effective, however I agree that the live stream devices are pushing the envelope	Brian Taylor		Weston	Id
2844	7/9/2017 10:50	No	No	No	These devices, trail cams, cell phones, and walkie talkies, are very helpful to the hunter. But not so helpful that they give a disadvantage to the animal. Trail cams are used to see what's coming into your tree stand while your gone, or your bear bait. Cell phones have apps so you can download maps to be able to see where land is private or not. It's also helpful to track where you have been, you never know if you might get turned around in the hills. And walkie talkies are used to help people locate another and to let one another know what's going on in their surroundings. I find it very wrong to make it so the sportsmen of Idaho can't use these products, I don't believe they should be restricted.	Alexis		Georgetown	Idaho
2845	7/9/2017 10:52	Yes	Yes	No		Travis		Chubbuck	Idaho
2846	7/9/2017 10:53	No	No	No		Kristie Smith		Hayden	ID
2847	7/9/2017 10:57	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Bryce wilson		Lewiston	Idaho
2848	7/9/2017 11:01	No	No	Neutral	This is a bad thing to support. Trail camera's take pictures, not kill our wildlife. They don't aid in killing anything. They educate the hunter or the photographer. Hunting seasons are short and camera's just point a person in the right direction during the time they have to be in the afield. We still hunt and it gives no	William Jones		TENINO	WA
2849	7/9/2017 11:02	No	No	No	I find it very absurd. How are we suppose to be able to communicate with our youth or inexperienced hunters , if they are in a different location then us , or yards away (such as sitting in a blind or camouflage) . Another fact to intake is that with the trail cameras how do we know if the animal we are baiting , tracking , or watching is the correct animal that we are suppose to take either by it's age, gender , or even species . Passing these laws will cause many injuries/deaths of the wrong animals or even injuries/deaths to our hunters .	Sadie Trubl	Self	Idaho falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2850	7/9/2017 11:06	No	No	No	Idaho fish and game will not be able to patrol the use of cameras. It will become an advantage for those who break the law. Stop with the aide to hunting restrictions. The proposed laws do nothing but penalize those who obey the law.	Trevor		Afton	Wyoming
2851	7/9/2017 11:08	No	No	No	They need to worry more about wolves and general big game management. This is a waste of time.	Martin Poxleitner		Grangeville	Idaha
2852	7/9/2017 11:12	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rob Greer		Oakdale	MN
2853	7/9/2017 11:16	Neutral	Neutral	No		Zach Lathen	Resident	Moscow	Idaho
2854	7/9/2017 11:18	Yes	No	Yes	Seems like it could be tough to monitor the cellphone use, trail cams should be outlawed except for watching property or	Boe Jares		boise	idaho
2855	7/9/2017 11:21	Yes	Yes	Yes		Brian Carrigan		Blackfoot	ID
2856	7/9/2017 11:23	Yes	No	Neutral	I can understand the restriction of cameras the transmit wirelessly because it could be used like a security camera. You could set it up on a trail and be completely on the opposite side of the mountain and get your picture and then set up on that trail 30-40 minutes later. I feel like that's not Fair Chase	Kason		Montpelier	Id
2857	7/9/2017 11:25	Yes	No	Yes	I live a 14 hour drive from were I hunt elk in Idaho so I don't have the opportunity to do any pre season scouting. I hunt up to two weeks in Idaho and having game cameras up sometimes help me locate where the elk are instead of wasting a week or two trying to find them on my own. I hunt bears in Wyoming and would like to start hunting them in Idaho. The nice thing about using a camera at a bait station is you can tell what is coming into your bait stations and pick the best bait to sit at so I'm not wasting my short hunting time on sitting at a bait that only has mother's with	Darin Cooper		Spearfish	South Dako
2858	7/9/2017 11:31	No	No	No		Ryan Carpenter		Owyhee	NV
2859	7/9/2017 11:32	Yes	Yes	Yes		Steven Ingram	NRA	Christoval	TX
2860	7/9/2017 11:35	No	No	No		Katrina turner		Montpelier	Id
2861	7/9/2017 11:36	No	No	Yes		Brian Morris		American Falls	Idaho
2862	7/9/2017 11:36	No	No	No	This is silly, cameras can help you determine such things as the sex of a bear you may be hunting or size and approximate age. Just because a big game animal crosses the path or your electronic device one day doesn't mean someone else hasn't chased it two canyons over the next day. Maybe this rule should apply to guides that make money off chasing trophyS for their clients but an every day hunter does not have the free time and resources that this could possibly even apply to them!	Kayla Hewitt		Challis	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2863	7/9/2017 11:36	No	No	No	I have used cameras and radios for years. I use the radios for safety with my son and we use cameras for pre season scouting. Why don't fish and game put a season on horn hunting in Idaho like Utah and Wyoming. The animal harrasment in southeast Idaho is way out of control. If fish and game would make the penalty harsh enough , this would have a great impact for the animal to survive during harsh winter months.	Kurt Bloxham		Montpelier	Id
2864	7/9/2017 11:44	No	No	No		Daniel Snyder	Rocky Mount	Myrtle Creek	Oregon
2865	7/9/2017 11:50	No	No	No		Jaba	Hunter	Idaho Falls	Id
2866	7/9/2017 11:51	Yes	Yes	Yes		Angi		Montpelier	Idaho
2867	7/9/2017 12:07	No	No	No		Timothy johnson		Georgetown	Id
2868	7/9/2017 12:09	Yes	No	Yes		McKay Erickson		Afton	Wyoming
2869	7/9/2017 12:13	No	No	No		Jordan Schutt		American Falls, Id	Idaho
2870	7/9/2017 12:19	Yes	No	Yes		Sandy Nelson		Kamiah	Idaho
2871	7/9/2017 12:21	Yes	Yes	No		Rick		Moscow	Idaho
2872	7/9/2017 12:28	No	No	Neutral	It's alot of work to use game cams. Most people that use them are your more traditional hunters to begin with, were not those ones who just drive around tell they see one. Most of us are passionate about the hunt and are willing to put in the extra work too harvest. Thank you.	Kenneth Becker		Ferdinand	ID
2873	7/9/2017 12:31	No	No	Neutral	It's alot of work to use game cams. Most people that use them are your more traditional hunters to begin with, were not those ones who just drive around tell they see one. Most of us are passionate about the hunt and are willing to put in the extra work too harvest. Thank you. Oh at least don't make it illegal on private property. We deserve the right to know what's on our land.	Kenneth Becker		Ferdinand	ID
2874	7/9/2017 12:33	No	No	No		Chris Wallis		Idaho falls	Idaho
2875	7/9/2017 12:35	No	No	No	There are safety issues with the two way radio restrictions. Also there are privacy issues with confiscating personal electronics. There will always be the over ambitious officer taking this stuff too far and really abusing the average hunters rights. It seems like a disaster. More regulations usually creates the opposite effect. We all know that our rights will be abused and trampled on over this. Also is a survey for hunters only?	Quinn benson		Rexburg	Id
2876	7/9/2017 12:36	Yes	No	Neutral		Kyle Maki		Princeton	ID
2877	7/9/2017 12:38	No	No	No		Cade Felton		Rathdrum	Idaho
2878	7/9/2017 12:40	No	No	No		Brent Pierson		Shoshone	I'd

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2879	7/9/2017 12:41	Yes	No	No		Bobsneed		Filer	Id
2880	7/9/2017 12:44	No	No	No	A trail camera capable of transmitting video and images to a cell phone saves people time and resources traveling to retrieve images, but is unlikely to give an edge in chasing game. If you rely on a live image transmission to determine where you hunt you are likely to miss the animal once arriving afterwards. The use of drones should be prohibited but only because they are likely to harass game, not because they are likely to give an advantage to	Zach Morris		Idaho falls	Id
2881	7/9/2017 13:16	No	No	No		Richard Bolton		Boise	Id
2882	7/9/2017 13:17	No	No	No	stupid maybe you should put more effort into managing elk and deer its piss poor right now.	None		None	Idaho
2883	7/9/2017 13:25	Neutral	No	No		Brent Bruns		Rathdrum	Id
2884	7/9/2017 13:28	Yes	No	Yes		Logan osterman		Boise	Idaho
2885	7/9/2017 13:30	Yes	Yes	No		Bill Hill		Kellogg	Idaho
2886	7/9/2017 13:31	Yes	Yes	No		Bill Hill		Kellogg	Idaho
2887	7/9/2017 13:37	No	No	No		Dean Hayman		Spirit lake	Idaho
2888	7/9/2017 13:40	No	No	No		Jason Merritt		Bennington	Idaho
2889	7/9/2017 13:45	No	No	No	Cameras and communication devices can also be used to improve ethical hunting and hunter safety. Devices such as a lighted knock may improve or have no impact at all on ethical hunting practices.	Dean hayman		Spirit lake	Idaho
2890	7/9/2017 13:46	Neutral	No	Yes	1) I actually can't believe its taken this long to regulate the use of two way communication to home hunters in on game or assist the stalk. 2) I totally believe that setting trail cameras should be legal, I can see no argument against it. 3) The live feed or transmission of pictures through the cameras does allow hunters to monitor game without disturbing the animals during their regular routines, it is also technology that I am just instinctively against as it seems to add a level of laziness to what is known as hunting to some, thus my neutral opinion.	Robert J. Woodman		Twin Falls	ID
2891	7/9/2017 13:46	No	No	No		Tyler kunsy		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
2892	7/9/2017 13:48	Yes	No	No		Veronica Gutierrez		Caldwell	Idaho
2893	7/9/2017 13:51	No	No	No		Angie Bartschi		Montpelier	Idaho
2894	7/9/2017 14:00	Neutral	No	No		Joe pennington		Caldwell	Idaho
2895	7/9/2017 14:03	Yes	No	Yes		Mike Nelson		Kamiah	Idaho
2896	7/9/2017 14:09	Yes	No	No		Dan		Hailey	Idaho
2897	7/9/2017 14:17	Yes	Yes	No		Liesel		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2898	7/9/2017 14:18	Yes	No	No	The only thing we use two way radio communication for, is keeping in touch with other members of our hunting party, I think they aid considerably in safety and getting to help way faster if the need arises.	Doris Fleming		Jerome	Idaho
2899	7/9/2017 14:19	No	No	No		Marissa		Klamath Falls	OR
2900	7/9/2017 14:20	Yes	No	No		Nick Toyn	Resident	Star	ID
2901	7/9/2017 14:22	No	No	No		Scott Feldmann		Kendrick	Idaho
2902	7/9/2017 14:22	No	No	No	Can we please stop with the amount of rules? I can't even step foot into the outdoors anymore without worrying if I'm breaking a law or not. As long as people are allowed to hunt from an ATV or a motorcycle I can't take any of these suggestions/proposals	Mike Blowers		Meridian	Idaho
2903	7/9/2017 14:26	Yes	No	Yes	Drones should be banned from use in all big game areas.They could be used to actually heard or push game from one drainage to another.Trail cameras are useful in determining if a bear on your bait has a cub or not. It makes it easier to recognize a certain bear that has been hitting your bait that may have a cub..	Craig Neitzel		Blackfoot	Idaho
2904	7/9/2017 14:38	Yes	No	Yes	Yup if your telling every tom and dick where the critters are then 2 was need to be restricted but for communicating with each other for safty hold on. Cameras need to be watched I think regular trail cams yes, sat cams nope people are getting way to lazy. go way back and set up a cam then never go in and check it .	Thomas Hayes		Georgetown	ID
2905	7/9/2017 14:38	Yes	Yes	Yes		Tim Antoine		West Jefferson	North Caro
2906	7/9/2017 14:39	Yes	Yes	Yes		steve buccioni		sacramento	California
2907	7/9/2017 14:50	Yes	No	Yes	I agree with the time limits set on hunting over trail cameras but I use mine more for wildlife photography than I do collecting hunting info. Go check your camera and if there is something you like then remove camera and hunt area after two days. Good rule.	Ronald Bauer		Baker	LA
2908	7/9/2017 14:52	Yes	No	Yes	With the growing popularity of drones, I believe they will be used to locate game and I believe that eliminates the hunt from	Jim		Davisburg	Michigan
2909	7/9/2017 14:58	Neutral	No	No		Carson Neeser		Idaho Falls	ID
2910	7/9/2017 15:15	Yes	No	Neutral		Zachary Thomas		Montpelier	ID
2911	7/9/2017 15:17	No	No	No		Casey Humpherys		Paris	ID
2912	7/9/2017 15:19	Yes	No	Yes		Ben Newsome		Idaho Falls	ID
2913	7/9/2017 15:21	Yes	No	No	the only reason I would use a radio or phone is if I needed help as in an emergency or for someone to come pick me up	Gary Foreman	none	Melba	Idaho
2914	7/9/2017 15:21	No	No	No		Dale Stolley		spirit lake	id
2915	7/9/2017 15:24	Yes	No	No	We use radios so no one gets lost.	Steve Johnson	N/A	Osburn	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2916	7/9/2017 15:26	No	No	No	I am 79yrs old and have a hard time getting around in the forest, to locate game. cameras help scout for game.	jerry hanks	none	kamiah	idaho
2917	7/9/2017 15:31	Yes	No	No	Don't punish a hunter for scouting with a trail cam. Leave this one alone.	Michael I Hansen	Sportsman	Arco	Idaho
2918	7/9/2017 15:32	Yes	No	No	Also I hunt with a youth & I would hate to loose the ability to Communicate with him during a hunt.	Dale Bunn		Bedford	Wyoming
2919	7/9/2017 15:32	No	No	No		Conner LaMont		Pocatello	Idaho
2920	7/9/2017 15:39	No	No	No	Trail cameras actually help us sportsmen scout multiple areas before the seasons open. Most of us work long hours and don't have the time or daylight to go home into an area and scout it out hence the trail cameras tell us what's in the area. If it's not something we are looking for we move areas. Outlawing trail cameras will do 1 thing for Idaho.... It'll make the hunters hunt other states and f&g will lose money because of it. I think it's time fish and game starts listening to the true conservationists (hunters) instead of padding their wallets and trying to make	Sean Coombs	Hunter	Malad	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2921	7/9/2017 15:49	No	No	No	Terrain itself limits transmitting type camera's in many areas anyway. Trail camera picture's are just that picture's, you can't shoot with them,- not any different than someone telling you they saw a game animal somewhere and you going to look for it. Knowledge of a game animal doesn't mean a person will ever lay eyes on it if you are 30 min or 30 days behind it. Makes no sense to ban them. 2 way communication while ethics may be at stake, ethics can't be regulated very well. 2 way is used very effective in retrieval and recovery of wounded animals that I support. Also in communication to prevent lost people and possible preventable survival experiences and emergency aid when that occurs. Tracking collars for bird dogs or pursuit dogs -in 25 years of using them myself rarely aid in taking animals, but instead help locate lost dogs, sometimes preventing survival situations in subzero temperatures as darkness is falling and dogs are missing. I often have my kids ages 3-10 with me and other youth, adults and even seniors that tag along with a camera to hopefully see a rare sight of a bear lion or bobcat, and we need to gather up and get to the truck before emergency's arise. Dogs to the same job with or without a collar, game can't be hearded because of a collar, but	Eric DeClark		Georgetown	Idaho
2922	7/9/2017 15:51	Yes	No	No		Logan		Ririe	Idaho
2923	7/9/2017 15:53	No	No	No	Radios and cell phones are a means of communicating in all circumstances including tracking wounded animals, getting help in various situations, and most importantly for safety of hunting parties who are lost or in deep country. This would not be WISE	Kolby rawlings	Hunter	Preston	Idaho
2924	7/9/2017 15:56	No	No	No	Not safe!! Cameras can be used for other purposes beyond hunting. Radios and cell phones aid in locating family in friends in often unknown country.	Tiffany rawlings	Wife	Preston	Id
2925	7/9/2017 15:59	Neutral	No	No		Kade Grende		Idaho falls	Idaho
2926	7/9/2017 16:00	No	No	No	TWO WAY COMMUNICATION CAN SAVE LIVES . TRAIL CAMS SHOULD BE ALLOWEED ON PRIVATE LAND	Rik Fraser	Land owner	Crouch	Idaho
2927	7/9/2017 16:18	No	No	No		Steve Woolley		Post Falls	Idaho
2928	7/9/2017 16:18	Yes	No	No	Two-way electronic communications could mean two hunters talking to each other on hand held radios. Don't take that away!	Chris Miller		Bayview Id	Idaho
2929	7/9/2017 16:34	Yes	Yes	Yes		Adam Floyd		Las Vegas	Nevada
2930	7/9/2017 16:36	Yes	Yes	Yes		Robert Stark		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2931	7/9/2017 16:39	Yes	No	No	I feel two way radio communication as a safety factor for some hunters , outweighs the possibility of directing hunters to harvest game.	Tom Greene		Lewiston	Idaho
2932	7/9/2017 16:49	Yes	Yes	Yes		Tiffany Preston		Montpelier	Idaho
2933	7/9/2017 16:49	Yes	No	No		Jared Morris		Pocatello	Idaho
2934	7/9/2017 16:52	No	No	No	There are very few people who actually utilize trail cameras. They do not make it a guarantee that you will harvest. You still have to hunt the animal.	Tommy sessions		Caldwell	Idaho
2935	7/9/2017 16:54	Yes	No	No	I feel like the wording in your proposed rule changes is different from the questions asked above regarding the rule changes. I would be interested in examples of how these things would be enforced as well as better examples of what a violation would be	Ryan Garrett		Shelley	Idaho
2936	7/9/2017 16:54	Neutral	No	No		Jeremy		Idaho falls	Idaho
2937	7/9/2017 16:57	No	No	Yes	While I do agree with limiting 2 way communications as an aid to the hunt itself, I do feel 2 way comms is important to have during hunts, for non-hunting portions, such as rally points, water locating, and emergency response. I'm fearful too broad of a law would restrict uses that do not aid in the hunt itself. As for game cameras, I think they are valid tool that has in fact helped hunters be more selective in taking mature game. Also, with limited days off, it helps hunters make more use of their time in the field. I am unbiased on the game cams that can send photos to a user, as I only use the kind that have to be physically checked.	Josh Moremen	Garmin	Sandpoint	ID
2938	7/9/2017 17:01	No	No	No		Ray Sanders		Nampa	ID
2939	7/9/2017 17:07	Yes	No	Yes	Its great to use trail cameras but sending information to your phone or laptop is too much for me. I think there must be a balance between fair chase and technology.	BB		Athol	Idaho
2940	7/9/2017 17:10	Yes	No	No		Matthew Call		Rigby	ID
2941	7/9/2017 17:15	Yes	No	Yes	Allow ppl to use traditional trail cams that require them to use a Sim card and that requires them to physically walk in and check	Greg wanous		Caldwell	Idaho
2942	7/9/2017 17:16	Yes	No	Yes		jim starling		challis	ID
2943	7/9/2017 17:17	Yes	Yes	Yes	The animals big and small have it tough enough these days and fair chase has never been more critical to protect. Hunting is a privileged not a right.	Jason Pilarski		Pocatello	Idaho
2944	7/9/2017 17:18	No	No	No		Austin		Pocatello	Idaho
2945	7/9/2017 17:24	No	No	No		Wayne Kirk		Hayden	Idaho
2946	7/9/2017 17:24	No	No	No		Wayne Kirk		Hayden	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2947	7/9/2017 17:36	No	No	Yes		Chad Kurtenbach		cody	wy
2948	7/9/2017 17:37	Yes	No	No		Matt bassett	Idaho state	Franklin	Idaho
2949	7/9/2017 17:38	Yes	Yes	Yes		Robert Stark		Rigby	Idaho
2950	7/9/2017 17:40	Yes	Yes	Neutral		wes southard		nampa	idaho
2951	7/9/2017 17:41	No	No	No	There is no need to restrict any of these capabilities due to the knowledge that the use provides hunters. For one trail cameras are an essential to hunting big game in order to judge what you area hunting in the area. To define age, size, sex and the numbers of big game you have in the area. Two way electronic communications are also a high need for hunters in the mountains of Idaho because most hunters don't use them to locate game but to locate one another if your hunting partner is to separate. So how could fish and game prove that the two way is being used for hunting or communication with another hunter as to location.	Dakota	Local hunter	Kuna	Idaho
2952	7/9/2017 17:43	Yes	No	No	I'm worried limiting two way communication will hurt hound hunters in logging areas. We need CB radios to communicate to log trucks about our dog locations. This could also be interpreted to limit the use of gps dog collars as it will show the location of a	Greg Ringling		Culdesac	Idaho
2953	7/9/2017 17:51	Yes	No	Yes		Shaun Mulberry		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2954	7/9/2017 17:58	Yes	Yes	Yes	Let us not allow technology to make the hunt so one sided than the animal doesn't stand a chance. Let wilderness be wild.	Andrea Sullivan		Garden Valley	Idaho
2955	7/9/2017 18:01	Yes	Yes	No		Cody gustin	None	Boise	Idaho
2956	7/9/2017 18:02	Yes	No	No		Ian B Phillips		Idaho falls	ID
2957	7/9/2017 18:05	Yes	Yes	No	Walkie talkies are helpful when hunting but it is more important to safety and security. 2 way communications are a must in the wild to ensure those you love make it back to camp and if needed you can find	Cody		Boise	Idaho
2958	7/9/2017 18:22	Yes	No	Yes	Two way communication should be allowed in aid of retrieval of game that as been shot and is being retrieved. I'm not for the live feed cameras, that takes away from the scouting portion of hunting. I feel the regular motion sensor cam should be allowed because you still need to take the time and check them. Mine are usually two to three miles off the road. I still have to hike quite a ways just to see if there is any activity in the	Royce	Conservatiior	Shelley	Idaho
2959	7/9/2017 18:24	Neutral	No	No		Lyle Hall		Ammon	Idaho
2960	7/9/2017 18:29	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Jess B Stuart	PBS	Moriarty	NM

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2961	7/9/2017 18:36	No	No	No	I hunt with my father who is older and we use two way radios to keep in touch and make sure nothing has happened to him or if he needs help in any way. The restriction of these would be just like the restriction of four wheelers or anything else. The honest guy will be the only one who obeys the law and everyone else will still be using them whenever they want!	Nic		Montpelier	Idaho
2962	7/9/2017 18:44	Yes	No	No	Of the options given, transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device is too much of a (realtime) hunting advantage and should be the only restriction put in place. Standard trail cams require you to physically be on site to view, and I see no reason to outlaw them. Two way communication is much too valuable for safety reasons to outlaw and would extremely difficult to enforce. I think more emphasis should be put toward traditional hunting	Chris Behm		Idaho Falls	ID
2963	7/9/2017 18:49	No	No	Yes		Lon Servello		Nampa	Idaho
2964	7/9/2017 18:52	No	No	No	I don't see how most of the trail cams can be wireless, because you need svc. I manually check mine. I only use it to hunt bear and to see what times he shows up at places. And the main thing about 2-way radios are they keep your party safe. Or help drag out. Not to party hunt or help in any way	Greg Skinner		Boise	Idaho
2965	7/9/2017 18:58	No	No	No		Tony Lloyd		Dingle	Idaho
2966	7/9/2017 19:02	Yes	No	Yes	I use a trail camera, but I have to hike to it to swap the card. I don't look at the pics until I get home, it's just fun to see what's out there. I beleave most hunters have their spots, cameras or not they will still hunt it. Two-way radios should only be used for emergencies only in my opinion. Me and my hunting partner some times split while up in the mountains, I usually have to turn the radio off because there is so much chatter on them, it's pretty	Brad Ritchie		Mountain Home	Idaho
2967	7/9/2017 19:06	No	No	No	This is ridiculous limiting hunters in their ability to communicate effectively and scout and judge animals. For decades this has been an approved and for good reason it is not any sort of excessive advantage. In fact in many cases it's the only way to effectively find game prior to the season and during.	Adam		Lake Oswego	OR
2968	7/9/2017 19:13	No	No	No	Idaho fish and game has stepped up their stupidity, they way they are wording it, it would be illegal to video record using a cell phone or camcorder to video a hunt, they reason why hunters use trail cameras is to see the age, the gender and what paths they are using to give the hunter information whether it's a shooter or	Jared		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2969	7/9/2017 19:16	Yes	No	No		Mark		Lewiston	Idaho
2970	7/9/2017 19:22	Yes	Yes	Yes	2 way communication should be allowed where possible as a safety practice but not to assist other hunters locate potential target animals. Public support for hunting is going to continue to decline in the US if unethical high tech practices are allowed and the concepts of fair chase and sportsmanship are further	Ruth Shea	retired hunter	Blackfoot	Idaho
2971	7/9/2017 19:22	Yes	No	Yes		david pech		bloomington	idaho
2972	7/9/2017 19:34	No	No	No		Nate Jaussi		Idaho Falls	Idaho
2973	7/9/2017 19:46	No	No	No		Christopher Coombs		Malad	Id
2974	7/9/2017 19:46	No	No	No		Kelly		Cove	Oregon
2975	7/9/2017 19:50	No	No	No	Trail cams have been in use for quite a while why the interest now?	Monty brock	None	Mtn. Home	Id
2976	7/9/2017 20:07	No	No	No		Michael Wylie	The Deer Co	Little Rock	AR
2977	7/9/2017 20:12	Neutral	No	No	Whats gonna happen if i get an animal down and cant get other peoples help? Are you guys gonna come help if i give you a call? Probably not. Not to mention all the hunters that'll probably get get lost trying to help to find there way out.	Andrew		Ovid	Idaho
2978	7/9/2017 20:13	Yes	Yes	No		Gary Douthit		Eagle	Idaho
2979	7/9/2017 20:14	Yes	No	Yes		David A. Loos	Idaho State	Weiser	Idaho
2980	7/9/2017 20:27	Yes	No	No	The use of cameras that alter the hunter when an animal is in that specific spot is by all means unethical. However, trailcameras do not ensure the harvest of any animal and should not be eliminated from the tools of a hunter who is using them in a way to help his/her chances of a harvest. Communication between hunters of an animals location should not be restricted, there is no unethical practise and does not place animals in a large disadvantage. Hunters have been using communication for many years and harvest rates have not substantially increased as a result.	Kyle searle		Filer	Idaho
2981	7/9/2017 20:39	Yes	No	No		John		Boise	Id
2982	7/9/2017 20:43	Yes	Yes	No		Chris Rambough		Meridian	Id
2983	7/9/2017 20:52	Yes	Yes	Yes		Tim Gott		Payette	Idaho
2984	7/9/2017 20:59	No	No	No	There are other ways to control hunts, most other states have much more strict lottery systems, there is no good research on what you are proposing, and risk further alienation of your	Ron ellsworth		Saint anthony	Idaho
2985	7/9/2017 21:00	No	No	No		Clint		Idaho falls ID	Idaho
2986	7/9/2017 21:06	No	No	No		Ashley Eskelson		Chubbuck	ID
2987	7/9/2017 21:18	No	No	No		Josh stuart		Rexburg	ID
2988	7/9/2017 21:21	No	No	No		Jesse Thornock		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2989	7/9/2017 21:27	Neutral	No	No	Trail cameras that transmit directly to a smartphone may help a little if it transmit images while you're out hunting but you still have to get in for a shot so it won't help much. A regular trail camera does not help in taking a animal the same day or the next day. I have had trail cameras from the day they first started making them and they haven't helped me in harvesting a animal on the same day or the next day at all. It lets me know what has been in the area that week and most of the time the same animal does not come by on a regular basis. Having several camera out among our hunting group we have found that the same elk has shown up on different cameras in a 5 to 10 square mile area making it pretty hard to harvest an animal the same day or next day. We have found that when you check your camera card you leave your scent in the area and the animal avoids the area until your scent leaves. If you're looking for something that aid in harvesting animal and will reduce animals being wounded put a restriction on long range hunting rifles and scopes. Give me a call	Blair Jacobson		Irwin	Idaho
2990	7/9/2017 21:37	Yes	No	No		Kyle Gebbs		Meridian	ID
2991	7/9/2017 21:43	No	No	No		Lukearbaugh		Hazelton	Idaho
2992	7/9/2017 21:45	No	No	No	I think the use of rail cameras do not interfere with hunter ethics. Trail cameras are a useful tool in a hunters arsenal but still doesn't replace scouting and luck. I do not think that the use of trail cameras degrade fair chase. The restrictions on two-way communication devices I don't have a problem saying they shouldn't be used to send information to other hunters, but I think there would be issues with unwarranted searches and privacy.	Levi Kletzli		Mountain Home	Idaho
2993	7/9/2017 21:46	Yes	No	Yes		Jeremiah Hanson	BHA	Lewiston	ID
2994	7/9/2017 21:51	Yes	Yes	Yes	This seems like a no brainier!! Really just because it would make it easier doesn't make it right. where is the hunt and ethics in this!	Debby Davis		Moscow	ID
2995	7/9/2017 21:51	Yes	Yes	Yes	This seems like a no brainier!! Really just because it would make it easier doesn't make it right. where is the hunt and ethics in this!	Debby Davis		Moscow	ID
2996	7/9/2017 21:51	Yes	No	No		Gerald schwisow		Nampa	Id
2997	7/9/2017 21:53	Yes	No	No		JJ Turbin		Coeur d Alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
2998	7/9/2017 21:56	No	No	No	It's still fair chase. It's still spotting just a newer version. You still have to put in the work and effort to find the right area. Hike to that area. And the. Do so every day to see if you've found the right spot. And in some cases 2 way communication can prevent major emergencies I don't understand why thatd be a topic to	John Doe		Montpelier	Idaho
2999	7/9/2017 22:11	No	No	No	Part of the reason people use 2 way radios is for safety in case one person gets lost	Garret		Post falls	Idaho
3000	7/9/2017 22:26	Neutral	No	No	I believe totally in fair chase but never in over-regulation. This, in my opinion, is over-regulation and will only be followed by true sportsmen and sportsmen. Poachers and those who currently use whatever communication methods currently available will not respect that law change either.	Bradley		oldtown	idaho
3001	7/9/2017 22:27	Neutral	No	No		Richard Smth		Rexburg	Idaho
3002	7/9/2017 22:27	Yes	Yes	Yes	Teail camera that are able to instantly up date you on the animal being there is not fair it's lazy hunting but	Tyler		Post falls	Idaho
3003	7/9/2017 22:29	No	No	No	Radios to check in...where at. But not in siding of taking animals.	Sean Enyeart		Lewiston	Idaho
3004	7/9/2017 22:43	No	No	No	I have been hunting in Idaho for years. I do not support these restrictions. Hunters should be able to communicate and discuss what they see or DO NOT see in Idaho's public lands. How about the fish and game focus on improving hunting opportunities rather than restricting free speech and scouting. Focus on catching poachers not trying to nickel and dime new rules on legal tag	Dale Walker		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3005	7/9/2017 22:53	Neutral	No	No	I would be inclined to agree that with ever growing technology a line has to be drawn somewhere where that line is I am still somewhat on the fence. I personally utilize trail cameras for scouting purposes, for me it is just another tool that allows me to better educate myself on wildlife in the areas I hunt, my use of them has yet to directly result in harvesting a game animal. When considering trail cameras capable of wireless transmitting I wouldn't be completely opposed to limiting their use, mostly because I feel if a person is willing to put the effort into setting up cameras and check them in the field they are only bettering their ability and local knowledge as a sportsman whereas the idea wirelessly receiving images just sounds to me like it encourages laziness which to me leads to unethical hunting. In reference to Alt. g I have issues because the way it reads is vague, so you are saying if I use my Bluetooth capable DSLR camera to film a hunt, or my smartphone for that matter I would be in violation of this rule? How do you determine what is being used as an aid to take a game animal and what is being used for separate means all together. This brings me to my final point and my biggest problem with the proposed rule changes, Enforcement. How would these new changes be enforced? I have spent some time thinking about this and I just cant think of a tangible way to enforce any of the proposed rule changes. Something I have been taught in my career in Natural resources is if you are not going to enforce a policy/ term dont include it. Even if the IDFG was able to realistically enforce these rules I feel it would be a great misuse of resources. Again I agree we should keep fair chase and ethics in mind I dont think we should be hunting via drones, but there are far bigger issues that could be dealt with at this current time in Two way radios are great for keeping in touch with each other and are a safety net in my book. Trail cameras non-live streamed won't do much good to restrict since they only show what was there in the past and not what is there at the moment. Work has to be done to earn that intel. Still fair chase.	Joshua Eastman		Culdesac	ID
3006	7/9/2017 22:54	Yes	No	No		Micah		Dietrich	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3007	7/9/2017 23:03	Yes	No	No	I use my radios to keep up on hunting partners location only. They aren't even turned on except if I here them shoot. Then ask if help is needed. I also hunt with fairly inexperienced hunters . My sons and spouse.	Jerry Enyeart		Lewiston	Idaho
3008	7/9/2017 23:16	Yes	Yes	Yes	I feel the more advanced technology gets, the further we will remove ourselves from real hunting. Lets not add to the problem. yes I have hunted in Idaho numerous times.	Timothy P Denial		union city	PA
3009	7/9/2017 23:31	No	No	No	Trail cameras are an essential and helpful tool that I personally do not believe violate any sort of ethical standards. However two way communication is a life saving tool for anyone in the woods. Restrictions on this will have profound and tragic consequences. I understand the concern about using them in unethical ways, but the good far outweighs harm.	Greg Hardy	Sportsman	Kimberly	Idaho
3010	7/9/2017 23:39	Neutral	No	No		Heath wells		Post falls	ID
3011	7/9/2017 23:47	No	No	No	These proposed changes are not needed I need to communicate with my partner when we're hunting. I don't want to have to go through crazy hoops just to communicate with him. Automated things alerting my phone of game, maybe. But not two way radios.	Jerry Aguirre		Boise	Idaho
3012	7/9/2017 23:54	No	No	No		Richard Lloyd		Rupert	Idaho
3013	7/10/2017 0:15	Neutral	Neutral	No		Blake		Idaho Falls	ID
3014	7/10/2017 1:10	Yes	No	Yes	This is absurd! Less rules, not more..Otter is really been on a roll or shit moves and this is another great example of his need to control every last move...Idaho land of dumb laws and we keeo adding more	Joshua Miller		Boise	Idaho
3015	7/10/2017 1:26	No	No	No		Jeffrey Baskin		Little Rock	Arkansas
3016	7/10/2017 1:30	No	No	No		Kandi van leeuwen		Boise	Id
3017	7/10/2017 3:06	Yes	Yes	Yes	Two way radio is very important for the safety of minors that I take hunting each year when we split up. You can try to make this a rule but no rule we stop me from my right to keep my family	Lara Estes		Rexburg	Idaho
3018	7/10/2017 4:46	No	No	No		Patrick Carney		Mtn Home	Idaho
3019	7/10/2017 5:18	No	No	No		Lon McRae	None	Caldwell	Idaho
3020	7/10/2017 5:24	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Steve Hanes	Professional	Westfield	PA

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3021	7/10/2017 5:56	Yes	No	Neutral	I think non-livestream trail cameras should be allowed during hunting seasons. I believe folks primarily use them during whitetail deer season and/or when stand hunting, and only to see the quality of bucks in an area. During the rut, whitetail bucks are still very unpredictable and difficult to pattern. I don't believe an advantage is gained by having them and they only enrich the experience. Folks are probably more likely to harvest older males when they see that larger bucks do exist in an area. However live-streaming type cameras do provide an unfair advantage and I agree they should be banned.	Nic Wagner	Hunter	Moscow	Idaho
3022	7/10/2017 6:15	No	No	No	This change will in no way protect the wildlife in Idaho, this is just another way to try and take another piece of the pie from hunters, also they can still use them just not the same day so it does nothing, the two way communication devices must be where your going with this to try and take the GPS hound hunting away, all the GPS does for the hound guy is a low them to get there dog	Shane Haney		Blackfoot	Idaho
3023	7/10/2017 6:29	Yes	No	Neutral	I do not support any restrictions to the use of electronic devices to aid in hunting. I personally think that it is going to start a culture of "tattle tales" for anyone that sees a trail cam or sees/hears another use a radio for hunting. Can you imagine the phone calls that fish and game are going to get from hunters tattling on other hunters for using a radio? This is going to require a multitude of investigations that probably are not going to go anywhere. Also, imagine the calls that are going to happen from hunters that find trail cameras. Is fish and game going to go out and investigate every time someone finds a trail camera? How are they going to know if it transmits wireless or not? This is going to	Jon Taylor		Pleasant Grove	Utah
3024	7/10/2017 6:45	Yes	No	No		John Kenneth		meridian	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3025	7/10/2017 6:46	Yes	No	Yes	I am in full support of restricting cameras that can transmit pictures wirelessly. It's not hunting if you can sit on your couch and know when animals are in a certain area. I don't have a problem with the other cameras that you have to physically go out and check a card and see whats on it. I think that if you were going to restrict that kind of camera then it should be as purposed. You can not have a camera out while you are hunting. I like to put trail cameras out. It gives me an excuse/forces me to get up in the mountains. I love to get pictures of wildlife and see how things change through the summer months. I would have no problem going out the week before I hunt and collecting them. I fully support restricting the use of two-way communications as well. It should be fair chase and no person should be able to be guided to an animal by their buddy sitting on another mountain with a spotting scope. The only thing you should use a phone for while hunting is to call all your buddies when you have an elk	Chad Taylor		Clifton	Idaho
3026	7/10/2017 7:09	Yes	Yes	Yes	Please carefully consider limiting the use of trail cameras and especially 2 way communication, we cannot continue to improve the pump and not improve the well. I think it would be a great idea to restrict both to keep a quality hunt and fair chase in tact in	Adam Urbano		Kuna	ID
3027	7/10/2017 7:18	No	No	No		Richard Loughnan	Bear hunter	Blanchard	Idaho
3028	7/10/2017 7:35	No	No	No	Trail cameras and other electronic devices allow us to study and educate ourselves about particular animals we're interested in. The restrictions proposed would prohibit the use of study aids for	Nicholas Woodall		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3029	7/10/2017 7:36	No	No	No		Brodie Ianning		Salmon	Idaho
3030	7/10/2017 8:05	Yes	No	No		Sheldon Jones		Leadore	Idaho
3031	7/10/2017 8:20	Yes	Yes	Yes		Michael Theis		Cambridge	WI
3032	7/10/2017 8:20	Neutral	No	No	Idaho Fish and Game needs to look around them and see where the push for these bans are coming from, if it's coming from a select small group of elitist special interests then you are WRONG! The vast majority of the hunting Idaho public will not support these bans and if they do go through the Idaho Fish and Game will become even less popular with the Idaho general hunting public than what they already are. Stop catering to a small but vocal special interest group. What's next? Fish finders? Rifle scopes? Binoculars? Rifles? Compound bows? Literally everyone I know is adamantly opposed to what you are proposing.	Neal R. Wadley		Avon Huntin Deary	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3033	7/10/2017 8:23	Yes	No	Yes	Just as long as you follow the same rules you put on the public, i.e... no camera's means F&G doesn't get to go out and use the same tech they are restricting everyone else from using. I know the F&G puts camera's up so don't be so quick to create a restriction that the F&G is not willing to follow.	j p	tax paying li salmon		idaho
3034	7/10/2017 8:25	Yes	Yes	Yes		Justin		Lewiston	Idaho
3035	7/10/2017 8:33	Yes	Yes	Yes		Tony Latham		Carmen	Idaho
3036	7/10/2017 8:42	No	No	Yes		CORY A JOHNSON		OLDTOWN	Idaho
3037	7/10/2017 8:57	No	No	No		Brady ritchie		Idaho falls	Idaho
3038	7/10/2017 9:00	Neutral	No	Neutral	I understand both sides but having a trail camera and pictures of your target does not at all ensure a harvest. There are so many factors in an actual hunt (ex..wind, temp, moon phases, people pressure) that a trail camera does not do anything but provide knowledge of whats in the area.	Adam VandenOever		Post Falls	Idaho
3039	7/10/2017 9:05	Yes	Yes	Yes		James Newton		Mountain Home	Idaho
3040	7/10/2017 9:21	No	No	No	The cameras do allow people to know what is in the area and to some extent their travel patterns are, however when it comes to harvesting the animal we still have to rely in skill and luck. Patterns normally change soon after the season begins and become very unpredictable. I don't believe we should restrict this aspect of hunting it's not harming the animals in any way and the hunter gets to see what is in the area but still has to rely on skill and luck to get the animal.	Blake		Sugar	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3041	7/10/2017 9:26	Yes	No	No	How are you going to patrol and monitor the use of these devices? Rules like these only work on the ethical and honest hunters. If the purpose of these new rules is to protect big game has anyone considered the use of long range rifles. I have heard multiple stories of people shooting deer and elk at over 900 yards with someone else rifle, meaning they had not practiced with it. I think the fish and game should consider limiting the use of long range rifles. It makes hunting more dangerous than it already is and with the number hunters out there shooting that far is very dangerous. The use of range finders that will tell the person how to dial their scope to make that 1000-yard shot is worse on game than a trail camera. Hunting rifles in my opinion should be limited in power and turrets. I also think that range finders that are calibrated to a specific load should be heavily restricted in hunting applications. Animals are wounded and lost every year by hunters shooting a few hundred yard what happens when more and more	Tyson Kent		Inkom	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3042	7/10/2017 9:36	Yes	No	Neutral	I think that the use of wireless transmitted trail cameras are beyond the ethical fair chase rules. If you want to use them then there defiantly needs to be some sort of cooling off period 24 hrs before you can hunt that location. My question is how would you enforce a rule like that? CO's are already way short handed they cant even control antler poaching problems. I think that the purposed rules of traditional typed trail cameras and having to wait two days to hunt after going to the field and retrieving memory cards its ridiculous. Two reasons one sometimes you get to your hunting areas hours from home check your camera to see if the area is being used and by what then hunting for the rest of the weekend then go back home. If I go up and check a camera then cant hunt just because I looked at a camera is insane. Two if I could afford a airplane I can fly around and find game then go hunt them the next morning thats way more of an advantage than looking at a trail camera then hunting the same day. Is it ok for people that have tons of money to hire someone to go sit in the hills all week and keep tabs on the game then on the weekend for that person to hunt. Or can no one be in the field keeping a eye on a animal for someone. That's what a camera does, but its less effective than paying someone to keep tabs on things. Two way communication I really don't care either way but again how do you control enforce something like that. I have personally turned people in for hunting and chasing deer with there pickup all over that I witnessed and nothing could be done about that. So the honest people will obey the law the dishonest people will do whatever they want and it gives them way more of an advantage. Just like the places that are closed to antler hunting again I have turned people in but nothing is done about so it is easier for the	Jordan Knapp		Rexburg	ID
3043	7/10/2017 9:53	No	Yes	No		Brandon stringer		Twin falls	Idaho
3044	7/10/2017 9:53	Yes	Yes	Yes		Kevin Warner		Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3045	7/10/2017 9:56	No	No	Yes	Trail cams are an asset, not a liability. Being able to see what animals, such as sow bears with cubs vs. boars, are visiting a bait, for example, allows a bear hunter to know what to look for when hunting. Has scientific research indicated that trophy animals are being shot unfairly because of the use of trail cams? If the desire to prevent poaching is the reason for this rule, then just say so, and do not unfairly target legitimate hunters who use trail cams for reasonable purposes. In regards to the use of two way communication, what do you mean by "an aid" in hunting? If it means that you cannot call your hunting buddy to help pack out an elk, and that elk spoils because of the lack of ability to communicate, then we don't need the rule. If it means that you cannot call your friend and tell him that the elk is now broadside 300 yards from him over the ridge, then, yes...that rule is necessary, as that is unethical hunting in my mind. Who is	Catherine M. Malison	Bonner Cour	Clark Fork	Idaho
3046	7/10/2017 10:01	Yes	Yes	No	I would support restriction of 2 way communication devices if 2 way radios were stricken from the rule. There is too much grey area to interpret what is an aid to hunting or communicating the general location of a game animal. Trying to stay within the Lindsey conditions of the rule would be difficult and based on the interpretation of game officers in the field. This is a problem with other "aid to hunting" rules.	Dennis Mann		Boise	Idaho
3047	7/10/2017 10:06	No	No	No		J Hammond		Shelley	Idaho
3048	7/10/2017 10:07	No	No	No	Cameras are a research tool. Cameras help educate us. Trail cameras are generally used to "Judge" an animal. They help us determine if a bear is a sow or boar and help you age the animal. I personally (along with many others) try to take older game and let the young ones grow. Idaho needs to be the future leader not the example of archaic and outdated policies.	Patrick Acosta		Portland	OR
3049	7/10/2017 10:22	Yes	No	Neutral		ISAAC HENRY	Non-resident	Idyllwild	CA
3050	7/10/2017 10:25	No	No	No	This is absolute B.S. in my opinion just like restricting lighted knocks for us bowhunters because you f&g guys think it will help us kill when in all reality the only thing it will do is help us find our arrows. Sincerely a pissed off Idaho resident. You guys are a	Chris Harrison		burley	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3051	7/10/2017 10:38	Yes	No	Yes	I would also like to see restrictions on long range hunting. Being able to shoot an animal at 1,000 yards is not fair chase, no matter how the animal was located.	Shane		deary	idaho
3052	7/10/2017 10:41	Yes	No	Neutral		Andrew Smart		Pocatello	id
3053	7/10/2017 10:42	Yes	Yes	Yes		Juan Burzaco		Boise	Idaho
3054	7/10/2017 10:42	No	No	No		Christian Starr		Blanchard	Idaho
3055	7/10/2017 10:46	No	No	Neutral	it should stay the same use cameras and radios the same for scouting and letting know base camp where you are at and when your coming out if you are hunting you will see the animal before you get to trail cam anyways hunting is already messed up dont make it harder most of use are just trying to put food on the table not kill to kill	Dennis Simpson		Athol	IDaho
3056	7/10/2017 10:56	Yes	No	Yes	How are you going to police this?	Thomas E Anderson		Kootenai	Idaho
3057	7/10/2017 11:11	Yes	Yes	Yes	All of the high tech equipment available nowadays destroys the definition of Fair Chase. Get rid of aerial scouting as well.	Paul Shearon		Flagstaff	Arizona
3058	7/10/2017 11:12	Yes	No	Yes	Regular Trail cams should be allowed, but not the kind that can transmit in real time. Only the ones that just take pics.	Clinton Alftin		Payette	ID
3059	7/10/2017 11:16	No	No	No		William		Arco	Idaho
3060	7/10/2017 11:16	Yes	No	No	Trail cams that can send wirelessly yes restrict it can be set up and send pics to the hunter at camp to let him know that the game is there. Regular trail cams are fine. Two-way communications devices no it's more for safety issues I say that	Miles A. Souders		Clarkston	Wa
3061	7/10/2017 11:17	Yes	No	Yes		Brian Zastoupil	Hunter	Fargo	North Dakc
3062	7/10/2017 11:19	Yes	Neutral	No	Hunters have been using two-way radio communication in Idaho for decades and I am not aware of widespread issues because of that use. The rule regarding two-way communication appears to be very hard to prove in court. If certain communication is allowed (i.e. anything not related to the location of game) it will be very hard to enforce and could lead to officers utilizing the fact that someone was on the phone to issue a citation. The majority of the time these cases will come down to the officer's word against the hunter's word. In my opinion the two-way communication rule has the possibility to cause a lot more issues than it solves and is	Ryan Sherman	None	Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3063	7/10/2017 11:27	No	No	No	The really good reasons for using all of the above mentioned electronics, far outweigh the limited very minor negatives associated with their use. Also, enforcement is going to be almost impossible. The CO's have enough on their plate. Please DO NOT go forward with this bad idea.	Tyler Strickland	Idaho hunters	Star	Idaho
3064	7/10/2017 11:27	No	No	No		Andrew		Idaho falls	Idaho
3065	7/10/2017 11:28	Yes	Yes	Yes		Eric Beard		Magnolia	Texas
3066	7/10/2017 11:36	No	No	No		Walter		Plummer	Id
3067	7/10/2017 11:40	Yes	No	No		Joe Kessenich		Moscow	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Neutral	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3068	7/10/2017 11:41	Neutral	No	No	Don't really care if someone can get a picture of an animal while they are sitting on their couch. Not like they can hurry and get in there truck and be there before the animal leaves. All trail cameras really do is give you an idea of what type and size of animals are in a location. You can figure that out just by being in the area and looking at the sign, etc. so I do not think trail cameras really give you an advantage in harvesting an animal. I have yet to harvest an animal that I have pictures of on a trail camera. The main item I am concerned about is the restriction of two-way electronic communication. I have a 14 year old son and 67 year old father and use 2-way radios with them all the time when we are hunting so I can check in on them and make sure they are not hurt and safe. I use my cell phone to check in with my wife so she knows I am safe and her son is safe. If I cannot use my radio or my cell phone, I might as well not go hunting because I will not feel secure about leaving my son or father in a treestand and my wife won't feel safe about letting me go in the woods with her son. Think about this - everyone on earth has an electronic device on them at all times, you probably have a cell phone sitting on your desk or attached to your hip right now, and if this passes I can now get a ticket/fine because I forgot to leave my cell phone in the truck or I am using a 2-way radio to check in on my son. How stupid can this rule be and good luck enforcing it. You can't even enforce the ATV rule, which I am in favor of, but get pissed when I am hiking and everyone else in the woods drives right past me on their ATV. All this will do is piss me off more because I will abide by the rules, but the guy next to me will still have his cell phone in his pocket and a 2-way radio in his pack. Think you are attributing electronics to harvest % way to	Travis Kator		Soda Springs	ID
3069	7/10/2017 11:45	Yes	No	Yes		Edward Andersen		Blackfoot	ID
3070	7/10/2017 11:47	No	No	No		Terry Butcher		Plummer	ID
3071	7/10/2017 12:01	Yes	No	No	Trail cams should be allowed on private property but not on public property. Restrictions on the use of phones or radios while hunting is unsafe and irresponsible.	Mark Gianotti		Silverdale	WA

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3072	7/10/2017 12:06	No	No	No	The use of trail cameras on public land provides awesome experiences, regardless of making a harvest or not. Having pictures of wild animals, in their natural surroundings is half the fun of checking your camera. On public land, animals get bumped by other hunters, may pass through an area and not return, get chased out by predators etc. We are not hunting private property where pressure is controlled and the ability to capture images of the same animal again and again take place. In our camp, we place several cameras in our hunt area. It is not uncommon to capture images of animals and then never see them again. We have hunted over areas where animals have been, on the camera, and never had them come back during our hunt. Those who are successful in taking an animal that they have images of have great memories and pictures of that animal, not to mention they have worked hard preseason to try and create an opportunity to fill a tag. I disagree with the commission's revised rule on trail cameras. I also disagree with the proposed rule on radio communication. It is already prohibited to have communication from the air to the ground on animal location. It is rare that one could use a radio on the ground and communicate to someone else on the ground that an animal is headed their way. In Idaho's vast wilderness, radios are a necessity to keep in touch with hunting partners and prevent getting lost. Many times, a radio has helped me get down a mountain in the dark when taking the wrong drainage back to camp. Radios are also key in helping recover downed game. The ability to call other members from camp to come assist with the pack out can often times help[prevent spoilage or lost meat to predators.	Ryan Field		Kuna	ID
3073	7/10/2017 12:13	Yes	No	No		Jim Stephens		Greenup	Illinois
3074	7/10/2017 12:22	Yes	Yes	Yes		Frank Garcia		Post Falls	Idaho
3075	7/10/2017 12:27	Yes	No	Yes		Jason Stone		Boise	Idaho
3076	7/10/2017 12:34	No	No	No	A trail camera in no way impacts fair chase. The use of 2way radios allows hunters to stay in touch with one another. It would put hunters in danger if this was not possible.	Lynn weaver	Hunter	Nacogdoches	Texas
3077	7/10/2017 12:41	Yes	Neutral	No		John Raptosh		Nampa	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3078	7/10/2017 12:42	Yes	No	Neutral	Trail camera's are an effective scouting tool used by sportsmen and women that allow selective harvest on game animals in a certain area. I believe these tools are to the benefit of controlled harvest and help with overall game management.	Brad Bibbins		mountain home	Idaho
3079	7/10/2017 12:46	No	No	No	I run cameras from May to October get 2000 to 3000 pictures that you would never see even of grizzly bears when their in the area. We dont get cell service where we hunt. I dont think it helps you hunting its still being where the animals are at the same time then have everything go right.	Steven Brown		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3080	7/10/2017 12:48	Yes	Neutral	Neutral		Steve Riedy		Pocatello	Idaho
3081	7/10/2017 13:05	No	No	No	I enjoy the use of my trail cameras. It allows me to hunt year	Lyle Erlewine		Orofino	Idaho
3082	7/10/2017 13:10	Yes	Yes	Yes	Make no exceptions to these aids.	Greg Townley		Bellevue	ID
3083	7/10/2017 13:12	Neutral	No	Yes	I don't see any advantage to using a trail camera that is a two hour drive and one hour hike from my home that uses a memory card to record images. I have to physically get to my camera, pull the memory card, and view the images to learn anything, and it's all past information. The animal is long gone before I see the images on the memory card. I started using trail cameras in 2014 for archery elk season. I placed my cameras in early June. My nephew shot a bull on August 30th, the archery opener. I shot a bull on Sep. 13th. I removed my cameras in late September. After going through thousands of images from the 3 1/2 month period, I had one single photo on the elk I harvested, and zero photos of the bull my nephew harvested. The photo of my bull was from a location over half a mile away from where I shot it. How did my cameras give me an advantage? It didn't. It just let me view what animals had been in the area, allowing me to be more selective and less likely to shoot a younger animal. The cameras gave us no advantage on harvesting animals. I think cameras are an enjoyable pass time. It gives me a reason to get out in the summer, get some exercise and fresh air, get in better physical shape, etc. If I felt the cameras gave me an advantage in taking big game or were unethical in any way, I wouldn't use them. There is no cell service where I hunt, so the cameras that transmit images to a computer or cell phone wouldn't even work for me, so I wouldn't care if they were restricted, as long as the restriction	Eric Horman		Iona	Idaho
3084	7/10/2017 13:12	Yes	No	Neutral		Bryan		Blackfoot	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3085	7/10/2017 13:17	No	No	No	I want to see what's been in the area in hunting, mountain lions or bears.... when bear hunting I can see what is there. If the bear has cubs w it on the came but I don't see them hunting in a specific day I'll know u can't shit it... as far as two way radios I want to know where the other person I'm hunting w is and if something bad should happen they can call for help.... these restrictions are absolutely ridiculous	Stephanie Shawver		Star	Idaho
3086	7/10/2017 13:40	No	No	Yes	Too much technology = unfair advantage and no longer a fair chase scenario. Line has to be drawn somewhere, and for me it is the use of game cams that can auto transmit images, time, date data. I believe allowing this would be a major detriment to the quality of hunting in Idaho. On the ground, in the field knowledge should be earned, not sent to you as you sit at your desk at work.	Jeff Anderson		Menan	ID
3087	7/10/2017 13:45	Yes	No	No		Rick Carlson		salmon	idaho
3088	7/10/2017 13:57	No	No	No		Kody Morgan		Idaho falls	Idaho
3089	7/10/2017 14:06	No	No	No	What a joke this has all become! I use two way radios while hunting for the purpose of safety. One person can't see all the dangers while hunting to include other hunters that are not required to wear hunter orange. I feel much safer with another set of eyes looking out for my wellbeing and my child's wellbeing. I do agree that something should be done with two way communication between air craft and hunters on the ground. This seems to be a very large problem in the unit 13 area. I use my radio on the scan feature and it's amazing that, I, with no tapping experience can figure out that air craft use for spotting game is a big problem.	Travis Russell		Lewiston	Idaho
3090	7/10/2017 14:32	Yes	Yes	Yes	Static cameras without communication capability should be allowed for predator baiting, bear and wolf.	Kim yearsley		Hamer	Idaho
3091	7/10/2017 14:36	Yes	Yes	No		Brian Kondeff		Eagle	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3092	7/10/2017 14:44	Neutral	No	No	Commented previously, but read my comments and did not clarify my issue with the banning on two-way electronic communications. Understand the rule is not intended to make it illegal to carry or possess a radio or phone while you are hunting. It would be illegal to use them to pursue game/direct others to game. My issue is how is an officer supposed to determine if that is in fact what the radio or phone is being used for as opposed to it being used to check in on another hunter concerning their health and safety. If a hunter is charged with improper use of the electronic device even though he was using it for a legal purpose, how is he supposed to prove he was not using it to assist others in pursuing game. To me, this would just be yet another rule on the books that true sportsman will abide by and the others will just continue to use them to pursue game because F&G does not have the necessary equipment or man power to enforce it. Just like the current ATV rules and archery equipment restrictions. Another rule that will just cause conflict between hunter and officer, and hunter to hunter. Only way to truly abide by the rule and not have a conflict, is to leave your cell phone and radio at home. How would this rule apply to the retrieving of game? If I am blood trailing an animal and come to a spot that the sign runs out so my buddy goes ahead searching for other sign and finds something - would it be illegal for him to radio me and let me know he found something? How is an officer going to determine that is what he was doing and that he wasn't just checking in with me to make sure I was okay?	Travis Kator		Soda Springs	ID
3093	7/10/2017 14:50	No	No	No	To repeat my previous statement, another rule that cannot be Trail Cameras aid in determining the best animals to take for the betterment of the Species. Two-Way Radios are a great tool to keep all parties in communication to aid in not getting lost, Why would you think this is a bad thing?!!!! The action of a few wreck-less hunters should not penalize the ones that follow the rules.	Jeremy E. Bryan		Idaho Falls	ID Idaho
3094	7/10/2017 14:50	Yes	No	No		George ribble		Post falls	Id
3095	7/10/2017 15:08	Yes	Yes	Yes	Restricting two way communication as an aid to hunting is a great idea but please do not restricting having them on person while hunting for safety reasons or communication with minors	Brett sumpter		Nampa	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3096	7/10/2017 15:15	No	No	No		Jordan Strong		Nampa	Idaho
3097	7/10/2017 15:35	No	No	No	I don't feel it is safe to have hunters out hunting without a way to contact each other. I have a 14 year old son that will someday not be hunting right with me. I want to know where he is at all times. If there is an emergency there would be a quicker response time. Trail cams are a non-disruptive way to see what is walking around. I feel a trail cam puts less stress on the animals by not having people constantly walking through their area. If you want to ban something ban those stupid drones.	Michael Pantenburg		Meridian	Idaho
3098	7/10/2017 15:37	No	No	No		David Hutchings		Rupert	Idaho
3099	7/10/2017 16:00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Glad that you are attempting to address this misuse of technology	eric thomas		Hailey	Idaho
3100	7/10/2017 16:07	Neutral	No	No	I am really curious to know more about the motive behind this proposal. Is it harvest success? Is it really ethics? Is it a revenue issue? Also what is the departments definition of sportsmanship, ethics and fair chase? Because these answers would determine the rest of my comments.	Eric Christensen		Iona	Id
3101	7/10/2017 16:23	Yes	No	No		Davon Findley		Leadore	Id
3102	7/10/2017 16:28	Yes	No	No		Bryce Jones	Hunter	Idaho Falls	Idaho
3103	7/10/2017 16:30	Yes	No	Neutral		C J McNeas	NA	Pocatello	Idaho
3104	7/10/2017 16:38	No	No	Yes	We use trail cams in an ethnic way of scouting without disturbing the wildlife. We've ran trail cams for a few years to scout and see what kind of movement we have had ion some waterholes. Numerous amounts of pictures throughout the years still have led to nothing being harvested. It's a hobby we enjoy getting us out and checking our cams. I highly disagree prohibiting the use of	Deven king		Montpelier	Idaho
3105	7/10/2017 16:47	No	No	No	Maybe you should ban use of vehicles to transport hunters from point A to B, or use of optics used to spot game. Don't be ridiculous! end this rule proposal Now.	Chad Smith		Eugene	Oregon

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3106	7/10/2017 16:52	Neutral	No	Yes	<p>Jon</p> <p>It defies reason as to why the Department would even consider restricting the use of trail cameras as an aid to hunting . As I'm certain that you know, for many of the hunters that use trail cameras, it is a vital part of the total hunting experience as well as an opportunity to observe the wildlife found here in Idaho. I am one of those hunters that anxiously looks forward to seeing pictures of the wildlife that may be on my camera. It's an exciting part and a significant part of the hunting experience and a feeling that is held by many hunters and sportsmen. It is also a "wonderful tool" that sportsmen can share with other people, including both hunters and non-hunters, to promote the wildlife resources of our state.</p> <p>As a retired employee of the IDFG for 30 years, I can say with total certainty that decisions like this that are made by the Department erodes the support of the sporting public in our state. How can any action by the Department like this be considered or defended not to even mention be enforced?</p> <p>While I was waiting in a long line this morning to get one of the resident elk tags that were going on sale at 10:00, in one of the largest sporting goods stores in my area, this was the MAJOR topic of discussion. Without exception, every person in that line was in disbelief and outraged with the fact that the Department would even consider such a restriction. If the Departments goal is to alienate the supporters of the IDFG which are becoming fewer every year, adopt this regulation and/or other similar regulations that have no significant impacts on the wildlife of this state and which will reduce the "total" outdoor experience of the sportsmen in this state and the IDFG will most certainly continue</p>	Bob Saban		Pocatello	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3107	7/10/2017 16:53	Yes	No	No	I am a disabled Hunter and have to rely on wild game as due to health issues I cannot eat red meat. If you think that by using trail cameras it makes the hunt easier you are dead wrong!!!! Do you know how many deer or elk we have harvested in the last several years???? I can count them on one finger!!!! How about you worry about giving non residents more tags, how about you worry about how much money you can make in a season.... Seriously??? One deer in I would say in the last 10 years is pretty ridiculous but yet all you can worry about is the use of trail cams as an aide???? You f&g, need the aide... It's sad to me that this is what you worry about. I can tell you how many of our friends a,d family have actually harvested with the so called aide of a trail camera.....ZERO! Let's put a,stop,to the two tag quota... Or a stop to non resident period for a few years and get our game back,especially since how it took so long to feed them this winter and we lost so many!! Yes I do not agree with immediate transmission but really, simply putting a trail cam out really doesn't aide like you say it does! Especially when you not only have to fight so many hunters but the damn sheep year after year	Lauri		Montpelier	Idaho
3108	7/10/2017 16:54	No	No	No		Brandon campbell		Sagle	Idaho
3109	7/10/2017 16:57	No	No	Neutral		McKenzie King		Montpelier	Idaho
3110	7/10/2017 16:57	No	No	No		Clint schaffer	Hunter	Boise	Id
3111	7/10/2017 16:58	No	No	No	I do not support any of the proposals listed here. I also question the ability to enforce these laws were they to be enacted. For example, I could foresee any person carrying a radio, cell phone etcetera receiving a violation whether they were using it for an unlawful purpose or not. I do not agree with electronic or satellite transmission of imagery from a trail camera for the purpose of hunting but do not see how this is enforceable because I do not think trail cameras should be precluded from use nor should manually checking them the same day prevent someone from	Jacob Johnson		Moscow	Idaho
3112	7/10/2017 17:01	Yes	No	Yes	I am in favor or trail cams as long as users hike in and look at the photos. With that caveat I am not opposed to someone looking at a camera and hunting where the camera was viewed the same day eg I might hike into a camera, see what is on it and determine to stay there and hunt or come back the next day and hunt. I see no reason to require them to wait until the next day	Duane Karren		Salt Lake City	UT

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3113	7/10/2017 17:06	No	No	No		Glenn		Spiritlake	Idaho
3114	7/10/2017 17:11	Neutral	No	No		Zacary Sedlmayr		Stanley	ID
3115	7/10/2017 17:18	No	No	No		Tad Canada	Hunter	Meridian	Idaho
3116	7/10/2017 17:23	No	No	No		Eric		Moscow	ID
3117	7/10/2017 17:26	No	No	No	The f&g keep crying about losing hunters &review. Its simple, the stupid proposals you bring up is what is killing the whole thing. Hunter's don't use radios to transmission where animals are, they use them to keep in touch with where their companions are and where to meet for lunch. What about (help I have fallen and can't get up) or (I am having a heart attack, I need help). Rradios are a tool to stay safe, not to cheat. You're just going to make criminals out of all the hunters in idaho. They as I will still use them. Also its strange that you put too completely different issues into one propasal, its clear you are trying to confuse the issue. If you want to keep losing hunters go ahead, all your funding will soon disappear.	Kevin Baird		Nampa	Idaho
3118	7/10/2017 17:32	Yes	No	Yes	Trail camers are extremely hepful and I would consider them to fall under "fair chase". I think traditional trail cameras are essential scouting too. Electronically transmitted cameras are not considered "fair chase" and give the hunter an unfair advantage. I feel the same way with two-way electronic communications.	Matt Stark		Nampa	Idaho
3119	7/10/2017 17:35	Yes	No	Neutral		Jeremy Orthman		Burley	Idaho
3120	7/10/2017 18:17	No	No	No		Mona Christensen		Montpelier	Idaho
3121	7/10/2017 18:21	No	No	No		Rick christensen		Montpelier	Idaho
3122	7/10/2017 18:35	Yes	Yes	No		Shaun Thacker	Avid hunter	Nampa	Id
3123	7/10/2017 18:46	Yes	Yes	Yes	True sportsmanship needs NO electronic help.	Daniel R Reed	Native Idahc	Athens	Alabama
3124	7/10/2017 19:03	Yes	No	Neutral		Paul Sterner		Oakley	Idaho
3125	7/10/2017 19:13	Yes	No	No	I think this is dumb. If you get a picture of something yeah it may tell you what could be in the area but the animal could still just be passing through and if you show up there the next morning or that evening just clbecause the animal was there doesn't mean it's gonna come back. Hunting is still hunting, it's called hunting cause you never know what your gonna see or if your gonna see	John	Hunter	Moscow	Idaho
3126	7/10/2017 19:26	No	No	No		Jami Carlson		Osburn	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3127	7/10/2017 19:26	Yes	No	Yes	I feel the use of a general trail camera is fair because it requires you to go set it up and also retrieve the pictures. Where as the cameras that send pics and videos to a remote device eliminate that time and effort of preparing. For example, if a hunter has 10 cameras set up linked to his smart phone, he can basically be in 10 spots at once deciding which is the best. With a standard camera the hunter is required to physically go check the 10 cameras. Now concerning the electronic communications, I feel using them to communicate with your hunting group/partner is a good idea for general info (ie. location, help needed, etc.) but not for actual locating and pursuing the game. I feel like that is an	Tony Spooner		St. Maries	Idaho
3128	7/10/2017 19:31	No	No	No	Do not take away our use of trail cameras, they help in determining whether an animal is mature enough to be harvested. They DO NOT in any way guarantee an animal. Therefore there is no reason for taking away our privilege to use them.	Andrew Manney		Potlatch	Idaho
3129	7/10/2017 19:53	No	No	No	Its dumb	Hollice		Potlatch	Idaho
3130	7/10/2017 19:56	No	No	No	1: Trails CAMS have never tagged a game animal for me 2: my cell phone is for emergency communication as well for a two way radio, again these divices dont help me tag a game animal this a awful proposal I will post this on every hunting FB site I know.	David Noyer		Coeur d' alene	Idaho
3131	7/10/2017 20:02	No	No	No	This is the dumbest thing in the world. So I cannot even text people in my group that I saw a deer or an elk and to head over to that ridge? Because that would be aiding them. Besides being stupid, it would be impossible to enforce. And the whole trail cam thing is even more stupid. So I cannot hunt the day that I check my camera or even the day after? What does that accomplish? Let's make responsible hunters criminals because they texted their buddy that they saw elk and to head a certain direction. Don't you have real poachers to catch? Summary: Dumb, stupid, impossible	Ace Tolleuson		Maple Rapids	Michigan
3132	7/10/2017 20:07	Yes	No	Neutral	Why would you limit viewing a trail camera on the day you hunt? It does not hold the animal captive it only tells you of his presence at a given time. It seems that you resist any attempt to improve hunting efficiency. Trail cameras allow you to scout without disturbing game and allow the game to live without being	Dennis Macieski		Idaho Falls	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3133	7/10/2017 20:21	Yes	No	No	Garmin Rino two-way radios with GPS provide safety and reassurance for family members within radio range, but not necessarily on the hunt. Idaho Mountain Search and Rescue uses the same radios for searches. It makes it especially easy for rescuing or recovering hunters if they have a Garmin Rino on them.	Ives, Aaron		Boise	ID
3134	7/10/2017 20:23	No	No	No	The use of two-way radios should NOT be restricted. If rules are to be implemented they should follow similar guidelines that were used to create rules for aircraft/drones....	Robert Hallenberger		Boise	ID
3135	7/10/2017 20:23	No	No	No		Dian Bartschi		Montpelier	Idaho
3136	7/10/2017 20:25	Yes	No	No		Tyrell Hendrix		Rupert	ID
3137	7/10/2017 20:33	No	No	No	Citizens privacy is at stake here.	Paul Hansen	Idaho citizen	Idaho falls	Idaho
3138	7/10/2017 20:40	Yes	Yes	Yes	How about just outright banning all the things idfg has listed for hunting and even for preseason scouting because that is unfair too because the hunters already know where they are opening day.	martin gentle		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3139	7/10/2017 20:45	No	No	No	<p>I'm just going off of my own experience with trail cameras which is basically zero. I only have used them on bear baits about 10 years ago. But I don't think it matters if someone has a camera up on a trail or not, someone scouting the area will come up with the same result. However you should change the wording to only eliminate cameras that can be live feed viewed from a computer or phone where the hunter is no where near the camera.</p> <p>Phones and two way radios. I disagree with this completely. First off I think the two way radios and cell phone are a great way to keep in contact with your hunting party. My family utilizes two way radios to keep track of our teens and younger adults as well as the elderly patriarchs of the family while we are out hunting. I know your rule changes make the exception for this however here is the problem. I have been a law enforcement officer for 17 years and I see this no different than the texting while driving law. It is hard for law enforcement to identify who is and who isn't improperly texting while driving and if the police officer does not see the person texting then there is nothing the officer can do. I see the same for conservation officers trying to determine who is using this technology to track down animals. Which means your conservation officers are going to be digging deeper into why someone has a two way radio on or if they used their cell phone. One thing I have learned through my 32 years of hunting in Idaho is the majority of hunters are following the letter of the law or trying to follow the letter of the law and a good portion of the hunters are frustrated when they have contact with a conversation officer because they are treated like they have done something wrong even when they are trying to do everything right. I think</p>	Mike		Parma	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3140	7/10/2017 20:57	No	No	No	I believe trail cameras are a useful scouting and informational tool. In no way do I believe it is "cheating" they take effort to set up and maintain just as any other form of scouting takes effort, it would be a disgrace to the sportsman of this great state to restrict the use of cameras in any way. Phone scope cameras should not ever be restricted. Also two way radio communication is used for safety more than anything and communicating between hunting partners or even "strangers" in the same area. Putting restrictions on any of these above items would be a shame and a disservice to anyone that enjoys hunting Idaho.	Justin Roberts		Troy	Idaho
3141	7/10/2017 21:17	No	No	No	Trail cameras are a great research tool. They help us identify the game that we do NOT want to pursue, as much as the game that we do want to pursue. Same goes for the two-way electronic communication (two-way radios); they help hunters stay safe. Please listen to the actual hunters on this issue, rather than the general public who never hunts and is full of opinions only.	Tatyana Gray	I'm a huntre	Kethcum	Idaho
3142	7/10/2017 21:46	No	No	No		Jessica L Tate		Rigby	ID
3143	7/10/2017 21:55	No	No	No		Evan Cheney	None	Firth	Idaho
3144	7/10/2017 22:01	No	No	Yes	I believe two-way electronic communication as an aid to hunting should only be utilized to recover wounded game or to locate/recover hunting dogs. I would define 'wounded game' as an animal that leaves a visible blood trail. I define 'trail camera' as a camera attached to a stationary/fixed location such as a tree, a tripod, or a fence post. I am opposed to using any cameras attached to moving devices such as drones/UAVs to aid hunting.	Mike McCurdy		Mansfield	TX
3145	7/10/2017 22:18	Yes	Yes	Yes	Keep hunting fair chase!	Darcey L Doyle		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3146	7/10/2017 22:20	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras are a fun way to allow people to experience nature and to learn about it. They are a effective tool. They allow people to learn, enjoy, and educate themselves about our natural resources. We should not be discouraging reasonable uses of technology that help our pursuit. At a time where allocation of depredation tags is increasing, we should not be discouraging reasonable uses of technology that help hunters be more effective. It makes no sense! I doubt they yruely gibe a large advantage anyeays, the rule would be hard to enforce, so allow people to enjoy reasonable uses of technology that gets them engaged with nature and allows people to feel more engaged with thete hunt.	eric bender		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
3147	7/10/2017 22:24	Yes	No	Yes		Carl nix		Show Low	AZ
3148	7/10/2017 22:34	No	No	No		Clyde Durham	Sportsman	Nampa	Idaho
3149	7/10/2017 22:39	No	No	No	this is without a doubt one of, if not the dumbest proposed rule changes I have ever seen in any of the 5 state's i have hunted. Trail cams in no way shape or form help you tale an animal. it'd be great if I had all the time in the world to scout out my areas on a daily basis, but being a blue collar individual, that's not an option. How about focusing more on protecting our public land from being purchased by out of staters, or doing more to create healthy habitats on said lands as opposed to sitting at your round table and creating even more restrictions on us hunters? Don't forget that we are the ones forking over our hard earned dollars for the privilege to hunt and to also use those funds towards conservation. It's US who keep you all in business, don't piss us	Daniel Figini	Idaho Back	Caldwell	ID
3150	7/10/2017 22:40	Yes	No	Yes		Chad Whittaker		Boise	ID
3151	7/10/2017 23:05	No	No	No	where is the evidence that the use of game trail cameras and radios have threatened the population of big game? And in what	Max anderson	Concerned s	Meridian	Idaho
3152	7/10/2017 23:25	No	No	No	Stop it!!!! Your over thinking your job right now. Your job is to give the people that pay your wages the best opportunity to harvest an animal.	Eric		Livingston	Montana
3153	7/10/2017 23:38	Yes	No	Neutral	I support the restriction on cameras that transmit real time images but don't think regular trail cameras should be restricted as they require you actually have to be in the field to check them and can only check the one you are near at a time.	Steven Lawyer		Council	Idaho
3154	7/10/2017 23:53	Yes	Yes	Yes	The technology is getting out of hand and it needs to be	Robert bourland		Boring	Oregon

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3155	7/11/2017 1:41	Neutral	No	Yes	I dont believe that using a trail camera wireless as a "surveillance camera" while you're hunting is ethical. I myself am in it for the adventure and experience along with hopefully harvesting, so that would make it not as much fun. I understand that this can make it much easier to check your camera, this is alright with me outside of season for scouting purposes, as a guy may not have time to get out to check it physically. But as far as using a wireless camera during season, its a definite no. Banning trail cameras all together would be a great disappointment, besides using them ethically for scouting it is a fun family activity. I have a 5 and 3 year old daughter and they are both involved in my hunting adventures, my wife has also recently started hunting, she is from an area back east where hunting doesnt take place and it is something she really enjoys now and we enjoy together. During the spring and summer, going out and checking our trail cameras is a fun family day for all of us. This year we have been watching a young elk calf grow, they travel along this trail every couple days and my 3 year old daughter looks foward to every weekend to check on her baby elk, which is now named "Bee". Banning trail cameras would take this from us, and I'm sure from many other people as well. Using a trail camera as a wireless live monitoring device to aid in your	Josh Stephenson		Deary	Idaho
3156	7/11/2017 4:13	No	No	No	None of this makes any sense. Let's go backwards in time even more.	Calder		paris	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3157	7/11/2017 4:42	Neutral	No	No	I would support restricting use of cameras mounted on drones. We use electronic communication devices to keep track of each other in the field. Restricting that use "for hunting purposes" gets into a gray area: The question becomes, were we texting or talking for safety and camaraderie or to help each other locate game? I don't want IDFG enforcement officers spending their time trying to prove that case when they could be catching bad guys/slob hunters/poachers/trespassers etc. etc.. Besides, haven't harvest rates remained relatively unchanged since the advent of two-way radios and cell phones? Why is this an issue? Part of hunting is sharing the experience with family and friends. Why try to quash communication if it really isn't affecting harvest rates? And if it isn't affecting harvest rates, it's hard to make a case that utilizing electronic communication is beyond the bounds	Wendy Green		Indian Valley	Id
3158	7/11/2017 5:31	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras used for scouting and radios are a valuable and important part of hunting. Radios are also valuable for safety. Additionally, these rules are very difficult to enforce. Live feeds from trail cams are also difficult to enforce but probably provide too much advantage.	Richard Hymas		Twin Falls	Idaho
3159	7/11/2017 6:06	Yes	Yes	Yes	Very smart, I have killed all the best animals since trail cams came out. You get to see the best of the species and key in on them. It Really is unfair.	Matt Shook		Sedgwick	Kansas
3160	7/11/2017 6:23	No	No	No	Seems like an easy way for them to write a ticket. Oh you sent a picture of your elk to your friend and suddenly that's aided by electronic device. And having to wait two days to hunt after checking your game camera that's ridiculous.	Dustin Edwards		Rathdrum	Idaho
3161	7/11/2017 6:25	No	No	No		Kasey Snell		Idaho Falls	ID
3162	7/11/2017 6:42	No	No	No	i do not support this as a hound hunter	ken gibson		potlatch	Idaho
3163	7/11/2017 6:52	No	No	No	How would you enforce a restriction on communication devices? That is a horrible idea. As far as game cameras, it takes a lot of work to invest time and effort into putting them up. And a lot of time money and effort to hunt. If someone is willing to do the extra work they should have this small advantage. A successful hunt is illusive, and restricting the use of game cameras will just punish those who are willing to put in that effort. It would also add a lot of work for fish and game employees to enforce.	Brian		Idaho Falls	ID
3164	7/11/2017 6:55	No	No	No		Jeremy fleming		Rexburg	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3165	7/11/2017 7:03	No	No	Neutral	I think putting restrictions on trail cameras or not allowing them is a joke. All they do is tell you what's around the area which is no different than a spotting scope or binoculars. I have trail cameras out and I get a picture of a big bull one day and then the next day I get the same bull on another camera miles away. There's no guarantee that you'll even see him. Trail cameras help get you excited and makes you want to keep hunting because you get to see the pictures of what's out there. I know people who would have quit hunting all together because they're not seeing anything but because of the pictures on the trail camera they didn't. And it's great motivation for the youth, it helps get them excited when they see a picture. I think by putting restrictions on them you will lose hunters. There are a lot more things that need look at over trail cameras like drones, put restrictions on people flying them and using them for hunting. Also what about apps on phones that tell you windage and how to make long unethical shots that wound hundred of animals every year. The list goes on and on. You will have 1000s of angry hunter if you put restrictions on	Arlynn Jacobson		Rexburg	Idaho
3166	7/11/2017 7:08	Yes	No	No	Communication aids in not getting lost, and making finding wounded animals easier.	David Sterzer		Nampa	Id
3167	7/11/2017 7:26	No	No	No	I would like to know in what ways you are seeing electronics give unfair advantage. Seeing a picture doesn't mean your going to harvest the animal and remember if you make a law you need to be able to enforce it that sounds hard for this outline.	Dusty Jacobson		Swan valley	Idaho
3168	7/11/2017 7:29	No	No	No	As an avid hunter and using trail cameras yes u see what is in the area or what has made an appearance but the use of a camera does not mean you harvest that animal, you end up with pictures, you may never even see the animal that u have pictures of so I don't see the reason in them bein banned.	Travis heyrend		Rexburg	Idaho
3169	7/11/2017 7:31	Yes	No	Yes		Eric Nave		Spirit Lake	Idaho
3170	7/11/2017 7:38	No	No	No		Dave Call		Nampa	Idaho
3171	7/11/2017 7:40	No	No	No		Alec olsen		Saint Anthony	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3172	7/11/2017 7:45	Yes	Yes	Yes	The technology today and the inspired hunt on film to profit and or promote your ego among friends and social media. This is pushing the boundaries of fair chase hunting. Idaho is known for fair chase and in my opinion these aids are not fair chase. Congrats to Idaho for making the stand on these. How did we ever hunt with out them. Oh we did hunt with out them and the HUNT became the prize not the animal.	Earl Plank	Resident of J Orofino		Id.
3173	7/11/2017 7:52	No	No	No	Communication is critical . I hunt with my kids and if they got lost that would be bad. Trail cams are not critical only a unfair advantage to game.	Zak Brewington		Rigby	Idaho
3174	7/11/2017 8:08	Yes	Yes	No		Vince young		Caldwell	Id
3175	7/11/2017 8:16	No	No	No	I feel this is over reach. What is the purpose behind creating the rule? Is it just a question of ethics or is it thought that it increases too much the amount of animals harvested? I personally don't feel it is unethical to use trail cams and doesn't give you an unfair advantage by knowing if animals are in the area. You still have to be able to kill them and that is always the challenge anyway. Do you guys sit around and make rules up just to make a rule? First you tell me that lighted nocks help me shoot my bow in the dark, which is ludicrous, now you want to prevent me from hunting for days after I check my trail cam. Are you going to make tree stands illegal next? Come on people, this is getting ridiculous! I love Idaho, but these rules you come up with make us the laughing stock of the hunting world!	David Kynoch		St Anthony	Idaho
3176	7/11/2017 8:25	No	No	No		zane baler		menan	idaho
3177	7/11/2017 8:37	No	No	Yes		Steven Osminski		Swartz Creek	MI
3178	7/11/2017 8:45	No	No	No		Jacob Newman		Shelley	ID
3179	7/11/2017 8:57	No	No	No		Joseph D Nielsen		Twin Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3180	7/11/2017 8:59	Yes	No	No	<p>There isn't any evidence that trail cameras or two-way radios have increased the harvest rate of game. This is another example of a small group of individuals trying to influence rules and regulations they don't like. The majority of hunters would tell you they would be opposed of these changes. Let's implement rules based on data and facts. If there is evidence that these electronic devices are impacting the harvest rate of our animals then that's a different story, but let's not go around putting rules in place because some old dude can't figure out how to set up a trail camera. Again we need to be using accurate data and facts to determine when these type of rules should be considered.</p> <p>Also, there will be way to many instances in trying to enforce this type of rule. There's to much subjectivity in interpreting these two rules. There will be some game officers as well as hunters who will abuse it and cause undue confrontation and arguments in the field, leading to possible harm to both parties.</p>	Tim Bryson		Middleton	Idaho
3181	7/11/2017 9:02	No	No	Neutral	<p>Trail camera's, I don't believe inhibit ethical hunting , fair chase for hunting big game in any way. Sure camera's show you pictures, video of what ever your pointing it at , but all its doing is giving you an idea what might be in the area . A person still has to put the time in to harvest that animal he or she sees to go after and even then it's not a sure thing .</p> <p>As far as communication radio's are of concern I am neutral on the subject. Is a great resource on helping to retrieve a animal thats downed or wounded .</p>	Robin Bolles		Florence	MT

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3182	7/11/2017 9:05	Yes	No	No	1. I DO NOT support live/real time use of a transmitting game camera where the hunter can proceed to his camera location immediately upon seeing game there via electronic means DURING hunting season. 2. However, I DO NOT support restricting hunting the area during the day or following day that images are on a non-transmitting camera. I essentially hunt using primitive arms (archery, black powder).I have WASTED too many hours of pre-season scouting, setting up a treestand, etc, only to have the area TRASHED by a 1,000 sheep or cattle overgrazing during archery elk season (Brockman area, Spencer area, Island Park, etc). When you get rid of the livestock that destroy springs, obliterate creek banks, seeps, etc and make the hunters efforts worthwhile so that our scouting time is not wasted by over-grazing herds of bovines and mountain maggots, hunters will have a more level playing field and may not feel they need/want the real time cameras. Game cameras are a fun, exciting way to get revved up for the season and in shape for the hunt. 3. Restricting two-way communications as an aid to hunting is pretty much unenforceable with radios I'd think. With cell phones, will you be checking call logs at check stations to see if the hunter received a text or call within a certain allotted time frame of his kill? How much will that enforcement effort cost? Is that a violation of civil rights that requires a warrant each time? How much will fees be raised YET AGAIN to support something like that? 4. When will rifle/modern sporting arms hunters be required to buy a stamp like archers and muzzleloader hunters are required to do? They have the advantage in firepower, not challenging themselves nearly as much, and their hunts are certainly no less an opportunity than archery or traditional black powder hunts, yet no	Ed	N/A	Idaho Falls	Idaho
3183	7/11/2017 9:05	No	No	No		brad		buhl	Idaho
3184	7/11/2017 9:12	Yes	Neutral	No	I do not support the ban of two way radios due to the fact of they are used by people for safety. People use them to keep track of people in their parties, especially new and unexperienced hunters. These radio have probably saved many lives and hundreds of search and rescue man hours finding lost hunters in the woods.	Andrew hansen		Pocatello	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3185	7/11/2017 9:19	No	No	No	This is a bunch of horse crap i put trail cams out to see if there is any animals coming in and when they do and when they leave yet the past few years I haven't even gotten one because they get chased so much from others going in and chasing them around as a bow hunter I hate this bull crap your trying to change it doesn't help that much!	Tristan		Dingle	Idaho
3186	7/11/2017 9:21	No	No	No		Travis		Jerome	Idaho
3187	7/11/2017 9:26	Yes	Yes	No	End hunting cheating now.	Thomas McMasters		Boise	ID
3188	7/11/2017 9:32	No	No	No		Justin	Hunter	Preston	Id
3189	7/11/2017 9:54	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes as the proposed changes are worded and underlined.	Pete Gardner		Hayden	ID
3190	7/11/2017 10:03	Yes	No	Neutral	I would like to see u restrict trail cameras that transmit images. The other purposed rule changes I don't think aid hunters that much. I think 2 way electronic devices are a necessity for safety especially for young hunters.	Bruce Winder		diy public lair American Falls	Idaho
3191	7/11/2017 10:04	Yes	No	No		Mikel		Twin falls	Idaho
3192	7/11/2017 10:05	No	No	No	I don't see what is wrong with two way communication or trail cams. My husband hunts with his friends sometimes then one will Scout from higher up while the other pursues at times. That is all part of hunting. I don't see how it is in any way unethical. It is strategic and a cooperative effort. Most of the time they drive hours and come home without anything even with these 'advantages'. They pay every year for multiple tags to support conservation efforts and Fish and Game and at times still take no game that year. They spend in gas and the proper equipment to be as ethical as possible, trying not to take questionable shots and following all the rules. They care deeply about and respect the animals in this area they get to hunt in. I was considering buying a wireless trail cam for my husband because of the cost of repeatedly driving hours to check a camera. Also, consider safety. Once my husband was going to hunt an area, but there were 4 grizzly bears on his trail cam footage so he changed areas. Two way radios or phones would be great to have on hand if someone is hurt. Just some thoughts from a hunters wife who hunts occasionally. I personally don't use any of that because 'ain't nobody got time for that', but why not for those who put that	Maegan Dyer		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3193	7/11/2017 10:29	Yes	No	Neutral	I disagree with restricting the use of trail cameras that do not transmit pictures or video. These cameras are used primarily as an aid to scouting, with the increasing costs of fuel, etc. it isn't always practical to do all scouting the "old fashioned" way, hunters need to be more efficient with their time and resources. Visiting a camera on any particular day does not enhance or increase the odds of encountering a big game animal on that day or the following day. If one were to visit the area they could visually see whether animals were present in the area from spoor, the camera doesn't enhance that. I have hunted in Idaho for over 30 years and have been using game cameras for 3 years and personal experience shows my hunting success rate is neither better nor worse due to the use of the game cameras, however, my total hunting experience has been more positive since being able to view the many incredible pictures captured on my game	Scott Algate		Boise	Idaho
3194	7/11/2017 10:33	No	No	No	Cameras that transmitt should only be used pre-season, when season opens must be turned off. cameras that are manual and must be down loaded in person should not be outlawed. they arre a good tool to determine age and sex of animals in the area. Outlaw radios and you will have a bunch of law breakers whowithout them will be lost in the mountains. come on and use your heads for crying ouloud..	Damien Smith		Ririe	Idaho
3195	7/11/2017 10:52	Yes	No	No		Jim Bish		wilder	Idaho
3196	7/11/2017 11:00	No	No	No		Amber		Buhl	Idaho
3197	7/11/2017 11:23	Yes	No	No		cody briggs		montpelier	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3198	7/11/2017 11:30	Neutral	No	No	I think you will need to tightly define such provisions - such as two-way electronic communications "aiding" hunting. If I hunt with my son and we split up, having a radio is more for safety reasons than a hunting aid to remain in contact, or if I harvest something, getting him to come help me... or to designate a spot that we will meet up at, at a certain time. This isn't new communication, but something hunters have used for years with their hunting partners. It's not taking advantage or using it as an aide, as much as it is being "smart" when hunting. F&G has always said don't hunt by yourself - well a radio is a tool to use to make sure you are in contact with other hunters, so as not to hunt "alone." Now if you use the communication device, like a cell phone, that is able to give exact coordinates to "mark" where an animal is, that seems to be taking advantage and not truly "hunting." Much like using a drone to locate game.	Mark Raper	private citize	Boiae	ID
3199	7/11/2017 11:36	Yes	Yes	No		John A Starner		York	PA
3200	7/11/2017 11:41	Yes	No	Neutral		Braden Buttars	PSMFC	Lewiston	Idaho
3201	7/11/2017 11:42	No	No	No		Sam Majors		Portland	Tennessee
3202	7/11/2017 11:42	Yes	No	Yes		Kenneth Ekstrom	none	Aberdeen	Idaho
3203	7/11/2017 11:44	No	No	No		Rob p		McKeesport	Pennsylvar
3204	7/11/2017 12:11	Yes	Yes	Yes	As long as I can send a message from my location with a satellite device to some one asking for help getting a game animal packed out of the mountains or back to camp that was harvested legally. I 'm in my late 50's and hunt by myself 3-3.5 miles from camp and camp is 5 miles from the road. I have a Satellite texting device so I can let my sons know I need help. If this is not gong to be legal then I do not support restricting the use of two way	Rick		Boise	Idaho
3205	7/11/2017 12:27	Yes	Yes	Yes	In addition to hunting in Idaho, I hunt in Montana where these fair chase rules are already law. The proposed rules are not an unfair imposition on anyone.	John Mulligan	Hunter Ed	Ir Eagle	Idaho
3206	7/11/2017 12:32	Yes	No	No	I don't know who is pushing these ideas but they re not good	marvin		American falls	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3207	7/11/2017 12:37	No	No	No	This unnecessary and a very short sided approach to managing idahos big game. There is no concrete reasoning behind your actions as usual and no proven benefits to big game. You want to really help Idaho's game. Quit running it for profits and start running it for quality and true benefits of Idahoans and our wonderful wild game. How about you double the out of state tag price and start limiting the number of out of state tags. I don't know if you guys know this but if you double the price of the out of state tag and get half as many hunters you still make the same amount of money and cut the number of animals killed substantially which will benefit all. I live in American Falls and we have droves of Utah residents in every canyon. They all buy two tags and shoot the first two deer they see. I've seen 10 Utah residents clear 18 deer out of one drainage. And 2 were even mature enough that I would personally shoot. Out of state hunters do not pay state taxes in Idaho and should not be given unlimited access to our game. But when every other state has all draw hunts and bonus points, how can you blame them. Let's start making Idaho hunting better for Idahoans. You want better quality animals. Put in a 6 point, not counting eye guards, minimum on deer and limit out of state hunters. It's a shame to see how bad	Alex tiede		American Falls	Idaho
3208	7/11/2017 12:40	Yes	Yes	No	I can't imagine how you would keep track of your dogs and hunting partners without radios. Not to mention how dangerous it would be to be out in the mountains with out radio and telephone communications. Whoever dreamed up this should be dropped on the top of a mountain and made to find their way out without communication.	Kenneth Breeden		Sandpoint	Idaho
3209	7/11/2017 12:44	Neutral	Neutral	No		Roger L Kline		Grand Rapids	Michigan 4
3210	7/11/2017 12:44	No	No	No	If you feel like us as hunters are not to use electronic devices then you shouldn't either in the work that you do To try and gain advantage over the hunters that pay your wages.	Layne		Rexburg	Idaho
3211	7/11/2017 12:44	Yes	No	No	I am not phased by the restriction of game cams that send pictures wirelessly. However, trying to restrict cell phone use via voice or text is going over the line. You will spend more time in court dealing with first amendment issues and many other legal issues. You have to accept that technology has changed forever.	Ron Sukenik	Hunter	Bonnars ferry	Id
3212	7/11/2017 12:58	No	No	No		Tim	Hunter	Peck	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3213	7/11/2017 13:21	Yes	Yes	Yes		randy hodges		stevensville	MT
3214	7/11/2017 13:26	Neutral	No	No	<p>When it comes to trail cameras it is my thought that a camera that does not transmit wirelessly should be ok. The person or persons are putting the time in, by that I mean they have to hike, drive or ride to the location of the camera. Who cares if they get in there and there is a picture of the animal they are after. It could be long gone or it could be really close. Odds are they would be hunting in that area any how. Now with ones that do transmit the pictures that is a different story. In certain parts of Idaho you would not even get service to even transmit, in other areas you can. I suppose some people would take advantage of that. I think during hunting season the new proposed rule as for transmitting, as written are ok. People do get the option to buy cameras that do not transmit and should think about that. Finding a picture of an animal is not any different then following their track in my opinion.</p> <p>As for the 2 way transmission, as I read it: should not be used in aiding the take of that animal. It could potentially get a person busted if they are on a mountain top bear hunting and see a bull and call their buddy and tell them where they saw it. Or if I get into an animal and cant make a shot, then I call my friend to come with me the next day and he gets it, we did not wait for the proper time frame and could be potentially violating the law. This would be taking away a persons right to call a friend and talk about how things are going and what the hunts have been like. This may not be your interpretation of the law but it could be for the next f and g officer or a court. This is where the law of unintended consequences comes in. As for people using 2 way radios, let them, chances are the noise will be enough to scare an</p>	Darin Jones		St. Maries	Idaho
3215	7/11/2017 13:44	Yes	No	No		Jessica Yochum		Lewiston	Idaho
3216	7/11/2017 14:23	Yes	No	No		Matt Kelso		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3217	7/11/2017 14:44	Neutral	No	No	I use my trail camera as a scouting tool, I usually only get to hunt on the weekends. So I check them when I get up to camp to see what area has had more game movement. But this new rule would make it so that most of the weekend would be spent not hunting. My cameras have not ever led to me getting a specific animal, as they just don't pattern well enough. It just lets me know if there has been anything moving around or if I should try a different	Danny Hodge		Pocatello	ID
3218	7/11/2017 14:44	Yes	No	No		Chanse		rigby	idaho
3219	7/11/2017 14:55	Yes	No	No	having 2 way communication for safety purposes is just a smart thing to do. Restricting it for hunting aid but allowing it for safety purposes would make it impossible to enforce. I enjoy using trail cameras before and after the hunt as a way to extend my scouting season. the way the proposal is written, if I had a camera up and retrieved it the day I got there to hunt I would be violating the law if I hunted and harvested an animal that day or the next. This law would be difficult to enforce, an all out ban, during the season, on cameras that transmit wirelessly is the only thing I would support	Dan Jordan	Lifetime licer	Lehi	Utah
3220	7/11/2017 14:56	Yes	Yes	Yes	I do not consider hunting with any electronic aid as "fair chase" .	Michael Herschbach		Highland	IL
3221	7/11/2017 15:07	No	No	No	How antiquated are all of you? This is one of the most ridiculous things I have ever heard.	C.W. Hurless		Eagle	Idaho
3222	7/11/2017 15:12	Neutral	No	No	I hunt with my Husband, and my young children will start hunting next year, and we use Radios to keep in touch and its safer for the kids. what is to stop us from getting a ticket for having Radios if you pass this law?? for Woman and Children out there Hunting and for anyone hunting in a new area, and for just General Safety I think Radios are a important way to keep in touch incase something happens. if this law does pass I Hope you put a clause in it that you can have Radios on you if hunting with children	Amber Weber		Potlatch	ID
3223	7/11/2017 15:19	Yes	Yes	Yes	The prohibition of "taking" for only 2 days after is wholly inadequate. I support a time lapse of at least a week. A 2-day prohibition is a joke.	Pamela W		Boise	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3224	7/11/2017 15:21	Yes	No	Neutral	While I do not support the use of these aids in hunting, they do have their place to be used in other applications. One of my greatest concerns is the enforcement of such rules. Once again, the honest guy will be punished and the cheater will get the benefit. Please don't take away my regular trail camera use. It is one of the most enjoyable parts of my outdoor experience. If I have to go retrieve the pictures personally, I will have already spoiled everything away before I get there. That is much different than a live feed camera that you know what is there and go to that site when something is present.	Harold Rose		Ririe	Idaho
3225	7/11/2017 15:22	Yes	Yes	Yes	It just ain't fair!!!!!!!!!!!! or right.....	marv		arimo	Idaho
3226	7/11/2017 15:23	Yes	Yes	Yes	It just ain't fair!!!!!!!!!!!! or right.....	marv		arimo	Idaho
3227	7/11/2017 15:24	Yes	No	No	What does "aid to hunting" mean? I hunt with young kids and old guys with pacemakers. Having the means of communicating with them in the field, keeping track of their locations, and to contact emergency services is essential. Also, fish and game should encourage hunters to carry their cell phones afield so they can report real violations when witnessed. I also believe that banning the taking of still photos with game cams and use of communications devices in the field is likely a violation of the First Amendment. This proposal is far too broad and very unwise as written. The public will not support it and the legislature will	Justin		Bonnars Ferry	ID
3228	7/11/2017 15:41	No	No	No	Outlawing two way communication devices will make hunting less safe. If you really want to save a few animals then outlaw extreme long distance hunting by limiting the power and capability of optics!!! Why are you more worried about guys talking on the radio to help stalk game then guys shootin at them from a mile away? It makes no sense	John		Post falls	Idaho
3229	7/11/2017 15:54	Yes	Yes	Yes	Cameras are not fair chase. Sitting in one location and seeing and animal in another and then moving there the next day is fair chase. Hunting a location because a camera took a picture of an animal while you were at work or sleeping is not fair chase.	Curtis Kline		Aberdeen	SD
3230	7/11/2017 16:01	No	No	No		Deven Welker		BLACKFOOT	Idaho
3231	7/11/2017 16:01	Neutral	No	No		Casey Killian		Rigby	ID
3232	7/11/2017 16:01	Yes	No	No		Casey		Blanchard	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3233	7/11/2017 16:02	No	No	No	WHY don't you utilize your time more effectively and go count antelope, sheep and deer in the Owyhees?? You cant tell me where they come from or go to. You cant tell me how many there are. You base seasons off of harvests. WHY are you wasting time on enforcement opportunities? This is getting ridiculous. Why have I never received an input question about herd counting/biological studies??	Jeff Morris		boise	idaho
3234	7/11/2017 16:02	No	No	No	As for game cameras and cell phones and radios. You can't take a person's safety net away from them. If a person falls and breaks their leg, they need to use that radio or cell to tell someone. Same if they get a big deer or elk on the ground, I use my radio all the time to call back to hunting partners that I got one or vice versa. How else are we gonna get a hold of someone to tell em we need help packing? As for game cameras, the ones that transmit still don't work well because the hunter has to get to said location in time before the animal leaves. That's not gonna happen. Its kinda like no expendable broadheads and no illuminocks or cameras on bows. You guys are tightening a rope around our necks that is already too tight.	Bryce Jackson		Idaho City	Idaho
3235	7/11/2017 16:02	No	No	No		Tyler Sines		St maries	Idaho
3236	7/11/2017 16:04	Yes	Yes	Yes		Brad Varland	None	Boise	ID
3237	7/11/2017 16:04	No	No	No		Anthony Collins		Meridian	Idaho
3238	7/11/2017 16:05	Yes	No	Yes		Ryan Towle		Boise	ID
3239	7/11/2017 16:05	Yes	No	No	It does not seem like a wise idea to allow game cameras that wirelessly transmit photos. This allows someone to be sitting in their living room and if the game camera shows a beautiful 5x5 buck walk by their camera, then all they have to do is go to that same spot and shoot it. This is completely unfair to the animal, and everyone else who doesn't have one. Game cameras are a helpful scouting tool, as they allow you to watch what has been through the area in a given time, but to use and see the photos, you have to put in the effort to hike into that area and retrieve them. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this rule	David Swoboda		Post Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3240	7/11/2017 16:05	No	No	No	The aide of cameras help hunters be more selective in their harvest and better identify mature game. Conversely, In my opinion there are not enough cameras used to impact the quantity of game, what method is used to determine or document an increased harvest rate due to the impact of camera or wireless technology? Lastly, the ability to communicate to family and friends while hunting is paramount. Many of the remote areas of Idaho have poor cell service and radios and or GPS texting is the only way to communicate with ones you need to contact.	Ronald Charles Edwards		Worley	Idaho
3241	7/11/2017 16:06	No	No	No	once you start restricting something it continues on to reach	Brian Rainey		Rathdrum	IDAHO
3242	7/11/2017 16:06	Yes	No	No	I believe that trail cameras can be very good resources for hunters. I do think however, that the live-feed trail cameras can afford an unfair advantage. I don't think its fair to be able to know exactly when an animal walks right by the camera (i.e. wireless trail cam), but I do think it is more than fine to be able to physically check trail cameras in order to see what animals are in the area in general. I also don't support restricting the use of two-way communications. I believe it would be too dangerous and way to hard to enforce. It would cause law-abiding hunters to leave their phones or radios in camp, which could possibly cause them	Thurman Pratt		Rexburg	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3243	7/11/2017 16:06	Neutral	No	Yes	<p>I support the concept but see potential problems with the current wording for both cameras and two-way communications. In item h, to prohibit communication "in any manner to communicate the location or approximate location of any big game animal" would seem to make it illegal to tell your hunting partner via any communication device something as simple as "I saw a big buck yesterday by the big rock on Clear Creek." It needs to have some time limitation similar to the camera verbiage, along with maybe some clarification on what seems likely to be the intent -- to prohibit using tech to direct a hunter to an animal in real time.</p> <p>The prohibition of live streaming images seems to have some measure of merit since live transmission would reduce the effort required to see what is happening and plan a hunt. Checking a camera in the field seems less likely to give a hunter any significant or unethical advantage, at least in the areas I am familiar with. I would be interested to hear more about the reasoning on this proposed rule.</p> <p>Additional comment on new form version: I would want more clarification of the type of restrictions intended before I could support restriction on any cameras.</p>	Paul Spurling		Caldwell	Idaho
3244	7/11/2017 16:07	Yes	Yes	Yes	I have personally seen the use of cell phones between late season archery hunters to communicate the location of big bucks being driven into their locations. I believe it is highly unethical and unsportsmanlike and should be banned as an aid to hunting.	Mark Steele		Soda Springs	Idaho
3245	7/11/2017 16:07	No	No	No		Nathan Whitaker	Resident	Boise	45
3246	7/11/2017 16:07	No	No	No	This appears to be a solution looking for a problem	Mikel McIntosh	Idaho business	Arco	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3247	7/11/2017 16:08	Yes	No	No	I always carry my cell phone into the woods, regardless of cell range, for GPS, camera, etc.. While in cell range, its very nice to be able to call your buddies to come help pack out an elk before it spoils on a hot September day. I also have used my cell phone to text and communicate with my son when separated to check in. We are generally not in serviceable areas, but when hunting close to home we have service. Radios and cell phones may give hunters an advantage if they are spotting or directing partners to the games location, but their value for emergencies and packing assistance outweigh that advantage significantly. Also, we need lighted knocks! Would greatly help with recovery and confirmation of shot placement. At the end to the day, a lighted knock ONLY gives you an advantage after you have already wounded or missed the animal. I can see no legitimate reason why these are banned.	Cory Wolfley		Bellevue	ID
3248	7/11/2017 16:09	Yes	No	No	For trail cameras. I support restricting the streaming or live viewing, but not for the kind that just take pictures until they are	Brad		Rathdrum	ID
3249	7/11/2017 16:10	Yes	Yes	Yes	I am concerned with the ability to enforce such rules as it seems many of the current rules are not enforced.	Ben A Tschida		Hayden	Idaho
3250	7/11/2017 16:11	Yes	No	Neutral	During season, and even days before opening season, transmitting trail cameras should NOT be allowed.	Ryan Johnsen		Spirit lake	Idaho
					The use of two way communication devices I am neutral on. We use them as an aid and safety tool. We do not use them constantly throughout each day tracking animals. We use it to check in on others in the party at times of the day and to also call for help should it be needed. I feel like these are allowable uses.				

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3251	7/11/2017 16:11	Yes	No	No	This has been a very highly discussed issue on many social media outlets and local hunting communities. Almost nobody has an issue with restricting cameras capable of wirelessly sending data as very few hunting areas has service for such devices and therefore very few idaho sportsmen utilize them. Almost all have issue with restricting use of cameras that dont transmit because we have first hand experience that these cameras do almost nothing to impact the results of a hunt and only ad another element of fun and enjoyment to the experience. Many sportsmen who see no animals during season can at least feel an accomplishment of getting pictures of them with trail cameras.	scott schmid	resident and	Nampa	Idaho
3252	7/11/2017 16:12	No	No	No	You folks are a SOLUTION looking for a PROBLEM. The use of trail cameras particularly during bear baiting saves me time and fuel costs and also lowers greenhouse emissions since I don't have to drive over 100 miles round trip to check my bait. I can see the activity and know when a bait has been hit and when I need to replenish the bait. It did NOT aid me in harvesting a bear this spring. It did save me a bunch of miles driving. I'm assuming you are doing this because of Montana's new law. What were the results of their ban? Did it actually impact harvest numbers? I HIGHLY doubt it. If not then WHY are you proposing this. Have the fun I get out in the woods is checking out the animals on my trail cameras. One of the things I LOVE about hunting Idaho is you folks are not anything like the Liberal morons in Washington	Frederick Moyer	Hunter	Cheney	Washington
3253	7/11/2017 16:12	No	No	No	Leave things alone.	jerry wageman		American falls	Idaho
3254	7/11/2017 16:13	Yes	No	Yes		Joseph Roach Jr		Idaho Falls	ID
3255	7/11/2017 16:14	Yes	No	Yes		Barry tresch		Boise	Idaho
3256	7/11/2017 16:15	Yes	No	No	This is compromise I can support	Ben Moldenhauer		Shelley	Idaho
3257	7/11/2017 16:15	Yes	No	No		Shane Koski	Hunter	Caldwell	Idaho
3258	7/11/2017 16:15	Yes	No	Neutral		Tim		Desmet	Idaho
3259	7/11/2017 16:16	No	No	No		Tom Inwards		kuna	id
3260	7/11/2017 16:18	No	No	No	If your going to take transmitting electronic devices out of the field, this should go for ALL transmitting devices. Like transmitting collars. Why should dogs be allowed to transmit, but humans can't save a little fuel? I understand that there are people who will use and abuse the system, but they will still get them, so	Kim Johnson		South Fork / Rigby	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3261	7/11/2017 16:18	Neutral	No	Neutral	I still believe that instead of restricting the use of newly developed technologies, IDFG should use the technologies to their advantage and evaluate how they can generate revenue from such advancements. I do believe in boundaries and restrictions within reason, but to create petty restriction out of fear rather than full understanding is unfounded. If there were some minor restrictions that would still keep the hunting public accountable yet allow responsible use of certain devices, I can understand at that point having a guideline. At the same time, IDFG needs to consider the workload, training, and full understanding that the conservation officers MUST have with this regard is paramount.	Dustin Bomley		Lewiston	Idaho
3262	7/11/2017 16:18	No	No	Neutral		Shelli Clark		Menan	Idaho
3263	7/11/2017 16:18	Yes	No	No	I think the use of non wireless trail camera should not be restricted. They are a vital tool in today's hunting world. It helps scout, it lets the hunter know if there is game in the vicinity. The use of wireless cameras should be restricted. You receive a text showing you a big trophy so you go out there and shoot it. At least with non wireless cameras you still have to go out and spend the time checking cameras. Having a camera alert you a deer is on the trail at that specific time is taking the skill out of it as well. Communication between hunters is key, many hunters hunt in groups and it is safer to use communication to relay the location	Rebecca Rippee		Rathdrum	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3264	7/11/2017 16:18	Yes	Neutral	No	As long as video/picture isn't being used as a real time aid to take an animal I have no problem with the use of cameras during the time leading up to opening day for scouting purposes. Once opening day has started camera use should be restricted. Fair chase comes to mind here. If you have 50 cameras set up over an area all connected 3G/4G and you get notified of an animal you can track that animal from your kitchen and walk out into the woods with your cell phone and pretty much just shoot it. that to me is not hunting, that's not in the spirit of a fair chase. Just might as well have tied it to a tree and shot it, baited it, shined it, performed a deer drive or a party hunt. two way communications I am ok with mainly for safety concerns. restricting communications could lead to accidental shooting of accidents. example I am watching my wife on an opposing ridge/hill getting ready to take her animal but from my vantage can see another person coming into her area. I can tell her to hold her shot so not to injure the other hunter. I feel that if the radios are restricted the law would restrict having the devices on your person during a hunt. How could I or anyone else call for help if I	David Hall		Post Falls	ID
3265	7/11/2017 16:20	No	No	No		Justin Pratt		Pocatello	Idaho
3266	7/11/2017 16:20	Yes	No	No		Robert rippee		Rathdrum	ID
3267	7/11/2017 16:21	No	No	No		JORDIN	ROSS COIN	IDAHO FALLS	IDAHO
3268	7/11/2017 16:21	No	No	No		Jordan		Idaho falls	Idaho
3269	7/11/2017 16:22	Yes	No	No		Jeremiah Bell		Boise	Idaho
3270	7/11/2017 16:23	No	No	No		Vanessa Hagan		Swan Valley	Idaho
3271	7/11/2017 16:23	Yes	No	No		Matt Schulz		Lewiston	Idaho
3272	7/11/2017 16:24	Yes	No	Neutral		Derek Jay Pouchnik		Viola	Idaho
3273	7/11/2017 16:24	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rich	Hunter	Rigby	Idaho
3274	7/11/2017 16:24	No	No	No		Brad Thacker		Middleton	Idaho
3275	7/11/2017 16:25	No	No	No		Cassidy G		Preston	Idaho
3276	7/11/2017 16:27	Yes	No	No		wendell e nash		meridian	idaho
3277	7/11/2017 16:29	No	No	No		JORDAN PAYNE		IDAHO FALLS	IDAHO
3278	7/11/2017 16:29	Yes	No	No		Brian Bramwell		Twin Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3279	7/11/2017 16:30	Neutral	No	No	It would be grossly negligent to restrict the use of radios and cell phones during hunting or other phases of scouting. We now have the means to contact, trace and possibly locate an accident victim, why would we risk further injury or possible death to an individual when we may have a means to help them? Your officers use radios in case of emergency, but the public can't? Trail cams may also capture other information other than of big game. Wolf behavior, possible wolverine sightings, poaching, etc.	Larry Hill	sportsman	Boise	Idaho
3280	7/11/2017 16:30	No	No	No	Restrictions on two way communication is a safety issue for me. Hunters must be able to communicate with each other while hunting. To distinguish rather they are using for communication or to aid hunting is impossible.	Erik Schirmer	Hunter	Rathdrum	Idaho
3281	7/11/2017 16:32	No	No	No	You seem to be looking for a solution to a problem that does not exist.	Steven Pope		Hansen	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3282	7/11/2017 16:36	No	No	No	I do not view the use of trail cameras to scout for and locate big game animals as an unfair advantage even though I don't use them. I think they are similar in nature to using long range optics which magnify our capability to locate game. Yes, trail cameras are unmanned. It is still a challenge to determine where to locate trail cameras and is all part of the challenge of the hunt and scouting. A trail camera is very limited in its range and can only cover a small area (unlike a drone for example). As far as electronic transmission of the data is concerned, that only extends your reach similar to a spotting scope reducing the amount you have to walk. We need to try to keep the rules for hunting as simple as possible and avoid the temptation to over regulate. As technology advances, our sport improves, the enjoyment and accessibility to more sportsman increases. Whats the real goal of hunting? It is a sport, yes, but the primary responsibility is to conserve the resource. Instead of creating complicated rules on method of take, why not adjust the harvest if (and only if) new technology really does increase success rates to the point where too many animals are being harvested? I say that with one caveat: I am primarily a bow hunter because I love WHEN it allows me to hunt. I can hunt elk during the rut and mule deer during the migration. So I am more than willing to accept restrictions on method of take (such as using a bow) if it allows me better access to hunting. In other words, I wouldn't want the harvest to be restricted so much because of new technology that everything becomes a draw and reduces access to hunting. If it came to that extreme, I would gladly accept the restrictions to have easier access to hunting. The real question is not so much a question of fair chase, but more a question of how much will it	Timothy Dean		Boise	Idaho
3283	7/11/2017 16:37	Yes	No	No		Corey Peay		Eagle	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3284	7/11/2017 16:39	No	No	No	Trail cameras: I am a private property owner. I use trail cameras to monitor my livestock, protect my property against trespassers, as well as monitor the wildlife. I feel restricting the use of trail cameras would infringe on my rights as a private property owner. Communication: I hunt alone a lot, I carry a radio when I am on my property, and a cell phone when I am in the forest. A radio or phone is a good piece of equipment in case of emergency. As an example I will use is former governor Cecil D. Andrus. He had to use a radio to call a medical evacuation helicopter to take a injured hunting partner after both of them were kicked by a mule while elk hunting. So, how would IDFG enforce the law if a person wanted to have a cell phone for emergency communication (injury or assist in being found if the hunter became lost?) Would you simply say it is illegal to have a communication device in your possession? Would you search people at the trail heads? Would you monitor radio and cell phone conversations? In addition, cell phones have gps and mapping applications that aid hunters while hunting. As well as	Clinton McCutcheon		Kendrick	Idaho
3285	7/11/2017 16:40	No	No	No	I'm not sure why any one would restrict the use of trail cams. Once you get rid of one they will all be banned. As far as restricting two way communication, Why? Everyone that has a cell phone or a radio will be suspected of breaking this rule. Not only are there is a huge enforcement issue, there is a safety issue as well. My cell phone doesn't get reception where I hunt so I use a radio to communicate to hunting companions where and when to meet up or ask for help packing game out. Please do not can	Christopher Collins		Boise	ID
3286	7/11/2017 16:42	No	No	No	This is not a good idea. I use radios for safety purposes. Trail cameras help the hunter harvest mature animals. I don't think it's fish and games job to tell me when I can use my cell phone. And lastly, all of the proposed rule changes would be an enforcement nightmare. I think the commissioner that proposed the changes has there own agenda.	Randy Scott	Hound hunter	Homedale	Idaho
3287	7/11/2017 16:45	No	No	No	Just stop already. Why not focus on things like legalizing lighted nocks or being able to use sabots in muzzle loaders.	Jakob		Middleton	Id
3288	7/11/2017 16:45	Yes	Yes	Yes		Kevin Hocevar	sportsman	Meridian	Idaho
3289	7/11/2017 16:46	No	No	No	If your going to ban technology start by banning all ATV UTV Motorcycles ect. during hunting seasons !!!	fred battley		challis	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3290	7/11/2017 16:46	No	No	No		eldon vieira		Idaho falls	ID
3291	7/11/2017 16:47	Yes	No	Neutral	It would be hard to regulate whether someone was using a 2 way radio to locate game or just use it for communication.	Nate Hohnstein		Boise	ID
3292	7/11/2017 16:47	Yes	No	Yes		Shayne Hill		Fernwood	Idaho
3293	7/11/2017 16:50	Yes	No	Yes		Sam Heald		Salmon	Idaho
3294	7/11/2017 16:53	No	No	No		Kris Christensen		Baker City	OR
3295	7/11/2017 16:55	No	No	No		Casey Gepford		Star	ID
3296	7/11/2017 16:56	No	Yes	Yes	I hate trail cameras. They are everywhere. It is obnoxious. I feel we would increase larger animals because the chase would be more difficult and these animals could outrun us if we didnt have a camera or 10 watching their moves.	Nate burt		Lewisville	Idaho
3297	7/11/2017 16:58	No	No	No		Montie carter		Iona	ID
3298	7/11/2017 16:58	No	No	Neutral	It is already illegal to use electronic communications to aid in hunting, these proposals are virtually unenforceable	cliff brown		Rexburg	ID
3299	7/11/2017 17:00	No	No	No		Mitch	Sportsman	Coos bay	Oregon
3300	7/11/2017 17:01	Yes	No	Yes	I believe that wireless transmitting cameras should be restricted entirely. I also believe that non-transmitting cameras should be allowed. As I stated the first time, some folks may not have the opportunity to spend days or weeks out in the field. Non-transmitting cameras give some people the chance to have "eyes" on potential areas. As long as they have boots on the ground, I find no issue with non-transmitting game cameras.	Ethan Todd		Buhl	Idaho
3301	7/11/2017 17:02	Yes	Yes	Yes	With two-way radios it helps Hunters keep in touch with each other so they know where each other is in case one of them gets hurt this only makes sense as a safety issue taking two way radios away in the field is only going to somebody losing their life or not	Ken		Dorr	Michigan
3302	7/11/2017 17:04	No	No	No	Trail cameras whether wireless or not do not guarantee anything. The person still needs to do the work, find the location, set the camera, check the camera, and hunt. This shouldnt even been a	Brian Bitter		Hereford	Arizona
3303	7/11/2017 17:04	Yes	No	Neutral		Djon R Wilson	Former Idah	Winnemucca	Nevada
3304	7/11/2017 17:06	No	No	No		Galen Barnett		Worley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmittin g pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3305	7/11/2017 17:06	No	No	Neutral	<p>Thank you for sending me the revised questions. My comments remain about the same.</p> <p>The use of wireless cameras by the use of cell technology does not work the best and in Backcountry is useless. If a camera used satellite technology to view the pictures that is something that I would be against.</p> <p>Even if you where sitting there looking at you're phone and a game animal showed up it is unlikely that the animal will be there if you went to the cameras location.</p> <p>The time and money it takes to find a good location, check it, move it, check it again even if is wireless shows a lot of commitment to a fantastic tradition. I think anyone who spends that time, money and energy especially on the non wireless cameras and gets a slight advantage deserves it.</p> <p>More over if the cameras or use of two way radios used for taking game where made against the law, enforcement would be about non-existent. It is hard enough to catch idiot poachers. Trying to prove illegal use from the mentioned items would be fruitless. A ethical campaign explaining why certain items especially new technology should not be used would be just as effective if not more so.</p> <p>It is against the law in Oregon to use radios for guiding people to game. I am sure people do this but I have never heard of anyone getting fined over it.</p> <p>This would be more regulations that are not needed for a "sport" already heavily burdened with regulations.</p>	Steve m Langdon		Boise	ID
3306	7/11/2017 17:07	No	No	No	Thank you	Eric Riley		Rathdrum	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3307	7/11/2017 17:07	Yes	Yes	Yes	I personally have never used a trail cam and have no desire to buy one. I feel that using these types of devices undermines the true spirit of fair chase hunting. I taught my daughter, and now my grandchildren, the discipline to get up early, scout, glass and THINK. I do not use radios. It disgusts me how many people I see using them though. It's not uncommon to see hunters on 4 wheelers, rifles strapped on and headsets over their camo hats. I'm sorry, I'm not a fan of this.	Ted Marston		Homedale	Idaho
3308	7/11/2017 17:08	No	No	No		Jill Riley		Rathdrum	Idaho
3309	7/11/2017 17:09	No	No	No		Ethan Riley		Rathdrum	Idaho
3310	7/11/2017 17:09	No	No	No		Noland Davis		Rathdrum	Idaho
3311	7/11/2017 17:10	No	No	No		Taylor Riley		Rathdrum	Idaho
3312	7/11/2017 17:10	No	No	No		Keith Klingler	Idaho falls	Idaho	920 e. 145
3313	7/11/2017 17:11	No	No	No		Christian Riley		Rathdrum	Idaho
3314	7/11/2017 17:12	No	No	No		Landon Davis		Rathdrum	Idaho
3315	7/11/2017 17:13	Yes	No	No		Ken		Idaho falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3316	7/11/2017 17:13	Yes	Neutral	Yes	<p>"Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?" - This rule is vague and most likely not enforceable.</p> <p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Your camera takes a picture of a buck on day one. Day two, you shoot that same buck in a different drainage. Did the camera aid in taking the animal? Open to interpretation. - What if you kill a buck day one. Retrieve your camera day two and it has that buck on camera. You did not know you recorded an image of the buck you killed until you retrieve your camera. - Officer drives by a camp and happens to spot a game camera on the back of a truck and see's horns and meat hanging. What happens next? Does the officer have the right to pull the card and examine the photos? Is the meat seized if the camera owner is unwilling to let the officer see the pictures? <p>I can come up with a thousand scenarios that exemplify how hard this will be to enforce. I am 100% for efforts to keep hunting fair chase. However, I feel you have created unenforceable rules. Ban game cameras from the field for all hunting, period, during the season or allow them. Any middle ground is so open to interpretation by hunters and officers that you are setting up bad confrontations.</p> <p>Finally, thank you for looking out for fair chase. It's very important to my family that hunting stays about the skill and art</p>	Adam Hairston		Garden City	ID
3317	7/11/2017 17:16	No	No	No		Dennis m Johnson	Idaho state	spirit lake	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3318	7/11/2017 17:17	Yes	Yes	No	My family had hunted since I was 12 years old I am currently 19. I honestly have never used a trail camera to aid my self in hunting and could care less about that rule. However, I have used walkie talkies or radios to communicate not where animals were but our plan of action. Also if someone has ever killed something we have radioed back to camp for help. If I hunt by myself I carry a radio and honestly will continue to do so. If I break my leg on the side of the mountain I would like to radio back to let somebody know. Radios are essential to hunting and should be allowed to be used.	Erika		Shelley	ID
3319	7/11/2017 17:18	Yes	No	No	I only support restricting wireless trail cameras connected to internet, all other trail cameras are fine, along with checking and hunting the same day.	stan hager		idaho falls	idaho
3320	7/11/2017 17:18	Yes	No	Neutral		Mike Poe		Meridian	Idaho
3321	7/11/2017 17:21	Yes	No	Yes		Lehi Hartwell	Hunter	Mountain Home	Idaho
3322	7/11/2017 17:24	No	No	No		Carl foster		Garrison	Mt
3323	7/11/2017 17:24	No	No	No		Carl foster		Garrison	Mt
3324	7/11/2017 17:25	No	No	No		Jordan		Twin Falls	I'd
3325	7/11/2017 17:26	Yes	No	No	This change should bring another 30 days to the comment period.	Tad Sherman		Eagle	Idaho
3326	7/11/2017 17:28	Yes	No	Yes		mark		moscow	ID
3327	7/11/2017 17:30	No	No	No		Jason		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3328	7/11/2017 17:37	No	No	No	This seems to be more of an ethical dilemma... rather than something that needs restricted in its current form. Although trail cameras and technology are quickly evolving ... in its current, most used form, still doesn't necessarily take away fair chase... although debatable on an ethics level. My son enjoys swapping SD cards on our trail cam on the same day we hunt... based on my understanding of the restriction, this could be a violation based on timing of viewing. There is no doubt technology could aid in a hunt, no different than someone who has the ability to take two months vacation to scout will aid in that persons hunt. So is it fair that someone has the trail cam or that someone has more time off or that someone has a long range equipped rifle... or can afford a guide that has done all the scouting for you. This all seems ethical rather than a need for restriction unless it truly becomes an issue. The more debatable point is live streaming this is an ethics issue but could substantially increase success in certain situations as technology evolves. Still tough to regulate. Let's concentrate efforts on current enforcement issues. The IDFG doesn't have enough enforcement officers out and about the way it is.... new regs will just clog an already tough field to enforce, especially on such a gray area. Heck in two weeks we had two parties try to steal our camera caught on camera... luckily we invested in a lock. Maybe there should be a rule for that :)... One last comment... if something is enforced... now try defining what a trail camera truly is? Can you video a hunt in progress with a camera on a stationary tripod? Is snapping videos/pictures with a smart phone the same day as the hunt technically illegal? In this new tech age... I understand there are issues... but the "innocent" users will be the ones following the rules anyway... not the ones	Jeremy Nessel		Lewiston	ID
3329	7/11/2017 17:40	No	No	No		Tristan		Rexburg	Idaho
3330	7/11/2017 17:42	Yes	Yes	Yes	I support restricting trail cameras during hunting season. Streaming cameras illegal all year amd two way radios illegal in assisting hunting but not for safety communication.	Damion Wheeler		Idaho falls	Idaho
3331	7/11/2017 17:43	No	No	No		Tiffany Gittins		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3332	7/11/2017 17:44	No	No	No		Gary Moore		Middleton	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3333	7/11/2017 17:45	No	No	No	Neither of these technologies, which I have used (trail cameras) and continue to use, have increased my success rate in harvesting big game. The trail cameras allow me to "see" what is in the area that I plan on hunting. For example, I primarily try to harvest older big game animals. The camera helps me make decisions	Scott Harris		Nampa	Idaho
3334	7/11/2017 17:45	Yes	No	No		Tyler Williams		Chubbuck	Idaho
3335	7/11/2017 17:50	No	No	Yes	you are making a un enforceable law in my opion	troy lenhart		chubbuck	idaho
3336	7/11/2017 17:53	Yes	No	Yes	I support the alternate wording for trail cameras transmitting wirelessly but not restricting all cameras.	Ronnie Sturgill		Mountain Home	ID
3337	7/11/2017 17:55	Neutral	Neutral	No	Restricting communication devices would be very hard. Some restrictions may work but rules are for honest people. The proposed rule restricting 2 way communication is dangerous and likely unenforceable. Radio/cell communication is essential for safety/rescue. And most hunting maps are now electronic applications on smart phones. Possession of these items should not indicate criminal activity or criminal intent. And that's what this rule would approach, unless there is a first-person law enforcement observation these devices were being used to the detriment of game and hunting sportsmanship.	Harry Taggart	private	Mountain Home	Idaho
3338	7/11/2017 17:55	No	No	No		Curtis Dabbs		Nampa	Idaho
3339	7/11/2017 17:56	Yes	No	No		Richard Cashman		Boise	Idaho
3340	7/11/2017 17:58	No	No	No	For me personally I need the use of a radio as I am a hound hunter and without the use of radios my partners and I would most defiantly lose dogs to wolves. I also feel that as far as a safety aspect we the hunters need a way to communicate with one another in case something happens to use will we are out in the woods hunting for myself I never go anywhere without my handheld radio because I have a knee that pops out of place and not to mention that I am hunting bears as we all know could attack me at any time. I would hate to think that just because I am hunting that I could not use it for my safety. I understand that some people abuse them but such is the case with everything and by making it a law for people to not use them for safety and the protection of my property (hound dogs) from the wolves that	Scott Lynas	Tri County T	Viola	Idaho
3341	7/11/2017 18:00	No	No	No		Justin gittins		Idaho falls	Idaho
3342	7/11/2017 18:06	Yes	Yes	Yes		Brandon Fowler		Chubbuck	IDAHO

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3343	7/11/2017 18:09	Yes	No	Yes	Ban transmitting cameras .	Jason		Brigham city	UT
3344	7/11/2017 18:12	Yes	No	No	I support restricting cellular or satellite enabled device's. Bluetooth capable device's have a 30-40' range and still require you to be "on site".	James Sheets		Boise	Idaho
3345	7/11/2017 18:12	Yes	No	Yes		William mcnelly		Idaho falls	Idaho
3346	7/11/2017 18:15	No	No	No		Greg		Lewiston	Idaho
3347	7/11/2017 18:18	Yes	No	No		Angel Gonzalez		twin falls	ID
3348	7/11/2017 18:19	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ban them all	Alec		Pocatello	Idaho
3349	7/11/2017 18:23	No	No	No		dave busselle		Caldwell	Idaho
3350	7/11/2017 18:25	Yes	No	Yes		david busta		kooskia	id
3351	7/11/2017 18:25	Yes	No	No		Melvin Thornton		Oakland	Oregon
3352	7/11/2017 18:29	Yes	No	No		Keith smith		Meridian	Idaho
3353	7/11/2017 18:30	Yes	No	No		Braydon		Preston	Idaho
3354	7/11/2017 18:30	No	No	Neutral		Cody		Rigby	Idaho
3355	7/11/2017 18:34	No	No	No		Blaine Tanner		Menan	Idaho
3356	7/11/2017 18:34	No	No	No		Jory christensen		Rigby	Idaho
3357	7/11/2017 18:36	No	No	No		Jeremy Price		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3358	7/11/2017 18:40	Neutral	No	Neutral	<p>I checked neutral for the 1st and 3rd questions because I think they may be too broad as proposed. I can understand wanting to regulate such uses of technology in a real time or near real time manner, but I think other uses should be allowed.</p> <p>For example, suppose I'm out hunting on Saturday, and travel through an area where I encounter lots of game. On Tuesday I call my good friend who is planning to be hunting the following weekend. I tell him what I saw on my prior hunt, including approximate locations where I saw the game. Are we now in violation of that proposed rule? There is no "real time" element to the conversation I just posited, but I could see how the proposed rule could be interpreted to that we would be in violation.</p> <p>I have a similar concern about the issue of transmitting images from a trail cam. If a trail cam is used as a scouting tool to tell us "Hey, there is a lot of game in this area, this would be a good place to plan your hunt in two weeks," I don't have a major problem with that. If it's used to tell us "there is an elk here right now" and the hunter then runs out to that location I think that's problematic.</p> <p>With some fine tuning of the regulations it may be possible to achieve the objectives that I think are appropriate, but as written</p>	David Frankenbach		Sagle	Idaho
3359	7/11/2017 18:43	Yes	Yes	Yes	We need to get back to hunting not killing	Brent Jarvis		Caldwell	ID
3360	7/11/2017 18:43	No	No	Yes	Radio no , game cam no problem	Carl Simpkins		Racine	Ohio,4677:
3361	7/11/2017 18:44	Neutral	No	Neutral		chris boesiger		rupert	Idaho
3362	7/11/2017 18:45	Yes	Yes	No	I bear hunt with hounds with a hunting partner. We also hunt lions and bobcat. this law would restrict our communications. If he wrecked a 4wheeler or had a flat tire, he wouldnt be able to communicate that to me. I don't agree with the use of phones in or radios in the use of spot and stalk hunting, but they in no way affect the outcome of my hound hunting and i will not support the rule for that reason alone.	Jared Comini		Orofino	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3363	7/11/2017 18:46	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think the pictures gathered from trail cameras are awesome! BUT i do not think they should be allowed for hunting use! Once the first season starts all cameras need to be taken down.	Brian Cowger		Kimberly	Idaho
3364	7/11/2017 18:48	No	No	No	Too many people are using 2 way radios/cell phones to "walk" hunters into animals and it in my opinion is taking the fun/ethics out of a sport that we are struggling to keep alive. The "new" age hunter is too social media infected that it is all about the inches/image to be a good hunter instead of the adventure and Don't restrict Trail cameras or radios or phones during hunting.	Eric Nielsen		Bixby	Oklahoma
3365	7/11/2017 18:50	No	No	No	It is ridiculous to ban trail cameras of any description, they in no way "aid" your hunting success. It allows you to see what is in the area but is no different than hunting the area, you might see a animal there one time, doesn't mean you are going to be able to harvest it. Idaho has enough b.s. regulations, anymore and I will be hunting out of state.	Frank Reinhardt		Pierce	Idaho
3366	7/11/2017 18:51	Yes	No	No	You cant enforce the laws you have now and you're trying to make further restrictions on a tool that only aids the ethical hunter and true conservationist. You can see non game species and avoid targeting young or releasing hounds on wolves. Don't fall for anti sentiment and emotion. Cameras help science too I could report lynx, wolverine, grizzly any number of other interesting things to F&G. My hunters are safer and more likely to enjoy Thier Idaho hunt and re to un yo Idaho. This is just one more reason for interference with legal hunting . No more restrictions.	Cody S Fowler		Declo	Idaho
3367	7/11/2017 18:59	No	No	No		Joseph Cabral	Ioglb, idfg, r	St Maries	Idaho
3368	7/11/2017 18:59	No	No	No		Randall Searle		Shelley	ID
3369	7/11/2017 19:03	Yes	No	Neutral		Mike adams		Idaho falls	Id
3370	7/11/2017 19:05	Yes	No	Yes		Joe Hulburt		Cloverdale	Oregon

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3371	7/11/2017 19:08	No	No	No	Two way communication is for the safety of hunters. I cannot even believe you can suggest restricting the use of this basic life saving tool.. That's absolutely ridiculous. I truly hope others consider this and reject it it's s bad idea. As far as camera use why do we need this law?? Camera use aids the ethical hunter make art choices. Hound hunters need to be able to evaluate a bait prior to running to avoid interaction with wolves. You can't enforce the current laws this seems like a ploy to have reason to harrass more ethical hunter or someone's bellyaching because "his" elk was killed by someone with trail cams. At least consider separating and allowing use for baits and species.	Inga Cabral	Outfitter anc	St Maries	Idaho
3372	7/11/2017 19:09	No	No	No	Two way communication is for the safety of hunters. I cannot even believe you can suggest restricting the use of this basic life saving tool.. That's absolutely ridiculous. I truly hope others consider this and reject it it's s bad idea. As far as camera use why do we need this law?? Camera use aids the ethical hunter make art choices. Hound hunters need to be able to evaluate a bait prior to running to avoid interaction with wolves. You can't enforce the current laws this seems like a ploy to have reason to harrass more ethical hunter or someone's bellyaching because "his" elk was killed by someone with trail cams. At least consider separating and allowing use for baits and species.	Inga Cabral	Outfitter anc	St Maries	Idaho
3373	7/11/2017 19:10	Yes	No	Yes	I support the rule restricting the use of two way communications in aid to hunting but with an exclusion to people hunting with hounds therefore allowing hound hunters to use two way electronic communications.	Wyatt Tropea		Emmett	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3374	7/11/2017 19:15	Yes	Yes	No	I think that cameras to survey could be used before the season. Once the season is on all cameras need to be taken down. I use two way communication while hunting. I like to keep track of my son and my friends. If my dog goes on point I tell them to get over to me. In that case I don't think they are that great of a problem. The Technology that needs to be talked about is shooting 1000 yards and more. I also think Hunting pronghorn within 200 yards of a water hole should be against the law. There are too many fights, too many guys flattening tires. There are guys putting bleach in the water holes to push animals from someone else. Then there are the guys opening up water valves so dry tanks have water. That is baiting a wild animal.	Ronnie Klimes	Reservist wil Buhl		Idaho
3375	7/11/2017 19:15	Yes	No	No	I always have my phone on me while hunting, whether it's big game or duck hunting. Spouse needs me to check in from time to time, also use the gps . My phone is always with me. I've had a cell phone since 2003 and it hasn't made it any easier for me to take an animal. I archery hunt mostly , phone never makes me more successful at the taking of animals. It has help in the packing out of others animals when others were successful. The phone and 2 way communication devises are good sense tools for emergencies, without them I can see tragedies in our future. I don't see how you could enforce this without making them illegal to have on you person.	Lewis L Simpson		Caldwell	Idaho
3376	7/11/2017 19:18	Yes	No	Neutral		Jerrold Farr		Challis	Idaho
3377	7/11/2017 19:26	Yes	No	No		Payton		West Richland	Wa
3378	7/11/2017 19:28	Yes	No	No	I don't support the restriction of two way radios communication due to safety issues. I also don't believe the use of two way radios during hunting had a significant effect on animals not being taken by fair chase.	Jason Maxfield		Weiser	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3379	7/11/2017 19:29	No	No	No	Trail cameras are a useful to for those of us who are unable to spend as much time outdoors as we would like. This is especially true for physically disabled hunters who are often unable to hike long distance to locate game. Using trail cams helps the hunters know what game is in the area, which aids in less small male animals harvested, when a hunter knows a large buck or bull may be in the area also. Additionally, many people use trail cameras as a way to photograph wildlife not for hunting reasons. The use of trail cams is the best way to capture photo/video of animals behaving naturally. Beyond these reasons, trail cams are very helpful at capturing other hunters who may or may not have broken the law. These photos have helped find poachers and trespassers. There is no downside to using trail cams, only	Abram Summerfield		Orofino	Idaho
3380	7/11/2017 19:29	No	No	No	I see no value in attempting to enforce any trail camera laws. If the argument for an unfair advantage is the strongest argument against trail cameras, then I guess every human should be required to get a lobotomy to decrease our intelligence. There's no need for these restrictions.	Cassidy Steffen		Mountain Home	ID
3381	7/11/2017 19:30	No	No	No	It is wrong to limit someone from hunting an area for a full day after checking a trail camera. For example, if I place a camera and tree stand overlooking an elk wallow and leave it for two weeks because I live 3hrs away and am unable to visit the area as often as I like. I get a weekend off of work and drive to my hunting area. I go and check the camera on my way to my tree stand (20yds apart). Now I am illegally hunting even if there were no elk pictures on the camera! To be legal I'd have to hunt my tree stand all weekend and then check my camera on Sunday before I go home. That is dumb, there is no benefit to this rule, only more rules for people to break because the law is so unreasonable.	Britney Summerfield		Orofino	Idaho
3382	7/11/2017 19:31	Neutral	No	Neutral	I don't mind having trail cam pics sent to a tech device for bear or wolf hunting, but don't like it for deer, elk and pronghorn. I guess the same would go for the two way communication.	Justin Jordan		Meridian	ID
3383	7/11/2017 19:33	Yes	No	No		James Haney		Bakersfield	Ca
3384	7/11/2017 19:34	No	No	No		Ryan Mark		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3385	7/11/2017 19:47	No	No	No	Game cameras- I cannot support the restriction of trail cameras as an aid to hunting. I do not support restriction of game cameras because of the conflicts it creates for bear hunters. Every bear bait hunter out there hangs a camera on their bait, and uses it to assess the bears that are visiting and decide which baits to hunt. It's my understanding that Montana passed a law outlawing game cameras during hunting seasons, but Montana doesn't allow bear baiting. I don't think that many people have the fancy real-time transmitting cameras anyway. i don't have any, and I don't know anyone that does. I can see this being more of an issue in the Midwest, where cell coverage is good and hunting spots aren't far from the road, but in Idaho, the places that I hunt don't even have cell service, so this really doesn't seem applicable. In addition, this would be really difficult to enforce, because it would require LEO's to prove that an animal was taken on the same or following day as the person visited their camera. You already have a lot of rules that you don't/can't enforce. Two way electronic communications- I don't understand why a	RJ Hemingway		Potlatch	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3386	7/11/2017 19:47	Yes	No	No	I don't think cameras have that much effect on increasing harvest. The group of guys that I hunt with including myself has used trail cameras for about 10 years. We usually set them up in July and leave them out through the end of September. By the beginning of the season we already know the quality of elk in the area and what we will be holding out for. If anything cameras have helped us to become better stewards of the land and hold out for more mature animals. The cameras we set out are spread out over a 4 mile area. We have in 10 years only had one elk frequent the same stand multiple times a week others simply wander and make their appearance on different cameras throughout the 2 month period. Cameras in no way seem to help pattern elk and deer seem even less predictable. Whether there is elk on the camera or not I will still hunt that same area that morning or evening cause if there is elk on it I will think they could come back and if there isn't I will think they are due to come through. I think restricting them it is simply taking away a enjoyable addition to the hunting season. It is always exciting to see what came through while I was gone. I think the people that are against cameras have a misconception on the use of them and think animals are more predictable then they are. With that said I don't think it is good to be able to ever bait over them even a month before the season cause it could cause animals to be dependent on the bait and lure them in even after the bait isn't there for a few weeks. I also oppose the use of ones that transmit to wireless devices cause this allows one to have eyes on several areas at once and if they are close to the location of several cameras as soon as they get a	Dallas Sparrow		Pocatello	Idaho
3387	7/11/2017 19:51	Yes	No	Neutral	Trying to enforce restrictions on the use of cell phones and two way radios while hunting would be a very challenging task. Choosing not to use these forms of communication while hunting falls within a hunter's code of ethics. Having a cell phone or two way radio while hunting allows hunters a way to communicate in the case of an emergency. There are many situations where I hunt alone and having some form of communication gives me peace of mind that I might be found if I am injured and unable to	Matt Bruns		Juliaetta	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3388	7/11/2017 19:52	No	No	No	Two way radios are essential in hunter safety. It allows hunters to stay in contact with each other in case of an accident or someone getting lost. Trail cameras may give an individual times and locations that game are present with no one around. But as we all know, all bets are off when it comes to locating/finding that same game when we are in the field. Trail cameras are a great tool for selective hunters like myself. Trail cameras help me to put my limited time and money to better use in the field. Not every hunter has unlimited time off from work and the financing to stay out in the field for long periods of time. Transmitting pictures or video wirelessly is just an advancement in technology. It still does nothing to guarantee that the game will be there when the hunter gets there. Trail cameras are a necessity for the modern working	Jim Holland		Nampa	Idaho
3389	7/11/2017 19:55	No	No	No	the use of trail cameras allows sportsmen to properly manage wildlife through trophy hunting,without them i fear many people would simply shoot the first thing they are able to!	zach		blackfoot	idaho
3390	7/11/2017 19:58	No	No	No		Jw peterson		Potlatch	Id
3391	7/11/2017 19:58	Neutral	No	No		Ray Ashmun		Coeur d'Alene	ID
3392	7/11/2017 20:02	Yes	No	No		Todd Syruws	BHA	Nampa	Idaho's
3393	7/11/2017 20:02	No	No	No		Ed Westbrook		Genesee	ID
3394	7/11/2017 20:08	No	No	Neutral		Marcy L, Martinez		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3395	7/11/2017 20:15	No	No	No	In no way trail cameras help harvest an animal in the same day. Obviously when checking you camera any wildgame around that camera is long gone when you walk in. These aid in helping hunters find a better age class of animals which is what conservation is all about. Also two way radio communication is very comforting in checking in on hunting partners in the field in case of injury of any sort, and can help each other meet up at rendezvous points. The problem with both of these is the same problem with any of the laws; and that's unethical sportsman. Fish and game please help us hunters manage the wildlife herds a little more and a little less managing the hunters that pay for all these great animals to chase!	Jesse Foster		Twin Falls	Idaho
3396	7/11/2017 20:15	Yes	No	Yes		Gene buffington	Idaho reside	Nampa	Idaho
3397	7/11/2017 20:16	No	No	No		Dave McBride		Jerome	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3398	7/11/2017 20:29	No	No	No	Without regard to its wireless capabilities I do not support limiting or prohibiting trail camera use. Such a tool does not create an unfair chase issue as animals change their patterns and behaviors often. Furthermore in cases where a hunter is using this tool to hunt bear, increased awareness is paramount to safety.	Samuel Davis		Mountain Home Af	Idaho
3399	7/11/2017 20:30	No	No	No	Trail cameras to me are half the fun of the hunt, it's a reason to get out in the summer and the excitement of checking cameras keeps the children in the family interested. I can see the issues with real time cameras, however passive cameras that need to be physically checked pose no threat to fair chase. As the hunter has to be physically present in the area the camera is located to get any information from said camera.	Rory Roberts		Pocatello	Idaho
3400	7/11/2017 20:30	No	No	No	As for two way communication, restricting this could cause a safety concern, we are all accoustmed to talking with the hunting party, it's a safety measure. Not to mention the threat to personal property under our 4th amendment rights. This is the kind of rule that will have COs asking for our phones? It's a very slippery	Bruce weber		Dorr	MI
3401	7/11/2017 20:36	Yes	No	No	are you trying to get someone killed by restricting communication i think wireless trail cameras should be restricted,i feel regular trail cameras are not a problem and do not guarantee anything to our sportsmen many of us like to share our photos mouing our friends.I personally look forward to the time i spend outdoors and trail cameras are a part of it.	larry lombard		emmett	ID
3402	7/11/2017 20:36	Yes	Yes	Neutral		Tom Maresca		Burley	Idaho
3403	7/11/2017 20:39	Yes	No	No		Justin Britton		Athol	Idaho
3404	7/11/2017 20:40	No	No	No	I am asking for a phone call to discuss what exactly is meant by ethics, sportsmanship and fair chase. The use in trail cameras is to sort out mature animals, the quantity of animals, the use of two way communication devices for me is important due to the safety of my family, not to locate animals, although I have heard radio communication to do that. To limit me and other ETHICAL HUNTERS is wrong.	Troy Neubauer		Pocatello	Idaho
3405	7/11/2017 20:42	No	No	No		Randi Nelson		Ephrata	Wa

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3406	7/11/2017 20:43	Neutral	No	No	Restricting trail cameras that send the images to phone or computer would be fine and understandable but there should not be any restrictions on using cell phones or radios people shouldn't use them as an aid to locate game animals but they need to be able to use them for emergency reasons and being able to locate and find eachother and everyone likes to take pictures and videos with their phones	Jake patterson	Jakecpatt@y	Leadore	Idaho
3407	7/11/2017 20:44	Yes	No	Yes	I feel the trail cams the transmit love feeds are no different then using an aircraft of drone to spot game then hunt them. I have no problem with trail cams in general but do feel that they should not be hunted over the same day as being check. I feel checking them same day is equivalent as using aircraft, drones or transmitting cams. As two way radios are concerned, I do feel to be used as a aid in taking game is unsporting, but I do feel as if they can be a life saving device or an aid in game retrieval. For instance when we hear a shot. We turn them on and wait 5 minutes for an individual to say they have game down. Then we all hell pack out. But use as a aid with someone on a hilltop with a spotting scope aiding his partner below where the game is moving should be	Joseph karel		Filer	Idaho
3408	7/11/2017 20:45	No	No	No		Wayne May		Boise	Idaho
3409	7/11/2017 20:50	Yes	No	Neutral		Sean Brown		Caldwell	Idaho
3410	7/11/2017 20:52	Yes	No	No		John Seward		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3411	7/11/2017 20:52	Yes	Neutral	Neutral		Zack Smith		American Falls	Idaho
3412	7/11/2017 20:54	No	No	No	Seriously. ..can't you people just leave shit alone for once? If this became law how would it be enforced? Tromp through the forest looking for cameras? Pat down hunters to see if they text someone else hunting? Absolutely asinine.	Wayne Wood		Post Falls	Idaho
3413	7/11/2017 20:55	No	No	No	I think radios could be gotten rid of for hunting purpose but not to radio for help or to get a ride or just to let ur hunting partner know it location would b ok but not to lead or talk someone in on a kill and possibly for rifle hunters because of the substantial distances that kills can b made at.	Stacy Ruzicka	311 E. N. 6t	Grangeville	Idaho
3414	7/11/2017 21:00	Yes	No	No	Parents need to be able to communicate with kids in the field if they don't come down off the mountain at the same time. Radios are more often used properly then in properly. 4 wheelers are a bigger problem than anything mentioned here.....motorize restrict the whole state or non at all.	Tyson Jones	Hunter	Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3415	7/11/2017 21:04	Neutral	No	No		Dan Ward		Nome	AK
3416	7/11/2017 21:05	Yes	No	No		Ben Brunson		Rexburg	Idaho
3417	7/11/2017 21:09	No	No	No	review my previous comment.	Marcus White		Boise	Idaho
3418	7/11/2017 21:13	Yes	No	No	To clarify my answer yes to #1 above. I would support restricting the use of trail cameras using wireless transmission that would communicate more than let's say 1/4 mile away. I fully support the use of cameras, but having one with a cell phone in it seems like cheating a bit... having one that can communicate the pictures to your cell phone while you are close enough, but not too close to disturb the area might be another thing. If it is all or nothing, I say go check your trail cameras manually or don't use them...	ROB ROUTH		SPOKANE VALLEY	WA
3419	7/11/2017 21:16	Yes	No	Yes	I don't think that using cameras for scouting purposes is a negative thing. I definitely support restrictions on wireless transmissions and such. I really don't understand the purpose of restricting hunting of the area after checking game cameras?? It has recorded an animal(s) as they passed through but there not there now. I definitely support restrictions on using 2 way radio for communication of game locations to fellow hunters. Thank you	Eric barnett		Sandpoint	Idaho
3420	7/11/2017 21:22	Neutral	No	Neutral	I dont think we should get into the habit of adopting rules that our neighboring states would do, there is a reason why there are too many nonresident hunters in Idaho. Trail cameras don't guarantee success and especially don't ban cameras when baiting is allowed. Guiding hunters into game with radios probably shouldn't be allowed unless it is for retrieval, but multiple elk tags are sold to people if the price is right. So, if the concern is about harvesting too many animals or " trophies " reduce the number of nonresident hunters and dont give out 2 elk tags to hunters when elk numbers are not meeting objectives. Do not make it a permit item either, we already get charged for archery,muzzleloader,	Matt		St. Maries	IDAHO
3421	7/11/2017 21:27	Neutral	No	No		Ryan starley		Post falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3422	7/11/2017 21:29	No	No	No	Quit with these infringements on the freedoms of hunters to monitor and understand herd health and size. You are trying to injure hunters who put in the effort and time to better understand their prey. I know many people who see trail cams as a non-lethal form of hunting that allows them to enjoy the woods and the animals, simply enriching their experiences. Game cameras do NOT injure or bother game animals and any effort by you to prove that will be laughable. Furthermore restrictions on use of personal cell phones while in the woods will be fought to the Supreme Court. Period.	Gary Caldwell		Hailey	Idaho
3423	7/11/2017 21:31	No	No	Neutral	The addition of the "omitted" questions leads me to believe that you didn't like the answers you got and you're trying to pull this This is getting a little bit ridiculous. Lots of people get enjoyment out of using the cameras. So now if you have a camera in the field you have to hunt somewhere else for a two day cool down. One of the great advantages of game cameras is that they can be used as a tool to encourage youth by demonstrating that there is game in the area. It gets them excited and keeps them interested. those are the ones we need to keep encouraged and restricted game cams makes it more difficult.	martin silva		nezperce	idaho
3424	7/11/2017 21:38	Yes	No	No		Garan Gallup		Shelley	Idaho
3425	7/11/2017 21:47	Yes	No	No	Two way radio communication is essential 4 hunting parties to locate one another. Although I feel it should be left at that, not used to Ambush animals.	Joey covert		Pocatello	Id
3426	7/11/2017 21:49	No	No	No		Shane Hayes		Menan	Id
3427	7/11/2017 21:56	No	No	Neutral	Absolutely no I don't support the use of trail cameras they are a scouting tool. As a hunter you can learn so much about wildlife . You can also see what predators are in the area. Fish and game need to pull there heads out. Some of there rules and regulations are so stupid it's almost funny.	Kennon Reynolds		Princeton	Idaho
3428	7/11/2017 21:56	No	No	No		Roxanne Franklin	none	Boise	Id
3429	7/11/2017 21:59	Yes	No	Yes	If i set a trail camera, which i don't, i should have to go check it and download the pics.	bobh holup		mountain home	id
3430	7/11/2017 22:01	Yes	No	Yes	but as an old guy i need communication for safety reasons....	bob holup		mountain home	id
3431	7/11/2017 22:12	Yes	No	No		Brent Daley		Parma	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3432	7/11/2017 22:14	Yes	No	No		Ray warner		Riverton	Utah
3433	7/11/2017 22:15	No	No	No		paul coye		post falls	Idaho
3434	7/11/2017 22:19	Yes	No	No		Nicholas devito		Cda	Idaho
3435	7/11/2017 22:27	Yes	No	Yes		Brian Macarty		Pocatello	Idaho
3436	7/11/2017 22:28	No	No	No		Mike Sinnett		Middleton	Idaho
3437	7/11/2017 22:39	Yes	No	No		Jason stewart		Greenleaf	Id
3438	7/11/2017 22:55	Yes	No	No	I am strongly opposed to ruling out ALL trail cameras, as they provide extremely valuable insights into more difficult animal species to monitor (i.e. black bears). Without the insights gained, I believe there will be much larger incidental take of sows, and sows with cubs. It also provides insights into elusive species like wolverines, mountain lions, and wolves. This information can be very valuable to wildlife managers if greater outreach was attempted to gather this information from willing hunters. On the note about 2 way communication for the use of pursuing animals - I don't see this advantage as being any greater than the use of telescopic spotting scopes or rifle scopes that allow hunters to locate animals that would otherwise be entirely impossible to see. In my opinion, this is not an unethical or undue advantage.	Jared Grover		Pocatello	Idaho
3439	7/11/2017 22:58	No	No	No		Caleb drake		Twin Falls	Idaho
3440	7/11/2017 22:58	No	No	No		Caleb drake		Twin Falls	Idaho
3441	7/11/2017 23:08	Yes	Yes	Yes	The use of electronic calls needs to include coyotes. Ie specifically state it is legal to take coyotes	Mike mihlfried		Athol	Idaho
3442	7/11/2017 23:17	No	No	No	Technology has always been a part of hunting. From stone tipped spears and now we use high powered rifles. And we still have fair chase and ethical hunting. I dont see an etbical dilemma here. Going into a region and planting cameras takes skill.. time and effort. And for the average resident hunter.. it would put you on par with the non resident hunter that hires an outfitter to do his scouting for them and places the hunter in an area based on where the guides have scouted. Not really much practical	Glenn Dodge		Parma	Idaho
3443	7/11/2017 23:18	No	No	No	It's hard enough to have a quality hunt, trail cams are only a small part. No need to take any advantage away.	Casey Neal		Spirit Lake	Idaho
3444	7/11/2017 23:20	No	No	No		Kyle		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3445	7/11/2017 23:24	No	No	Yes	Idaho Fish and Game, First you have to clearly define AID TO HUNTING. Without that clear and precise definition this survey is pretty much a joke as it leaves the definition of AID TO HUNTING up to each person taking this survey. I will however tell you that trail camera's whether satellite connected to transmit pictures or checked on the spot by SD Card reader or other mobile device is just a tool. A tool to hunting like topo maps, Google Earth, calling biologist, internet research and boots on the ground scouting. Just because you have game pictures on a trail camera, does not mean that that or any other animal will come by during legal shooting hours. I have spent many hours sitting in a ground blind at a bear bait and seeing nothing. Even knowing from my trail camera pictures that I had bears coming to my bait. Trail cameras allow you to see the animals at your camera site and evaluate the game, nothing more. Having pictures of animals does not equate into always harvesting said animals. Many a new elk hunter is learning that all those bulls on there camera's in the middle of summer does not mean those elk are in that spot come September or October. Therefore I believe trail camera's are a useful tool available to the hunter that does not guarantee success. As far as two way radios and sat phones or mobile devices they should only be used to communicate to each other as to location and if they need help. These devices should never be allowed to be used to vector a hunter to an animal that is being stalked by one hunter and watched by another. Nor should they be allowed to be used to communicate from one hunter to another that an animal is coming towards them so that they can prepare without ever seeing the animal it's self. That is the exact opposite of Fair Chase. One final Most of all the restriction of the two way communication is a safty hazerd. For example what if some one is hurt or lost and is in need.of.a.way to communicate this. As for the other electronic divices(trail cams, phones and phone scopes) in my opinion i have no issue with the use considering the fact it would cost us tax payers or increace the price for our right to hunt. Making it more then its worth and i feel game wadens time can be better spent finding pouchers. And also feel that you need to learn to grow qith	Ron McLaren		Meridian	Idaho
3446	7/11/2017 23:25	No	No	No		Sam whitworth		Pocatello	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3447	7/11/2017 23:46	No	No	No	Electronics aren't bad they help people become better and more selective hunter's. If you want the state to be a primitive hunt state take all modern weapons like rifles and compound bows	Billy		Idaho Falls	Id
3448	7/11/2017 23:47	No	No	No		Sarah		Idaho falls	Id
3449	7/11/2017 23:53	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think that restricting the use of electronic devises is a great idea. they take away from the actual sport of hunting.Also think you should carry over this rule to restricting the use of underwater cameras to aid in catching fish. I have seen a few people use them to catch steelhead and salmon	james hutchison		Idaho falls	Idaho
3450	7/12/2017 0:36	No	No	No		Peggy Timken	None	Medimont	ID
3451	7/12/2017 0:41	No	No	No		Rick Hiatt		Mccall	ID
3452	7/12/2017 2:19	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras using transmitting technology are a subset of two-way communication aiding hunting and therefore should be banned, or if used to spot game remotely, then a 24 hour restriction on hunting that site should be instituted.	Bradley K Weeda		Coeur D Alene	ID
3453	7/12/2017 2:23	Yes	No	Yes	I believe that manual trail camera use (without transmit capabilities) while hunting is a very accepted norm across most, if not all states and manually checking cameras on a given day should not prevent you from hunting on the same day or at the	Murray Seratt	PBS	Bartlett	Tn
3454	7/12/2017 3:39	Yes	No	Yes		Vince Van Witbeck		Idaho Falls	ID
3455	7/12/2017 4:17	Yes	No	No		Joseph thomas		Filer	Id
3456	7/12/2017 5:06	Yes	No	No	Taking away phones and 2 way radios has to be allowed. It's a safety thing. Just in case one is lost or injured or simply needs help. If you take these away, I feel you are taking away my rights as an American and I will simply stop paying into sportsman dollars. I buy tags every year that I have no intention on using just to give you more money for research. Take away my safety	Andy Dansereau		Nampa	Idaho
3457	7/12/2017 5:11	Yes	No	Neutral	Not sure how electronic communication can be enforced. what about locating a shot or wounded animal?	Bryce Heminger		Kuna	ID
3458	7/12/2017 5:27	No	No	No	This feels like a solution in search of a problem. Unless the technology is tilting the scales to the point where management goals are being impeded, regulations should not be considered. Harvest statistics in relation to herd sizes don't indicate this is	MIke Baldner		Boise	Idaho
3459	7/12/2017 5:45	Neutral	No	No	Question #1 is tough because it is similar to someone flying for game or a drone to aid in bagging an animal.	Judd Kishpaugh	Sportsman	Evanston	Wyoming

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3460	7/12/2017 6:03	Yes	No	Yes	I think regular trail cameras that do not transmit pics or video in real time should be able to be used to assist in determining maturity of game.	Chaf		Kuna	Idaho
3461	7/12/2017 6:11	No	No	No		Dave Lemons		Idaho falls	Idaho
3462	7/12/2017 6:17	Neutral	No	No		Ben Black		Idaho Falls	ID
3463	7/12/2017 6:22	No	No	No		Dennis Walker		Idaho falls	Idaho
3464	7/12/2017 6:28	Yes	No	Yes	I support the use of regular trail cameras, in means that if it does not send pictures or videos wirelessly or at all they should be allowed. I do not consider the use of a wireless photo/video sending trail camera to be fair chase by any means. If you have to physically hike into an area that you hunt to check your camera , you have already pushed the animals out or had your chance or they just aren't there. In no way would a camera with out the capabilities of sending information aid you in harvest. It would only give you an idea that animals have been in the area. The beautiful part of fair chase and nature is there will be no guarantee of any kind that an animal will return at any point and time. Seeing an animal on your camera one day doesn't mean that animal will return the next or at all. Using 2 way communicating radios or phones should be restricted, I adds to	Austin Fry	Avid Idaho h	Boise	Idaho
3465	7/12/2017 6:37	Yes	No	No		Jeff Bateman		mccall	Idaho
3466	7/12/2017 6:50	Yes	No	Yes		Curtis Lytle	Non-residen!	Windsor	VA
3467	7/12/2017 6:52	No	No	No	While I do not utilize trail cameras, nor do I even own any, I believe the majority of hunters in the great state of Idaho to be ethical, and that the rules of fair chase hunting are adhered to. I also find that using two way radios to communicate with members of my hunting party, to be a valuable tool in locating one another for assistance in retrieving downed game, and/or for emergency purposes. I fear that if these rules are enacted, the mere appearance of having a two way radio in one's possession could be construed by F&G as being used for illegal purposes, and an unwarranted citation could be the result. Therefore, I am against the adoption of these proposed rule changes. TD	Terry Dillehay	Idaho hunte!	Nampa	Idaho
3468	7/12/2017 6:56	Yes	No	No		Dan Joosten		Moscow	Idaho
3469	7/12/2017 6:59	No	No	No		todd gnetting		idaho falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3470	7/12/2017 7:02	Yes	No	Yes	Recommend caution of banning or restricting of technology for hunting. Personally, I am an advocate of technological advances that makes hunting safer, more enjoyable, and ethical. For myself and fellow hunting community I feel that most hunters self regulate use of technology for fair chase. Currently, I am a user of game cameras for scouting prior to the season opening, but self impose technology restrictions during hunting season, i.e. no radios or trail cameras. Technology bans can be a slippery slope, for example spotting scopes, range finders, ballistic calculators, and even modern center-fire rifles could all be argued that they are not fair chase. Each of those advances, in my opinion allow for better shot selection/placement for an ethical harvest, however they do push the range of the modern hunter to the extreme. Secondly, I fear that the enforcement of such a rule restricting the use will be incredibly difficult. How does one determine the use of two way radios as an aid to hunting....Radios are an	Josh Hoisington		Boise	ID
3471	7/12/2017 7:15	Neutral	No	No	I and others in my hunting party use two-way communication often while hunting. It's used more as a safety device but we do discuss our observations (game currently hunting) while separated and communicating on a two-way radio or satellite device. I am not in favor of this rule proposal as I believe it would create many grey areas in enforcement of the law and could potentially put hunters at risk if these devices are no longer carried in the backcountry. Although I understand it wouldn't be against the rule proposal to carry such device, many may choose not carry these devices into the backcountry to ensure they are compliant with the rule/law. Thank you!	Tom Porter		Meridian	Idaho
3472	7/12/2017 7:16	Yes	No	No	Hunters should have to hike in to check their trail cameras. Two-way radios and cell phones are very helpful in locating fellow hunters and preventing people from getting lost, so these devices will be used in the mountains. Enforcing a law prohibiting people from talking about where game animals are located will be impossible. Such a law would put law-abiding hunters at a disadvantage because they would obey the law knowing full well that other hunters are not obeying the law and that those hunters who are not obeying the law will never get caught.	Daniel Dewey		Rexburg	IDAHO
3473	7/12/2017 7:16	No	No	No		Taylor Easterday		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3474	7/12/2017 7:16	No	No	No	IDFG already has effective management tools to control game populations that have been proven over time. Game cameras in various forms have been around for 30+ years. There is no science-based need to become ethics police. And no science-based need to overburden hunters with excessive and unnecessary rules. IDFG should continue to manage game populations, not people.	Greg Spurgeon		Rosedale	Indiana
3475	7/12/2017 7:19	Yes	No	Yes		Philip McLaren		Boise	Idaho
3476	7/12/2017 7:20	No	No	No		Brad Lambson		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3477	7/12/2017 7:22	Yes	No	No	two communications i feel can be used as a way contacting your hunting partner or someone at camp if emergency services are necessary. As for the cameras that transmit pictures to cellular devices i do feel that those can be an unnecessary advantage for Deer, Elk, Moose. to the hunter. you need to be out hiking and looking for game rather than sitting on your couch. but i don't feel that ALL cameras should be restricted. my camera has never helped me get a shot. there is a lot more work involved than looking at a picture.	Mark Fossum		Pocatello	Idaho
3478	7/12/2017 7:22	No	No	No	I have been hunting for years and yes I use 2 way communications. It for my safety as well as making sure who I'm with can pick me up when I am done trekking or if I get my deer. I am not that strong so need help to bring it back to camp. I do not stalk animals with a radio. I believe you are putting to many restrictions that are not needed. As far as cams go I see no problem with them. You are outsourcing, outlawing and over restricting hunters. Leave things the way they are please.	Robin Cole	Me	Heyburn	Idaho
3479	7/12/2017 7:23	Yes	Yes	Yes	I VERY STRONGLY am against the use of trail cameras that transmit pictures and video. I'm more neutral on traditional trail cameras, though overall I would rather they not be allowed.	James Gerold		Prior Lake	MN

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3480	7/12/2017 7:26	Yes	No	Yes	"Real time" photo, video, data, information should not be permitted during any open season. The ethical pursuit of game animals should not be bastardized by allowing these guys with technology to essentially hunt from the comfort of their home or a motor vehicle. Likewise, any information, data, video, photo, etc....delivered from a DRONE system should be banned too. The CHANCE to harvest a game animal, trophy or otherwise, should be a product of fair chase, meaning boots on the ground doing old fashion leg work to get to a spot in hopes of hunting that specific game animal. We do not allow people to fly in an airplane and hunt the same day so this regulation is consistent with that policy underlying the fly and hunt the same day rule. I do not have a problem with SD card type trail cameras. They provide information but the "real time" feed is a non-issue and a hunter can collect information about what animals may actually be in a given area but they can't just sit in a home or truck and watch an animal moving through their system of cameras and then ambush them.	Paul Laggis		American Falls	Idaho
3481	7/12/2017 7:36	Yes	No	Yes	LETS NOT LET THE LAZY HUNTER EFFECT THE USE OF REGULAR GAME CAMERAS	K HEIL		COUNCIL	ID
3482	7/12/2017 7:36	No	No	No		John Ludemann		Gillette	WY

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3483	7/12/2017 7:42	No	No	Yes	I feel that the use of cameras is no different than a Hunter scouting the same spot everyday if they see some sign that game has been there. Would you put a stop to a sportsman checking the same spot day after day? I recently just started putting up a couple of trail cams...guess what? Yep they had pics of game on them...guess what else no one in my family harvested!! Sadly, as wild game is the only meat my wife can have due to health problems. Wildlife is unpredictable, one day they can be there or 15 days they can be there then boom they wandered some place else!! So is using a camera any different than walking to my favorite spot and sitting and watching and waiting to see what comes in?? Nope!! My family is all for the ethics of hunting, and this is the most ridiculous proposal. Now as far as the two way radios....I hunt with my 75 year old dad still quite a bit, we have always used two ways just to check up on everyone or once in a blue moon to let a family member know we actually harvested. I am totally against radios being used to help someone know if they jumped game and this is where you need, to go cuz that's where the animal is headed. To me that's not a true sportsman. But I need my radios to just check on loved ones. I think f&g should be focussed more on the number of our of state tags being sold, yes I know it's all about the mighty dollar but there's not enough game to take care of residents let alone out of staters who can afford tags, and more than one tag...let's take care of residents for a bit. I also feel that something should be done about ranchers being able to run their sheep thru the same area year after year, ruining habitat that our wildlife need to survive on. They stay with cattle, and cattle don't eat right down to the dirt, sheep eat everything ...gone!!! Running of game ... Lets propose something Patterning animals through weekly or bi-weekly observation is OK with me, but I think being able to do it in "real time" takes away from the idea of "fair chase".	Jason		Montpelier	Idaho
3484	7/12/2017 7:46	Yes	No	Yes	Also, I'm not in agreement with two-way communication during a hunt, except in case of emergency. Too many big "Trophy hunting" operations surround an area with an intended animal (eg: "Spiderbull"), removing all doubt that it was a "fair chase	Jeffery K Ervin		Round Mountain	CA

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3485	7/12/2017 7:54	Yes	No	Yes	should be able to use trail cams. rule should be no hunting until the day after you remove your cameras.	Greg Graybill		lewiston	ID
3486	7/12/2017 7:55	Yes	No	No	These proposals appear to be based on misconceptions or fear of unethical hunting behavior. Like it or not Fish and Game can't enforce ethical hunting. They don't have the man power to enforce many extreme violations, let alone vague or misleading ones. Propagation of these rules will only effect law conscious and ethical hunters: hunters who don't need the law to tell them what is ethical. I would ask items like this be dedicated to ethics training and discussion not as rules.	Ryan Rossi		Blackfoot	id
3487	7/12/2017 7:56	Yes	No	No	I use 2 way communications with my kids while hunting, it allows them some freedom but gives them a lifeline in case something goes wrong. 2 way Comms are a safety issue, leave them alone. Trail cameras, I could care less.	Sam Nelson		Kuna	Idaho
3488	7/12/2017 7:58	Neutral	No	Neutral	I have the old fashion trail cameras you have to check in the field. I don't use the trail cameras that send pictures to your phone or computer. I agree the use of two way radios should be limited but not completely restricted. We use the Garmin GPS two way radio to communicate our locations. My father inlaw has epilepsy and somebody needs to be in constant contact with him and know his location frequently.	Jared Giannini		Idaho Falls	ID
3489	7/12/2017 8:05	Yes	No	Yes		Kelly Christensen		Bancroft	Idaho
3490	7/12/2017 8:11	Neutral	No	Neutral		Robert johnson		Salmon	ID
3491	7/12/2017 8:13	Yes	Neutral	No		Keetch Richards		Emmett	Idaho
3492	7/12/2017 8:18	Yes	No	No		Randy J. Stephens	none	Soda Springs	Idaho
3493	7/12/2017 8:20	No	No	No		Matthew Stewart		Twin Falls	Idaho
3494	7/12/2017 8:21	No	No	No		Adam hall	Sandpoint	Sandpoint	Idaho
3495	7/12/2017 8:24	Yes	Yes	Yes	I am very opposed to using trail cameras that transmit data, in any form, to someone using them for hunting. I am mildly opposed to using trail cameras for hunting and could would not care if the were made illegal to use for hunting. I also believe the "no camera, regardless of ability to transmit data, rule" would be extremely difficult to enforce.	Mike Larson		Athol	ID
3496	7/12/2017 8:27	No	No	No		James		Lewiston	ID
3497	7/12/2017 8:28	Yes	Neutral	No		Brent Richards	Hunter	McCall	Idaho
3498	7/12/2017 8:39	Yes	Yes	Yes		Jack Sloderbeck		Augusta	Kansas
3499	7/12/2017 8:41	Yes	No	No		Steve Moss		McCall	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3500	7/12/2017 8:41	No	No	No		Jennifer Gelineau		Lewiston	Idaho
3501	7/12/2017 8:44	Yes	Yes	Yes		Kevin Brown		Plummer	Idaho
3502	7/12/2017 8:45	Yes	No	Yes	These devices make for unfair hunting for animals There are already so many laws, rules and regulations that any game officer can easily come up with a variety of reasons to ticket or arrest me when in the field. There are too many laws for any reasonable man to be able to honestly abide by. However, I get your point about the use and abuse of modern technology and I understand your logic to try to limit its use. It would help if the assumption of innocence and the rights of the suspect were foremost in the game officers mind. We all need to emphasize the need for proper hunting ethics. We all need to put pressure on one another to adhere to fair chase practices. I would like to see posters & TV ads relating to the matter and I think sports groups could/should step up to the plate on that one...	Charlie Swearingen	Honest Idaho	Hard Trigger Creel	Idaho
3503	7/12/2017 8:45	Yes	Yes	Yes	unfair playing field for wildlife	Bonnie Brown		Plummer	Idaho
3504	7/12/2017 8:50	No	No	No		Dustin Guthrie		Downey	ID
3505	7/12/2017 9:08	No	No	No	I mainly use trail cameras for bear baits but occasionally I will put one up on water for elk. I have never harvested any elk on water where I had a camera so it did not increase my chance of filling a	Drew Bassett		Weston	Idaho
3506	7/12/2017 9:11	Yes	No	No	The real time cameras could be unfair using them the same day you are hunting	Skyler		Heyburn	Idaho
3507	7/12/2017 9:21	Yes	No	Yes		Joe Lodge		Boise	Idaho
3508	7/12/2017 9:26	No	Yes	No		John E Popleik		Eagle	ID
3509	7/12/2017 9:27	No	No	No		Matt Hansen	Idaho Resident	Idaho Falls	Idaho
3510	7/12/2017 9:32	No	No	No	Regarding trail cameras, unless a hunter has a satellite communications system, the only way the cameras are going to communicate is either cellular data or wifi. Neither of which seems very likely. Two way electronic communications rule could be extremely hard to enforce. We carry two way radios all the time and have even used them for game retrieval (locating last visual). Unless officers are constantly monitoring the frequencies, how would you ever know if someone was using them to locate big game. Both of these rules are ridiculous and a waste of time. Why not focus on something meaningful like restricting the use of ATV/UTV until 9am unless on major road ways.	Bruce Willis	Hunter	Moscow	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3511	7/12/2017 9:33	No	No	No	Two way radios are vital in a hunt. What if a hunter were hurt and calling for help to their hunting partner? As a parent and a wife, I personally feel strongly about the use of 2 wah radios being permitted.	amanda beckner		fernwood	id
3512	7/12/2017 9:39	No	No	Neutral		Liam Yarbrough		Nampa	ID
3513	7/12/2017 9:53	No	No	No	New rule part g: So you are proposing that when I go hunting and check my trail cam, I have to sit in camp for that day and the next day before I can hunt? As for someone who works hard 5 days a week and looks forward to the weekend hunts I wouldn't be able to hunt at all if I were to check the trial cam. Go up friday after work and set up camp, wake up the next morning to check the camera, then sit at camp til sunday when I go home. I wouldn't ever be able to hunt unless I didn't check the camera that weekend, which is definately not an aid to hunting. Its not like it tells you exactly where they are at any moment, just that there was an animal in this area on this day at this time. New rule part h: This just opens up a whole can of worms depending on the warden you are dealing with. You are suggesting that someone giving gps coordinates of where they seen an animal one year to another person would be an aid to hunting and therefore illegal? What about pointing it out on a map, essentially the same thing right? This is what your rule change is saying, "any device to communicate the location or approximate location". I am opposed, and know of many people who agree, to these rule changes and hope that none of these rules, exactly worded or a slight change of wording, are enacted	Kyle		meridian	id
3514	7/12/2017 10:03	Yes	No	No		jason		star	idaho
3515	7/12/2017 10:10	Yes	No	No	It is an overreach by the IDFG to attempt to restrict the use of two way electronic communications as an "aid" to hunting. This law is totally unenforceable and regardless of any two way communication, the hunter must still get within range of the game. What exactly constitutes "aiding" in the take of game is extremely vague and would create other problems such as determining a hunters intent when communicating with other	Paul Gerrish		NORTH RICHLAND TX	

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3516	7/12/2017 10:12	Neutral	Neutral	No	I don't really use trail cameras so I am fairly neutral on those. I do like having two way communication when hunting though. I feel like in reality the cameras or radio can only help one find the general location of the game. There is still the matter of getting close enough to get a shot and from there hitting the intended target. I feel like restrictions on these may cause many hunters to get in trouble because they are used to utilizing them regularly and changing would be hard. To me the aid these provide is fairly superficial. Although I can see how some of the more advanced cameras that can provide real time photos as well as having a larger group of hunters all in communication with each other can efficiency take advantage of these aids in hunting. I just like having communication devices with me.	Jordan		Rexburg	Idaho
3517	7/12/2017 10:20	Yes	Neutral	Yes	Here in oregon 2 way radio has always been illegal to walk someone into a bull. It's hunting not killing.	Ted park		Dallas	Oregon
3518	7/12/2017 10:20	Yes	No	No	The biggest problem in the way you are purposing this, is you haven't addressed the sportsmen who hunts with hounds for Bear and Mountain Lions. If he can't track his dogs, he will lose them to the Wolves. I have hand radios for us to use to keep track of each other as we get separated for safety for each other. Also, when you state that you can't use a cell phone or texting to communicate for hunting advantage, over 90% of our area to hunt on does NOT have Cell Reception. So that can't be used as a valid reason for restriction of Cell Phones. I agree, they shouldn't be used to aid in harvest of game. If anyone uses a Trail Cam, the fair chase of the hunt still remains. Just because you saw an animal 24 hrs ago, you still have to find him and hunt the animal. F&G needs to reconsider the way this is being purposed. I don't support any part of this because of the way it is written and the lack of thinking through what you are trying to do and how it affects others. I'm all for the fair chase of our wild game, I'm not for a purposed change that needs to be reconsidered more	Barry Bean		Caldwell	Idaho
3519	7/12/2017 10:24	No	No	No		Tom Hulme	Sportsman/F Eagle		

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3520	7/12/2017 10:26	Neutral	No	No	<p>We operate in unit 17 the Selway Zone</p> <p>Two-Way Communications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The introduction of wolves into Idaho has made hound hunting much more risky for the hounds. Every time we turn loose there is a real possibility that the hounds will be killed or injured. Many of our members have lost hounds to wolves. Ron Ens lost an entire pack of hounds at one tree to wolves. One way to mitigate this is to use radio communication to allow hunters and guides to get to the tree as fast as possible and protect the dogs. •When hunting in remote areas the use of radios is a definite safety advantage for the clients. In many situations it is impractical for a guide to be with his clients all the time in the back country. A 2 on 1 hunt is a good example. When a hunter is left alone to hunt or glass having radio communicating with the guide increases safety and eliminates a lot of undue anxiety. As the general population continues to lose their woodsmanship skills this is increasing helpful and I believe necessary. •We also use radio communication to coordinate transportation for hunts. One technique we use is to employ stock to ride up the mountain. And a wrangle will take the stock back down. Once on top the hunters and guides will go off on foot to spend the day hunting down ridges and such. There are many options for where they might come out. We use radios to coordinate the pickup point. •We also use radios with our bear hunters. We give them radios and when they shoot a bear they can call the guide at that time. This is a big help in locating wounded game, and getting bears out. 	Steven F. Burson	Storm Creek Darby		Montana

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3521	7/12/2017 10:26	Neutral	No	No	We operate in unit 17 the Selway Zone	Steven F. Burson	Storm Creek	Darby	Montana
					Two-Way Communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The introduction of wolves into Idaho has made hound hunting much more risky for the hounds. Every time we turn loose there is a real possibility that the hounds will be killed or injured. Many of our members have lost hounds to wolves. Ron Ens lost an entire pack of hounds at one tree to wolves. One way to mitigate this is to use radio communication to allow hunters and guides to get to the tree as fast as possible and protect the dogs. •When hunting in remote areas the use of radios is a definite safety advantage for the clients. In many situations it is impractical for a guide to be with his clients all the time in the back country. A 2 on 1 hunt is a good example. When a hunter is left alone to hunt or glass having radio communicating with the guide increases safety and eliminates a lot of undue anxiety. As the general population continues to lose their woodsmanship skills this is increasing helpful and I believe necessary. •We also use radio communication to coordinate transportation for hunts. One technique we use is to employ stock to ride up the mountain. And a wrangle will take the stock back down. Once on top the hunters and guides will go off on foot to spend the day hunting down ridges and such. There are many options for where they might come out. We use radios to coordinate the pickup point. •We also use radios with our bear hunters. We give them radios and when they shoot a bear they can call the guide at that time. This is a big help in locating wounded game, and getting bears out. 				
3522	7/12/2017 10:30	No	No	No		Joe Martinez		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3523	7/12/2017 10:39	No	No	No	Electronics should be limited but not restricted completely. Texting to a fellow hunter is not unfair chase or unethical. I'm concerned about government over reach and personal privacy	Phil gerrish		Filer	Id
3524	7/12/2017 10:40	No	No	Yes	Restricting all trail cam use is going way way to far.	Derek Fryer		Weston (born and	Oregon
3525	7/12/2017 10:41	Yes	Yes	Yes		Monte Nesbitt		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3526	7/12/2017 10:44	Yes	No	No	Using transmitting cameras, I can understand the unfairness to the pursuit of big game, being able to watch them in real time. However, using the old school, go and get your SD card to see what has been in the area is not an unfair advantage. The rules really should be more defined rather than "all" trail cameras. That is too broad of a restriction. When it comes to two way radios, taking someone's ability to communicate for safety is a very bad idea... I do not hunt in areas of cell phone coverage but it seems to me that that would be very hard to enforce. Two way radios are my only connection to my husband when we are out hunting. We often split up and if we want to know if the other is doing ok or is need of help that is our only means of communication. Also, fish and game already scans two way radio's for any misuse, at least	Camille Wassom		Boise	Idaho
3527	7/12/2017 10:46	Neutral	No	No	This would be an overreach of government and enforcing this new rule would be nearly impossible and a breach of privacy. The only way to enforce this rule is to search/sieze hunters phones, trail cameras, radios, and troll 2 way radio frequency. Also, further definition of "aid in taking game" is lacking in the regulations, which would provide officers and IDFG too much latitude in making that determination, which would undoubtedly lead to officers taking undue liberties during a routine check. I am strongly opposed to items 2 and 3 especially.	Gabe		Boise	Idaho
3528	7/12/2017 10:50	No	No	Yes		Ryan Bronson	Vista Outdoc	Lewiston	Idaho
3529	7/12/2017 10:54	Yes	Yes	No	not specific enough on the electronic communication. while hunting with sons, radio communication is necessary to ensure safety and location.	bryce andersen		rigby	id
3530	7/12/2017 11:00	No	No	No		Robert Chambers		Arco	Idaho
3531	7/12/2017 11:00	Yes	No	No		William		Pocatello	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3532	7/12/2017 11:00	No	No	No	The hunters who are taking the time to set up trail cameras and putting forth the time and effort are going to be your ethical hunters who care about the population and growth of the big game. Don't take that freedom and awesome experiences that hunting in idaho gives us. I understand maybe wanting to put a stop to the wireless picture trail cameras that send pictures to your mobile device and make it so they can't hunt the same day. But don't stop them from setting them up. We live in Idaho for a reason because of the great experience we get to have for us and our children. Maybe we need to discuss how to help the big game survive the harsh winters instead of this game camera topic.	Tyson		Chubbuck	ID
3533	7/12/2017 11:03	Yes	No	No	i do agree that the wireless (real time) cameras should not be allowed i strongly disagree with not allowing the trail cameras. we do use a trail camera during hunting season and all year around and enjoy sharing the pictures of different animals that come across them with our younger up and coming children. i can honestly say that i don't think we have made one big game kill do to the use of a trail cam. as far as taking away our radio communication, you are taking away the biggest safety device that we have during hunting season, if a person gets lost or hurt during a hunt he or she has a way to communicate with others to	mark bailey		middleton	idaho
3534	7/12/2017 11:05	No	No	No	It will be extremely difficult for outfitters to monitor their bear baits if this passes. It will hurt their businesses a lot. Please	David		Midway	Utah
3535	7/12/2017 11:06	Yes	No	Yes	I believe that no camera should be allowed during any current big game season, on public land at least.	Blake Smith		Silverlake	WA
3536	7/12/2017 11:07	Yes	Yes	Yes		KELBY FLOWERS	NONE	CHUBBUCK	IDAHO
3537	7/12/2017 11:08	No	No	Neutral		jim madden		grangeville	idaho
3538	7/12/2017 11:09	No	No	No	Why don't you try managing our wildlife and not spend so much time worrying about non important issues.	John freeman		Athol	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3539	7/12/2017 11:13	Yes	No	Neutral	Current regulations prohibit radio use for discussing specific location of game etc. This is loosely enforced (if at all). While restricting cell phones and texting is a good effort, it is unfeasible to police and difficult to enforce. As for the trail cameras, if you have to mine the data from a SIM card, I think they should be left alone. The wireless transmission (like a security camera) is different and this could be outlawed or restricted once the season opens in a given unit. They shouldn't be illegal as a scouting tool, but they would be illegal after August 30 (when most archery seasons open).	Monte mason		meridian	idaho
3540	7/12/2017 11:13	Yes	No	No		Kelly Ray		Pocatello	Idaho
3541	7/12/2017 11:15	No	No	No		George Thornock		Pocatello	Idaho
3542	7/12/2017 11:20	No	No	No	This is the stupidest and not to mention unsafe idea that had been presented in a long time. The idea that a camera makes me more successful is absurd. If that was the case I'd have had an elk every year for the past 15 years but as you guys know through records I've only harvested one. All's it does is help me know that there's animals there to be hunted. In no way shape or form makes me more stealthy or successful. I still have two fairly chase Just because a trail camera is in a location and make or may not have pictures of animals doesn't mean that the hunter will be able to make a kill successfully. All the camera does is tell that person there's a animal around.	Kim Wilson		Nampa	ID
3543	7/12/2017 11:24	No	No	No		Alex		Buhl	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3544	7/12/2017 11:25	No	No	No	I believe there needs to be further clarification on the restriction of 2-way communications rules before I can support it. I believe that 2 way radios should be allowed during hunting as a safety precaution and to communicate between hunters. I understand that it is unethical for a spotter to locate animals and then radio to other hunters the location of those animals, and it should be illegal. I believe there is a rule already stating this. Here is my question, how does a law enforcement officer know what the radio is being used for? I believe that the way the rule is written is not clear enough, and there will be confusion. Will I get sited because I have a radio on me so that I can stay in contact with my son? If he gets an animal down, and needs my help, will we be sited? I understand what the rule states, but again there is a gray area that can be found when an officer comes in contact with an individual who is hunting. Then the decision to site the hunter rests on the officer in the field. I have gone into the fish and game office in Idaho Falls and asked for clarification on specific rules, and been told that according to the regulations I am correct, but it would be up to the officer in the field. I would really like to go into the field knowing what rules I am breaking, and not be at risk of being sited because an officer reads the regulations a little different than I do. There is variation between each law enforcement officers regarding specific regulations, and hunters or fishermen are sometimes not clear on exactly how an officer views the regulation. Whenever I hunt I carry a paper copy of the regulations with me because I want to be clear on the rules, and I do not want to be breaking those rules. I can see real problems with how this rule is written. It is very frustrating wondering that if you come in contact with an officer, you might be sited because	michael johnson		Blackfoot	Idaho
3545	7/12/2017 11:29	Yes	No	Yes		Daniel Mathis		Trout Creek	mT
3546	7/12/2017 11:40	No	No	No	This is a waste time you are never going to be able enforce these rules, it seems you are just trying to give more authority to fish and game officers to bug any body in camo with a cell phone.	paul goicoechea		Hailey	idaho
3547	7/12/2017 11:40	No	No	No		Shannon Taylor	Sportsman	Eden	Idaho
3548	7/12/2017 11:41	No	No	Neutral		JimMartin	Hunter	Meridian	ID.

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3549	7/12/2017 11:53	Yes	Neutral	No	About two-way radio communication: Not having the ability to communicate with members of your hunting party is a safety issue. It will be very difficult to talk to a new hunter to tell them where you are going via 2-way radio without disclosing some information about big game. Part of teaching a new hunter is giving them short periods to hunt an area on their own . . . and then not get lost, meet up, and make sure their shooting areas are clear. They need to be able to describe where they are at, what they are looking at, and figure out if they can legally shoot big game. What if one member shoots an elk, it's getting late in the day, and a storm is coming in? The other hunters need to know about that, and what if they are looking at other big game animals? They need to be able to talk about whether to pursue or let them go without fear of prosecution. What sort of problem are you really trying to solve? To reduce the number of hunters? Make hunting with new hunters more risky? Years ago a new 14-year-old hunter (with an adult) was shooting at an elk that had wandered near private ground. It was difficult to tell exactly. A cell phone call to a friend, then to the landowner, then a text message later we had permission to pursue the elk. That was a great lesson learned in situational awareness for the new hunter. Would that become illegal? With our public lands becoming increasingly fractured, being able to communicate in the field is more important than ever. No one wants to lose their license while	Chris Maxcer	none	Moscow	Idaho
3550	7/12/2017 11:53	No	No	No		Jeff Jeppesen		Victor	Idaho
3551	7/12/2017 12:05	No	No	No	The use of trail cameras helps educate the hunter on the age, sex and even health of the animal before the animal is harvested, and I fully support the use of trail cams.	Alex		Sandpoint	Idaho
3552	7/12/2017 12:19	No	No	No		Rock rice		Ammon	Idaho
3553	7/12/2017 12:34	No	No	No	None of these restrictions serve any purpose. These are a waste of time, and will not be enforceable. These are too ambiguous.	Larry C		Shoshone	Idaho
3554	7/12/2017 12:46	No	No	No	The two way communication creates a safety issue in my mind. The trail camera are an excellent tool to study the animals in your area and actually help in a hunter taking a mature healthy animal. With the "live feed" cameras the hunter would still have to be able to get into the spot with the trail camera undetected and it doesn't guarantee harvest in least.	Jared Boley		Rupert	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3555	7/12/2017 12:47	Yes	No	No	Getting a message from a trail camera indicating an animal in the area is not much different in my mind than using a drone.	Andrew Anderson		Boise	Idaho
3556	7/12/2017 12:59	Yes	No	Yes	That said I don't think there is anything unsportsmanlike about using two way radios or using trail cameras in the traditional sense of going out and checking it from time to time.... I see no problem in the use of a 2-way radio in the recovery of a downed animal. But I do have a problem if someone uses the radio to tell a fellow hunter as to the location of a live animal that is being hunted. That is not fair chase in my opinion.	Mark Murray		Boise	Idaho
3557	7/12/2017 13:03	No	No	No	Thanks for letting us comment on proposed changes. I don't know what you see while in the field in regards to these proposals so my input is based on what I am familiar with and at this time of all the hunters I know this is not something they do or would do. Obviously there are people out there who will cheat the system but they will do that no matter what. I think the adding of regulations for something like this at this time is not needed. Having a trail cam I think is fine. I read G as too much, If I was to go out and check a cam, whether an animal was on it or not, then happen to harvest while out or the next day, that would make me out to be a poacher. I don't think that is fair to the	steve kearbey		hailey	Id
3558	7/12/2017 13:07	Yes	No	No		Steven Morgado		Emmett	Idaho
3559	7/12/2017 13:09	No	No	No	I do not feel it is necessary to restrict the use of trail camera's as an aid to hunting. The use of a trail cam only allows you to see what animals are in your hunting area and an approximate time they go through the area, which can change depending on numerous factors such as hunting pressure and predators. Most people I know that use them it shows that their passion for hunting by taking the time to set up the cameras follow the animals and learn their habits. It takes time, patience, and	Nathan		Meridian	Idaho
3560	7/12/2017 13:16	Yes	No	No		Bill Mackleit		Clarkston	WA
3561	7/12/2017 13:16	No	No	No	Radios are also used for hunter safety and should no way be restricted.	Kaleb Snell		Idaho falls	Idaho
3562	7/12/2017 13:34	Yes	No	No		Debi Harris		Moscow	Idaho
3563	7/12/2017 13:55	Neutral	No	No		Rand Bracken		Moscow	ID
3564	7/12/2017 13:56	Yes	No	No		Joseph		Shelley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3565	7/12/2017 13:58	No	No	No	Do not make any laws regarding the use of trail camera's, whether they transmit or not. imagine this, i am planning of going to my bait when i get a text picture that a grizzly with cubs is on it and i choose to not go to that bait. Or if you impose the law, i don't get a picture, i go to the bait and unknowingly get between the sow and her cubs. Let alone the obvious conservation value of actually knowing what is around your camera, letting sportsmen make more informed choices about the game they harvest.	Adam Mott		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3566	7/12/2017 13:59	Yes	Yes	Yes	Do not make any laws regarding communication devices. i have actually used my radio while hunting to call for help and it Donf need that stuff to hunt I've lived here for all my life hunting 35 years	Jim english		Post falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3567	7/12/2017 14:03	Yes	Yes	No	The trail camera issue is fine. However, restricting two way communication is an illegal restriction on free speech and may be also preempted by the FCC's regulation of radio communication, amateur radio etc.The first amendment issue argument in fuller detail: IF&G's proposed rule prohibiting electronic communication (cellphones, radio, etc.) to hunt probably violates the 1st Amendment right to free speech. This rule is a prohibition of speech and not conduct. Compare this law to cellphone usage. The laws against cellphones while driving don't prohibit you from speaking what you want to say into them. The laws only prohibit how you use them (only hands-free usage). States can't pass laws prohibiting you from telling other drivers where a police officer may be sitting running radar, or where you found a good parking spot so your friends find them first. How then can the state prohibit you from telling others where there are big game? Generally, to restrict protected speech (basically no yelling fire in a theatre or instigating fights) and not violate the 1st amendment, the government must have a compelling reason and must narrowly tailor the law to its purpose and not go further than needed. IF&G's website said the purpose of the rule was for fair chase and ethical hunting. This is not a compelling reason to limit speech. The US Supreme Court just ruled that North Carolina's blanket ban on sex offenders using social media violated the first amendment. There is no way Idaho can say that hunting using radio communication is more important and	Jason Thomas		Moscow	ID
3568	7/12/2017 14:05	No	No	No	I always carry a two way radio to use in the event I have an emergency and need help. In that sense, it is an aid to hunting as I would not be hunting without the ability so summons help if needed. Many people carry a cell phone for the same reason and their ability to call 911 has greatly improved the response time for search and rescue when looking for someone who is lost or injured. I would ask that you not restrict our ability to seek help	Len Humphries		Fremont Col Saint Anthony	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3569	7/12/2017 14:06	Yes	No	No	Many hunters use two way radio communications to collaborate the recovery of a "downed" game animal.... I feel like it makes the harvesting of a wounded or "downed" animal a more efficient process. I feel like the restriction of them would lead to more lost game that is wounded and waste of a harvested animal! My family and I utilize radios to help with tracking wounded or hit game as well as processing and packing of harvested animals.... this allows us to make sure we never lose a animal and allows us to use everything we can off it!	Shaun Horn		Nampa	ID
3570	7/12/2017 14:12	No	No	No	I don't feel that restricting trail cams will really make a difference. I don't use them anymore because people are always stealing them anyways. You still have to go find them anyways. Honestly I rarely see any trail cams in the woods and I am in the woods everyday. I don't agree with making cellphones and radios illegal while hunting either. My cellphone is my gps, my watch and a communications device if I get hurt or lost. There has been many people saved in the woods because they were able to make a call to get help. It is a safety issue and should never be banned.	Micheal		Stanley	ID
3571	7/12/2017 14:19	No	No	No	We don't need more regulation. I see that is as an unfair and unreasonable reason for fish and game to get unneeded search warrants giving hunters a hard time.	Jarom		Rigby	Idaho
3572	7/12/2017 14:25	Yes	No	Yes	In regard to two-way electronic communication, I feel that these devices should only be use in emergency situations only. I lived in Alaska for 37 years and that state had a strict law against using these devices for hunting purposes and I think it should be the same for Idaho.	Charles B Hudson	Boundary Cc	Bonnars Ferry	Idaho
3573	7/12/2017 14:27	No	No	No		Dominic Call		Rigby	Idaho
3574	7/12/2017 14:28	No	No	No		Jeff Hayes		Idaho falls	Idaho
3575	7/12/2017 14:48	Yes	Neutral	Yes	I see nothing wrong with returning the act of hunting back to an act of hunting. If we continue to allow all the advancements in technology, the act of hunting will become an act of harvesting. Limiting the technology keeps the hunt on a level playing field for all hunters. I see nothing wrong with using two way radios to communicate between two hunters on a spot and stalk but to use them to call another person into the field from their couch warrants more consideration as unfair or overkill.	David Flowers		Payette	Idaho
3576	7/12/2017 14:55	No	No	Neutral		Brian Hoelscher		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3577	7/12/2017 15:12	Yes	Yes	No	Trail cameras are a lazy hunters tool. It's one thing to want to see whats coming down the trail, but using surveillance technology to scout game, while forgoing the natural challenges of scent, noise, and sight awareness takes the "hunt" out of the harvest. Hunting gets a lot easier when you just show up where you know game is and pull a trigger or fling an arrow (to a lesser point).	Joe		Kuna	Idaho
3578	7/12/2017 15:25	Yes	No	Neutral	As long as two-way communicating is allowed in the woods it will be abused to aid in the harvest of game. However, the safety benefits of having two-way communication far exceed the criticism of aiding in harvest. Plus, whats the difference between sending a hunter up the gut of a deep dark valley while the other sits on the other side ready to shoot what pops out... at least the hunters are still out there pounding the ground and actually hunting. We use radios to talk back and forth while lion and bear hunting. It is very important to be able to get to our hounds as fast as possible now that we have wolves. We also use GPS trackers for our dogs. I would assume this does not fit your version of "two way electronic communication". It would not bother me to do away with two way radios communicating locations of elk and deer. Sheep, moose and goat are already so restricted that I do not think radio communications are a problem with these species. In other words, if you restricted radio or phone communication, I do not think you are going to add more tags because it took pressure off the sheep. In elk and deer units where there is already to much pressure such as the Sawtooth Zone or in a wilderness November unlimited controlled deer hunt, you may be able to use this tool to minimize crowding. I already answered these questions once but was sent an email from F and G that the	Travis Bullock		Challis	Idaho
3579	7/12/2017 15:33	Yes	No	No		Renee Remming		Naples	IDAHO
3580	7/12/2017 15:48	No	No	Yes		Matt Springer		Mountain Home	ID
3581	7/12/2017 16:02	No	No	No		Cameron Mack		Nampa	Idaho
3582	7/12/2017 16:07	No	No	No	I think we should in force the rules already in place rather than creating more of them.	Brandon Petross		New Plymouth	Idaho
3583	7/12/2017 16:09	Yes	No	No		Steve wallace		Pleasanton	Ca
3584	7/12/2017 16:18	Yes	No	No		Austin Barnett		Midvale	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3585	7/12/2017 16:22	No	No	No	<p>It is my thought that the use of cameras and particularly the live streaming cameras are used more frequently but outfitters that help them establish areas to hunt in order to ensure their clients are successful. As a result of this use I dont support these high end cameras. Why should an outfitter get some advantage over the average hunter who cannot devote as much time in the field for scouting. In addition more and more people are using these cameras to protect their property and there could be conflict on what the intent of the property owner has with the cameras on their property.</p> <p>Being a retired law enforcement officer I have never been in favor of creating laws when personnel on the ground cannot enforce the law. If these laws are to be added it should be with the understanding that Idaho congress provides the monies to do it.</p>	Craig Nelson		Clark Fork	ID
3586	7/12/2017 16:27	Yes	No	Neutral	<p>With regard to electronic communication in the field I believe it Trail cams are a big part of hunting now day's... however I believe that hunters should have to check them physically, get out in the woods that's what it's about. But I hope there use is not terminated entirely, we love them for bear hunting.</p>	Ryan Peterson		Rigby	IDAHO
3587	7/12/2017 16:30	Yes	No	Yes	<p>I enjoy the thrill of checking cameras to see what animals are in certain areas. No only that, but it makes scouting exciting, interesting and exillering! To take that away would be very devastating to the sport.</p>	John Powers		Lewiston	Idaho
3588	7/12/2017 16:33	Yes	No	No	<p>I feel that trail cameras should not be restricted unless they transmit images wirelessly for most species. I think cameras that are capable of transmitting images should be allowed for predatory species such as bear, cougar and wolf. They are very helpful in identifying animals that are worthy targets as well as eliminating time wasted in areas that will not be productive. Two way communications are very helpful for safety purposed and I believe they eliminate a lot of search and rescue operations that</p>	John Carpenter		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3589	7/12/2017 16:34	No	No	No		Travis		Nampa	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3590	7/12/2017 16:36	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras make for awesome pictures you wouldn't other wise get but I think you need to put the leg work in to check them that's scouting. Two-way communication is good for lost hunter situations or walking someone onto a downed animal ban them and they now won't be in the field as a tool for search and rescue	Michael nave	Sparkymtn c	Sandy	Utah
3591	7/12/2017 16:41	Yes	No	Yes	I do agree that hunters should be restricted from using live-streaming cameras as an aid to taking big game. Hunters should not be able to sit at camp and wait for the cameras to get a hit. I do not believe that trail cameras that must be physically monitored (by means of SD card or some other storage device) provide any more advantage than the hunter who got up on the side of the hill early in the AM and glassed the water hole all morning. I feel that in some instances the use of these trail cameras may even reduce some hunters success rates due to their simple presence while checking the camera (scent, sound, sight). I feel like physically checked cameras are hugely beneficial early on in the season due to preseason scouting, however it becomes less useful during the actual season since one is mostly going to be walking timber or glassing anyway. I would support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications as an aid to hunting IF the proposed language was changed. I feel that the terms "in any manner" and "approximate location" are overly broad. Does that mean if I text my cousin that I saw two bucks in drainage 'X' that I am in violation of the law when he then goes up and harvests one? What If I see two bucks go into the timber by the spring and I radio my friend to come away from the creek and help me push this timber, would I then be in violation of the law when we both go in and harvest the pair of bucks? This law is too open to tricky	Trevor Johnson		Star	Idaho
3592	7/12/2017 16:52	Yes	No	No		Kristopher Mueller		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3593	7/12/2017 16:58	No	No	No		Robert bronson		Malad	Idaho
3594	7/12/2017 17:08	Yes	No	No		Jarad Howard		McCall	ID
3595	7/12/2017 17:15	No	No	No		Molly Thornock		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3596	7/12/2017 17:26	Yes	No	No	I feel that taking a picture with my phone then shooting in no way aids me in my hunt. Whether it be a long shot or a spot and stalk, taking a video and/or picture to document it should be our right as hunters. Radios are used for safety and communication between people, using a radio for a spot and stalk as long as it is used ethically should be allowed, not only for the safety of the hunter but the lively hood of the animal.	Theron Thomas		Twin Falls	ID
3597	7/12/2017 17:29	Yes	No	No	I use my radio/ GPS to send locations of animal down, my current location, or any other thing I need sent. I also use the radio to check on my dad or who ever else. I see nothing wrong with using a two way radios or a cell phone in the act of hunting. I like filming my hunts I like taking pictures of the hunts. Communication in the field is a valuable item when in the field.	Jonathan kelm		Boise	Idaho
3598	7/12/2017 17:42	Neutral	No	No		Mike Chaffee		Coeur d'Alene	ID
3599	7/12/2017 17:44	No	No	Yes	I think using two way radios to stay in touch with party members is fine. To use them to guide hunters to game is I feel unsportsman like. But it would be hard to enforce probably.	Gary Wood		Meridian	Idaho
3600	7/12/2017 17:50	Yes	No	No		Dakota Jenkins		Nampa	ID
3601	7/12/2017 18:12	No	No	No		Tyler Young	none	Meridian	Idaho
3602	7/12/2017 18:13	No	No	No	I would agree with not using trail cameras that use a phone chip to transmit. I feel like Bluetooth or Wi-Fi should be fine.	Casey Bell	Idaho hunter	Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3603	7/12/2017 18:14	Yes	No	No	The use of cell phones and radios is a safety issue for me and my boys. I also often hunt alone and depend on my cell phone in areas where I can get coverage to make sure people know where I am at and will be going. I have also hiked up to call for help when my truck has broken down. I also don't believe that game cameras enhance anybody's ability to harvest an animal. You still have to hike into an area, scout, set up cameras and hike out. Then go back in and get them. If anything it ties that person to a certain area. I have used cameras in the past, but honestly do not believe that they gave me any advantage when it came to hunting season. When I have seen other people's cameras I saw it as a plus for me knowing that other people were hunting the same area and made the decision to go deeper in to get away from them.	Travis White		Boise	idaho
3604	7/12/2017 19:03	No	No	No	As a side note, I would like to see the sawtooth elk tag become a controlled hunt. We did try to get tags but were not totally tied to getting one. Went to Dick's sporting goods in Boise. The very first guy in line bought a tag for himself and 16 other people. That's ridiculous! Only the first three people in line were able to	Mike O'Connell		Moscow	ID
3605	7/12/2017 19:13	Yes	No	Neutral	Restrictions on the use of game cameras will do more to hurt the enjoyment of sportsmen than it will do to effect the likelihood of harvesting an animal. Checking game camera images is a very enjoyable part of the outdoor sports, especially in situations like at bear bait sites. The communication restrictions would be very difficult to enforce due to difficulty determining a difference between posting a scenery picture on social media vs using communication to help harvest game. These communication laws are likely going to be	Bill Schmidt		Kimberly	ID
3606	7/12/2017 19:23	Yes	Yes	Yes	Live stream cameras should be restricted to time of use. Other trail cameras should be allowed to be used year round and for the devices for communication should be restricted for pursuing game but needs to be used for emergency use or to locate family or friends when divided up so each other now where they are at	Karen Bond	N/A	Boise	ID
3607	7/12/2017 19:23	No	Yes	No	I can't believe that hunters consider using ANY technological devices for hunting ANY game. This is obviously an unfair advantage! Come on, where's the sport in that!!? Absurd!	kelden		Pocatello	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3608	7/12/2017 19:25	Yes	Yes	Neutral		steve		Weston	ID
3609	7/12/2017 19:26	Yes	Yes	Neutral		steve		Weston	ID
3610	7/12/2017 19:27	No	No	No	I don't think that the use of cell phone trail cameras make a difference on taking game. I believe it helps hunters save on fuel and time on checking them. It's not like you can rush to your trail camera and take that animal. 2 way radio are already outlawed to help in the aid of taking animals. They are nice in case of an emergency where you don't have cell service. I think the more you restrictions the less people will be compliant with the officers in the field. They already make you feel guilty when you abide by	Joe arave		Blackfoot	Id
3611	7/12/2017 19:27	No	No	No	Leave it alone	Joe rekow		Emmett	Idaho
3612	7/12/2017 19:27	Neutral	No	No		Chuck traughber		Idaho falls	Id
3613	7/12/2017 19:33	Neutral	No	No	I don't know why we have to go through crap, it's not like we are killing a lot of game. All we use trail cams for is to locate animals. We check them about every three or four days. We don't use wireless, we walk we scout	Rick Nii		Rigby	Idaho
3614	7/12/2017 19:42	No	No	No		Charles Harris		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3615	7/12/2017 19:43	Yes	Yes	Yes		Jake Holloway		Meridian	Idaho
3616	7/12/2017 19:43	No	No	No		Kami McGann		Emmett	Idaho
3617	7/12/2017 19:44	No	No	Yes		Keith Ohls		Nampa	Id
3618	7/12/2017 19:51	Yes	No	No		Melissa		Osburn	Idaho
3619	7/12/2017 20:01	No	No	No		Dalton		Blackfoot	Idaho
3620	7/12/2017 20:09	No	No	No		Rusty Markland		Idaho Falls	ID
3621	7/12/2017 20:11	No	No	No		Riley		Rigby	Id
3622	7/12/2017 20:11	No	No	No	I don't see how this is even an issue! I'd like to see f&g show evidence of where this has become an issue!	Mike Carter		Idaho falls	ID
3623	7/12/2017 20:14	Yes	No	Yes		Shane		Twin Falls	Idaho
3624	7/12/2017 20:15	No	No	No		Brian		Nampa	Idaho
3625	7/12/2017 20:16	No	No	No	I do not support the changes. Wording is unclear and to vague. The way it states now there is no way to clearly enforce, and could cost innocent people their hunting privlages.	Toby Joe K7nce		Weiser	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3626	7/12/2017 20:20	No	No	No	The trail cameras are tools that support what most of us already know. We put the cameras near Game trails, rubs, etc. IDFG is getting stupid about its rules.	Jason Woodward		Meridian	ID
					Two way communication is necessary, heck, it's a safety issue for me. I hunt with many family members and my gps let's them know where I am transmitting from so they don't shoot in my direction.				
3627	7/12/2017 20:21	Yes	No	Yes	Stop with the stupid rules. It comes across to us Sportsman as if	Chase case	Idaho hunter	Shelley	Idaho
3628	7/12/2017 20:27	Yes	No	No	to clarify my position, I believe it is in the best interest of Idaho sportsman to prohibit the use of game cameras that are able to transmit data or pictures wirelessly to a remote device such as a phone , laptop or e-mail server. I also believe that cameras that can collect data that must be accessed via an actual human interface (ie viewed via an sd card or other data collecting device) should be allowed. this in my mind is no different than the simple trail timers that have been used for 20+ years.	chris bain		rathdrum	id
3629	7/12/2017 20:28	Yes	No	Neutral		Jason wood		Saint anthony	Idaho
3630	7/12/2017 20:30	No	No	No		Jordan Kauer		Rigby	Id
3631	7/12/2017 20:38	Yes	No	Yes	If I have a trail camera on my elk wallow or bear bait how is any one gona know if I checked it or not that day before I climbed into my tree stand or turned my dogs loose. I check my bear bait trail cameras every time I turn my dogs loose on my baits. If there is a sow with 3 little Cubs or a kitten mountain lion cruising through a scratch I don't turn loose on them. I like using trail cameras and I think they are a very useful tool. If it was illegal to have them out during deer or elk season it wouldn't hurt my feelings. I like for predators to be able to put my trail camera on lion kills and go back and check it the next day and maybe turn loose on it. I think the ones that send you text should be outlawed. People sit in their house until they get a text and go out the back door and shoot their buck. And long as radio and God equipment stays legal with hound hunting I am for making the use of phones and other	Levi demers		Coeur d Alene	Idaho
3632	7/12/2017 20:57	Yes	No	Yes	As long as you can use trail cameras that do not wirelessly submit photos.	Daniel		Ririe	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3633	7/12/2017 21:06	Yes	No	No		Joe Follett		Rigby	Idaho
3634	7/12/2017 21:07	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hunting should be hunting not technology aided endeavor. I wish other western states would move in this direction.	Roger Gellersen		Kamas	UT
3635	7/12/2017 21:47	Yes	Yes	Yes	Lets make hunting, the way it should be. It has always bothered me when I check a water hole and find cameras all over it. There seems to be to many hunter advantages. I support restricting cameras. As far as the radios are concerned, I feel they contribute to party hunting.	scott allen		malad	Idaho
3636	7/12/2017 21:53	No	No	No		Justin Welch		Rigby	Id
3637	7/12/2017 21:53	No	No	No		Kody Morgan		Idaho falls	Idaho
3638	7/12/2017 21:55	No	No	No		Cory wells		Rigby	Idaho
3639	7/12/2017 21:56	No	No	No	We need to embrace tech not push it away. This is all about a certain commissioner from Meridian who happens to own a traditional archery supply store. It stupid and should not be	Ciara Huntsman		Idaho Falls	ID
3640	7/12/2017 21:56	No	No	No	I do not support this in any way.	josh binkley		rexburg	Idaho
3641	7/12/2017 22:01	No	No	No	I do not support this as I can think of no ethical issues to using such devices.	David Hollaway		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3642	7/12/2017 22:04	No	No	No	I don't see anything wrong with use of camera's or hand held radios. To check your unit that you will be hunting in .	Travis Lake		Idahofalls,Id	Idaho (ID)
3643	7/12/2017 22:05	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras that do not transmit live feeds should not be banned. Enforcement of such a rule would be nearly impossible. You still have to hike in and check them, still have to place them correctly, etc. Let's ban 90 yard shots by bow hunters or 800 yard rifle shots, that would be more productive.	Lance Bethke		Pocatello	Idaho
3644	7/12/2017 22:07	Yes	No	Yes		Theron desmet	Hunter/resid	Coeurdalene	Idaho
3645	7/12/2017 22:09	Yes	Yes	Yes		Tyler Horrocks	Avid hinter	Mccammon	Idaho
3646	7/12/2017 22:09	No	No	No		Jason Winegar		Tetonia	Idaho
3647	7/12/2017 22:11	No	Neutral	No	The way it is written you can't use walkie talkie . we use them for location of our hunting partners. It is a safety issue. This	Stan Davis	Self	Salmon	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3648	7/12/2017 22:12	Yes	No	No	If you have to physical go to the trail camera, I don't believe that should be restricted at all. The cameras that send pictures directly to a phone is good and bad. Prior to hunting season starting they wouldn't cause any issues, but once hunting season has started it would allow someone to get a picture and know that animal is at that spot and may give that person an advantage to harvesting that animal. Being able to communicate via cell phone, radio, or other transmitting device shouldn't be restricted at all. People have been using radios to hunt for a long time and I have not seen any advantage to it, meaning I don't think cell phones give any more advantage than a radio. I think being able to communicate with them allows us to be safer hunters in the field and in areas of no cell coverage it allows us to communicate to verify that everyone	Kiel Malone		New Plymouth	Idaho
3649	7/12/2017 22:16	No	No	Yes		Corbett		Rigby	Idaho
3650	7/12/2017 22:26	Yes	No	No		Jared Poole		Swan Valley	Idaho
3651	7/12/2017 22:27	No	No	No	Senior Citizens need to have communication devices to hunt so they can communicate with each other if they get in trouble. Game cameras used by older hunter help them to get out and hunt. I also notice that there are several tags not filled every year by hunter who use these devices. It seems that every time there is a rule change it takes senior hunters out of the field. Our hearing, sight, and stamina are all at a diminished level compared	Glenn Phillips		Sugar City	Idaho
3652	7/12/2017 22:29	No	No	No	This is ridiculous!!!! Trying to take away the use of any type of film or game camera is outrageous!! If this passes it will bring the risk of lots of business going out here in Idaho! Outfitters no longer being able to film hunts, or just the average person going on a hunt and wanting the memory on film, that will no longer be possible. A youths first elk, bear , deer etc never being able to see the first hunt on film. This is just sad!! You have really out done yourselves on this one fish and game!!	Kristina Murray		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3653	7/12/2017 22:29	Yes	No	No	I find there are cases that use of a two way is helping in a safety concerns. Example- having a partner walk in on a wounded animal. Spotter would be able to guide him in to finish an animal off safely and quickly. Trail cameras that can transmute pictures though makes a hunter capable of knowing when any animal is at multiple locations at once. Which isn't hunting. A trail cam with a Sim card makes the hunter have to go and manually check that cam. Which is alot harder due to the fact he has to put his sent and his presence in the area to do so.	Kevin tannee		New Plymouth	ID
3654	7/12/2017 22:36	No	No	No	The term "aid to hunting " is very BROAD ! Too much can be entered in to it, and not very definitive. A spotting scope is an aid to hunting as are binoculars. We see things we can't see with the naked eye, so therefore it gives us an advantage.. Are those next to be deemed under the ethical chase to be banned? We have been using two way communication (walkie talkies) for years. Why bad now? What is the extra cost to enforce rules such as these? Don't we have a hard enough time staying under budget? I think sometimes our priorities get out of line. New technology has gave us all an advantage in our hunting. Whether it be from open sites to magnified telescopic sites, or a straight bow to a recurve to a compound bow. All an advantage. Lets put our efforts towards maintaining our game herds and don't sweat the	Michael Malone		NEW PLYMOUTH	Idaho
3655	7/12/2017 22:40	No	No	Yes		Eric Barnard	Avid hunter	Idaho falls	Idaho
3656	7/12/2017 22:42	Yes	No	No		Jason wells		Pocatello	Id
3657	7/12/2017 22:58	Neutral	No	No	Considering the harvest rates/percentages as they are, further restrictions as to how animal behaviors and habits are recorded and evaluated by hunters is a hinderance to the hunter(s) not an argument of fair chase. Hunting and communicating within hunting parties should not be restricted, nor debated. This is what allows hunters to enjoy the company of other hunters and help one another be successful in their efforts to pursue game and harvest food for their families. Why are we constantly looking for more ways to restrict hunters instead of empowering them?!	J. Colt Sweeten		Rexburg	Idaho
3658	7/12/2017 23:08	No	No	No		Shane Burrup		Fruitland	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3659	7/12/2017 23:18	No	No	No	Gps and communications is a positive way to stay safe while out in the field and especially with kids. Banning trail cameras absolutely makes no sense what so ever. These devices dont make you anymore successful at harvesting animals. If anything, the cameras add to a young hunters excitement of the anticipation to getting back out in the field. I am completely against this	Paul Pierce	Sportsman	Boise	Idaho
3660	7/12/2017 23:37	No	No	No	I dont believe that will be helpful in any sort of way.	Logan		Caldwell	Idaho
3661	7/13/2017 0:21	No	No	No	When the hell can idaho start using expandable blades. Come on its 2017 idaho bow hunting rules are so out dated.	Jarrold		Mountain Home	Idaho
3662	7/13/2017 0:23	No	No	No	I'm disagreeing with this. I don't think limiting or getting rid of these items will help with, Conservation or help with having more Game in the Field. An with Idaho being the Hunting Area that it is. Most of the time the Game isn't in the same Area, After the image is Captured. Part of what I think why your, Doing this is because the sportsman are doing your job on monitoring wildlife. An with this being said I don't think you should be useing the same items to intrap a sportsman. An use planes an radio back an forth to the Officer on the ground to say that there's Hunters over on the Ridge. An have the Officer Drive his ATV over where they are walking an hunting. An seeing how a ATV is a aid to hunting. If you ban the use of a item, You should not be able to use that item	Gary		Lewisville	Idaho
3663	7/13/2017 0:38	No	No	No		Loren Alley		Wilder	Idaho
3664	7/13/2017 3:45	No	No	No	Stupid idea folks. If this isn't proof IDFG is ran by idiots nothing is.	Jake		Caldwell	ID
3665	7/13/2017 4:13	No	No	No		Mayson Wetzstein		Burley	Id
3666	7/13/2017 4:36	No	No	No	I do not support this change. Stop with more regulations. I have or never intend to use trail cameras, but come on what next. The people I know who use cameras dont abuse this fair chase rule. Its the 5-10% who do abuse this that your after. Dont ruin it for the rest of the sportsman. No more regulations!	Jason		Athol	Idaho
3667	7/13/2017 4:42	No	No	No	Absolutely no!!!!	Gregory Thompson		Rigby	Id
3668	7/13/2017 5:07	No	No	No		Rich Tillotso		Moore	Idaho
3669	7/13/2017 5:54	No	No	No	I've helped catch more trespassers and poachers using these	Skyler		Idaho falls	Idaho
3670	7/13/2017 6:13	Yes	No	No	Have used cameras for bear hunting for years. Helps aid me in knowing when sows with young are coming in. Also let's me keep track how many bears in area. I don't think cameras should be able to be checked from home though	Greg mann		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3671	7/13/2017 6:51	No	No	No	How has a trail camera ever helped anyone kill an animal??? It is a great tool to see what is coming in to your stand or bait and almost a must have for bear baiting with all the grizzlies out there.	Jeremy mangum		Blackfoot	Idaho
3672	7/13/2017 6:54	Yes	Yes	Yes	I grew up in Idaho and have never used trail cams or two way radios to harvest any large game. Now I've never harvested any trophies but my family always hunted for food. I don't feel using those devices is fair to the animals. Hunters need to get out, walk around and spend time scouting and learning the animals and their movements the way we had to prior to these devices being	David Fiscus	Idaho reside	Woodland	California
3673	7/13/2017 7:03	No	No	No	IDF&G is too underfunded and understaffed to be making anymore nonsense laws. Lets do a better job enforcing existing rules such as motorized vehicle restrictions. Lets focus on things that matter and not nitpicky nonsense like trail cameras. Transmitting trail cameras as silly but they don't magically kill an animal. The hunter still has to get there and relocate said animal and not let human error get in the way of harvest. Only very few animals will be harvested by the aid of these cameras. If anything simply follow montana and limit game camera use to pre and post season only. Even then its a silly law that's hard to enforce. Don't waste	Tyrell bowen		Inkom	Idaho
3674	7/13/2017 7:03	No	No	No	It is,a bunch of crap to not allow! Come on fish and game! Rally?	Rick popejoy		Blackfoot	Idaho
3675	7/13/2017 7:40	Yes	No	Yes	Cameras sending photos or video to phones or computers should be illegal. Using trail cams during the summer while NOT hunting should be allowed. Non transmitting trail cameras during hunting season really doesn't provide much of an advantage but probably should be eliminated like Montana has done. Enforcement of these restrictions will be nearly impossible unfortunately.	DJ Larsen	Licensed hur	Ammon	Idaho
3676	7/13/2017 7:47	No	No	No	If you really think these things are affecting the population of big game animal, Then you should really look back an think if re introducing the wolf was such a good idea! I will bet you that the wolf is a bigger problem 10 times over than game cams or cell phones or two way radios will ever be. I think its time that you look at what's really hurting the population instead of just looking for something to do!!! Doing something this this would be like the public talking away your two way radio an cell phone.	Ty Walker		american falls	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3677	7/13/2017 7:51	Yes	Yes	Yes	Idaho will continue to receive my patronage in part due to its stance on electronics and technology. As a non-resident, I need to cost justify travel and the very high tag cost (compared to resident). I consistently I see first hand your Fish and Game officers consistently checking equipment and enforcing illegal OHV usage as best they can. My archery equipment has been checked each time and that's a good thing. As long as I can afford it, I will continue to hunt Idaho each year.	Jason Wagner		north ogden	Utah
3678	7/13/2017 7:54	No	No	No		Mark Taylor		Rexburg	Idaho
3679	7/13/2017 8:06	Yes	Neutral	No	For safety reasons, I think that restricting two-way communication devices is a bad idea. Most people hunt together (or in groups) and should be able to communicate with each other. This rule is extremely vague as well.	Logan Bunnis		Mountain Home	Idaho
3680	7/13/2017 8:08	Yes	No	No	I agree with limiting some technology as I believe its ruining hunting this day and age. However enforcing these issues will almost be impossible and will only allow the dishonest corrupt hunters an advantage that they wont get ticketed for anyway. Maybe in the future coming up with a better way to implement the wording and actions of the law would be justifiable.	Brandon Fahnholz		Salmon	idaho
3681	7/13/2017 8:22	No	No	No	My hunting partners and I are all in our late 60's and all have different heart issue form joint replacements to cancer to hearts issues. We carry radios to keep track of each other in the event of an accident. If we weren't allowed to use them we wouldn't be able to enjoy hunting any longer. Please don't take that away	Dan Carrico		Twin Falls	Idaho
3682	7/13/2017 8:31	Neutral	No	No		Heidi F		Rigby	Idaho
3683	7/13/2017 8:39	No	No	No		Kevin Malone		Boise	Idaho
3684	7/13/2017 8:42	No	No	No	Restricting these devices will not add to conservation but rather only limit hunters. The ban is ridiculous and the fact that you deleted thousands of comments just to try to sneak these regulations in is shameful.	Randy Clark		Elk city	Idaho
3685	7/13/2017 8:43	No	No	No	Restricting two way communications for hunters is just plain dangerous.	Michael Hopper		Idaho falls	Idaho
3686	7/13/2017 9:06	No	No	No	I think that the advantage gained using trail cameras to harvest big game is minimal at best. I think it gives the hunter a better idea of the population and health of the herd.	Dan Dobransky		Kuna	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3687	7/13/2017 9:12	No	No	No	Have you ever been in a emergency or life and death situation? I have and know how important communication is. (Bear attack 2002) If not for radio contact could have been fatal. Radios are very important to locate and unite hunting partners,family and help. as an aid to hunting and killing an animal I do not use them that way. I am a senior citizen now and have hunted for 53 years and I cannot believe how we as hunters of food are under attack of taking our ability to hunt and harvest food for our family and being safe. I know this falls on deaf ears but i want my vote recorded. We all ready have been restricted by motorized travel. (another safety issue) I do not support any more restrictions. My email is not for sale or to be used for advertising. for comment	Nolan Koller	Family	Soda Springs	Idaho
3688	7/13/2017 9:14	Yes	No	Yes		Matt Misner		Lewisville	Idaho
3689	7/13/2017 9:22	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think that you should be able to passively look at game. I think that scouting needs to be an on the ground activity.	Andrew J Mikek		Midvale	Idaho
3690	7/13/2017 9:26	No	No	No		Jacob Finn		Rigby	Idaho
3691	7/13/2017 9:30	No	No	No	Don't you think current regulations and rules are enough to restrict hunting? It is apparent your enforcement personnel have issues trying to manage compliance and administer the current laws on the books. Not their fault, just the sheer volume of hunters in the field. I would suggest you pay closer attention to off road use by side by side and ATV activities. Like "sky busting" for some waterfowl hunters, who shall define that concept anyway? It all boils down to hunter experience, ethics and respect for the wildlife. Lets give all hunters credit for being a little more intelligent than you apparently do! Ethical hunters will always display ethics, and vise versa. Thanks, and good luck.	Roy Stoddard		Twin Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3692	7/13/2017 9:31	No	No	No	Don't you think current regulations and rules are enough to restrict hunting? It is apparent your enforcement personnel have issues trying to manage compliance and administer the current laws on the books. Not their fault, just the sheer volume of hunters in the field. I would suggest you pay closer attention to off road use by side by side and ATV activities. Like "sky busting" for some waterfowl hunters, who shall define that concept anyway? It all boils down to hunter experience, ethics and respect for the wildlife. Lets give all hunters credit for being a little more intelligent than you apparently do! Ethical hunters will always display ethics, and vise versa. Thanks, and good luck.	Roy Stoddard		Twin Falls	Idaho
3693	7/13/2017 9:49	No	No	No		Jacob Hobbes		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3694	7/13/2017 10:05	No	No	No	As an avid outdoors man I use the following device, trail cameras, radios, and cellphones. I must say that the possibility of a F&G officer asking me if I have a cell phone on my person is beyond ridiculous. The advent of the cell phone certainly has added a new dimension to hunting and outdoor activities. So have the improvement of bullet ballistic coefficients, Goertex, improved hiking boots, optic, and the list goes on. I would strongly oppose any such action as this proposal has suggested. Certainly trail cameras have helped inform someone what might be in the area but I have hunted bears that I have numerous photos of on my cameras and still I do not harvest the animal. The element of time spent in the field, timing, and of course luck all play into actually sealing the deal. It would be a complete shame if such a restriction was put into place. I would hope that you spend more time helping people understand hunting ethics and less time regulating items such as these that you are proposing. Please feel free to reach out to me in person if you have any further questions. Jason Ormond cell: 208-221-5503 Email:	Jason Ormond		Chubbuck	Idaho
3695	7/13/2017 10:34	No	No	Yes	Very few trail cams have cell signal capability and in most locations will not have any or limited cell signal to send images. combined with limited or no signal at "camp" or on the move this is a non-needed rule. You have ommited the original question of banning cell phone use for big game hunting in this survey but you have left the cell-trail camera question on the form.... seems like the two should be combined.	jerry fattig		wendell	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3696	7/13/2017 10:35	No	No	No		Darby Smith	Idaho Resident	Rigby	Idaho
3697	7/13/2017 10:38	No	No	No		Jason Sparks		Montpelier	Idaho
3698	7/13/2017 10:42	No	No	No	You guys are always trying to keep Idaho sportsman in the dark ages of technology look how long it took to get 85% let off on archery equipment or how ridiculous the rules are for	George Nelson		Nampa	Idaho
3699	7/13/2017 10:42	No	No	No	Don't allow Live-Feed cameras. Just because technology has made retrieving images easier, via phones with Bluetooth capability, doesn't mean that game animals are at a greater disadvantage during hunting seasons.	Ryan Spaniel		Moscow	ID
3700	7/13/2017 10:59	No	No	No		William Meyers		Boise	ID
3701	7/13/2017 11:19	No	No	No		Ian DeLand		Rathdrim	ID
3702	7/13/2017 11:28	No	No	No	My uncle and I have more fun with pictures off of bear baits than actually harvesting animals most years. Please don't take this form of hunting from us. The advantages of trail cameras are not harmful to bears, often times we have a much better idea if the animal is a true mature bear. It helps us avoid killing sows and small bears. Trail cameras promote conservation and should	Weston Mott		Weston	Idaho
3703	7/13/2017 12:06	Yes	No	No		Terry mack		Cascade	Idaho
3704	7/13/2017 12:09	Neutral	No	Neutral	It is a fine line between Higher Success and Ethical hunting.. IDFG I think struggles understanding that.. Such as Lighted Knocks on arrows , they in Noway aid in shooting, but do allow for easier following of arrow flight helping to know of a good impact... It is a New and Modern world, Much like the NFL and MLB with replay, IDFG needs to embrace it for what it is.. What next, a limit on Scope Power to stop Long Range Hunting..? One still needs to put in the time and effort to be successful, so I say roll with and stop making it harder on everyone...	George Nelson	Idaho Deer /	Meridian	Idaho
3705	7/13/2017 12:13	Yes	No	Neutral		Andrew Johnson		Edgewood	NM
3706	7/13/2017 12:14	No	No	No	These purposed restrictions are unnecessary to the management of our big game in Idaho.	Pastor Ron Campbell		Bonnars Ferry	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3707	7/13/2017 12:15	Yes	No	No	I see no harm in stills that were taken at anytime on trail cameras, however live feeds and electronic transmission of images and video with an unattended device do seem to give unfair advantage. Trail cam pix in and of themselves make no bearing on the advantage or disadvantage of a hunt. As for radios, I believe banning those could actually create more dangerous situations as they are often used in locating other hunters and summoning help in case of emergency than they are in organizing the illegal gang hunting parties that activists are so concerned about.	Kristen Campbell		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
3708	7/13/2017 12:24	Yes	No	Yes	I agree to a point that electronically transmitted cameras should be limited. For many hunters and outfitters however they are necessary for the success of there business. To check trail cams when you have 100 of them scattered across miles and miles of landscape maybe 50 miles apart at times can cause an issue with time as far as checking them. I see that it would be fair to limit the amount and style of camera used only for personal hunters/trapping. Outfitters should be limited to number of cameras used not the style of system for the betterment of business and easability of access for area covered by an outfitters. Personal cameras should be limited to basic non-transmitting cameras and also limited to number used per game season.	Robert		Idaho reside 1721 N Willow Spc WA	
3709	7/13/2017 12:43	Yes	No	No	what's next, do we eliminate fish finders? Maybe down riggers? how about high powered spotting scopes or even better yet how about game calls, how can it be fair to the game animal to use a game call to bring them to you??? this camera thing is nonsense.. Sorry just a way to infringe on our rights to hunt animals.	sadie	Hunter	Idaho Falls	Idaho
3710	7/13/2017 12:43	No	No	No		Paul C. Schiffman		Oakley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3711	7/13/2017 12:47	No	No	No	<p>This is going to make honest people dishonest or certainly only hurt the honest hunter. I have a right to take pictures with any kind of camera. The mere fact that I have a picture of an animal does not ensure that I can harvest it. In addition you will never be able to enforce this rule. I can have a camera in the field and you'll never prove that it is used as an aid to hunting.</p> <p>Communication devices will continue to be used even if there is a rule against them. Code, or other means of communicating the same information will replace audible understandable communication. This too will be unfair to the honest hunter and certainly cause them to want to be dishonest because everyone will be abusing the rule. How are you going to enforce it?</p> <p>Seeing someone with a phone or communication device does not mean a thing, how can you prove what it is being used for?</p>	David Silcock		Carmen	Idaho
3712	7/13/2017 12:47	No	No	Neutral	<p>I totally oppose these rule changes.</p> <p>Although I do not use them, cameras help get a pattern of use in an area</p>	Reid Treadaway		sandpoint	Idaho
3713	7/13/2017 12:53	No	No	No		Dusty Darbin		Caldwell	Idaho
3714	7/13/2017 13:11	No	No	No	<p>Hi- It seems that this issue is an area where the state is trying to ignore that technology has changed things. Allowing hunters to communicate with each other in the field makes excellent sense. It's a safety issue, but also if allows for hunters to have better chances to get game. I'm not sure how you would regulate it either and doing so brings up a whole host of privacy issues. You'd probably need a warrant to look at someone's phone. Also, it's not like people are poaching as a result. Fish and Game should want people to get game so they buy more tags and licenses and have a good time when they are out there. This will make it harder for that. As for the trail cameras, I think they are beneficial. If a person is out there putting out and checking cameras, then they are out in the woods; out in nature. We should encourage that. The hunter who puts in their time is the one that should be rewarded, not the one who randomly stumbles upon some game.</p>	David Foley	non-resident	Ponte Vedra Beach Florida	

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3715	7/13/2017 13:14	Yes	No	Yes	I feel that using trail cameras that can live stream images of animals and the animals location to a person is unethical. I strongly feel that that practice should be banned before it becomes a tactic used by a larger portion of the people. I do think that trail cameras can be beneficial, but maybe create a rule stating that they have to be removed prior to the start of any hunting season in that area. This can allow people to scout prior to the seasons but does not allow the user to use the cameras to their benefit during the season. I feel that cell phones and radios can be very helpful in emergency situations while hunting, However I have seen people using radios to pin point an animal and guide in hunters. I feel that that use is unethical and should be restricted. However, I do see the need at times to have radios such as emergencies as I mentioned before, or for other communication to inform friends and family of	Nathan Moeller		Nampa	Idaho
3716	7/13/2017 13:27	No	No	No		James Chapman		Sandpoint	Idaho
3717	7/13/2017 13:33	Yes	No	No		Andy davault		Sandpoint	Idaho
3718	7/13/2017 13:35	Yes	No	No		Shannon Rasmussen		Cambridge	ID
3719	7/13/2017 13:43	Yes	No	Yes	I consider the use of trail cameras as a way to document animal presence in an area as a form of scouting, which should be allowable. The transmission of images, especially in real time, crosses the line from scouting to "remote hunting" and crosses an ethical line. This would enable a person wait for an animal to show up in an area before starting to hunt and would remove the "fair" from the concept of fair-chase, which is the basis of ethical hunting. similarly, two way electronic communications are important for maintaining contact and have important safety implications. However, using them to guide a hunter to an animal	Bill Young	none	McCall	Idaho
3720	7/13/2017 13:51	Yes	No	Yes		Shawn Stewart		Emmett	Idaho
3721	7/13/2017 13:51	Yes	No	Yes	I believe that state laws should abide by fair chase principles and trail cameras often violate that principle. As such, a law should be written in such a way that bans trail cameras as an aid in taking game. For example, if a hunter employed at camera at a water hole, he would not be permitted to kill an animal at or on a trail approaching said waterhole. I realize that this would be a nightmare to enforce, but it leaves room for people to use trail	Joe Minich		Idaho huntir State College	PA
3722	7/13/2017 14:15	No	No	Yes		Trevor koberstein		bonners ferry	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3723	7/13/2017 14:18	No	No	No	sick and tired of your self imposed rules	kent Powell	none	moore	Idaho
3724	7/13/2017 14:23	No	No	No		RONALD CASH		NAMPA	ID
3725	7/13/2017 14:46	No	No	No		Jaman Brunson		Shelley	Id
3726	7/13/2017 15:07	Yes	No	No	I think phones,radios are fine and do not hurt anything	Gene Jess		Twin Falls	Idaho
3727	7/13/2017 15:11	No	No	No		Jordan payne		Idaho falls	Idaho
3728	7/13/2017 15:18	No	No	No	Most places in north idaho a phone won't work and I have not seen where there has Ben a problem.	Russell Fleet		Athol	Id
3729	7/13/2017 15:19	No	No	No		Kevin Ron Smith		Idaho falls	Id
3730	7/13/2017 15:19	No	No	No		Kevin Ron Smith		Idaho falls	Id
3731	7/13/2017 15:25	No	No	No		Gale Storro		Priest River	Idaho
3732	7/13/2017 15:29	No	No	No		Reed Miller	NRA	Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3733	7/13/2017 15:35	No	No	No	<p>The real question is when fair chase and ethical lines gets crossed. What about near video quality of side, surface, and bottom scanning fish finders, is that crossing the line? What about game calls and scents, elk calls revolutionized elk hunting over 20 years ago, turkey calls, predator calls, is that crossing the line? What about long range shooting – killing an animal at 500-1000 yards is that fair chase, is that crossing the line? I would like to see IDFGs background research on this topic and see if they considered all of this area of topics (this ones I listed above and many more). Was there a comprehensive analysis completed that narrowed down these items and ones of particular interest and I would like to see the assessment of the impact on the resource and how it was determined. Now if its not an impact on the resource then I want to see your fair chase and ethical evaluation and now those value judgments were made on behalf of us sportsman.</p> <p>Another issue is the policing of this, well the easiest one that comes to mind is cell phone texting. So somehow IDFG is going to obtain the privacy rights to monitor cell phone texting. Like you don't have enough to do already and I would suspect your legal budget isn't large enough to fight that one in court. Much of the state where the populations are and the majority of the hunting occurs has mobile service and again I would like to see your plan for monitoring those. Some of the others are doable and in fact your Conservation Officers are already "listening in" on all 2-way radio communication.</p> <p>So to be clear I am very much an ethical hunter, very much interested in fair chase for the resource, I just want a thorough Stupid idea. Its something that could never be properly enforced. Why waist the time of the officers and the sportsman.</p>	Jason	Concerned S Lewiston		ID
3734	7/13/2017 15:35	No	No	No	Totally against all of these. Radio especially, has many great uses for other than hunting as it is a great tool for locating fellow hunters in your party if you split up.	Todd Grover		Rigby	idaho
3735	7/13/2017 15:38	No	No	No		Kyle		Nampa	Id
3736	7/13/2017 15:59	No	No	No		Samuel coles		Sandpoint	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3737	7/13/2017 16:01	Yes	No	Yes	Restricting 2-way electronic communication would be an enforcement nightmare. Although I support the use of trail cams BUT not transmitting images remotely for same day kill, I feel a restrictive statute would be very complicated to effectively enforce. Example: If I operate a security camera on my private road/driveway that captures a deer image, would I be unable to legally shoot that same deer later that day in same location. Could I legally shoot that same deer same day 100 yards away from camera? 1/4 mile from camera? Too many worms in that	Jon Foland	none	Grangeville	Idaho
3738	7/13/2017 16:02	Yes	No	Yes	Restricting 2-way electronic communication would be an enforcement nightmare. Although I support the use of trail cams BUT not transmitting images remotely for same day kill, I feel a restrictive statute would be very complicated to effectively enforce. Example: If I operate a security camera on my private road/driveway that captures a deer image, would I be unable to legally shoot that same deer later that day in same location. Could I legally shoot that same deer same day 100 yards away from camera? 1/4 mile from camera? Too many worms in that	Jon Foland	none	Grangeville	Idaho
3739	7/13/2017 16:11	Yes	No	Yes	Using a trail cam gives hunters the ability to see that the game they are after are in the area. I use them to check predator populations, and fear that if this passes, I won't have this tool to	Steven Hightower	None	Twin Falls	Idaho
3740	7/13/2017 16:22	Yes	Neutral	Yes	If the Trail Cam is not capable of instant access directly to the owner and merely indicates that game have been there when checked I see no problem with them they are merely images and most generally would have spotted the game while transiting in. The use of Drones and camera that give real time feed to the user would be no different then hunting from an aircraft.	Eugene Harris		Saint Anthony	Idaho
3741	7/13/2017 16:24	Yes	No	Neutral	Cameras set and check in person is much like using spotting scopes to check game movement, unless wireless cameras are used were you never have to check on them but they still send information to you in real time.	Rod colton		American falls	Idaho
3742	7/13/2017 16:30	No	No	No		Joanne moyer		Cheney	WA

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3743	7/13/2017 17:01	Yes	No	No	Of course wirelessly transmitted video should be banned to prevent hunters from sitting at camp waiting for the big game then going out to track it and kill that is not what I consider hunting. Alternatively regular cams requiring a memory card are a good resource to know what your hunting in a specific area providing Intel that is not real time. As for radios and communication. The safety factor comes to mind and the only way you can stop the illegal activity of aiding in the hunting process is to ban them completely which is not a good thing for the safety factor. In short ban wireless transmitting cam, leave regular cams	Josh Brosamle		Caldwell	Idaho
3744	7/13/2017 17:11	Yes	No	No		Jared Thompson		Salmon	Idaho
3745	7/13/2017 17:21	Yes	No	No		Mr. Smith		Blackfoot	Idaho
3746	7/13/2017 17:31	Yes	Yes	No	Don't restrict phones. They've been in use for a long time. It's good to communicate while hunting. Stops mistakes from happening, helps locate a distressed person, etc Thanks	Joe Blake		Firth	Idaho
3747	7/13/2017 17:51	Yes	Yes	Yes	trail cameras ,drones,2way radios should be outright banned from hunting and to even possess for any kind of hunting .And I think even for preseason use too.Just as well making hunting a fair game again!!!	M G		Rigby	Idaho
3748	7/13/2017 18:40	No	No	No		Kade Hymas		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3749	7/13/2017 18:46	Yes	No	No	I am aware that baiting for black bears is controversial but is a valuable method to keep bear populations in Idaho under control. The use of trail cameras provides valuable information to hunters. I had my first opportunity this spring to hunt over bait. I learned a lot and endorse the use of trail cameras and we were able to identify ten separate bears. There was a sow with a single cub and knowing that there was a sow in the vicinity was a valuable observation. We usually visited the bait site starting the day after replacing bait and exchanging the sd card in the camera. We started hunting the following day so a two day wait would have restricted our opportunity. My opinion on wireless cameras is different since this seems more questionable ethically. The proposed 410.05 (h) seems to be difficult to enforce and many hunters do use radios to transmit information. I don't see this is a valuable restriction. There do not seem to be a biological reason for this proposal. I appreciate to opportunity to express my opinion on these proposals. I have wondered if these proposals originated because of the potential de-listing of grizzly bears and the possibility if hunting them. I have taught Hunter Education for 13 years in Emmett.	Keith A. Johnson	N/A	Emmett	Idaho
3750	7/13/2017 18:46	No	No	No	How the heck you intend to enforce this?	Larry Book	None	Sandpoint	ID
3751	7/13/2017 19:07	No	No	No	I love checking trail cameras in addition to glassing the high country for big bucks	Cody Bassett		Preston	Idaho
3752	7/13/2017 19:14	No	No	No		Jason Jardine		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3753	7/13/2017 20:10	Neutral	No	No		Molly Rard		Moscow	Idaho
3754	7/13/2017 20:14	Yes	No	Yes		Thad Berrett		Buffalo	Wyoming
3755	7/13/2017 20:15	No	No	No	These rules are completely impossible to enforce. All that these rules would do is turn honest people into law breakers.	Malcolm Clemenhagen		Challis	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3756	7/13/2017 20:17	No	No	No	I don't see any reason to ban or limit any of these devices trail cams don't increase anybody's chances just cause a big game animal is there for a week don't mean they will show while your there two ways and phones don't increase your odds either but do make it possible to find or keep track of your hunting party. What if you get hurt lost or any number of things that can go wrong in the mountains these restrictions are far fetched and a waste of time maybe this kind of time should be spent on real issues not trying to make up problems that don't exist like trying to gain sportsmanship access to public lands through private	Travis Walton		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3757	7/13/2017 20:26	No	No	No	<p>As a retired US Forest Service law enforcement officer I question if either of these proposals are enforceable.</p> <p>Portable radios and cell phones (where they work) are safety items that ALL hunters should carry. I was involved with many search and rescue operations prior to the modern era of the little Family Radio Service (FRS) radios. Many of those searches could have been prevented if the lost party had been able to communicate with their partners.</p> <p>Enforcing a game camera restriction would be even more difficult than the radio use ban, for the officer would need to find the camera and prove that a particular hunter is using that camera. I can see so many options for a defense lawyer to follow on this restriction that it would be a waste of the officer's time attempting to enforce it.</p> <p>Name: Val T. Lane Email: valtlane@ctcweb.net US. Forest Service law enforcement, retired. City: Indian Valley State: Idaho</p>	Val T. Lane	US Forest Service	Indian Valley	Idaho
3758	7/13/2017 20:36	No	No	No	<p>As a retired US Forest Service law enforcement officer I question if either of these proposals are enforceable.</p>	Larry Kroetch		Post falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3759	7/13/2017 20:45	No	No	Yes	Trail cameras are a very good choice made by each and every hunter. If some hunters abuse the use of trail cameras then they should be punished. Not every hunter using or abusing this issue. I personally do-not-use them or hunt, after seeing the amount of 1/2 dead and dying big game showing animals showing up pitifully On the Tex Creek Management Area every fall, I quit hunting. The young hunters should have the same chance as I did. These trail cameras are only seeing the game, the camera is not assisting in getting the animal taken.	Jim Schmalz	Ex-hunter ar	Shelly	Idaho
3760	7/13/2017 20:47	No	No	No		Anthony C Spencer		weiser	ID
3761	7/13/2017 20:56	Yes	No	Neutral		Derek		Pocatello	Idaho
3762	7/13/2017 21:06	Yes	No	No		Scott alsup		Roy	Utah
3763	7/13/2017 21:25	Yes	No	Yes		Dewey		Kimberly	IDAHO
3764	7/13/2017 21:30	No	No	No		Dave Walter	None	Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
3765	7/13/2017 21:37	No	No	No		Jared Kroetch		Post falls	ID
3766	7/13/2017 21:39	No	No	No		Meshia Kroetch		Post falls	ID
3767	7/13/2017 21:43	Yes	No	No		Jim McKnight		Caldwell	ID
3768	7/13/2017 21:57	No	No	No	I don't feel that these proposed restriction aid hunters that much. Where is the line drawn in I'm in a tree stand texting my wife that is home or texting my hunting buddy letting him know I'm still alive or to worn someone of a grizzly in the area. Stop with the BS restrictions an do something productive. Maybe a point system so you got a shot at getting a hunt you have put in for for 15 years and never drawn!	Sheridon Hansen		Tetonia	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3769	7/13/2017 22:20	No	No	Yes	Using a trail camera will not increase taking of a game animal in my opinion. I use them for scouting purposes of: animal activity and more so to see about human traffic to be honest. Im most interested in seeing about human activity in the area of interest for me as I don't want to be hunting in an area with high travel or competition with others humans in area. There is no garninty of getting the animal on the camera as it was a snap shot of what was there...not currently there. Animal are nomadic and still hold the upper hand with there envorment when it comes to being hunted. What will concern me is that when hunting I may have a camera or 2 out in the field so would I be getting a ticket if I were to hunt an area that camera was placed, would I be sighted for having a camera in my pack if I were to be about in the woods hunting? I typically make plans on areas to hunt long before the season is open and typically will be taking cameras down as I go to the places I left them while "hunting". They do no good while a hunter is walking or actively hunting an area. This seems to have a lot of "grey area" if and when they become banned for use in hunting given an individual/s perception of purpose with the ticket book. In summery cameras are not always used for reasons written in this change to ban and I disagree with the thought of giving a hunter a leg up. Hearads or animals will travel with out reason being storms, moon phase, feed, water sources, predators (wolf, bear, lions, human, horse, moutian bike, etcc) as well as much more we do not understand completely and will not. It boils down to 90%, 1% skill and 100% mother nature.	Brian Fehrenbacher		Boise	ID
3770	7/13/2017 22:26	No	No	No		Rahmi Aiken	Hunter	Lakewood	Washington
3771	7/13/2017 22:27	Yes	No	Neutral	Enforcement will be nearly impossible if these pass.	Jonny matthews		Idaho falls	ID
3772	7/13/2017 22:30	Yes	No	No	I also think that gps should be added with telemetry for sporting dogs. Most of our tracking system use GPS in some way now.	Jakeob Maupin	Hunter	Rigby	IDAHO
3773	7/13/2017 22:47	Yes	No	Yes		Dan Wanous		Sagle	Idaho
3774	7/13/2017 22:58	No	No	No	If your trying to get youth involved in hunting the things can add to the experience and fun of the hunt and scouting. I do not support any of these restrictions.	JESSE BLAIR		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3775	7/13/2017 23:28	Yes	No	No	I don't mind normal trail cameras but ones that wirelessly communicate make it so hunters could be in camp and make it to their spot right after something was seen	Craig Anderson	None	Idaho falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3776	7/13/2017 23:41	Yes	No	No		J Toomer		Ammon	id
3777	7/14/2017 0:16	No	No	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Even if this had any merit it could never be enforced and would cause more harm than good • This makes about as much sense as saying you are going to limit the use of the nose on a wolf to smell flowers, or smoke from fires, but not elk because their sense of smell is not fair chase i.e., they have to see the elk before they can give chase. • Trail cameras only confirm what you already know - if you place a camera in the area of animal tracks you usually get pictures of animals - you still have to give fair chase. • Phones and radios are needed for safety • The money spent on this kind of rule changing is better spent on enforcing the rules that are already on the books 	Roger Henry	sportsman	Idaho Falls	Idaho
3778	7/14/2017 2:13	Yes	No	No	<p>I oppose trail cameras that transmit wirelessly. Trail cameras should have to be retrieved by the party who installed it and not transmitted to a remote device.</p> <p>I do not oppose the use of trail cameras, but I would oppose wireless transmitting trail cameras. It may be reasonable to remove them before the season, but it would be a law near impossible to enforce.</p> <p>Two-way electronic communications are important to inform my party where we are going and when to expect us, and I carry them in the field. I strongly oppose restricting the use of these devices as it would be impossible to enforce across the state and</p>	Corey Krantz		Pocatello	Idaho
3779	7/14/2017 5:52	Yes	No	Neutral	<p>using a trail cam doesn't help a person be successful, I can understand the wireless communication restrictions for cameras as they could potentially be used as a live feed. As far as checking cameras the same day, they have no effect on your success, you simply find out that an animal has been in the area or hasn't.</p>	allen nibble		greenleaf	idaho
3780	7/14/2017 6:46	Yes	Yes	Yes	My grandpa taught me how to and I've taught my kids ,basic old fashion is the best ,we as a family do very well hunting	DON PETERSON		IDAHO FALLS	ID
3781	7/14/2017 7:01	Yes	No	No		Zach johnson		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3782	7/14/2017 9:01	No	No	No	I hunt to put meat in the freezer and have limited time to do it with work and a family to support so anything that helps me put meat in the freezer faster and easier that is healthy wild game I do not see a problem with on any level. Just like the use of Mechanical Broadheads and certain optics can aide in the ethical taking of wildgame yet you don't allow it. Also lighted nocs do nothing but help you find your arrow.	Matthew Mason		Rigby	IDAHO
3783	7/14/2017 9:12	No	No	No	I see nothing wrong with using trail cameras for scouting purposes. I don't support live streaming of cameras. I do not support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications. I feel two-way wireless communication is VITAL to hunter safety. Often times I hunt with 1 to 2 other hunters and we are not always within eye sight of each other. We MUST know where each other are at all times to avoid shooting into each other. Hunter safety should ALWAYS come first.	Travis A. Long		Kuna	ID
3784	7/14/2017 9:17	Yes	No	No		Matt		Meridian	ID
3785	7/14/2017 9:35	Neutral	No	No	The use of two way radios has way too much fringe around it. Hunter safety should be the number one priority when creating legislation, and this proposition would hinder that greatly. Radios are used constantly in hunting to keep track of hunting partners, ensuring they are safe in regards to the possible natural dangers, and also safe from being shot. If this is passed, I feel that officers would constantly have to make judgement calls over what the radio was being used for, or cell phone for that matter. Using the radio to aid chase will undoubtedly happen, but at the same time live will be saved from the use of radio. Which one is more important to legislation	Tyler Wickstrom		Star	Idaho
3786	7/14/2017 9:35	No	No	No	These tools aid the hunter but do not give them any unfair advantage in pursuing game. Radios also serve as a safety tool for hunters in the woods.	Chris Stoddard		Kingston	Idaho
3787	7/14/2017 9:38	Yes	No	No	It will be extremely hard to prevent and control all 2-way communication with all the technology and the amount of service we now have across our back country I do agree and wish you luck on continuing and striving to make sure our game is being	Dan Urban		Ketchum	Idaho
3788	7/14/2017 10:01	Yes	No	Yes		Bryce Welker		Soda Springs	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3789	7/14/2017 10:04	Yes	No	Neutral	Game cameras can be a valuable management tool. Knowing that a particular animal passed within a very recent time period is no different than seeing it from a distance and then hunting it, or knowing its recent location from tracks. Real time communication is where it becomes an issue of ethics. Two-way electronic communications can be a safety issue when hunting that should not be restricted from that stand point. But they should not be used in the actual taking of an animal.	Anthony Miller		Gooding	Idaho
3790	7/14/2017 10:06	Yes	No	No	I believe that communication is important and I wouldn't want that to be taken away. Many animals are hurt or injured and the recovery assistance from others that have electronic communication play a big part in locating and recovering that	Kevin Rogers		Chubbuck	Idaho
3791	7/14/2017 10:06	No	No	No		Sean Ray		Pocatello	Idaho
3792	7/14/2017 10:18	Yes	No	No	Trail cameras are and should be useful to show activity in the area. They should not stream live to phones or computers, people won't have time to get there anyway, Hunters have become basically lazy anyhow by having their game handed to them or it isn't a hunt...Two way communication isn't much of a factor either, you still have to be a skilled stalker to get in close enough. Long range shooting should be limited and restricted although that will never happen. two way comms makes small differences in the	Roy Kinner		Grangeville	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3793	7/14/2017 10:27	Neutral	No	No	- I strongly reject the idea of not allowing hunter the use of Game Camera's. They have allowed more time scouting in the woods in successful areas and not waste time and money scouting low density areas. - I am in support of limiting hunting with game cameras that transmit wireless data ON THE DAY they have transmitted. As I understand the experiences that IDFG is trying to change, I think this is a good alternative. The problem with the proposed rule change is that it says the day of AND THE NEXT DAY. That's the portion I think that goes to far. - The reason I don't support limiting the two way electronic communications rule change is that it is to generic of language being used. By the way it is written, I could not radio to a hunting partner that I've seen deer in my area and they should come up and join me if they have not seen animals . I think that would be unreasonable. But I do think specific language saying it would be illegal to Guide hunter to a SPECIFIC location to allow them to harvest an animal would be agreeable. If I as a hunter was being guided by radio (instead of looking back and looking for hand signals) to guide me to the animals location, that is an unfair advantage; and am alright with limiting. To much discession would be given to enforcement officers to pick and choose what "illegal"	Michael emerson		Coeur d'Alene	ID
3794	7/14/2017 10:28	Yes	No	Neutral	My concern is how this is going to be enforced, where is the line? I carry a two way radio that has the weather on it will I be restricted from doing that? I also carry a cell phone like everybody else. I would like to see the actual rules of how this will work.	James Shepard		Mountain Home	Idaho
3795	7/14/2017 10:33	Yes	Yes	Yes		James Shepard		Mountain Home	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3796	7/14/2017 10:43	Yes	Yes	Yes	I strongly support a total ban regarding the use of ALL trail cameras as an aide to hunting. I also support a total ban on the use of ALL cameras attached to any drones. Hunting should be about fair chase and these cameras allow for way too much arm chair scouting, letting technology do all the ground work. Hunting needs to remain an "action" sport, not some video game that puts pressure on certain age classes of animals while the "hunter" sits home on his big fat couch. Please help to retain the true essence of hunting. DO NOT succumb to market pressure by allowing this constant creep aimed at destroying our hunting heritage for the purposes of financial gain for the techno freaks as well as making it as easy as possible for hunters to locate game. What's next? A camera with a 30.06 round? Perhaps a time restriction, such as no cameras may be used or placed in the field for the purposes of	Dave Cadwallader	none	Lewiston	Idaho
3797	7/14/2017 10:50	No	No	No	Trail cameras are a lot of fun. They enhance the hunting experience by sharing pictures with your kids. Cameras do not guarantee success. Two way radios help us keep track of each other. Twice my kids have been lost and the radio was great in locating them late at night. Success rates have not dramatically increased because of either of these two devices. Wolves and Grizz have had a lot greater impact. Idaho hunting numbers have been decreasing, no reason to make them worse.	Steven R Brown		Rexburg	Idaho
3798	7/14/2017 11:03	Yes	Yes	Yes	While I support the proposal in theory, the enforcement of the rule is near impossible. This is will be another rule, similar to the 4 wheeler rule that lacks a clearly define compliance versus violation criteria. It is another rule that will be observed by only a small honest portion and violations will be difficult to find not to mention actually prosecute. So I marked that I support the concept, I would not recommend the F&G wade into this quagmire.	Mike		soda springs	idaho
3799	7/14/2017 11:14	No	No	No	especially on private land I think trail cameras should be legal to use. two way communications could save a life in some	Les Staley		St mARIES,	Idaho
3800	7/14/2017 11:56	Yes	No	Yes	I believe that trail camera restrictions are something worth considering, but a complete ban on usage of phones or other devices may impact the ability to document a hunt or film animals in the field, which I would be against. More clarification on the proposed changes is necessary.	Neil Skorka		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3801	7/14/2017 11:59	No	No	No	These items do not aid in the actual harvesting of game. What's next you'll limit the use of backpacks for hauling the game or overnight stays. These items promote the outdoors gets people excited about the upcoming season and gives the ability to share with family and friends.	Kirk Candee		Portland	Oregon
3802	7/14/2017 12:02	No	No	No		Jason Munden	Sportsman	Boise	Idaho
3803	7/14/2017 12:25	No	No	No	California rules in idaho ..???? Communists moving in stand up for yourself and your rights !!!	James thompson		Idaho Falls	idaho
3804	7/14/2017 12:34	No	No	No	Trail cameras allow for more selective hunting, and aid hunters in harvesting specific mature animals. They also are a massive asset in collection of data about overall wildlife health and activity in an area. I hunt, but also am very involved in wildlife habitat rehabilitation efforts. By using trail cameras to observe overall health and activity of animals throughout the year in my rehab area, I could potentially be found in violation of a loosely worded statute during hunting season. Two-way communication is also essential in coordinating activities of larger hunting parties, specifically relating to safety. Accident avoidance is greatly increased when hunters can communicate to other hunters that they may be moving over or through certain terrain features, in order to not be mistaken for a game animal or cross a potential field of fire for other hunters in the party. Again, there would be a chilling effect on legitimate use of communication devices in the field if they are legislated against in any way. While I respect and understand IDFG's commitment to fair chase and ethical hunting, I strongly believe that education and not legislation is the way to go if you wish to guide hunter behavior in the use of electronic devices. There is too much risk for ethical hunters to be hurt by	Rusty S.		Chilly	ID
3805	7/14/2017 12:43	Yes	No	Yes		Donald Sturtevant		Nampa	ID
3806	7/14/2017 12:43	Neutral	No	No		Jason Helle		Filer	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3807	7/14/2017 13:01	Yes	No	No	I oppose trail cameras that transmit wirelessly. Trail cameras should have to be retrieved by the party who installed it and not transmitted to a remote device.	Dawn Krantz		Pocatello	Idaho
					I do not oppose the use of trail cameras, but I do oppose wireless transmitting trail cameras. Trail cameras that are installed and then retrieved to check them is not unreasonable. It is not unreasonable to require removal of trail cameras prior to the start of the season(s), but it would be a law near impossible to enforce.				
					Two-way electronic communication devices are important to inform my party where we are going and when to expect us, and I carry them in the field. I strongly oppose restricting the use of these devices in any manner as it would be impossible to enforce across the state and possessing a two-way radio would be grounds for guilty until proven innocent. These are safety devices. This is not a rule that needs to be implemented.				
3808	7/14/2017 13:10	Yes	No	No	How about you guys try to focus on issues that matter, like how to make the big game draws more fair. I've all but quit putting in for hunts because I strongly believe there's something flawed about your methods. I'd like to see how many of your Fish and Game employees put in and draw. I've never drawn on the hunts I'm trying for, yet I know people that draw year after year on tags where it just shouldn't be possible to draw that consecutive, yet they do. Your priorities are seriously messed up.	Reily Foster		Rigby	ID
3809	7/14/2017 13:18	No	No	No	Cameras aren't going to shoot the game for you. Communicating with a fellow hunter doesn't make the hunt successful. The hunter/huntress is the one that controls the outcome and has to make an ethical shot including stalk, right area, etc.	Jon Keithley		Pocatello	ID
3810	7/14/2017 13:26	No	No	No	I am an out of state hunter who is relying on modern scouting and communication devices since I am not able to scout regularly. I believe such restrictions would negatively effect hunting	Jesse Wukie		Conesville	Ohio
3811	7/14/2017 13:37	No	No	No		Brandon Johnson		Lindsey	Ohio

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3812	7/14/2017 13:41	No	No	No	As a Washington resident with the intent and desire to hunt Idaho in the near future (spending a significant amount of money in the state for tags, fuel, and food) I find this line of discussion disturbing. Electronic communication in the backcountry is a safety measure. Yes it also aids folks in stalking game, but if electronic communication is made illegal on a stalk, then they will be functionally be made illegal on the hunt. This seems incredibly irresponsible to me. Game cameras are useful tools for the responsible management of game animals. Game camera pictures allow hunters to focus on older age class animals rather than simply shooting the first legal animal to pass their way. Modern data collection allows for a specificity of stewardship that is beneficial for the game herds,	JB Brooks		seattle	WA
3813	7/14/2017 13:41	Yes	No	No	I would support a ban of all remote cameras for hunting purposes that wirelessly transmit images. However if a hunter is in the field checking his cameras, he should be able to hunt that day. So I do not support an "alaska" style rule that would prevent a hunter from viewing images and hunting the same day (like alaska's fly and hunt policy). I think the radio communication rule should stand as it is, that has be tradition for a long time in Idaho. Frankly I am less worried about the fair chase, ethics, and sportsmanship issues of remote cameras than I am about long-range hunting. I would like to see IDFG take up that issue in	joel		Lewiston	Idaho
3814	7/14/2017 14:13	No	No	No		Kyle slater		Lolo	Mt
3815	7/14/2017 14:20	No	No	No	you are promoting rules that are impossible to enforce. Leave well enough alone>	curt hurless		mccall	idaho
3816	7/14/2017 14:20	Yes	No	No		Adam		Middleton	Idaho
3817	7/14/2017 14:32	No	No	Yes	I do not believe the first two are take away from the fair chase idea. The third one I believe it does, however how do you control it? Are you going to say no cell phones allowed in all the hunting units, no walkie talkies no two way communications devices allowed in the mountains! Impossible task when you consider the	Noe Cortez		Otis Orchards	WA

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Neutral	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3818	7/14/2017 14:35	Neutral	No	No	Trail cams are more of a scouting tool. They help with learning what animals are in an area, and don't really help in aiding of harvest. You still have to find the animal and make a stalk. They won't be waiting right in front of the camera for you... Instead of creating a rule that will be extremely hard to enforce, perhaps more time should be spent in enforcing roadless rules that hunters violate every year. Offroading ATVs aid in harvest because it puts hunters farther into off limits areas. As for two way communication absolutely not. Having radios in the field is more of a safety precaution and helps in keeping in contact with other members of your party. Banning these again would create a lot of grey area and tough to enforce. Why create more rules that don't have as much impact as does offroading.	Shane Stanford	lifelong nativ	Kuna	Idaho
3819	7/14/2017 14:46	Neutral	No	Neutral	Use common sense, all within reason.	Steve Smikowski		Caledonia	Wisconsin
3820	7/14/2017 14:47	No	No	No	Two way electronic communication is more of a safety measure than anything else. Hunters need to keep in touch with each other and know where the others are. Banning them would create a ton of grey area left for interpretation.. Trail cams really don't aid in harvesting of game. While they do help in scouting and knowing what animals are in the area they don't create an unfair or unethical advantage. In unit 39 over the last 16 years there hasn't been much of an increase of harvest despite about an 11000 increase in hunters.	Laci Stanford	resident	Kuna	Idaho
3821	7/14/2017 14:52	No	No	No	I hunt Idaho but live in Utah. I cannot afford to take time off to hunt and scout multiple times. Trail cameras help me to know if the area I'm looking in is going to help me be successful. If this goes into effect I'm sure you would lose many out of state hunters for this very same reason	Devan		Bluffdale	Ut
3822	7/14/2017 15:00	Neutral	No	No		Kevin bermingham		Kimberly	Idaho
3823	7/14/2017 15:01	Yes	No	No		Brad oakey		Boise	ID
3824	7/14/2017 15:03	No	No	No	I'm not supporting any of your dumb changes due to the fact the regulations are already dumb and need to be re wrote.	Brady halbrook		Idaho falls	Idaho
3825	7/14/2017 15:07	Yes	No	No	The advantage obtained by the use trail cameras is very small and there is no reason to restrict their use on private land. On public it is appropriate to require a permit for their use and location. Two way communication is very helpful to keep in contact with one's hunting companions and its efficacy in locating game is	John R. Monson		Sun Valley	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3826	7/14/2017 15:14	Yes	No	No	I do not support the restricting of all trail cameras. If a hunter is willing to put in the work on checking cameras and setting them up there should be no reason for them to not use them. It does not guarantee that the animal will show up. A live stream I am against. That is not fair chase. The use of two-way electronic communications is vital in safety and locating each other while hunting. Why would you want to take away a tool that helps a hunter stay safe?	Tyler Russell		Bonnars Ferry	ID
3827	7/14/2017 15:22	No	No	No		John Wukie		Oak Harbor	OHIO
3828	7/14/2017 15:23	No	No	No		Hobart Rogers		Hanover	Maryland
3829	7/14/2017 15:29	Neutral	No	No		Jon Giles		Colleyville	Texas
3830	7/14/2017 15:38	No	No	Yes		Bruce Rhodes	RMEF	Boise	Idaho
3831	7/14/2017 15:42	No	No	No	I do not support the aid of electronics in the pursuit of Big Game hunting with the exception of sporting and hound dogs. The way this is written I had to vote no because paragraph F in the rule does not specifically exclude GPS use for sporting dogs and hounds. It simply states telemetry. This could be interpreted to allowing only radio telemetry. Please add the exception of GPS the for dogs and I then would vote yes to all other restrictions.	Don Baxter		New Plymouth	ID
3832	7/14/2017 16:01	No	No	No		Mitch Scott		Lewiston	Idaho
3833	7/14/2017 16:22	No	No	No		Matthew Damschroder		Pleasantville	Ohio
3834	7/14/2017 16:22	No	Yes	Yes	I believe the current rules in place are good, people just need to be more aware in that it is illegal to disclose location of wildlife through devices. I understand that it is nearly impossible to police and even harder to convict people that are doing so.	Drew Crist		Bellevue	ID
3835	7/14/2017 16:26	No	No	No		Kyle Buttars		Chubbuck	Id
3836	7/14/2017 16:28	Yes	No	Yes	I also support the use of lighted Knocks. They do nothing to help shoot, only to help recover.	Ret MSgt Bruce Cooper		Boise	ID
3837	7/14/2017 16:41	No	No	No	Trail cameras have gotten to be another reason to be in the outdoors to see what different animals are out there Idahos deer and elk are almost impossible to pattern the country is big and hunting areas are not always close to home so by traveling to these areas by the rules you are suggesting there is no reason to even have a camera you can't put it out and hunt also I am against any other restrictions on hunting especially cameras it just	Scott Reece		Jerome	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3838	7/14/2017 16:51	Yes	No	No	I support the restriction of trail cameras limited to pre-season scouting only. I believe there should not be trail camera use allowed during hunts especially those that can be viewed wirelessly. I do not feel there is a need to limit 2-way radios during a hunt as these can provide safety to hunters.	Matthew Morris		Iona	Idaho
3839	7/14/2017 17:20	Yes	No	Neutral		Henry Talbot		Hazelton	Idaho
3840	7/14/2017 17:40	No	No	No	With as many people we are getting out in the brush communication becomes as necessary for safety.. as for the	Jerry	Hunter	Philomath	Oregon
3841	7/14/2017 17:48	Yes	Yes	Yes		Mark belke		Scottville	Michigan
3842	7/14/2017 17:52	No	No	No	Everything you can talk about when standing over your trophy is now one at a time being outlawed and being called an aid to hunting. Why not restrict everything including mouth calls, binoculars, tree stands, broadheads, bowsights, releases, etc. The hunter still has to position himself for the shot. That is with 2 feet and effort to be in the right place at the right time. The game camera is a tool of enjoyment of the hunt itself. I consider myself a successful archery hunter. I have used game cameras for a long time and other than knowing the animals are there in which i knew anyway, they have not helped me harvest the elk. They help me know what else is out there, coyotes, porcupines, rabbits, bears, deer, elk, birds, and hunters. They help make the hunt more enjoyable. Please do not take this away from my hunting experience also. Most hunting situations the terrain will not make	Arlo Hopkins	Caribou Field	Soda Springs	Idaho
3843	7/14/2017 18:00	No	No	Yes		Talena Baer		Boise	ID
3844	7/14/2017 18:02	No	No	No		Bj Wyatt		Brigham City	Utah
3845	7/14/2017 18:11	No	No	No	Considering that many people only have time to hunt on the weekends, it doesn't seem fair that they would not be able to use trail cameras based on the proposals. Also, it would be impossible to legislate the trail camera proposals on private land. It also seems that some of these rule changes would be extremely difficult to enforce. The proposals also say nothing about using radios, cell phones etc to aid in finding wounded game. It seems that every conceivable effort and technology should be allowed for	Stuart Tolman		Boise	ID
3846	7/14/2017 18:29	No	No	No		Keith Ohls		Nampa	Id
3847	7/14/2017 18:34	Yes	Yes	Yes	The use of electronics for hunting is unethical. It is not fare chase.	Isabelle Moore		Ketchum	ID
3848	7/14/2017 18:35	No	No	No		Steven Winn		Idaho Falls	ID
3849	7/14/2017 18:46	No	No	No	This is a stupid proposal..... Stupid stupid stupid	Justin Huntsman		Idaho Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3850	7/14/2017 18:51	No	No	No	There is absolutely nothing unethical about the use of these devices. What's next? No scopes, no release aids, no camo	Rick Schnase	Frequent Idæ	Hastings	NE
3851	7/14/2017 19:12	Yes	No	Yes		Brian Gibbs		Idaho Falls	ID
3852	7/14/2017 19:19	Yes	No	No	By eliminating communication between hunters you increase the likelihood of injury or death due to a crossfire or similar type situation.	David Welch	Hunter	Rohnert Park	CA
3853	7/14/2017 19:24	No	No	No	This is ridiculous! Why do you, IDFG, feel the need to regulate everything? Things that don't need any regulation whatsoever! Do not do this!	James Carey	Former Idah	Burbank	WA
3854	7/14/2017 19:25	Yes	No	No	I believe that people should have to go out to the woods to check cameras and stay in touch with the area they hunt with a boots on the ground approach. However, I think using trail cams to monitor herds and take inventory of what's out there for hunting and for observation of wildlife. 2 way communication is a safety mechanism to keep in contact with a hunting partner to avoid getting lost. If someone uses a 2 way radio to communicate with a partner to harvest an animal then let them. It's hard to communicate with the beeping of a two way radio not spooking	Nicholas Hayes	Hunter	Boise	Idaho
3855	7/14/2017 19:27	Neutral	No	No		Jason Brandt		Boise	Idaho
3856	7/14/2017 20:22	Yes	No	Yes		Zachary S. Jones		Boise	Idaho
3857	7/14/2017 20:33	Yes	Yes	Yes		roger horton		Twin Falls	Id
3858	7/14/2017 21:23	No	No	No		Jon Cogdill	Archery	Las Cruces	New Mexic
3859	7/14/2017 21:30	No	No	No		Mike walker		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3860	7/14/2017 21:30	No	No	Yes	I believe that the use of trail cameras is less about aiding in the harvest of an animal. It is more useful in determining the animal population during a given time. Seeing animals on trail cams doesn't guarantee success when hunting. This is considered scouting. It's no different than when I go out into the mountains every weekend to glass for the animal in which I pursue. If anything, I think trail cams are more harmful to the hunter because they only can see the animals in the area compared to having boots on the ground where you can watch animals at a distant to see how they act and their movements throughout the day. Two way electronic communication restrictions would be appropriate granted they are only restricted during active pursuit an animal. I do think that it can provide an unfair advantage for the hunter and takes away the "hunt" aspect. I would be in support of restricting the use of electronic communication to aid in pursuit and harvest of big game. The caveat to this is I don't think locating an animal with aid of electronic communication is unfair	Richard Sabey		Kimberly	ID
3861	7/14/2017 21:31	No	No	No		Michelle		Lewiston	Idaho
3862	7/14/2017 21:43	No	No	No	Too much grey area to enforce. One of my favorite parts of hunting is checking my cameras. I especially enjoy photos of non target species. How can you prove that a person is using a camera as an aid to hunting? I just enjoy seeing what kind of wildlife is in the area. Maybe I will start hunting Wyoming instead of my home state of Idaho.	Shaun Judy		Rigby	Idaho
3863	7/14/2017 21:53	Yes	No	Yes		Mike Caldwell		Boise	Idaho
3864	7/14/2017 22:00	No	No	No	C'mon guys.....to complete the harvest you still have to get out and put the effort in. Trail cams allow folks to make the best use of what is likely limited time for the field.	JP Wilson		Gkide	Or.
3865	7/14/2017 22:13	Yes	No	No	The radio and phone one leaves way to much room for F&G to determine if you are using it for lawful reasons or not.	Bobby Howell		Pocatello	Idaho
3866	7/14/2017 22:44	No	No	No		Spencer	Sportsman	Bonnerrys ferry	Idaho
3867	7/14/2017 22:47	Yes	No	Neutral	I do not agree with outlawing cameras that do not transmit the images electronically. The other proposed restrictions I am okay with.	Adam Potter	None	Coeur D Alene	Idaho
3868	7/14/2017 23:17	Yes	Neutral	Neutral		Tim Yount		Priest River	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3869	7/14/2017 23:19	Yes	No	No		Eric Pederson	Avid outdoor	Mountain Home	Idaho
3870	7/15/2017 0:03	No	No	No		Brad Cederblom		Rathdrum	Idaho
3871	7/15/2017 1:38	Yes	No	No	I do believe that having 'real time' updates via wireless trail camera takes much of the chase out of fair chase. That being said, I see nothing wrong with being able to use trail cameras to document game movement through an area in the past. As far as communication devices go, I see them as a safety concern. While some people will use them to guide another hunter to game during a stalk, the majority of us use them to let the rest of our hunting party, as well as family/ friends back home, know that we I only use the cameras to tell if there is game in the area I want to hunt! I can only get up there a couple times a year so it's good info. Not any different then if I was up there every weekend scout! Just live to far away. As for radios we use to help each other when an animal is down to get it packed out! Only time we use radios! Just my input!	Allen VonGunden	None	Perry	New York
3872	7/15/2017 7:28	No	No	No		Delos Johnson		Washougal	Washington
3873	7/15/2017 7:57	No	No	No	Since "aid to hunting" is subject to individual interpretation, I don't see how any of these rule changes would be effective. It would be highly difficult to police any instance where communication devices/live-stream devices would have been used to aid hunting. It lends itself to too many "gray areas". The use of trail cameras in general should never be outlawed, but I understand technology is advancing so rapidly and altering the dynamic of hunting at the same rate and we need to keep ourselves in check. That being said, it is hard for me to get behind	ADAM FERRY		PULLMAN	WA
3874	7/15/2017 9:18	No	No	Yes		David Skalsky		Emmet	id
3875	7/15/2017 9:55	No	No	No		Sam platt		Redmond	Oregon
3876	7/15/2017 10:16	No	No	No	Trail cams and electronic devices that take pics and are used for communication should be allowed. Please also allow lighted nocks, they assist in recovery of arrows if needed. Some arrows are very expensive. Lighted nocks do not assist in the harvest of an animal, but might in the RECOVERY to avoid spoiled meat.	Jennifer Stolley		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3877	7/15/2017 10:36	Yes	No	No	I don't agree with restricting an individual from checking his camera in the field not allowing him to hunt that day or the next. my time is limited and often my only time is Friday afternoon and Saturday so to say that I can't check my camera Friday after sitting there since the previous Friday so I have an idea if it's worth spending Saturday in that area or deciding that there's no activity so to spend Saturday looking for other locations or there is activity so hunt Saturday. If the rule as proposed is not altered their would no point in continuing to purchase license and tags	Travis		Idahofalls	Idaho
3878	7/15/2017 11:05	Yes	No	No	How can you put an restriction on the use of trail cameras when there is no way to tell if the person is using it for hunting or for taking pictures of the wildlife in the area. I personally have left a camera in a spot that I hunt and have had animals on it for 2 months before the hunt and the whole month of the hunt there is nothing on it except for other hunters, hikers, sheep, cows and some other stuff I don't hunt. I don't believe they really aid in hunting the animals. If the animals are in that area third going to be there with or without a camera set up.	Jace Bradford		Preston	Idaho
3879	7/15/2017 11:14	No	No	No	Trail cameras have been used to see what is hitting baits for years I feel you are on target for bear harvest and see no reason to add another restriction to your already huge list.We have used two way radios for some time to locate hunting partners which has saved us untold hours of searching for them when circumstances have left them far from original plans is knowing your hunting partner needs a hand and does fish and game really need to spend their time censoring our communications.i am sick and tired of ever changing and often senseless rules.do you think a big game animal will just stand around and wait for you simply because you have a recent picture of them.dont we have better things to do then come up with these asinine rules	Gary ENGLISH		Tendoy	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3880	7/15/2017 12:31	Yes	Yes	Yes	Using electric devices during hunting has become routine in Idaho, including one hunter guiding another hunter to a animal they cannot see in a stalk. It is totally un ethical behavior practiced by both sport hunters as well as outfitters and should be un lawful behavior. I have hunted and continue to do so for over 60 years and have never found it necessary to have another hunter guide me to an animal. Sometimes a stalk works and sometime not, that's hunting! The use of two way communications have been illegal in Alaska for many years. It's time Idaho recognize that those devises have no place in the field while hunting.	Littlejohn		Moscow	Idaho
3881	7/15/2017 12:44	Neutral	No	No		Eric		Idaho falla	ID
3882	7/15/2017 13:05	No	No	No		Brandon	Idaho reside	Meridian	Idaho
3883	7/15/2017 13:30	Yes	Yes	Yes	I support these restrictions because I believe that "hunting" should be a tracking skill, not just target practise. When you make it too easy for the hunter to find game, you have eliminated the main skill of "hunting". Maybe the day will come when you can sit in your back yard and hunt prey by drone; but I hope I can sit in your back yard and hunt prey by drone; but I hope I Cameras are their to just make sure of animals in the area. Some may try to use this to pattern but is still a hit and miss. If illegal for us as hunters then must be illegal for fish and game to use to try to catch people in wrong unit. Goes both ways correct?	Catharine Cappel	Resident of I	Bellevue	ID
3884	7/15/2017 13:46	No	No	No	I do not support these rule changes for a number of reasons. People get lost all the time and one way during hunting season that people keep in contact with one another is thru electronic devices. They are super valuable for each and every hunting party. I have had experiences where if people had not had radios or phones they would have been lost and it would not have been good. Having radios and phones is just common sense and it makes no sense to get rid of them when accidents do happen in the outdoors. To think that this is even a law that is being proposed is just asinine. If you want people to not like hunting and to not buy tags this is a law to put in place. In the BLM we used radios all the time to help us out. They were a huge benefit to us. If the sportsman can not have radios then the fish and	Howard Stearns		Mtn. Home	Idaho
3885	7/15/2017 14:00	No	No	No	I do not support these rule changes for a number of reasons. People get lost all the time and one way during hunting season that people keep in contact with one another is thru electronic devices. They are super valuable for each and every hunting party. I have had experiences where if people had not had radios or phones they would have been lost and it would not have been good. Having radios and phones is just common sense and it makes no sense to get rid of them when accidents do happen in the outdoors. To think that this is even a law that is being proposed is just asinine. If you want people to not like hunting and to not buy tags this is a law to put in place. In the BLM we used radios all the time to help us out. They were a huge benefit to us. If the sportsman can not have radios then the fish and	Keane Shuler	Sportmans/f	Rigby	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3886	7/15/2017 14:22	Yes	No	No	Two way radios/cell phones provide a line of communication crucial to hunter's safety. The use of walkie talkie style radios keeps hunters from become separated or lost from each other as well as from being shot by a member of your hunting party by Why has this become an issue?	Jens Jacobsen		Spirit Lake	Idaho
3887	7/15/2017 14:26	No	No	No		Jack Heistand		Nampa	Idaho
3888	7/15/2017 15:09	No	No	No		Steve Preston		Pocatello	Idaho
3889	7/15/2017 15:10	Yes	No	Yes	The electronic transmission and or wireless communication between a camera and phone should be illegal. If you have a trail cam you should have to retrieve the chip to look at it and maintain your camera site. I don't like the idea of videoing an animal and immediately sending to another hunter unless that animal is already harvested and tagged. Videoing and sending live animal information should be included as a part of "party" hunting.	Rikki D Osborn		Mccammon	Idaho
3890	7/15/2017 16:23	Yes	No	Yes	I believe that trail cameras have some legitimate uses. However, as communication areas expand, I believe that remote transmitting violates the tenets of fair chase.	Tim Barlow		Clinton	Utah
3891	7/15/2017 16:39	Yes	No	No		Dale A Peterson		Leadore	Idaho
3892	7/15/2017 16:47	No	No	No	The only thing I think that should be restricted in the aid for hunting is anything used by aircraft including drones	Zak Popejoy		Blackfoot	Idaho
3893	7/15/2017 18:12	Yes	Yes	Yes	I support the restrictions 5 days before a season begins until the closing date.	James Allen		Meridian	Idaho
3894	7/15/2017 18:29	Yes	Neutral	No	I do not understand the issue of using a phone or walkie talkie to let other members in your hunting party know if you have found the game you are hunting. I carry a phone with me all the time while hunting, I have considered using walkie talkies to communicate with my hunting partners in the case of being lost or hurt. If this bill passes, how do you plan on enforcing it? I may carry a phone or walkie talkie for safety and not for locating animals or I might. How will you know? Will it be illegal to carry these devices while hunting? This bill or rule makes no sense, but I guess we could go back to using smoke signals	Michael Faughn		Nampa	Id
3895	7/15/2017 18:29	Neutral	No	No	Leave things the way they are, There are enough rules already. I feel that a restriction on trail cameras would be extremely hard for officers to enforce. Why would you make a rule that is virtually impossible to enforce. Plus just because you use a trail camera doesn't put you at an unfair advantage to those who don't.	Seth Altmeyer		Kellogg	ID
3896	7/15/2017 18:32	No	No	No		Brandon Johnson		Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3897	7/15/2017 18:36	Yes	No	Yes	I think 2 way communication should be used to talk with hunting partners but not used as a hunting aid	Ron SCHELHAAS		Bettendorf	Iowa
3898	7/15/2017 18:41	Yes	No	Neutral		Riley		St.anthony	Idaho
3899	7/15/2017 19:15	No	No	No		Chris harding		Caldwell	Idaho
3900	7/15/2017 19:45	Yes	No	No		Cory		Boise	Idaho
3901	7/15/2017 20:26	No	No	Yes		Tyler Okamura		Nampa	ID
3902	7/15/2017 20:43	Yes	Yes	Yes	I don't think it is hunting unless you use your own skills. Dogs shouldn't be allowed either.	Jan Burrell		Hailey	ID Idaho
3903	7/15/2017 20:52	No	No	Yes	Trail cameras have their purpose particularly in bear and wolf hunting. there is nothing that these cameras do to the entice the game into the area, they just record what is in the area. There is no advantage gained by the hunter. I don't know how this could be enforced at all either. How do you prove one or another that a person set the camera and took something the same day or the next. Doesn't make sense/	Lynn Betts		Pleasant View	Utah
3904	7/15/2017 22:27	No	No	No	How would you be able to determine a violation without violating a persons right to privacy or speech?You likely already made up your mind anyway. Just have put the show on by taking citizens opinion. I bet you will have law suits like crazy. Warrants and stuff? Really? Idaho isn't the state I thought it was. More like	Aaron Harker		Chubbuck	Idaho
3905	7/15/2017 22:53	Neutral	No	Yes	The only reason I am neautral for trail cameras that transmit to phone is because many of us hunt a long ways from home and use trail cams to see ahead of time to see if we are in the right area (and I like seeing other wildlife like bears, weasels, etc. I don't see often) and I believe if a law is passed for transmitting it will go overboard and include all trail cameras which would take away from my viewing of target animals and rare non target animals alike.	Dax Permenter		Kuna	ID
3906	7/15/2017 23:00	No	No	No	I was actually amazed that radios were allowed to help aid in hunting animals. I only use them to communicate location after a shot and to check in with partners through out day to make sure ok. Using radios to pursue can go away. Unfair advantage. Drones Quit being fucking stupid. Stop trying to make your stupid fucking jobs relevant and worry more about stuff that matters to the hunting community	John		Caldwell	Idaho
3907	7/15/2017 23:01	Yes	No	No		Kirk Stommel		Shelley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3908	7/16/2017 0:31	No	No	No	I have been using trail cameras for 2 years now and have come up empty handed each year for elk. The cameras had plenty of pictures. It doesn't change the fair chase. If you think you have the animals patterns figured they will prove you wrong. The cameras inform what game may be there but they don't assure	Alex		Idaho Falls	Idaho
3909	7/16/2017 1:32	No	No	No		Jim Wavra		Chubbuck	ID
3910	7/16/2017 4:33	No	No	No		Adam moss		Greenwood	Indiana
3911	7/16/2017 6:50	Neutral	No	No	My family and I have used two way radios or wallow talkies to keep tabs on each other. Also I know many people that have private property who use trail cams to make sure there is no illegal hunting on their property	Kristine berrett		Idaho falls	Idaho
3912	7/16/2017 8:20	No	No	No		Brandon		Muskegon	Michigan
3913	7/16/2017 8:29	No	No	No	There is no problem with using theses tools for hunting and lighted nicks should be allowed to use as well	Derrick boss		Athol	Idaho
3914	7/16/2017 8:39	No	No	No		Justin		Burley	Idaho
3915	7/16/2017 8:40	No	No	No		Jason Musick		Burley	Idaho
3916	7/16/2017 8:41	No	No	No		Any		Burley	Idaho
3917	7/16/2017 8:53	Yes	No	Yes		Eric Killoy		Heyburn	ID
3918	7/16/2017 9:06	No	No	No		Dustin Carlson		Burley	Idaho
3919	7/16/2017 9:14	No	No	No	As technology increases, so does everything else. If we wanted to talk about legitamate fair chase, might as well use a longbow, no rifle, no binos, no four wheelers, ECT. Trail cams are used for much more. Many love to have pics of some of the amazing animals in their hunting area. I know a few people that they like to get pics of huge deer in some of the trophy units in Idaho. Even if they didn't draw that unit. And yes, this is a form of scouting, but many don't have the time in today's world to pack up and drive two hours away to scout out elk for a week. Along the lines of having pictures sent electronically by text or email from the trail cam itself, many don't have the money or time again, to go out and drive 2 hours to check trails and cams.	Preston	Hunter	Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3920	7/16/2017 9:33	Neutral	No	Neutral	The use of cameras for bear baiting is a necessity. I am against this law when it comes to bear baiting. I think there are very few people that even use the wireless feature in a manner of aiding for the immediate hunt. I hunt alot and know of none. I use my cell phone constantly in the field. Mostly for safety issues (checking in with my hunting partner especially when getting in and out of treestands) and for GPS. I understand some restrictions, but trail cams are just a way to pass the time and get an understanding of what animals are in the area. There will always be people use this in a wrong way no matter what the law is. Also, how is this even enforcable? How are you going to determine an elk kill aided by a trail cam? Or text? Ultimately, don't go overboard.	Cheyenne McElderry		Plummer	Idaho
3921	7/16/2017 9:58	No	No	No	My whole family archery hunts together. Cams are another way to get our youth excited about hunting and the outdoors in general. I understand limiting technology on hunting but this is the wrong direction. I've ran trail cams for over 10 years and can honestly say I've only shot one deer that I targeted because of a trail cam pic. I've shot over 50 deer with archery equipment. How will this be enforced? Dates can be changed on cameras. Way more important issues facing our wildlife than trail cams	Bryce Clark		Menan	Idaho
3922	7/16/2017 10:27	Yes	No	Neutral	Maybe a rule that all trail cameras have to be taken down on, or before the opening day of season will eliminate this problem.	Mark Roberts		Weston	ID
3923	7/16/2017 10:49	Neutral	No	No	What you see on a trail camera today has no bearing on what shows up tomorrow. You have bigger things to worry about, like being in the stone age on broadhead rules, than trail cameras.	Jerad Pfeifer		Cottonwood	IDAHO
3924	7/16/2017 11:08	No	No	No	Cameras of any type cannot aid to hunting, it still takes the licensed hunter, spot and or stalking a hunt on the big game animal which to this day is very challenging. I would worry more about the numerous hunters either one or a party who shoot at big game animal from a road and vehicle, still every year I see or	Mark Martens		Hailey	Idaho
3925	7/16/2017 11:45	No	No	No	Legalizing the use of lighted knocks' would be a vital help in assisting in game recovery, as well as for arrow recovery after the shot, which is also a safety concern. Lighted knocks' do not aid in the harvest of game in any form and are a tool that is beneficial to both the animal and the hunter.	Kelly mack		Cascade	Idaho
3926	7/16/2017 12:14	No	No	No		Ryan Conkle		Coshocton	Ohio
3927	7/16/2017 12:37	Yes	Yes	Yes		Josie Wolfe		Rupert	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3928	7/16/2017 12:53	Yes	Yes	Yes	It is called fair chase. These are products for lazy and incapable hunters. The animals we hunt should not be able to be monitored remotely. The hunting Industry and its league of minions are ruining the sport	Tobin Capp		Bozeman	Montana
3929	7/16/2017 12:54	No	No	No	You have already closed roads. This state has less than 2million in population,64% public ground now you want to take away trail cams and radios. Total mismanagement of herds is the problem. Not enough room to say all I want.	Rod willis		Heyburb	Idaho
3930	7/16/2017 13:04	No	No	No	Just who comes up with this nonsense. Using radios to aid in hunting is supposed to be already ileagle anyway.	Marschell Wayment		Oakley	Idaho.
3931	7/16/2017 14:31	No	No	No	And restricting the use of trail cams is pure nonsense. Trail cam help the the working man or women to scout the area he wants to hunt and helps him see what is the area and cell phone and two-way radio are used for more than tell each other where we are seeing game it used also for when we are in trouble or need help so is fish and game going to pay for every hunter to have Spot and activate it and pay for it they are just buying them a law suit that they can not win when some gets hurt or needs help and we sport men and Women will have to pay for their	Brian		Somewhere	Idaho
3932	7/16/2017 14:56	Yes	No	No		Charles Allen		Boise	Idaho
3933	7/16/2017 16:11	Yes	Yes	Yes		John Alexander Hartman		Caldwell	ID
3934	7/16/2017 16:21	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Stan Lamborn		Laketown	Utah
3935	7/16/2017 16:55	Yes	No	No		Lance Elliot		Culdesac	Idaho
3936	7/16/2017 16:55	No	No	No		Sheridon		Shelley	Idaho
3937	7/16/2017 16:57	Yes	Yes	No		Jon		Providence	Utah
3938	7/16/2017 17:41	No	No	No		Adam Johnson		Carey	Idaho
3939	7/16/2017 17:56	No	No	No	Leave these rules alone.	Jason		Roberts	Idaho
3940	7/16/2017 17:56	No	No	No		josh pritchard		carey	idaho
3941	7/16/2017 18:00	No	No	No		Carey Hruza	Hunter	Rupert	Idaho
3942	7/16/2017 18:03	Yes	Yes	Yes	We need to maintain restrictions on all weapons and devices to maintain our quality of hunting as well as our image as hunters.	Josh	Hunter	Centerfield	Utah
3943	7/16/2017 18:20	No	No	No		Anthony Boyd		nampa	Idaho
3944	7/16/2017 18:33	No	No	No		Chris shuler		Pocatello	Idaho
3945	7/16/2017 18:43	No	No	No	I feel that all trail cams should be legal for baiting bears and wolves. Wireless transmitting cameras should not be used for any other big game animal.	Mickey		Blackfoot	Idahj

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3946	7/16/2017 19:08	No	No	No	I think this is a pointless rule that seems impossible to enforce	Ian B Phillips		Idaho falls	ID
3947	7/16/2017 19:08	No	No	No	I think this is a pointless rule that seems impossible to enforce	Ian B Phillips		Idaho falls	ID
3948	7/16/2017 19:23	No	No	No		Wayne Archer		Pocatello	Idaho
3949	7/16/2017 20:07	Neutral	No	No		Judd Gold		Layton	Ut
3950	7/16/2017 20:08	Neutral	No	No		Judd Gold		Layton	Ut
3951	7/16/2017 20:27	Yes	No	No		Justin Keller		Challis	ID
3952	7/16/2017 20:36	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rosann Marquardt		Hartfield	VA
3953	7/16/2017 20:37	Neutral	Yes	No	most ppl use walkie talkies responsibly as a way to communicate between themselves to locate each other to better work an area but mainly to find a hunter with downed game.also to keep in communication with kids and newer hunters and new hunters to an area.it is a big safety net for hunters that use them.as far as other instruments I'm not really to sure about,never considered using them.	AL REED	57 yr reside	garden city	id
3954	7/16/2017 20:44	No	No	No		Clint sackett		Syracuse	Utah
3955	7/16/2017 20:46	Yes	Yes	Yes		Char Roth	Conservatio	Hailey	Idaho
3956	7/16/2017 20:49	Yes	No	Yes	Our hunting party has had two way radios for 20 years and have never used the radios as an aid to take an animal. We have used them only as an aid for the safety of those in our group. We had one experience in our group where a two way radio saved the life of the son who radioed his Dad that a bear was mauling him. Because of this communication, the father was able to come to the assistance of his son and save his life. A trail camera where you have to physically check it is not any different than using a fish finder in a boat or ice fishing to catch	Brent Martinsen		Soda Springs	Idaho
3957	7/16/2017 21:09	Yes	No	No		Matt Isch		POST FALLS	Idaho
3958	7/16/2017 21:13	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fair chase is rapidly changing with technology I support the band on all trail cameras used to help harvest animals. Also against long range shooting the scopes now days aren't considered giving animals a fair chance of survival ask yourself if u were being hunted would u want these tools used against u?	Daren dubbe		Pocatello	Idaho
3959	7/16/2017 22:13	No	No	Neutral	I feel that the use of trail cameras help to harvest the more mature animals in a given area. This practice is best for the maintenance of a health population of game animals.	Marcus Mitchell	Hunter/outd	Boise	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3960	7/16/2017 22:45	No	No	No	Many hunters like me use trail cameras to scout areas as well as game. We use the data from the cameras to help decide which animal we are wanting to attempt to take, i.e. the most mature animal. Restricting the use of cameras reduces this ability. I also do not feel that checking cameras the day of or the day before will aid in the taking of any animal. I strongly disagree with these proposed rule changes.	Kody Haney		Moore	IDAHO
3961	7/16/2017 23:45	Yes	Yes	No		Garrison	Hunter	Syracuse	Ut
3962	7/17/2017 0:37	No	No	No		Justin thueson		Boise	Idaho
3963	7/17/2017 4:53	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unmanned electronic devices should not be used to aid in hunting and are inconsistent with ethical hunting and a fair chase.	David Hoversland		Sun Valley	ID
3964	7/17/2017 6:47	No	No	No	Trail cameras are one of the best tools available, not just for the hunter but also for conservation and the animal. Cameras give you undeniable proof of animals are using or living in a particular area. Cameras cut down the cost to the hunter, allowing them to spend more money in other areas of your local economy. Last and probably most importantly.....cameras allow the hunter to spend less time in the woods scouting and otherwise disrupting the animals daily routine. LESS PRESSURE equates to healthier animals and that is an undeniable fact. Leave the trail cameras alone. Manage your wildlife , NOT your sportsmen and women.	David Burdge		Nine Mile Falls	WA
3965	7/17/2017 7:26	Yes	No	No	Use of trail cameras: a friend drew a special permit, went and put out a few cameras to see what was in the area, after 3 weeks he had one bull elk and a bunch of wolves; probably going to hunt somewhere else! When you have 1 weeks vacation, you don't want to be wasting your time. A friend in Montana isn't going to hunt his normal spot because he has a sow Grizzly and 2 cubs working the area. They can also be used to be safe as you can.	Jim Briggs	Hunter	Craigmont	Idaho
3966	7/17/2017 7:36	Yes	No	No	A friend drew a special permit and has put out a few cameras, he has is one bull elk and a bunch of wolves; he will probably hunt somewhere else; with one week of vacation, he doesn't want to waste his time. A friend in Montana has cameras out in his normal area and has a sow Grizzly and two cubs consistently in there. He will not hunt there if there are there. They can be an aid to hunt safety.	Jim Briggs	Hunter	Craigmont	Idaho
3967	7/17/2017 7:47	Yes	No	Yes		Damon Keen	IDFG	Kamiah	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3968	7/17/2017 9:40	Yes	No	No		Michael Kosinski		Orofino	ID
3969	7/17/2017 9:46	Yes	No	No	We do not live in the wilderness as we used to. With less and less time to scout for hunting, due to more and more demanding lives, it is harder to find time to harvest. Trail cameras do help in scouting and i believe getting into the field is important.	Geoffrey		Moscow	Idaho
3970	7/17/2017 9:54	Neutral	No	No		Chris Leyden		Bonnars Ferry	Idaho
3971	7/17/2017 9:55	Yes	No	Yes		Curtis Johnson	None	Kamiah	ID
3972	7/17/2017 10:02	No	No	No	I support using trail cameras because in most mountainous terrain your trail cameras can't send info to phone or computer. Then the use of two way radios are great for keeping track of your members of your hunting party	Joell		Payson	Utah
3973	7/17/2017 10:08	No	No	No	Trail cams are fun and part of the sport. Just because you have a picture of a Deer on a trail can doesn't mean he'll be there during hunting season. Two way communications are a safety tool. As long as it's not being used to herd or push game, then hunters should be allowed to have it. Thank you.	Robert Trowbridge		Roseville	CA
3974	7/17/2017 10:10	Yes	No	Yes		BiJay Adams		Rathdrum	ID
3975	7/17/2017 10:13	No	No	No	If I down an elk several miles in and want to contact people to help me pack it out I can text them on the spot and start field dressing the elk/deer. If I can't take my cellphone then I would have to hike all the way out to run those people down and then hike back in. Also, many people use their cellphones as cameras. That is the technology. I have never seen nor heard of anyone party hunting with cell phones. Two way radios I can understand, but not cell phones.	Bruce Barnett		Meridian	Idaho
					I understand the desire to eliminate live feed of trail cams and that makes sense. However, trail cams can give one a great idea of what is in an area. It's going to get complicated as well. How do you determine if someone is using the camera to assist in hunting or for wildlife curiosity (which I often use it for)? That leads you to banning all/most use of trail cams.				
3976	7/17/2017 10:17	Yes	No	No		Fred Tohm		Sandpoint	Idaho
3977	7/17/2017 10:39	No	No	No		Jacob		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3978	7/17/2017 11:37	No	Yes	Yes	I have had bad experiences with people using radios to talk back and forth about the location of game. This put me at a serious disadvantage. This was in the unit 45 early controlled archery season. It made it ridiculously difficult to hunt because the group had 15 people radioing back and forth to the one archery hunter. The big buck we were both after was very spooked and it made it feel like I was hunting with 15 people at one spot when the entire hunt only had 10 tags. Not fair at all to people not hunting in groups and it ruined the sport of fair chase.	Scott Swanson		Boise	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3979	7/17/2017 11:39	Yes	No	Yes	Aids that communicate information in real time which can be used to gain a significant advantage in hunting a particular animal should be regulated. Trail cameras which simply record images for future viewing without real time transmission of the data are a different matter. Proposed subsection "g" is not a good idea, is not needed, and will be difficult to enforce. The idea that going to a trail camera site and reviewing the images of previously recorded images 1) gives the hunter some unfair advantage, or 2) violations could be readily enforced, seems farfetched. The language of the provision places no geographical limitations on the hunting prohibition. Does it mean that if I view my trail camera images, I am precluded from hunting anywhere in the state for the next 2 days? Conversely, if I can view my images so long as the viewing does not "aid" me in my hunting decisions, then how would you ever prove my camera viewing was done illegally? What if I kill an animal which was not present on my camera images? How could by camera be considered an "aid" to my hunting in that situation? I have a couple of cameras which I enjoy putting out in the woods just to see what all I can capture. To me it is simply fun to see what is out there. I do not, and will not ever restrict my hunting to any particular animal I see on my camera. As a bowhunter, I tend to shoot the first male of the species I get an opportunity to shoot. Seeing any particular animal on my trail camera does not impact my hunt. We have far more egregious issues which negatively impact hunting and the hunting experience than old fashioned trail cameras. The notion that a hunter could use such device to gain a significant advantage in the real world stretches the imagination. The time delay between the taking of the image and its subsequent viewing eliminates or I want to say yes but the problem is that sometimes they are used for the sole purpose of telling someone where you are or that you have something down and need help. I don't think it should be allowed for the use of telling someone where the animals are, but I know it would probably get used for this.	Brian Harper		Boise	ID
3980	7/17/2017 11:39	Yes	No	Neutral		Keith Schofer		Boise	ID
3981	7/17/2017 12:01	Yes	No	No		Mike Jacobs	Non-resident	monroe	wa
3982	7/17/2017 12:23	Yes	No	No	Will I still be able to use my trail camera to see if there is game in the area?	Allan Sater		COEUR D ALENE	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3983	7/17/2017 12:27	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hunting is supposed to be difficult. It takes skill. Please don't cheapen hunting by making it a recliner sport.	Amy Scott		Sandpoint	ID
3984	7/17/2017 12:31	Yes	No	No		Andy Davis		Nampa	ID
3985	7/17/2017 12:36	Yes	No	No	Talking about big game location on radios doesn't always have to be about harvest. 90 of the conversations I have heard on the radio about big game location is just talking about animals we see, and generally we cannot get to them due to the intense terrain of Idaho. When used to transmit the location of game two-way radios are a tool no different than binoculars and spotting scopes for locating game. Just because you know where something is doesn't mean you have the ability to hike there or hunt there, nor do two-way radios make it any easier to complete a spot and stalk. Limiting two-way radio communication will have a big impact on hunter morale and a very small impact on harvest, and it also can impede ones 1st amendment rights.	John Jacobs		KUNA	IDAHO

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3986	7/17/2017 12:43	Yes	No	No	<p>Comment regarding two-way electronic communication- Radios, and cell phones where they work, are a great tool for safety and general communication when parties are separated in the field. I feel that there is too much gray area in the rule as written. The typical scenario of hunters checking in mid-day, where are you? when are you going to be back at the car? Do you need to be picked up? Did you get something? can easily move to, Hey, have you seen any animals? Oh yeah where? Ok well we may work that way. Seems fairly innocuous.</p> <p>There is the opportunity to abuse this and highly coordinate the take of game in a pushing and blocking tactic, etc. That is unethical in my opinion.</p> <p>How though do you handle the situation of a wounded animal that is being pursued? Communication can be highly effective, and seems like an ethical use of technology to retrieve an animal that otherwise would likely get away and then die without harvest.</p> <p>Finally, the better the communication (i.e. sat phones) would be nearly impossible to detect and enforce, while simple GSM radios are easy to detect. This seems like it is going to further separate the haves from the have nots.</p>	Scott Calhoun	self	Boise	ID
3987	7/17/2017 12:57	No	No	Neutral		Brad Dean		Allen	Tx
3988	7/17/2017 13:00	Yes	Yes	Yes	I support the rules as written and would support additional restriction as to number of days before a hunter could use trail cam information for actual taking of an animal. Scouting tools are fine, but using this technology to actually know an animal is currently present at a location without having to travel there is not	Dan Soumas		Hayden	Idaho
3989	7/17/2017 13:01	No	No	No		Jason Letsom		Springfield	Oregon
3990	7/17/2017 13:54	No	No	No		Anthony Boyd		Cascade	Idaho
3991	7/17/2017 14:00	No	No	No	in no way would it make sense to ban some one from using their cell phones while hunting. think of the risk involved in hunting alone with out the ability to communicate. Trail cameras don not take away from fair chase. they dont help you other than to let you know which animals are roaming around, and help you hold out for the biggest or best animal in a given area.	Dan	southern alb coalhurst		ab

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3992	7/17/2017 14:09	No	No	No		markus anderson		meridian	idaho
3993	7/17/2017 14:11	No	No	No		cyril crauet		Idaho City	Idaho
3994	7/17/2017 14:27	Yes	No	No	I have 2 concerns about this rule change: 1-Use of trail cameras in conjunction with bait for hunting black bear. In my mind trail cameras are an ethical tool for bear hunting and if cameras are not allowed for bear hunting it will be difficult to target mature animals. 2- I often use 2 way radios while hunting not to "aid" the taking of game but rather to check in at planned intervals with hunting companions in the same area for safety and to communicate on their status, location, etc. I am concerned that the proposed change would negatively effect safety and that I could be cited for simply communicating while hunting regardless of whether that communication was intended to aid in the taking of big game or	Daniel Stark		Idaho Falls	ID
3995	7/17/2017 14:48	Yes	No	Yes	In general, I support of use of electronic communications. But I am confused in restricting the use of trail cameras in itself, does it means that I would not be able to go out and set up a tail camera with no electronics attached to transmit photos, then go out and retrieve the camera to look at the photos.	Keneth Ekstrom	nont	Aberdeen	idaho
3996	7/17/2017 15:11	Yes	Yes	No		Dain White		Idaho Falls	ID
3997	7/17/2017 15:18	Yes	No	Yes		Patrick Warren		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
3998	7/17/2017 15:24	Yes	Yes	Yes	We are way behind in implementing reasonable restrictions on emerging technology which provides unethical advantages for hunters. Game cameras of any kind should not be allowed between August 1 and December 31 (or some such date range...) Drones should be specifically mentioned and restricted... ballistic computers and computing range finders/scopes are encouraging ridiculously long shooting... who cares whether or not the shooter is proficient? It's not ethical to shoot game at extreme ranges simply because they are vulnerable everywhere they break cover. A maximum shooting range should be stated in the regulations.... 500 yards? Impossible to enforce perhaps but at least a place to start... Crossbow use during archery season is supposedly being considered and should not be. Allowing disabled hunters to use crossbows has taken us far enough down that road. It might be easiest to benchmark a period in history as a standard and state that technology in use prior to, say 1990 as acceptable, but restrict everything after... There are emerging technologies whose potential uses afield will most certainly degrade the hunting experience, but it is difficult to constantly write rules to restrict them all. Hovercraft ATVs, IR cameras on drones, synthesized hormone scent attractants, "invisible camouflage", scent eliminating devices. Overall, I think there should be an effort to	Chad McEwan	Lifetime Idal Cataldo		Idaho
3999	7/17/2017 15:48	Yes	Yes	Yes	The use of 2 way radios in North Idaho may not be an issue. In the open country of the south half of Idaho, radios can certainly be used to help guide hunters toward big game such as deer and elk. It is handy and probably safer to have a radio in case a person gets in trouble or calls to ask for help in taking care of an animal. The issue is using them to hunt. A rule would probably not be enforceable that allowed possession but not to be used for hunting. It would be worth a try if for no other reason than as an expression of fair chase.	Roger Olson	None	Hailey	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4000	7/17/2017 16:13	No	No	No	I got an email saying that the wording was changed on this rule. Again, Idaho Fish and Game has failed to listen to hunters, this is another joke from the IDFG. I have never talked to a hunter that wants to restrict trail cameras (though many have been asking for lighted nocks for years, especially since Pope and Young has chosen to allow them). These cameras do not rope the animal and hold it there until you get there. You still need to HUNT the animal. Additional frivolous rules like this one will simply make good, law abiding hunters into the next sucker punch ticket from Looks like IDFG is fishing for a way to restrict trail cameras. This is laughable and completely unneeded. Please move on from this and actually listen to hunters.	Austin Dahl		Rexburg	ID
4001	7/17/2017 16:21	No	No	No		Austin Dahl		Rexburg	ID
4002	7/17/2017 16:46	No	No	Neutral		Ann Johnson		Salmon	ID
4003	7/17/2017 16:49	No	No	No		Sam Rhinevault		Lowell	OR
4004	7/17/2017 16:55	Yes	No	Neutral	I think enforcing the use of two way electronics would be impossible. I believe that using two way communication does increase safety in many instances. i.e someone that is lost, has fallen, needs help	Andrew Trane		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4005	7/17/2017 16:56	Yes	Yes	Yes	I do not think it is very sporting to use electronic aides.	ANGIE WHITE		IDAHO FALLS	ID
4006	7/17/2017 17:05	Yes	Yes	No	According to my ethics of fair Chase I don't use my two way radio to tell others where game are located. I do use radios to help my party find down game.	Jeremy Heward		Twin Falls	Idaho
4007	7/17/2017 17:07	No	No	No		Jason Buck		Ketchum	ID
4008	7/17/2017 17:45	No	No	No		jeffrey bitton		stanley	Idaho
4009	7/17/2017 17:47	Yes	No	No	Non-transmitting trail cameras and the use of walkie-talkies (for safety sake) should be legal.	thomas kehrer		Ketchum	IDAHO
4010	7/17/2017 18:24	No	No	No		Jordan Currie		Coeur d'Alene	ID
4011	7/17/2017 18:47	No	No	No		Kyle		Boise	Idaho
4012	7/17/2017 18:53	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Carl Jorgensen		Meridian	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4013	7/17/2017 18:54	No	No	No	This is not only a means of invasion of privacy, but it's unsafe, using a two way radio can be used as a tool of communication to avoid shooting a certain direction, find help when needed, and it's absurd to prevent texting a friend if you see a game animal. We don't live in the stone age and this set of rules would only make hunting unnecessarily hazardous and would be a legal invasion of privacy. If this passes you can count on hunting in Idaho to decrease substantially and people unavoidably in a bad situation that will more than likely sue the IF&G.	Keith Zundel		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4014	7/17/2017 19:00	Yes	No	No	As both a hunter and an amateur radio operator I feel I must weigh in on this topic. Perhaps restricting the use of remote devices that automatically transmit game location might be a good idea to foster the skill of hunting and level the playing field. Remotely accessed cameras, whether game cameras or drones, Sensors, Alarms and the like are not very sportsmanlike, in my opinion. That said, people should be allowed to communicate with other people anytime, anywhere, anyhow, even if it's two hunters talking about which way the buck bolted. I don't support the idea of restricting the use of interpersonal communication devices (2 way radios, Cellphones etc) under any circumstance.	Chris Fourroux		Spirit Lake	ID
4015	7/17/2017 19:34	Neutral	No	No	I think two way communications could be a great asset to hunter safety. I fear for how "aid to hunting" will be defined. I do NOT want to see a hunter get in trouble for telling a hunting partner that he's going to a certain location following a deer herd etc. Knowing partner locations is a vital asset to hunter safety.	Cory White		Middleton	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4016	7/17/2017 19:44	No	No	No	I have never felt the desire to comment prior to this. I can't understand how fish and game feels they can restrict any of these items from use. Setting and checking game cams requires a lot of work leads to a much more ethical kill than people just shooting at animals as they run by hoping to get lucky. It requires time and effort to locate a good place to put a camera. Fish and game should have plenty of other stuff to worry about without treading on this topic. Idaho needs to keep up with the times. If these can be shown to be adversely affecting the animal population than make that information known but from everything presented here someone high up just doesn't like them. Justification is needed on why these are needing band. You are around to ensure the population of game is maintained. If that isn't threatened or an issue than stay out of the way it is done. This is an ethical option and shouldn't be up for discussion.	Cody Wageman		Shelley	Idaho
4017	7/17/2017 20:24	Neutral	No	No	The use of Live-stream trail cameras should not be accessed within 24 hours of hunting an area. However a trailcam of an area from 2 weeks ago will not affect how the area is hunted. As for the two way communication, if hunters are not allowed to carry two way communication devices, the first time a hunter gets lost in the woods and dies because he had no communication device on him, it would lie on the heads of the people that have passed these ideas look to me like a nightmare for cos to enforce. do you have studys on how many animals are obtained by these methods? trail cameras show many fun animal activity that are not even linked to hunting times please publish your study to the public so we may be better informed before making a decision.	Zach R Shearer		boise	ID
4018	7/17/2017 20:35	No	No	No	these ideas look to me like a nightmare for cos to enforce. do you have studys on how many animals are obtained by these methods? trail cameras show many fun animal activity that are not even linked to hunting times please publish your study to the public so we may be better informed before making a decision.	Joe DeClark		georgetown	idaho
4019	7/17/2017 20:35	No	No	No	these ideas look to me like a nightmare for cos to enforce. do you have studys on how many animals are obtained by these methods? trail cameras show many fun animal activity that are not even linked to hunting times please publish your study to the public so we may be better informed before making a decision.	Joe DeClark		georgetown	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4020	7/17/2017 20:40	Yes	No	No	I do not support the restrictions of two way radios . I do agree that they should not be used to direct hunters into the game they are hunting . But the way I see it ethical hunters would not do that any way. The outlaws would still be doing as they wish . This would only hurt the ethical hunters. No different than gun control outlaws will still have guns. I run hounds and use radios to communicate with people that I am hunting with to help keep up with the hounds so we can get to them as soon as possible. Hopefully before the wolves get there . We use the GPS units for the same purpose . Neither one helps in harvesting big game . This is headed in the same direction as California . Before long no hunting with hounds . Bringing this up is just more ammunition for the anti hunters	Dennis McClure		Nampa	Idaho
4021	7/17/2017 20:40	No	No	Yes		John hanousek		Boise	Idaho
4022	7/17/2017 20:43	Yes	Yes	No	These proposals need to be better thought out and written. (the phrase "aid to hunting" is too broad and open to interpretation). Regarding trail cameras, I support the same day and following day restriction. But does this mean the camera has to be removed prior to hunting or not checked prior to hunting.... Two-way communication devices: I see nothing wrong with alerting your hunting partner that game may be coming his/her way. Would asking your hunting partner to help you track a wounded animal be an aid to hunting; what if the partner gets the kill - was the two-way device an aid?	Randy C Bunnis	private citize	Mountain Home	Idaho
4023	7/17/2017 20:44	Yes	No	No	I believe that using cell phones & radios is safety thing for people & older people like myself . If someone gets hurt it helps a lot in getting help by use of cell phone or radios .. Also I believe this is a waste of time & would be very hard to enforce since I haven't seen a Warden in the woods for over 10 years . If you are worried about our game you need to look at the wolf problem not people communicating in the wood . If there is a problem it's wolves . The last year I hunted archery in unit 10a I saw more wolves than elk in to weeks of hunting .	Rick C. Million		Lewiston	Idaho
4024	7/17/2017 21:06	Yes	No	No	I do not want trail cameras that don't send pictures banned. And I definitely don't want radios banned	Dennis fix		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4025	7/17/2017 21:23	Yes	No	No	I don't agree with the use of a trail cam capable of transmitting real time data to a remote location. All other trail cams should be legal.	chris blackburn		Idaho Falls	ID
4026	7/17/2017 21:31	Neutral	No	No	As far as phones you have to be kidding me, your proposal is a joke. Have you guys considered phones and two way radios as a safety measure? When I would take my son hunting we ALWAYS carried radios in the event we got seperated. Regardless of rules if	Kyle Stangel		La Grande	Oregon
4027	7/17/2017 21:41	No	No	No	I feel that safety can be increased somewhat. Communication between hunters or non hunters should not be scrutinized. Wireless communication is less effective than baiting. The collection of data may or may not result in unfair advantages.	Lawrence r. Perry		Iona	Idaho
4028	7/17/2017 21:43	Yes	Yes	Yes	It is clearly unfair chase when an "individual" can be many places at one time, simply by placement and monitoring of electronic surveillance devices. We need to retain as much "woodsman-ship" as possible when it comes to hunting. Hunting is not supposed to be easy or a parallel to a video game. Electronic devices such as trail cameras and drones have no place in fair-chase hunting. Idaho should ban the use of such items for	Greg Mladenka		Pocatello	ID
4029	7/17/2017 21:54	No	No	Yes		Mona Engleman		Fairfield	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4030	7/17/2017 21:57	No	No	Neutral	The proposed rules on the surface seem reasonable until you think about the implications of such rules. Implementing this rule would yet again remove freedoms and open law abiding citizens up to unreasonable scrutiny by law enforcement. Simply having a cell phone or being in proximity to someone's trail camera could possibly result in citations by a CO that doesn't listen to reason. Also it is a nearly impossible rule to enforce without infringing on a persons protected rights, what is the point of passing an unenforceable rule? Further more where do rules like this end? What is next to be outlawed? Optics over a specific power? Bolt action rifles? Maybe camouflage? Last time I checked a cell phone or a trail camera is not responsible for taking game, typically successful hunters are dedicated individuals who spend countless hours scouting and patterning game. Very few trophies are the result of accidental encounters, or a fleeting glimpse on a trail cam , or a text message. The passing this rule would be another example of the IDFG overstepping their bounds, it seems IDFG needs to be reminded who they work for and how they are	Adam		Troy	Idaho
4031	7/17/2017 22:06	Yes	Yes	Yes	So many trail cameras is also ruining the wilderness experience!	Al Cleveland	Bowhunter	Cave Creek	AZ
4032	7/17/2017 22:54	No	No	No		Dallin H. Swainston		Heber city	Utah
4033	7/17/2017 23:04	Yes	Yes	No		Lucas Johnson		Star	Idaho
4034	7/17/2017 23:16	No	No	Yes	Using these devices a few days before a hunt helps determine if the area is active. Nothing more. Just because you see game two days before your hunt does not mean it will be there is two days or the night before for that matter.	Edwin Silva		Boise	id
4035	7/17/2017 23:38	Yes	Neutral	Yes		Rick Carosone		Idaho Falls	Id
4036	7/17/2017 23:38	No	No	No	I don't think it's right to restrict these items	Jason		Boise	Idaho
4037	7/18/2017 4:54	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good idea to limit use also more on ATV	Mark beckley		Rexburg	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4038	7/18/2017 6:28	Neutral	No	No	I have to many safety concerns with restricting two way communication devices rom hunting. I take my cell phone know into the woods for 2 reasons. It has become my primary camera and if I were to become lost I could use it to save my life. There are always people exploiting any loop hole. I just do not want this to become one where we punish the good people because of a few bad one. Trail cameras are the same thing. I use one to monitor the animals and know when they are coming and going from an area. If you want to get rid of the ones that transmit I am ok with that. But the ones that do not and need to be monitored people should be allowed to us.	Sonny Sorensen		Bosie	Id
4039	7/18/2017 7:09	Yes	No	No	It would be easier to be either for or against the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting if the restrictions were more specific as to what constitutes an 'aid to hunting'. I am definitely against an outright ban on having two-way communications devices in the field for what should be obvious reasons; i.e., need for medical assistance, someone being lost, reporting illegal activities, reporting out-of-control fires, letting others in your party know you have an animal down and need assistance, etc. On the use of trail cameras, I see no harm in using trail cameras, especially preseason, for determining areas where you want to concentrate your hunting activities. I have mixed feelings about using trail cameras as a primary means of getting your game. Part of hunting is using patience and spending lots of time either still hunting or sitting on a stand in a predetermined area you choose to hunt. I don't think it is ethical to place trail cameras in likely spots around your main camp and just sitting in camp waiting for something to show up on your camera(s) alerting you to their	Dennis Frisby		Gooding	ID
4040	7/18/2017 7:11	No	No	No		John Gott		Twin Falls	Idaho
4041	7/18/2017 7:34	Yes	No	No		Jamie Thompson		Declo	Id
4042	7/18/2017 7:40	Yes	No	Neutral	Hunting the following day after a game camera is visited in the field should not be made illegal.	Bruce Penske	none	Meridian	Idaho
4043	7/18/2017 7:41	No	No	No	Restricting use of two-way radio or cell phones would be an issue of safety.	Erik		Mountain Hone	IDAHO
4044	7/18/2017 7:57	Yes	No	No		Dennis Fuller		Spokane	Washington
4045	7/18/2017 8:10	No	No	No		Jim Felkel		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4046	7/18/2017 8:17	Yes	No	No		Richard Criss		nampa	ID
4047	7/18/2017 8:38	Yes	No	No		Cesar		Meridian	Idaho
4048	7/18/2017 8:51	No	No	No	Just st the thought of adding more restrictions on top of what already exists is a liberal way of thinking. Just like IFG saying using aluminocks is unlawful. It would actually help in the recovery of more game and result in fewer lost, unrecoverd	Aaron Craythorn	Idaho Resid	Rexburg	Idaho
4049	7/18/2017 8:53	Yes	Yes	Yes	Although I have used cameras as an aid to understand game patterns, I do support the restrictions proposed as stated in the document. Fair chase is fair chase. Big game hunting is and has become a sport for the privileged as the hunting industry and landowners have found new ways to capitalize on investments. Use of electronics has value in communication and safety to keep in contact with other party members location and status. Communication should not be allowed for coordinating game locations and movements. As baiting is not allowed, so should be the use of direct communication for the purpose of chasing game. If not restricted, the use will put the hunter at a much greater advantage over the game. I like the use of these devices, both radio and video as a tool, but support the restrictions also.	David Wessel	Nonresident	Carthage	Illinois
4050	7/18/2017 9:02	No	No	No		David Frisby		Idaho Falls	Id
4051	7/18/2017 10:22	No	No	No		Todd Syruws	BHA	Nampa	ID
4052	7/18/2017 10:39	No	No	No	I think that the IDFG proposed rule prohibiting electronic communication (cellphones, radio etc.) to hunt probably violates the First Amendment right to free speech.. The proposed rule is a prohibition of speech and not conduct. I could go on for much more. I think the great law folks in IDFG need to	Jim Hagedorn	Sportsmen	Viola	Idaho
4053	7/18/2017 10:48	Neutral	No	Yes	With regard to the trail camera question, I believe this is a solution in search of a problem. I do not see a practical issue with the use of game cameras as legitimate scouting tools. I also have trouble envisioning a practical way these could affect fair-chase. Radio/cell communication is at least a minor problem and has been for years. The use of spotters to talk one individual into shooting position with two-way radios is wide-spread and I have always felt it was unethical. I would support paragraph (h.) as	brannon hancock		boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4054	7/18/2017 10:54	Yes	No	No	I do support the restricted use of equipment that sends data wirelessly or through cell coverage but the standard trailcam should not be restricted. It's not like the Midwest where those hunters pattern an animals every movement. I think most folks in Idaho just use them for getting pics of wildlife. Two way communication is a valuable tool in the field for locating hunters in a hunting party. I think the F&G has bigger things to worry about than the use of two way radios.	Brandon Miller		St. Maries	Idaho
4055	7/18/2017 10:58	Yes	No	No	My problem is with not using two way radios. My family takes them while hunting so we can stay in communication while hunting. This strictly for safety purposes. If my son needs my help I want to be able to get to him quickly. This is not giving us an unfair advantage we are simply staying safe.	Ron Hunt		Meridian	Idaho
4056	7/18/2017 10:59	Neutral	No	No		Amanda Dickinson		Mountain Home	Idaho
4057	7/18/2017 11:00	Yes	Yes	Yes	2 way communication is a major safety benefit for all hunters!	Adam Q. Poll		Nampa	Idaho
4058	7/18/2017 11:02	Yes	Yes	No		Ronald stone		Malibu	Calif
4059	7/18/2017 11:03	Yes	No	Yes		Jerry Francisco		Lenore	Id
4060	7/18/2017 11:09	Yes	Yes	Neutral		Tony Annen		American Falls	Idaho
4061	7/18/2017 11:21	Yes	No	No		Robert Webber		Port Orford	OR
4062	7/18/2017 11:24	No	No	No	As a disabled avid hunter I cannot afford to drive 2 to 8 hours to our hunting areas every few weeks to scout for game. Cameras help me and my family save time and expenses while maximizing our limited time afield. We don't use wireless video transmission. Also we enjoy seeing wildlife whether we harvest any game.	Rodney Hunt		Meridian	Idaho
4063	7/18/2017 11:34	Yes	Yes	Yes		Robert Minervini		Lewiston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4064	7/18/2017 11:44	Neutral	No	No	Has the appointed game commissioners lost their collective minds or are they becoming Big Brother? What happened to First Amendment rights? Being told what I can and can't say in a telephone or radio conversation is fundamentally un-American. How is that supposed to be enforceable? If I have a game camera set out and I bump into an elk and shoot it while hunting, not knowing I had a picture of it, am I then suppose to turn myself in when I find out, even if I could tell it was the same elk? Ludicrous. Is this because other states have such onerous laws? Idaho does not have to be like California or Nevada, that's why people choose to live in Idaho. These proposed rule changes do not reflect the wishes of the vast majority of the Idaho hunting public. Maybe it's time to vote out the sitting Governor and replace some elitist	Neal R. Wadley		Avon Huntin Deary	Idaho
4065	7/18/2017 11:48	Yes	No	No	Think of the younger kids hunting. Two way communication is necessary.	brandon		Weiser	Idaho
4066	7/18/2017 11:53	No	No	No	If the trail cam is a problem limit the tags so the number of animals isn't so high.The two way radio could be if somebody was alone maybe a way to get some help.Like friend is in one place and your in another over a hill and falls. A radio could be handy for help. The trail cam dosen't hurt anything and this restriction is another dumb idea. How can it be inforced.	ronald I owens		Soda Springs	Idaho
4067	7/18/2017 11:57	No	No	No		ronald I owens		Soda Springs	Idaho
4068	7/18/2017 12:30	Yes	No	Neutral	Once again you're trying to turn back the clock and force hunters to use rocks and spears to harvest an animal! It's getting harder every year to be successful in Idaho(harvest statistics) especially when you issue more tags for animals that just aren't there. I really doubt game cameras in general have had a huge impact on the success/failure of any hunts here in Idaho based on current harvest statistics. These cameras have been around for years and transmitting cameras are useless without cell coverage, so that limits their usage most places. As far as radios are concerned, i thought this rule was already in place. My opinion, more rules allow more tickets to be wrote for minor infractions. You need to remember whom you work for and where the money comes from to pay your salaries!!!	Matthew Beed	hunter	Kimberly	Idaho
4069	7/18/2017 12:35	No	No	No		Roy Lathen		Moscow	Idaho
4070	7/18/2017 12:35	No	No	No		Roy Lathen		Moscow	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4071	7/18/2017 13:49	No	No	No	I am especially opposed to the restriction of the 2 way communication. I hunt with my disabled father. I need to be able to communicate with him in order to know where he is and if he needs assistance. He is not able to go to the areas I am, so I often times check in with him to see how he is doing and get a location for him. By restricting this you are jeopardizing hunter safety. Too often IDFG write rules too restrictive which will inhibit our ability to communicate as it will be seen as "aiding" hunting. Please use some common sense and realize that the majority of hunters are not using these devices to locate or hunt game, it is to stay in contact with the hunting party to stay safe. Don't let the actions of a couple outweigh the safety of all.	Donald Peck	Sportsman	Nampa	ID
4072	7/18/2017 13:58	No	No	No	I do not see an issue with unmanned trail cameras. Having the ability to take photos has already been upheld by the courts as lawful when recording others in public. Why then would you be able to restrict what the courts have already stated is lawful?	Josette Shults		Coeur d'Alene	ID - Idaho
4073	7/18/2017 13:59	Yes	Yes	Yes	these technologies have brought a new definition to "fair chase" and although technology will continue to evolve in many ways we should not allow it to work against our wildlife resources.	tony alonso	na	Meridian	ID
4074	7/18/2017 14:02	Yes	Yes	Yes		Laura J Moore		Blackfoot	ID
4075	7/18/2017 15:15	Yes	No	No	I think that the use of two way frs radios for the purpose of locating hunters in your party is a safety tool that helps keep hunting parties together and not having to call out search and	Ron Williams		Rigby	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4076	7/18/2017 16:21	No	No	No	Trail cameras are great asset to hunting. Being allowed to find out and learn where the animals are and their patterns is a great benefit to the hunter. Just because a hunter puts up a camera doesn't mean they aren't doing work to get out and hunt. If a bear is coming on a bear bait site and you have spent the couple hours to go check the camera before hunting the site, you should be able to hunt there that evening. Two-way electronic communication: If I am sitting on one edge of a clearing and a buddy or family member is on the other, I would hope that they could get a message me to and tell me that 100 yards away from me in the trees is big-game animal but it is just currently out of my site. Or to tell me to keep hiking toward a certain direction to meet up with them where they are hunting. It's just another way to micromanage the public.	David Kennedy		Rigby	ID
4077	7/18/2017 16:37	No	No	No		Jason Olsen		Rigby	Idaho
4078	7/18/2017 16:39	No	No	No		Cody Gudmunson		St Anthony	Idaho
4079	7/18/2017 16:56	Yes	No	Neutral		Clayton Moore		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4080	7/18/2017 17:02	Yes	Yes	Yes		Brandon Christoffersen		Meridian	ID
4081	7/18/2017 17:19	No	No	No	The way of communication and camera are the way to stop people or prove what happen and to help with accidents when at bait sites! It doesn't need to change leave it alone! It doesn't hurt no one by using all of the above	Brenda		Idaho city	Idaho
4082	7/18/2017 18:05	No	No	No	Quit making so many damn rules.	Mark coppinger	None.	Elko	Nevada
4083	7/18/2017 18:38	Yes	No	No	I don't feel there are many areas with cell phone signal that will help with the hunting. I have been using the cameras as a way to see what there is for animals in the area we archery hunt. It has not aided in the harvest of any animals. I can only view the pictures by accessing the memory cards. I can attest to the fact that it has allowed us to see the decline in the female numbers where we hunt in unit 32A. I was very disappointed to see the change allowing archery hunters to use their tags as a rifle cow tag. I heard a press release stating the fact that survival of fawns and calves was very poor last winter. I suspect that there will be a lot of does and cows that aborted or absorbed their fetus's due to the hard winter. I think we are a year away from seeing any young animals. Please make adjustments to the female harvest before the season starts. These cameras reveal a lot about the herd health in an area. I know you have even requested pictures	Ron Robbins		Weiser	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4084	7/18/2017 19:29	Neutral	No	No	<p>The use of cell phones and hand held communication equipment are a safety net for hunters . With the increase of bear and cougar attacks it's only common sense to have some way to summon help from companions or search and rescue when one is in the middle of no where. These devices also help youth hunters feel more at ease in the forest where everything looks the same. The use of 2-way electronics doesn't increase the success rate. It only helps hunters keep track of each other, and eliminate a lot of back tracking trying to find your hunting buddies. Trail cameras don't guarantee success they only help hard working people, who can't be in the field daily because of work, that there might be some game in the area they want to hunt. These restrictions are meant to make it harder for hunters to harvest an animal. Very similar to the motorized restrictions of years past. The department is unwilling to furnish the product to the sporting public, but expects the customer to keep buying its' licenses and tags.Case in point the dept. has tried to restore mule numbers for 30 years and is no closer now than when it started via numerous wildlife biologists that were supposed to make it happen. I know numerous sportsmen who have just given up on the Idaho fish and game and refuse to buy these items as a private protest. These regulations will only continue the protest. I think eliminating some of the technological weaponry on center fire, archery, and muzzle loaders would be a better avenue than communication and</p>	David Engleman		Boise	ID
4085	7/18/2017 19:32	No	No	No		Craig Shuler	life time resi	Soda Springs	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4086	7/18/2017 20:06	No	No	No	Well since I haven't drawn a tag since I was a kid it really didn't matter if I suffer repercussions for speaking out . I do not agree with these new regulations you are trying to impose . Idaho is behind the times . And as a dissabled hunter I who is trying just as hard as anyone else to have fair game and fair chase . I am fed up with your laws . Your restrictions on crossbows and lighted knocks . And motorized access laws and now this . Stop trying to regulate us and focus on people who are not doing what they are supost to be doing as true sportsman . For a fact you restrict crossbows to dissabled only . But they don't shoot as far as compound bows and have better accuracy . You only get one shot . And if you think about that this would mean the hunter would have to sneak in closer and insure there one shot counted to have the best shot placement that there is . But yet you outlaw these . You should focus your time and efforts on better things . I see where your coming from but the more reins we as the people and sportsman of Idaho give you the more political laws to make more revinue you make . I am against this and as said before I know I will suffer repercussions for saying my opinion but I will voice my opinion and be happy with what ever consequences happen .	Darin Schneider		Blackfoot	Idaho
4087	7/18/2017 20:10	No	No	No		CASEY SPIDELL		Chubbuck	ID
4088	7/18/2017 20:38	Neutral	No	Neutral	The use of trail camera's with out Wi-Fi should be able to be used. Most hunters that use these type of camera's walk in and set them and check them once a week or every other week. The animal could still be in the area or gone by the time the hunter checks the camera. If the animal is still in the area and the hunter shoots it on the way into the camera, under the rule that you purpose he is in violation of the rule. The two-way communications, the hunter's may be using them to stay in contact with their hunting party. How's Fish and Game going to determine how the two-way communications are being	Ron Schutt		Ameican Falls	Idaho
4089	7/18/2017 21:24	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras that have a chip and that you have to remove or go to should be ok but any devise that tranmitts data to another source should be gone. cameras catch bad people also and their are a number of them today, if any thing mabe the should be tagged as bear bait cans do.	Gary Franklin	hunter	Worley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4090	7/18/2017 21:31	Yes	No	No	2 way radios as an individual entity are a great tool, and also an amazing safeguard while hunting. The use of two way radios is an essential part of my hunting equipment and has been since before the cell phone/trail camera age. They are an essential tool in assisting in locating hunting party members throughout the morning/day/night when the inherent obstacles of hunting disturb line of sight. Radios assist in ensuring that all members of a party are accounted for in low light/dark situations. They assist in helping locate members of a hunting party in low light/dark, unfamiliar territory situations, or lost hunter/party member situations. Also a GPS device just last year ensured that I made it back to camp safely, including a close encounter with a mature bear. I don't think that live feed type cameras and monitors is a fair chase situation. I do think that telecommunication from an airborne person or some of the current regulations regarding flight and hunting could be updated for the times. I do not believe that eliminating the use of two way radios is in anyone's best interest. It will put more people at risk of getting lost and separated from their groups, and I think it has advantages in recovering	Shane Koski	Hunter	Caldwell	Idaho
4091	7/18/2017 21:45	No	No	No	Communications between hunters using radios and cell phones is a very valuable safety issue.	Gary Domke		Priest River	Idaho
4092	7/18/2017 21:46	No	No	No	Being able to communicate with other hunters is an important safety concern.	Jackie Domke		Preist River	Idaho
4093	7/18/2017 21:59	Yes	Yes	Yes	use of trail cameras and two way devices, especially on public ground should be restricted.	hal i. overland	none	sandpoint	idaho
4094	7/18/2017 22:13	No	No	No	The fact that this is even a question is stupid. Must be some Californian's that worked their way into IDFG, sad.	Blake		Sandpoint	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4095	7/18/2017 23:27	No	No	No	<p>"Aid to hunting" is a vague, potentially all encompassing term that could be applied year around to restrict scouting and a new way to experience the great outdoors before before we lose it through regulations. Is it not also an aid to hunting to hike through the woods with a camera? How many other outdoor activities will be restricted because they might provide an "aid to hunting" that someone might consider unethical? . Might we restrict conversations between hunters who share where and when they saw animals because it is an unethical "aid to hunting"? Is sharing information about sightings of animals verbally really any different than getting information from a camera? What about property owners who tell hunters when and where the animals will come into the field? Talk about an "aid to hunting" and the hunter didn't even have to expend the time and effort to set up a camera.</p> <p>I have not noticed big changes in hunter success rates that would indicate that trail cameras were having a significant impact on the number of animals being harvested. What is the evidence that there is a system wide problem?</p> <p>I might support only the wireless option if it specifically applied only during an active season for the animal whose image was transmitted and the information was used to direct a hunter to an animal within some reasonable time period. Will hunters be allowed to share pictures they take during the hunt? Maybe send pictures home when they get in cell service? What if the pictures are geotagged?</p>	David Mann		Sandpoint	Id
4096	7/19/2017 1:14	No	No	No	To be truly fair chase we need to outlaw the use of all electronic aids to bagging a big game animal. You could allow though the use of trail cameras up until two weeks before the season to allow people to locate animals before they actually start hunting.	Adam Palmer		Kimberly	Idaho
4097	7/19/2017 6:35	Yes	Yes	Yes		Bill E. Williams	Private citize	McCall	Idajp
4098	7/19/2017 7:54	No	No	No		dick fitswell		nampa	idaho
4099	7/19/2017 9:32	No	No	Neutral		Dale A Jafek		Paul	ID-Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4100	7/19/2017 9:33	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras that DO NOT transmit images to a remote device should be allowed for use and should be acceptable to check while in the field hunting/scouting. Trail cameras that allow the user to transmit images or video to via a wireless connection to any remote device violates basic principles of fair chase. Proceed with Alternative to G(Alt G in supporting docs.. Radios and cellphones and other similar devices should not be used to lead a hunter into range of game. This violates basic principles of fair chase.	Ethan Crawford		Moscow	ID
4101	7/19/2017 9:35	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras should be allowed that have to be visited to know what animals are frequenting water holes, or bear baits. It serves no purpose to put hunters in a position of spending days, and many miles traveling to bait bears, only to find out females with cubs are what they are feeding. Those that transmit should not be	Dan Baxter		Kimberly	Idaho
4102	7/19/2017 9:37	No	No	No	I don't use any of these devices. That being said, most people don't have much time afield and if this helps narrow down an area to better utilize their vacation I'm all for it.	Antony Forrer		Middleton	Idaho
4103	7/19/2017 10:08	Yes	Yes	Yes	Electronic communication should only be used in an emergency-- injury, lost etc.	Jon		New Meadows	ID
4104	7/19/2017 10:31	Yes	No	No		Shallece Jacobs		Kuna	Idaho
4105	7/19/2017 10:55	Yes	No	No		jarred		heyburn	idaho
4106	7/19/2017 10:56	Yes	Neutral	Yes	I oppose the use of game cameras that transmit an image. That seems like an unfair advantage and does not require effort on the part of the hunter. I support restricting the use of all game cameras during rifle season. Rifles allow the hunter to strike from a much greater distance and game cameras can provide an unfair advantage. For archery, I don't support restricting the use of game cameras that require the hunter to visit the camera. I don't believe they provide the hunter any advantage other than to confirm the presence and number of game animals. The same information can be gained from the animals tracks, calls, and droppings. The hunter still has to find the animal and get within	Martin Palaniuk		Coeur dAlene	ID
4107	7/19/2017 13:14	No	No	No		Ben		Bonnerrys ferry	Id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4108	7/19/2017 13:22	Yes	No	No	While I support the prohibition of trail cameras that can provide a live feed, I do not support restricting all game cameras. I think that they are important to gather any relevant information, the most obvious example to me is setting a camera on a bear bait site. By checking the camera before you hunt over a bait you can determine what bear have been to the bait, most importantly you can determine if a sow and cubs have been the bears that frequent the site. If it is only a sow and cubs visiting the site you then know not to hunt over it and move on to another site. I also feel that two way communication can be an important tool to determine where your hunting partners might be before you take a shot, ensuring that you are not shooting in the direction of someone that you cannot see.	Brandon Hoxie		Rathdrum	ID
4109	7/19/2017 13:30	No	No	No	TWO WAY RADIO USE IS A SAFETY CONCERN FOR HUNTERS WHO MIGHT GET SEPARATED! I DIDNT EVEN SEE AN ELK LAST YEAR, OR HEAR SHOTS OR SEE GUT PILES OR SEE SOMEONE HAULING AN ANIMAL OUT. ARE YOU TRYING HARDER TO FEED THE WOLVES WITH ALL THESE PROPOSALS?	Gregg Suekel		idaho falls	id
4110	7/19/2017 13:56	Neutral	No	Neutral		Joe Kaul		Blackfoot	ID
4111	7/19/2017 15:15	Yes	No	No	I'm going to be blunt, this is an absurd proposed rule change! I could under those rules be charged with this "crime" for carrying a cell phone if I used it prior to harvesting. a text saying " where you at?" replied with " 500 yards to the north" and if an animal was harvest by chance after that I could be charged. This seems like a path for litigations and court expenses. Two-way radio communication could be a life saving tool in some situations, why deter people from using that? Trail cameras help hunters analyze animals to know if they are male/female, age, etc... helps with heard management. Wireless transmission shouldn't be allowed on trail cameras, people shouldn't be that lazy.	Trevor Larson		Nampa	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4112	7/19/2017 15:25	No	No	No	With the increased bureaucracy and rule making going on at IDFG this really takes the joy out of hunting. A person already feels like they need a lawyer along with them now to help ensure that they interpret and follow the rules completely. Adding further restrictions to hunting as proposed above will only accomplish frustrating more hunters since a large majority have been embracing technology especially as prices have fallen. Furthermore, enforcement of these rules would burden the already over tasked officers with obscure reasoning and burden of proof for the devices being considered in these rules. For example, if someone has a radio or phone along with them for emergency help, how would an officer unequivocally prove that this person used the device against the rule? Wouldn't you rather have your officers watching for clear gross violations rather than searching out people that might happen to talk to each other on a radio or a phone in a manner construed to violate this rule. If a person had a cell phone with them, as most people now do, would that alone constitute a violation or if they were caught saying something about wishing a nice deer would pop out in front of them would that cross the line? You also need to explain further the thought process behind the usage of trail cameras as unethical or unsportsmanlike. If you were to take the use of any technology as unethical or unsportsmanlike then you would not have any hunters, since you would have excluded most of them by using vehicles to travel to their hunting locations and the rest of them when they use guns or arrows or even shaped rocks and sticks since all are some form of improved technology. Most people using cameras are looking for particular animals which you could argue the opposite of your premise, that this actually makes them	Mike Gartman		Boise	Idaho
4113	7/19/2017 15:29	Yes	Yes	No		Matthew Utech		Sheboygan	WI
4114	7/19/2017 15:34	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Using trail cameras up to a couple weeks before hunting season should be allowed. Some of us just like to observe what wildlife are in an area. Radios can be essential when training youth to hunt and keeping track of them. I would suggest considering allowing two-way electronic communication when hunting with youth under 18.	Larry Mickelsen	Hunter	Soda Springs	Idaho
4115	7/19/2017 15:48	No	No	No		Matt Van Pelt		Challis	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4116	7/19/2017 15:51	No	No	No		Dustin Huerta		Caldwell	Idaho
4117	7/19/2017 15:56	Yes	Yes	Yes	More muzzleloader controlled tags. More opportunity and lower harvest rate. And it helps stop the ridiculous technology proliferation regarding hunting.	Robert Lee		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4118	7/19/2017 16:17	No	No	No	410.05.h. Is too vague and will give conservation officers the ability to issue citations without proof. This places the burden of proof on me the hunter if I carry any such device regardless of how I used them. Rangefinders are electronic and are used in the use of harvesting an animal, does this make them illegal too? If I use my GPS to take a picture of my harvest I am automatically in violation since the GPS marks the location of the harvest. The use of 2-way radios and position sharing GPS's increases the awareness of nearby hunters and increasing the prevention of accidental shootings. If I use a satellite photo, like google earth, to show someone else where I will be hunting, and they join me and harvest an animal, I will be in violation. Every magazine that publishes photos of harvestings gives an approximate location, will they be required to immediately cease their business practices. Any website to include F&G that publishes photos of big game or hunters and their harvests will violate this, it may aid someone else in harvesting an animal. "Any Electronic Device used in any manner to communicate the location or approximate location of any big game animal to another person for the purpose of aiding the take of that big game animal," this places the F&G in violation, the Harvest Statistics, and the Hunt Planner fall under this category! Are you going to prohibit the use of fish finders next? I am sure there are a thousand other stupid sounding responses	Michael Conant		Caldwell	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4119	7/19/2017 17:29	No	No	Yes	Trail cameras, or as I call them, camera traps are one of the best, most fun gadgets to ever come along. For several years now, I have bought bear bait tags for the sole purpose of setting up a "camera trap". I have not hunted off the bait. The pictures are fun to see and some of the things you catch are unexpected and amazing. The kind that have an internal memory card that must be taken out to view, only help the hunter by giving him/her an idea of the animals in the area and what time of day they were there. Sure, it helps, but there is no guarantee they will be back at that time, if at all. The type that send live footage, or alerts to the hunter at home, I don't think should be allowed. That is an unfair advantage. Right now, as I'm writing this, my camera trap is set out on a trail. I'm hoping to get a picture of a wolf. The trail it is on, I think they are using, but so far I have only got elk, bear and coyote pictures. Summer and winter patterns change, and getting a photo now doesn't mean they will be there this winter. It still makes it fun. Catch and release off season trapping! Walkie-talkies, and cell phones should not be allowed. Trouble is, cell phones are a great safety device. Banning hunters from carrying them would not be right, and how would you know for sure they were being used to locate game? Enforcing this rule	Gary Thomas	Hunter / Tra Salmon		Idaho
4120	7/19/2017 17:41	Yes	No	No	The use of handheld radios is an important safety measure when hunting in remote areas. I often hunt with a partner and we can lose track of one another. Use of handheld radios has helped us locate each other and understand what the other is doing. I have not found them particularly helpful in locating or pursuing big game. Restricting their use would minimally impact big game harvest but would lessen hunter safety.	Tom Mahoney		Bosie	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4121	7/19/2017 17:44	No	No	No	Cell phones and cameras are a way to better assess the animals available and allow hunters who have already drawn tags to harvest animals that are ideal to hunters and have already passed on their genes... the ability to communicate where game is at will reduce the number of wounded animals because it will increase hunter awareness to a game animals location... by doing that it will help reduce hunters "sneaking up" on game only to find themselves 500 yards away and taking an irresponsible shot, wounding the animal, not recovering it, and then shooting a second animal later in the season.	Steven fields		Twin falls	ID
4122	7/19/2017 19:37	Yes	No	No	Resident hunters have to work for a living and can only hunt on the few weekends hunting season is open! Non resident hunters take the entire hunting season off work or don't work at all and are in all of our resident hunting spots all week long. We should be able to have the simple trail cameras to use to aid us on the very few days the residents can hunt. I check mine on the Friday after work to know if I should spend my weekend hunting there or	Brett Boutillier		Fernwood	Idaho
4123	7/19/2017 21:24	Yes	Yes	Yes	My comments regarding the use of cell phone, texting, two-way radios in the field are as follows: The use of any of these devices, first and foremost, aid in the safety of the hunters by enabling hunters to keep track of each other in the field. Many steps and lots of worry are eliminated by their use as communication can happen on the mountain so that hunters can coordinate their movements whether it is to help each other with downed game or as they plan their retreat from the mountain. I think banning their use will be an enforcement nightmare as hunters' stories will always center about safety. I would question that there are any studies showing that there are significant increased harvest numbers, but if there are estimates I would ask, "Is the increased harvest worth putting hunters in	Arn Berglund		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4124	7/19/2017 22:30	Neutral	No	No		Blair L. Winward		Soda Springs	ID
4125	7/19/2017 23:00	No	No	No	When I live hundreds of miles away from my hunting spots, taking away my camera's would take away the food from my freezer.	Matt		Sterling	Idaho
4126	7/19/2017 23:18	No	No	No		Alex Mauri		Coeur d'Alene	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4127	7/20/2017 1:29	Yes	Yes	Yes		Franck Nyberg		Kuna	Idaho
4128	7/20/2017 9:18	Yes	No	No	I would be opposed to using trail cameras that can use cellular communication to inform the user remotely what is happening in the mountains. This would be the same to me as flying a plane and radioing in to someone on the ground where the animals are, which I believe is currently illegal. I am not opposed to using trail cameras as an information gathering device...it would be the same to me as seeing tracks, or other sign. I do think that the trail cameras need to be accessed by foot during hunting season and not via remote satellite or cellular communication. If you get out and walk/hike/pack in to the trail camera location, I think this is fair and valid. I do not oppose using 2-way electronic communication when hunters are on the ground in the field. As long as the hunter has to earn the effort to close the gap between he/she and the animal (i.e. actually hike over to the game), I	Duftan Sandoz		Hailey	ID
4129	7/20/2017 9:31	No	No	No	These are wild Animals on millions of Acres the can be at the bottom of a mountain one minute and over the top and on the other side 10minutes later. Two way communication is a means of keeping track of your hunting partner in a wilderness area I don't see how helping your hunting partner out getting into a better position to harvest a wild animal is bad. That is just good teamwork. Remember we do have to fool a whole herd of eyes, ears and noses!! What's next ban camouflage?? This is absurd	Jerry Wood		Watkinsville	Georgia
4130	7/20/2017 10:29	Yes	Yes	Yes	Use of trail cameras should be fully allowed during the off-season/summer. However, use of trail cameras should be completely eliminated or prohibited beginning one week prior to opening day of any given season. The purpose of the trail cameras should be for fun and pleasure as well as the ability to understand and identify which animals may be in a given area during the fall months. The cameras should not be allowed to be used or placed in the field for the seemingly unethical use, pursuit, and taking of game during any time of any open hunting season.	Stratton Laggis		Pocatello	Idaho
4131	7/20/2017 10:32	Yes	No	No	We use our radios for safety checks on our hunting partners every hour to an hour and a half depends on what length we decide we do not use for hunting game , if they are used for hunting game then they are not ethical hunters.	chuck wood	ethical hunt	Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4132	7/20/2017 11:29	Neutral	No	Yes	On the trail cameras I agree they can be used if they do not transmit to a remote device. Hunters have to go to camera to retrieve photos.	Chad Crosby		Lewiston	ID
4133	7/20/2017 11:42	Yes	Yes	Yes	I don't think this technology should be used as an aid for hunting. I am an Idaho resident, an Idaho hunter, and an Idaho voter.	Andre Kohler	The Shoshor	Pocatello	ID
4134	7/20/2017 11:59	Yes	No	No	Restrictions on all electronics is unsafe and unable to enforce	Robert Barnes		DOWNEY	Idaho
4135	7/20/2017 12:53	Yes	No	No	Why would you want to restrict something that will put our officers in great danger trying to enforce? I feel IDF&G goes to extremism in its attempt to preserve "fair chase" ideals. Using a game cam does not give a hunter any advantage, it just captures what "was" nearby. Similar to seeing an animal track in the mud. I support the first proposal, restricting equipment that can transmit "real time" imagery. However, the other two proposals are asinine. God forbid someone texts a picture of a trophy animal to his friends/family.	Mike Janssen		St. Anthony	ID
4136	7/20/2017 13:19	No	No	No	You can not just go and restrict all this stuff cause you think you have the power to. We have a outfitting business and we relay on our Garmin radios and our game cameras for our business by getting rid of them will hurt our business and make it harder for us to stop hunters coming in on not shooting certain animals like a big sow with a cub. And getting rid of two way Communication is very bad because there was a guy last year that got lost in the middle of the woods and are our guiding unit and had to have search and rescue out there twice to find him. All I can say is no I will never support this and your starting to put live at risk if you go this route with the no two way communication and making it to where it's harder for us outfitters and guides to get hunters to	Tyler oaks	Hunting guic	Sagle idaho	Idaho
4137	7/20/2017 14:10	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	The proposed rules are not enforceable so why put them in place Either outlaw trail cams during hunting season or allow them. The whole "one or two days wait" idea before a hunter can shoot something captured on a trail cam is not only stupid, but enforceable. Also, it really mostly punishes bear bait hunters and houndsmen running off of baits. We do not need more restrictions and unenforceable laws. As far as I am concerned, spend your time and energy getting people hunting off of four-wheelers in restricted areas before something as inconsequential as this.	Nick		Inkom	Idaho
4138	7/20/2017 14:15	Yes	Yes	Yes		Carrie Bretz	Private Citiz	Kamiah	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4139	7/20/2017 14:42	No	No	No	I am a stick bow hunter by choice. We all make our choices. Anything that can get more hunters interested in our sport is good. We are losing hunting support, and by eliminating these things we will get less. People love to see and use game cameras and it gets them interested to participate. We are not over harvesting, so quit putting in new rules and regulations. For every new regulation you need to remove 2.	Keith Hewel		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
4140	7/20/2017 15:11	No	No	No	While I do not use such devices I am against these proposed rules. Restrictions such as these are not easily enforceable. There are many better uses of sworn officers than chasing folks around and violating them for carrying a cell phone. Restriction of my freedom to communicate or gather information on public land should I choose to is likely unconstitutional. Further carrying a phone or radio provides an element of personal safety. The ability to communicate should not be restricted. I would never want to be subject to the arbitrary interpretation by any officer of my actions with a computer or other electronic device.. These proposed regulations look more like restrictions to hunting freedom by anti-hunting folks rather than sound game management. Remember the preponderance of funding comes from hunters not bird watchers. Weighting regulatory decisions in favor of folks who do not hunt or contribute is quite objectionable.	James R Tippett	Idaho reside	Carmen	Idhao

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4141	7/20/2017 15:20	No	Yes	Yes	I oppose the use of any electronic devices used to locate or transmit the location of game. I also oppose the use of trail cameras for hunting.. I am a lifelong hunter, a hunter education instructor and member several pro-hunting organizations including Safari club international.. I'm very concerned about the use of these devices for several reasons. I believe they negatively impact the hunting experience which has historically been about a interactions with nature, not technology. It does not help the public image of hunters or the public's perceived notions of "fair chase." Many hunters may also feel compelled to use the technology to compete with other hunters who are using it. Hunters who do use the technology will be giving up the self-reliant,, wilderness experience that has always been important to hunters – especially here in Idaho.. Finally, now more than ever, people need opportunities to take a break from technology. Hunting provides that for them. If these electronic devices are permitted to even a limited extent, it will be hard to enforce restrictions. Even now there are many others who routinely use two-way radios to communicate game location. Any rule drafting should also consider ease of enforcement. For example,, it makes more sense to disallow the	John Hinton	Idaho Hunte	Boise	Idaho
4142	7/20/2017 15:24	Neutral	No	Neutral	Who's brilliant idea was it to restrict game cameras???...come on guys game camera use has been going on for a long time now without apparent problems in all states that I know of. I'm sure some dinosaur wants to go back to the "Old days". I have an idea!... lets restrict road hunting.... now isn't that an unfair way to cover more ground with modern technology.	Ken Guy		Charleroi	PA
4143	7/20/2017 16:40	No	No	No	I think that radio/cell phone communication greatly increases safety for hunters.	Denise Domke		Priest River	ID
4144	7/20/2017 17:00	Yes	No	Yes	Regarding item "h": It seems to me that an aid to finding a wounded animal would be wholly appropriate, unless the definition of "taking" a game animal includes wounding that animal; then the point is mute because "h" says "aid in the taking", which of course the wounded animal was already "taken". A fine point, but an important one for the sake of the animal and the ethics of its	Robert Kafka	Idaho reside	Caldwell	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4145	7/20/2017 22:54	No	Neutral	Yes	How can say any communication is a aid or just talking. What about all the emergencies handled with radios and cell phones? If I call my wife to tell her where to pick me up isn't that aiding me in hunting? I remember hours wondering where my hunting buddies were. Any communication with each other could be considered aid! How do you even think you could enforce this? Wouldn't this be free speech territory? Radios and cell phones are a big worry relief and safety benefit. Any advantage they have while hunting cannot out way benefits ,especially the safety ones. I would never consider going into a really wild and remote area without a electronic safety aid ,would you? Isn't this considered a	Rod Wangsgard		rocky mt. ell malad	Idaho
4146	7/20/2017 22:55	No	No	No	If telecommunications between hunters is abolished you ended my ability to hunt. I have Parkinson's and other health issues. It is only by radio communication that I am able to hunt. Should I need assistance I can get the help I need form other hunters in our party. If you abolish radio communication I will be unable to summon help to get my game out and for other assistance. I would like to believe that Idaho Fish and Game would have the decency to look at all aspects of this issue prior to ending the hunting of many others in the same position that I am. The mountains and desert are vast areas and Mother Nature is unforgiving to those who trek her lands. I am considering spend a large sum of money to purchase radios that also send the coordinates to my location to others in my hunting party in case I	Donald F Peck		Nampa	Idaho
4147	7/21/2017 0:02	Yes	No	No		Tyler Reynolds		Cambridge	ID
4148	7/21/2017 8:29	Yes	No	Yes		Dillon Merrill		Rexburg	Idaho
4149	7/21/2017 9:05	Yes	No	No	restricting the use of 2way radios and cellphones is rediculous, you are proposing to cut off communications between members of a hunting party, this is dangerous! our party uses 2-way radios to keep in contact when seperated, so we know where everyone is and where they are headed, and for welfare check-ins!!!!	Garrett Fanning		Chubbuck	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4150	7/21/2017 9:08	Yes	Yes	Yes	I wholeheartedly support these proposed changes. However, let's don't forget the increasing use of drones! Please consider adding them to the restrictions. Hunting should remain (as close as possible) to a human vs. animal experience, not devolve into a technology vs. animal one. Remember: hunting is not just shooting. Let's keep hunting ethical and a "fair chase" experience for all.	Virginia L. Gammons	NRA, SCI, A	Payette	Idaho
4151	7/21/2017 9:56	No	No	No	My main concern is restricting 2-way communication. It's a safety issue, and the restrictions would be virtually unenforceable.	Mike Berry		Eagle	Idaho
4152	7/21/2017 10:30	Yes	Yes	Neutral	I do not believe in using two way devices to aid in hunting, however, my husband and I use 2 way radios to communicate for safety reasons. I would not want to lose that.	Natalie		hayden	idaho
4153	7/21/2017 10:43	No	No	No		BILL BECK		BOISE	Idaho
4154	7/21/2017 11:34	No	No	No		Justin Congleton	Local hunter	McCall	Idaho
4155	7/21/2017 11:52	Yes	No	Yes		Dave Overman	Conservatio	Post Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4156	7/21/2017 11:55	Yes	Yes	Yes	Something should have been done about this problem 10 years ago. It is exactly like the atv problem 20 years ago before there were any vehicle restrictions. Things are just allowed get out of hand before any changes are made. Trail cams take too much of the effort and fair chase out of the hunting experience. I do own trail cams, but if it were up to me it hunters should not be able ANY trail cams for hunting purposes. Especially those capable of transmitting photos . If trail cams are allowed, all cameras should be removed at least one week before the opening day of any season for antlered deer or elk in that unit. Also one week before any moose season if you have a moose permit. Also if a hunter has had cameras out, then they should not be able to hunt the full length of the hunting season, especially the first few days of the season. Trail cameras give those that use them such an unfair advantage over those who just scout the traditional ways (glassing and hiking). Those who do use trail cams shouldn't be able to hunt the first few days or have the same length of season as those who do not. If a hunter wants to use a trail cam then they should also have to buy a separate permit for each camera they have out. I have relatives that use trail cams and one of them has over 20 cameras that he puts out each year. Also they should have to have their names on each camera just like trappers using traps. Trail cameras are also just bringing out the worst kind of hunters. Almost every water hole before and during archery season has a camera set over it. People are moving other hunters cameras or stealing them just so they can put up their own. There are also so many people using them that while they are going to check their cameras the animals are being completely ran out of some of the areas with the best quality of food and	Rusty & Roger Seamons		Preston	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4157	7/21/2017 12:50	No	No	No	This proposal is ridiculous. Using a trail cam does not guarantee a hunt. A successful hunt is a result of hours/days spent in the field scouting and preparing for a hunt. A trail cam is just one tool in the tool bag that can be utilized. It still requires effort from the sportsman to set up, monitor, move, and move again. Restricting use of phone or transmitting devices is another horrible idea. Unless you have a way to easily enforce this rule, all it will do is place an additional handicap on the honest hunter. This would result is nothing more than a huge advantage to anyone willing to	Bret felter		Maladf	Idaho
4158	7/21/2017 15:46	No	No	No	The entities who have brought these issues to the table are not concerned about fair chase at all. There only concern is shutting down hunting of anything. If Fish & Game could show any research that trail cameras or the other electronic device concerns have had any negative impact on the numbers of any game animals then it would be interesting to start this debate. But for now this topic has arisen from assumptions and guesses rather than facts. Who knows if technology has crossed the line of fair chase. How can your rule out the use of the devices unless you have quantifiable data showing impact. Don't do it.	Mark Finn		Rigby	ID
4159	7/21/2017 17:17	Yes	No	No		Dan Rawson		Kamiah	ID
4160	7/21/2017 17:23	No	No	No		Tom Schneider		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
4161	7/21/2017 18:22	No	No	No		joe higgins		Naples	Idaho
4162	7/21/2017 18:30	Yes	No	No	I can understand restricting the use of wireless trail cameras because a hunter can get real time activity and go hunt immediately after getting a notification and that to me is not fair chase. Hunter could set up one on a trail a deer is on and get the notification and go to the tree stand up the trail. I believe that is an unfair advantage. However, trail cameras that are not transmitting pictures to a wireless device should not be restricted. I myself use them for scouting and hike miles and miles to retrieve the SD card. Even if I get an animal on camera that is near my time of arrival, it would be hard to get in there quietly and have the animal still around. I also believe trail cameras aid in conservation because you can locate mature animals in an area. Two way communication aids are a safety aid and should be only	Gavin Hofer		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4163	7/21/2017 19:22	No	No	No	This is insane. Do you not want us seeing the wolves. To late for that. I see animals all the time and never see them in real life. 2 way radio yeah that's a safety thing. Sure some people walk roads and try to ambush but that still isn't a 100 percent chance they will kill what the other saw. This topic has made Idaho a laughing	Jesse	Hunter	Hayden	Id
4164	7/21/2017 19:57	No	No	Yes	It seems to me most of the people placing trail cams are doing it out of more of a time conservation tool. Biologically it doesn't seem to be making a difference in harvest objectives, but maybe as a personal agenda by people's inside the fish and game. I do agree with the two way communication rule but that's pretty much always been in place but I cannot support the rule change the way it's written it seems way to easy to tie on the GPS system and collars on big game hounds into section h.	Chuck Gee		Rexburg	Idaho
4165	7/21/2017 20:10	No	No	No	I heavily rely on electronic communication devices like my iPhone but mostly for apps providing safety. I feel that limiting these devices would prevent me from safely being in the outdoors.	Benjamin R Phillips		Emmett	ID
4166	7/21/2017 20:18	No	No	No	I use cameras an aid to teaching kids. As a way to look for wolves and grizzly bears so I know not to turn dogs loose or elk hunt in an area. I also like looking for game I would like to pursue. I don't think they aid in my harvest rate at all. They help me not "settle" because I know there is something bigger out there so I refrain from shooting something smaller then I would like. I think everyone's goal is to shoot that deer or elk that is 4-6 years old instead of the 2 points and rag horns. The morral is the trail cameras get kids excited it's a technology world and that is something they can relate to. Also the cameras don't assist in harvest rates, they help people know what's out there to reach a	Jonathan		Rexburg	Idaho
4167	7/21/2017 20:30	Yes	No	Neutral	2 way radios wouldnt help that much anyway, at least not enough to matter	Ben Roman		Post Falls	Idaho
4168	7/21/2017 20:31	Yes	No	Neutral	electronic communications enforcement would be a nightmare. Try educating the people to higher standards without a law.	Robert Roman		POST FALLS	Idaho
4169	7/21/2017 21:37	Yes	No	No		JONATHAN COX		SHELLEY	ID
4170	7/21/2017 21:44	No	No	No		Mike Anderson		Rexburg	Idaho
4171	7/21/2017 21:50	Yes	No	No		Jordan Kite		Twin falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4172	7/21/2017 22:33	Neutral	No	No	Prohibiting the use of gps, phone, etc...is a joke. No way to enforce it as it is explained in the document. Strongly opposed to it. I use those devices for keeping in contact with my hunting partner, use them to navigate, use them to scout. Having them in my possession would make me look guilty to an enforcement officer even if I were abiding by this proposed law.	Kevin		Ellensburg	Wa
4173	7/21/2017 22:33	No	No	No		Luke Davis		Saint Anthony	Idaho
4174	7/22/2017 9:42	Yes	No	No	Too much grey area on what is ok and what is not.	Justin Kane		Hayden	Idaho
4175	7/22/2017 9:49	Yes	Yes	No	We use our two-way radios with GPS to help us keep track of our hunting party. This has been and will always be a way to find somebody when they are lost or have gotten hurt and are unable to come out of the hunting woods. I understand not allowing them to "aid in hunting", but that wouldn't allow us to carry these radios with GPS and could cost somebody their life	Ward Parker		Winchester	Idaho
4176	7/22/2017 10:30	Neutral	No	No	Where is the line drawn with The handicap ? I have a brother with limited mobility and two way communication is vital to his success in taking game.	Joe Stockdale		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
4177	7/22/2017 11:15	Yes	Neutral	No		Roy Pfeifer		Firth	Idaho
4178	7/22/2017 11:37	Neutral	No	No	As an outfitter in the Wilderness, satellite phones are critical to our operation to communicate between base camp and field camps. As part of our operational safety plan, we also carry satellite phones in case we need to call for life flight or other 911 services.	Tammy Overacker	Flying Resor	Salmon	Idaho
4179	7/22/2017 12:49	No	No	No	The old form of using backcountry radios is antiquated and simply	Terrie Strickland		Star	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4180	7/22/2017 13:12	Yes	No	Neutral	Transmitting of pictures or video LIVE is much different from being able to transmit a video or picture to a PC or device when standing at the camera where it is placed. Please be very specific in the rule changes that will come with this new proposal. The use of trail cameras to LOCATE animals should be allowed to continue as it has been. Lazy hunters don't spend the time or money to scout and hang trail cameras, so please don't punish the hunters that put in the extra work and effort before and during the hunting season. Policing these new proposals will be difficult especially the two way communications restrictions. Two way communications when used by large groups or guided groups of hunter can be unfair at times and sometimes allows a large group to take over an entire area for hunting.	Gary Dockstader		Meridian	ID
4181	7/22/2017 16:45	No	No	No	This is a ridiculous proposal! Please don't cater to the minority of purist that think you should only hunt using homemade bows and arrows, and use smoke signals to communicate. If you think these aids are the reason you haven't been able to manage Idaho's big game herds adequately, then adjust seasons and tags.	James McCulloch		Bancroft	IDAHO
4182	7/22/2017 17:19	Yes	No	Yes	You should be allowed trail cameras before season but limit use during season to Manually checking camera at camera	ron vanlaanen		mountain home	id
4183	7/22/2017 17:22	No	No	Yes		ron vanlaanen		mountain home	id
4184	7/22/2017 18:01	No	No	Yes		Steven D Cramer		Atlanta	ID
4185	7/22/2017 18:14	Yes	No	Neutral	i dont see any difference from walking into an area to check trail camera to hunt the same day or setting across from the same area with a spotting scope unil you are sure the game is in th area.	paul Stokes		Salmon	ID
4186	7/22/2017 18:31	No	No	Yes	If i call someone on a cell phone and tell them i have a elk down I really don't think the use of trail cameras significantly increase the success rates. If anything they MAY decrease it in the case of a hunter passing up some animals while holding out for one they have seen on a trail camera. My agreement to limit the use of communication devices is limited to using them for one hunter to direct another hunter to a specific animal during a stalk. I see no problem with two hunters making contact during the day using radio and/or phone to update each other on how their morning and or afternoon has gone.	Tim Clark	General publ	Pocatello	Idaho
4187	7/22/2017 19:43	No	No	No		Tim Edginton		pocatello	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4188	7/22/2017 19:43	Yes	Neutral	Yes	Anything that interferes with Fair Chase should be banned. Thanks for doing the survey - looking forward to hunting Idaho one of these days !	david earley		wooster	OH
4189	7/22/2017 21:47	No	No	No	Just anti hunting rules. The next thing you will want to regulate will be spotting scopes , binoculars, range finders and GPS maps. We need to allow lighted arrow nocks and expandable	Darrus Martin		Idaho Falls	ID
4190	7/22/2017 23:02	Yes	No	Yes	I do not support restricting regular trail cameras that cannot wirelessly send the images. I support the type that need to be set manually and retrieved manually. These type of cameras give the hunter an idea of what is in the area but require alot of effort to setup and retrieve. A camera that can send images remotely to a computer or phone takes away most of the effort and is therefore an unfair advantage. Two-way radios are also an unfair advantage as they remove alot of the effort involved, for example in a spot and stalk scenario hunters can guide another in to an	Bill C McCulloch		Pocatello	ID
4191	7/22/2017 23:04	Neutral	No	No	I believe the use of trail cameras enables you to make smarter decisions when hunting because it allows you to take a better and longer look at the animals you have in the area. Not allowing the use of two communication is safety issue when out in the woods and leaves the door open for a lot confrontations when people are being asked to look at their phones and being denied. When out hunting with friends and family it is necessary to stay in touch when needing to know where someone ended up or getting someone to your location to help you.	Shawn Fraser	EIHA	Chubbuck	ID
4192	7/23/2017 6:06	Yes	Yes	Yes	I do use radios to communicate in the aid of hunting but something has to be done to slow down all the advancements in electronics so for the betterment of the sport of hunting I would support these restrictions being proposed. I do support using radios or sat phones, cell phones just for communication and safety purposes outside the aid of hunting.	Michael Scott		Outfitter/Gui Challis	Idaho
4193	7/23/2017 7:10	No	No	No		Jared Young		Jeffersonville	Indiana
4194	7/23/2017 8:08	No	No	No	The language of this proposed rule is too broad and not specific enough for serious consideration. No such hunting restrictions should be enacted.	Brian Domke		Priest River	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4195	7/23/2017 9:37	No	No	No	Dear Mr. Rachael: Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this proposed rule and to guide IDFG in its rule making and adhere to the wishes of the public. I want to be part of the negotiated rule making process so please add my name to the list of participants in any future meetings. My comments/questions include: 1) Article 1, Section 23, of the Constitution of the State of Idaho provides in part that: "The rights to hunt, fish and trap, including by the use of traditional methods, are a valued part of the heritage of the State of Idaho and shall forever be preserved for the people and managed through the laws, rules and proclamations that preserve the future of hunting, fishing and trapping. Public hunting, fishing and trapping of wildlife shall be a preferred means of managing wildlife." This right was established for the citizens because of the high value placed on harvesting and consuming wild game. One of the ultimate goals for the majority of Idaho hunters is to harvest and utilize the valuable meat. Trail cameras and the use various communications help hunters do this. With the limited amount of time and money most hunters have, being allowed to utilize technology at some level should be encouraged to help hunters harvest meat and state agencies properly use hunting as a preferred means of managing wildlife as stated in Article 1, Section 23. 2) Technologies such as cell phones and two way radios greatly enhance the chances of survival and help in the case of emergencies (injury, sickness, lost, fire, etc) for hunters in Idaho. Curtailing their use would likely result in greater loss of life and property and increased cost for rescue in most if not all areas of Idaho. In addition, cell phones do not work in many areas of	Andrew Scott	none	Blanchard	ID
4196	7/23/2017 10:08	No	No	No		Matt Lindgren		Sandpoint	ID
4197	7/23/2017 10:20	No	No	No	The use of these devices is to help a sportsman make ethical hunting decisions in advance	Robert meacham		Orem	Utah
4198	7/23/2017 12:30	Yes	No	Yes		larry fischer		cable	wi
4199	7/23/2017 12:38	Yes	Yes	Yes	the use of technology to hunt gives hunters an unfair advantage and goes against the concept of fair chase.	Anne Lilly Dustin	Idaho reside	Idaho Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4200	7/23/2017 13:47	Yes	No	No	Allowing my hunting party to know my location is CRITICAL. I have MS, and still do all I can to remain active. With aide of our Rhino, my party keeps an eye on me. I will NOT go without it!!!	Thomas Cossairt		Blanchard	Idaho
4201	7/23/2017 14:28	Neutral	No	No	Regarding proposed change g. and alt g. : I cannot think of a scenario where I could feasibly use the information gathered by a trail camera to harvest a particular animal that same day or the following day. I run at least one trail camera year round to keep tabs on various species" populations and behaviors in the area that I hunt deer. I use this information primarily to determine what size of animal to hold out for, or to recognize individual animals throughout the year, and from year to year. Many of the pictures gathered are used for academic purposes or purely for my own amusement, as there are some animals and behaviors that are rarely seen in person or in daylight. The information I gather cannot effectively be used in a "real-time" manner to harvest game. During the season, I generally pull the memory cards from my camera(s) after a morning hunt or before an evening hunt since I am already in the area. This is done as a matter of convenience, and to eliminate extra, unnecessary foot traffic into my hunting areas. The information on the camera does not affect my hunting strategy for the day, but it would be illegal under the proposed rule change. The proposed change would be very difficult to enforce, and have a high probability of being enforced improperly. I fear the result would be a lot of he said/she said with a high risk of legal users being penalized. Regarding proposed change h. :	Brian Johnson		Shelley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Neutral	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4202	7/23/2017 14:28	Neutral	No	No	Regarding proposed change g. and alt g. : I cannot think of a scenario where I could feasibly use the information gathered by a trail camera to harvest a particular animal that same day or the following day. I run at least one trail camera year round to keep tabs on various species" populations and behaviors in the area that I hunt deer. I use this information primarily to determine what size of animal to hold out for, or to recognize individual animals throughout the year, and from year to year. Many of the pictures gathered are used for academic purposes or purely for my own amusement, as there are some animals and behaviors that are rarely seen in person or in daylight. The information I gather cannot effectively be used in a "real-time" manner to harvest game. During the season, I generally pull the memory cards from my camera(s) after a morning hunt or before an evening hunt since I am already in the area. This is done as a matter of convenience, and to eliminate extra, unnecessary foot traffic into my hunting areas. The information on the camera does not affect my hunting strategy for the day, but it would be illegal under the proposed rule change. The proposed change would be very difficult to enforce, and have a high probability of being enforced improperly. I fear the result would be a lot of he said/she said with a high risk of legal users being penalized. Regarding proposed change h. :	Brian Johnson		Shelley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4203	7/23/2017 15:31	No	No	No	The rules you propose are almost unenforceable without a warrant and open to wide interpretation. Technology has changed most peoples view of "ethics and sportsmanship" over the years and is a individual personal view. Hunting ethics and technology has changed a lot from when a man walked into the hills with a gun that only would kill for a hundred yards and that was about as far as he could see. I don't think these rules would change at all the abundance of game or opportunity. Montana has similar rules that technology has made unenforceable and subject to wide spread	Donald M Sherick		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4204	7/23/2017 15:53	Neutral	No	No	Phones, and two way radios are as much a safety part of hunting, as aid to hunting. You can not take that out of the hands of hunters. You would be setting yourself up for law suit if anybody was hurt and can not get help through the use of phones and, or radios. I believe that the use of cameras can help a hunter be more prepared for what they might get into during their hunt.	David B. Daniels		Malad	Idaho
4205	7/23/2017 17:09	Yes	No	No	I think a trail camera that has the ability of transmitting live images to a computer or phone should not be allowed. However, a trail camera that simply stores images on an SD card or internal memory should be allowed. It simply shows the hunter that there are animals in the area. As far as two-way communication is concerned, most hand-held radios are used between hunters as a means of staying informed of where each other are. They are an aid for lost hunters and a helpful tool for young and inexperienced hunters. Sure some will take advantage of this tool but some people will take advantage of everything. Don't punish the rest	greg gresham		white bird	Idaho
4206	7/23/2017 17:26	Yes	Yes	Yes	I fully support these rule changes restricting use of electronic devices. Their use infringes on the principle of fair chase.	Clifford Jay Dorr	lifetime hunt	Hailey	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4207	7/23/2017 17:56	No	No	No	As for the electronic communication I feel this would be a rule that would be mostly difficult to enforce so it would be up to individual hunters to honor the rule. So I suspect the honest hunters will follow the rule and many others will just ignore it. So the honest hunter will be at a disadvantage while others do as they want with a very slim chance of ever having a consequence. My hunting partners and I have used radios to get into the general location on upland hunts to get into birds and generally keep track of one another. I don't want to check in with hunting partners via radio or phone and not be able tell them about the game we have seen and adjust our hunt accordingly. We have also used the electronic communications for big game hunting.	Greg Hanson	Hunter	Idaho falls	Idaho
4208	7/23/2017 18:24	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras are great but should not be used to send simultaneous information to the hunter. Get off your seat and go check your trail cam - that's called scouting.	Zach mellow		Meridian	Idaho
4209	7/23/2017 18:24	Yes	No	Yes	Trail cameras are great but should not be used to send simultaneous information to the hunter. Get off your seat and go check your trail cam - that's called scouting.	Zach mellow		Meridian	Idaho
4210	7/23/2017 18:43	Yes	Yes	Yes		Sylvia Sabori		Fort Hall	Idaho
4211	7/23/2017 19:31	No	No	No		Rick a Armstrong		coeur d alene	Idaho
4212	7/23/2017 21:19	No	No	No		Jarom Beames		Orem	UT
4213	7/23/2017 22:22	No	No	No		Lance barenberg		Emmett	Idqh
4214	7/23/2017 22:23	No	No	No		Lance barenberg		Emmett	Idaho
4215	7/23/2017 22:28	Neutral	No	No		Daniel Mahler		Tetonia	ID
4216	7/23/2017 22:36	No	No	No	Transmitting photos is a real time event. By the time a hunter gets to an area the game will have moved on or will have been spooked by the hunter accessing the area. The use of trail cameras encourages some hunters to pass on harvesting younger animals in hopes of harvesting older, mature animals thus allowing younger animals to mature and reproduce. Everyone has a cell phone, how can you enforce restricting their use by hunters?	Darin Kerr		Driggs	ID
4217	7/23/2017 22:43	No	Yes	Yes		Tucker Hyde		Boise	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4218	7/23/2017 23:01	Neutral	No	Neutral	Technology progression needs to be acknowledged. The proposed wording is ambiguous and a detriment. That is, do you really want hunters not to take a picture while hunting or not be able to hunt that day and the next because the visited trailcam SD card was switched out...? Few people carry both a cell phone and a camera anymore. My personal main concern is bear bait situations -- knowing what is coming into the baits is good, both to avoid cubs and griz; and for outfitters, they couldn't take a client to a bait they visited for 3 days, not to mention weekend hunters would be dead in the water. If the language needs to say anything, it should just say that real-time RF communication to locate and harvest game is forbidden...more or less like the handheld radio rules were. To eliminate trail cams altogether, or to make it so only people with endless time in the field can even hunt with trail cams, are both counterproductive. Trail cams with take-out SD cards (or similar on-location technology such as plug in network or Bluetooth) should be allowed without any time constraints. That is, look at the SD card in the morning and hunt in the evening should be okay. Also, on private land, there should be no	Joseph Pascavage	avid outdoor	Idaho falls	Idaho
4219	7/24/2017 0:08	No	No	Yes	Cameras basically let you know if game is using an area and if there's an animal frequenting the area you want to hunt. I don't see that as being unethical. Idaho is already to restrictive in its bow hunting and muzzleloader regulations. Let's not take away a guys right to take pictures of a bull coming to a wallow, or a whitetail using a trail.	Kip Bryson		Meridian	Idaho
4220	7/24/2017 7:44	No	No	No	Good grief, Just leave it alone. The restrictions against hunting seems ill-advised. The point of Fish and Game is to regulate the animal population. If the population of any given animal is being too harshly effected, simply limit the number of licenses given out for that animal. Anything else is an encroachment against the personal freedoms of the general public, in which the government is overstepping its	Kendal Yung		Sagle	Idaho
4221	7/24/2017 8:26	Yes	Yes	Neutral		J yung		Post falls	Idaho
4222	7/24/2017 8:44	Yes	No	No		Robert Valenzuela		Idaho falls	Idaho
4223	7/24/2017 8:56	Yes	Yes	Yes		Melissa Cain		Ketchum	IDAHO

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4224	7/24/2017 8:57	Yes	No	Yes	I do support restricting the use of game cameras used wirelessly to transmit real-time images. However, I DO NOT agree with the proposed verbiage. I have no problem with checking your game camera in the field and then using that data to inform your hunt at any time.	Ross Longhini		SANDPOINT	ID
4225	7/24/2017 9:03	Yes	Yes	Yes	With regard to Fair Chase doctrine, the use of game cams is at the same level as flying an airplane or drone to scout for game. Even with a non-transmitting camera, a hunter can retrieve the images and sit on the stand and hunt the desired animal within 24 hours of capturing the image.	William Eckerle		Alta	WY
4226	7/24/2017 9:27	Neutral	No	No	I live within 1/4 mile of Idaho in Teton Valley and I receive my mail at an Idaho PO Box in Driggs. This sounds like one person using their ideology to effect large groups of hunters. Trail cameras will in no way increase a hunters opportunity. All they do is allow hunters to be aware of animals coming through the area, which can be beneficial in harvesting mature game animals.	Jake Benintendi	Iha	Horseshoe bend	Idaho
4227	7/24/2017 9:28	No	No	No	No need for more restrictions.	Jeffrey L. Williams		Sandpoint	ID
4228	7/24/2017 9:57	Yes	Yes	Yes	In This day and age people are lazy and don't want to put the time in to enjoy the hunting experience. So any way they can cut corners they will. Hunting is hunting NOT ELECTRONIC TIME IN THE WOODS!!!!	James		Sandpoint	Idaho
4229	7/24/2017 10:04	Yes	No	No	section g: maybe section alt g; definitely yes section h: definitely no! I think it should not be illegal to radio something like, "I saw a deer. I think it's headed in your direction". There may be room for a more specific prohibition later if radio use is found to be depleting our wildlife. Especially "no" if the rule prohibits the locating of wounded game animals.	Geoffrey Shropshire		moscow	ID
4230	7/24/2017 10:05	Neutral	No	No		Gail Brown		South Fork / Rigby	ID
4231	7/24/2017 10:22	Yes	Yes	Yes		Mark Ulschmid		Idaho Falls	ID
4232	7/24/2017 11:03	Yes	No	Yes		Reed Stewart		Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4233	7/24/2017 11:14	Yes	Yes	Yes	Trail cameras and radio/cell phone communication in aid of hunting is cheating but more importantly it is something that will turn a undecided voter into an anti hunter in a quick hurry. The game has not suddenly gotten smarter such that these methods are necessary. This is driven by a ugly need to kill regardless of method. I would vote against hunting if these methods of hunting continue. Trail cameras are just drones that do not move as	Scott Olds		Grangeville	Idaho
4234	7/24/2017 11:31	Yes	Neutral	No	Wale I'm in support of the trail cam restricting as to me that's not real hunting a person should scout whith their own two feet and own time in out doors . how ever I am not in support of the two way radios two way radios help groups of hunters find dogs and keep up whith dogs and because this less dogs get killed buy wolves as they are kept up whith beter as hunters can split up and keep in contact whith other hunters and stay up whith dogs better .or when looking for dogs ..my other deal whith the radios is safety for Hunter's When cell phones don't work do you the Idaho fish and game want to put a price on safety for the Hunter's that pay there hard earned money for tags and licence's .some times these radios are the difrence in life or death I have known many hunters who have also used a radio to get help for a non hunter in distress...also I have lost 3 dogs over the yes to Idaho wolves had I not had people hunting whith me on two way radios I probly would have lost a lot more dogs as I would not had had opertunity to call a fellow hunter that might be closer to catch one my dogs or tell him to scan a frequency for me to see if my dog woud be over near his or her dogs so I four then rule out my search ares or go over	Joseph Melson		Elk river	Idaho
4235	7/24/2017 11:33	Neutral	No	No	I believe you should be able to use wireless trail cameras but shouldn't be able to hunt on the same day you check them. As far as non wireless cameras you should be able to hunt off of them on the same day you check them. If you take the time to walk in and check your non wireless camera you should be able to hunt off of them immediatly. The problem i see is it will be hard to patrol by the Fish and Game officer. Most places i hunt wireless will not	Weston Waddoups		Blackfoot	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4236	7/24/2017 11:34	No	No	No	My concern on the cameras pertains to black bear baiting. Cameras are essential to this and I don't know the value gained by restricting cameras on black bear hunts.	Jarom Beames		Orem	UT
4237	7/24/2017 11:37	Yes	Yes	Yes	Two-way communications is very helpful in keeping members of a hunting party safe. I foresee less people using communication in remote areas if this is restricted, and this will mean people will not be as safe. We need to limit trail cameras if at all possible. It is making it too easy to focus on one large animal without putting in any time. I know of many cases of hound hunters treeing bears and lions and calling their wife or kid to come and shoot the animal in the tree. This should not be allowed. I also know of 1 nice deer and one big elk that were found while the wife/tag holder was sleeping in and the husband called her to come shoot the animals. This is wrong and should not be allowed. My only concern is how it will be enforced. Fish and Game can not enforce the atv restrictions so how will these be enforced. I hope this goes thru and hope you I think trail camera's are okay before and after the season. But remove before season starts.	Justin Bergholm		Georgetown	Idaho
4238	7/24/2017 11:38	Yes	Yes	No		Rockie Walker	none	Salmon	idaho
4239	7/24/2017 11:38	Yes	No	Yes		Weston Horne		Kilgore	Idaho
4240	7/24/2017 11:41	No	No	No		Casey Rosin		Blackfoot	Idaho
4241	7/24/2017 11:45	No	No	No	It is a new age. Idaho needs to move past the traditional age. New technology is among us. These cameras only help with conservation. Where these cameras a place most of them probably do not even have the ability to send pictures due to bad cell service. I know my cameras will not where I hunt.	Codey Smith	Idaho Reside	Idaho Falls	ID
4242	7/24/2017 11:56	No	No	No		Mike		Blackfoot	Idaho
4243	7/24/2017 12:27	No	No	No		John b hiskey		TEASDALE	UT
4244	7/24/2017 12:30	No	No	No	There are better ways to approach this than all out bans. The all out bans have not been thought through and are not supported by the large numbers of hunters we interact with. Instead of all out bans I think we need to think through better options from and for the hunting community.	Darren		Idaho Falls	ID
4245	7/24/2017 12:40	No	No	No		Amanda Benintendi		Horseshoe Bend	ID
4246	7/24/2017 12:48	Yes	No	No	These would be hard for enforcement to regulate. Especially on the no two way communication	James dufur		Idaho falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4247	7/24/2017 12:57	No	No	No		David Rupp		American falls	Id
4248	7/24/2017 13:27	No	No	No	Been doing trail cams for about 15 years. Right now have 7 out in the field. 1 cam does send still pics. It would take me 2 hours at the fastest with my truck and boat to get to this cam. Cams are put out not to find game cause I know the game is there. They leave sign. I enjoy the pics more than anything. Have shot 1 elk that has been caught on cam. Another elk shot that wasn't on camera. This is over 15 years. Don't restrict this fun. Would be interesting to know where this ruling has come from. Thank you.	Roger Jorstad		Caldwell	IDAHO
4249	7/24/2017 14:31	Yes	No	No	We use two ways to let each other know if one of us get an deer or elk to come and help . I really hope you guys are not banning	Gene Arnold.	None	Nampa	Idaho
4250	7/24/2017 15:27	No	No	Yes		Steve Nelson		Blackfoot	Idaho
4251	7/24/2017 16:25	Neutral	No	No	The third one is written poorly. Two-Way electronic communications include cell phones and radios. So, "Hey Bill - do you seen anything where you are" would be as unlawful as "hey, honey can you bring the truck over". Come on now. And who is to say what "in aid to hunting" really means? That could be made up on the spot - let me see your cell phone. Things like that. Do I support having it still illegal to have a helicopter flying by with the same "hey Bill - I see a herd of elk about 300 feet from where you	Ron Smith		Blanchard	Idaho
4252	7/24/2017 16:27	Yes	No	No		Bruce R Booker		Priest River	ID
4253	7/24/2017 16:30	Yes	No	Neutral		Gary Mohler		Coeur d'Alene	Idaho
4254	7/24/2017 16:37	Yes	No	No	There has been times where an animal is wounded and got away from the initial shooter and electronic communication aided in guiding the shooter to his animal, even by fish and game officers. Trail cameras are a good tool for knowing what and who have been on a trail. I don't believe a camera that can send a pic to camp is necessary.	Eric Strickland		Caldwell	ID
4255	7/24/2017 16:49	No	No	No	Radios can be a great aid to keep from getting lost or at least greatly turned around. Getting help when tyou get an animal down would also be a great help, maybe not so much with a deer but an elk or bear getting help would be almost a necessity.	Daniel Morgan		Lewiston	Idaho
4256	7/24/2017 16:55	No	No	No	NO new rules! Thank you, The rule book is already thick enough, look for rules to get rid of instead of writing more. No victim, no new laws needed, no new army of enforcers needed either.	Mark Rhatigan		Mtn Home	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4257	7/24/2017 16:59	Yes	Yes	No		Chance Hiskey		Saratoga Springs	Ut
4258	7/24/2017 17:11	Yes	No	No	Two communication can provide a safety component during a	Stephen paris		Boise	ID
4259	7/24/2017 17:25	No	No	No	If the above can help a family get their meat for the year it should be allowed and not restricted.	Georgia Ryan		Blanchard	Idaho
4260	7/24/2017 17:39	Yes	No	No	As I read it, if I comment to a hunting partner via radio (that we use for safety and GPS primarily) that I saw an elk I'm breaking the law. Way too far over the top. Game cameras that transmit can be used to decide where to go and can make it too easy to find animals. Game cameras that must be checked manually only tell you if an animal is in the area, still have to find it and stalk it.	David		Hayden	Idaho
4261	7/24/2017 18:01	No	No	Yes	Restrict wireless photo's and video. It should be okay to place camera's that require physical inspection.	Bradley Damschen		CDA	ID
4262	7/24/2017 18:24	No	No	No		Ian Chestnut		Firth	Idaho
4263	7/24/2017 19:01	No	No	No		dan whelan		EAGLE	Idaho
4264	7/24/2017 19:05	No	No	No	Game cameras are extremely useful to those with disabilities, unable to walk long distances. I don't particularly agree with people using cameras that contact wireless devices. I think THAT'S cheating.Telling hunters they can't use their electronic devices to communicate..is.. well... too much Government meddling. And too anti freedom. And if I can't communicate with the people Im hunting with, what happens if this disabled hunter gets into trouble? How will I call for help? Stupid Idea, and too much	Wayne L Cass		Hayden	Idaho
4265	7/24/2017 19:05	No	No	No	There are too many needless restrictions already. Two-way electronics are important life-saving equipment.	Justin Youngblood		Boise	Idaho
4266	7/24/2017 19:28	Yes	Yes	Yes		Darrus Martin		Idaho Falls	ID
4267	7/24/2017 20:01	No	No	No	I have not seen ANY proof or indication that trail cameras, and more importantly, two-way radios cause issues related to fair chase, ethics, and sportsmanship. I do NOT support the limitation of use of trail cameras or two-way radio's in any way. Trail cameras take a snap shot in a particular day/time - if there are pictures of game, the majority are at night after hunting hours and the game is long gone by the time the hunter retrieves the	Gregory Mitchell		Meridian	ID
4268	7/24/2017 20:16	Yes	No	Yes	I cannot support amendment " g "	Chad		Spirit lake	Idaho
4269	7/24/2017 20:27	Neutral	No	Neutral		Lyle Hall	ISB	Ammon	Idaho
4270	7/24/2017 20:45	No	No	No		Lynn House		Middleton	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4271	7/24/2017 21:49	No	No	No	I am not sure why the sudden change for some of these rules to take change. I have hunted in the state of Idaho for many of years and have used trail cameras to help scout out different areas and I cannot recall at least for myself where it has gave me any real advantage to killing a animal or has contributed to my success. It is more of a motivational tool to get you excited to see whats out there if you were to outlaw such devices you might as well outlaw fish finders while fishing because it is the same concept. You still have to hunt and hunt hard regardless of whether or not you have picture of an animal. As far as banning the use of radios or phones that is a pretty risky maneuver on the fish and games part. I find using radios or cell phones while hunting has saved my life or helped my out numerous times and has become more of a safety tool than anything but than again it has never helped me kill an animal. I cannot understand why the Idaho fish and game would even give a thought to making such a uninformed decision on such a rule change that could potentially bring a lot of lawsuits there way. I would not be surprised if this was in Utah but we live in Idaho where hunting has not been dictated by the wealthy and the private so please if you have any	George		Preston	Idaho
4272	7/24/2017 21:55	No	No	No	Restricting two way is to broad, Define and re submit,	Brian Morris		American Falls	Idaho
4273	7/24/2017 21:57	No	No	No	It's not killing or helping track the animal after the shot. /9 why do items have	Jake Doman	Avid Hunter	Idaho Falls	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4274	7/24/2017 22:03	Neutral	No	No	I do not support restrictive limitations on game cameras or two way monitoring devices as an aid to hunting for multiple reasons. First, all of these proposed regulations would be incredibly difficult to enforce, and potentially even more difficult to successfully prosecute. Are there going to be CO's constantly monitoring radio channels and listening to/recording conversations to prosecute this? What if the hunters use cell phones instead...how would that illegal activity be monitored and prosecuted? These regulations would create myriad difficulties for CO's who already have an incredible difficult job. Second, game cameras are used by hunters during the hunting season for many more reasons than solely to take game. Many hunters use cameras throughout the year to view and enjoy wildlife. Third, just because an animal is captured or even patterned on a game camera, that doesn't mean that when the hunter pursues that animal that it will still follow the pattern recognized, and the hunter still has to make everything come together to harvest the animal (wind, weather, timing, shot placement, etc.). Finally, some leniency that has already been added to the regulations, such as increasing legal let-off to 80% for compound bows, that provide hunters a much greater advantage than trail cams or two-way radios. Please reconsider this rule change and side with common sense...is this really such a problem that it has to be regulated?? Are there unacceptable impacts to populations that are occurring because of this use of	Mike Whitson		Salmon	Idaho
4275	7/24/2017 22:09	No	No	No		Michael		Rigby	Idaho
4276	7/24/2017 22:10	No	No	No		Michael		Rigby	Idaho
4277	7/24/2017 22:19	Yes	No	Neutral		Robert		Citrus Heights	Ca
4278	7/24/2017 22:25	Yes	Yes	Yes		Brian w reed		Mountain Home	Idaho
4279	7/24/2017 22:30	No	No	No	Hunter safety is a consideration here as it is good to know where your hunting partners are.	Jerry		Naples	Id
4280	7/24/2017 22:30	No	No	No	There is not a problem with trail cams. So why change anything. This would be a huge overreach. I do not support this or the state of Idaho if this becomes law.	Liane Monroe		Port Hadlock	Washington

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4281	7/24/2017 23:20	No	No	No	Very opposed to this! I rarely use a trail camera but I know some that do and they put a lot of miles on the ground searching for a spot. The people that I know that use them are selective hunters, and hunt the older mature animals....not the young dumb ones that need more time. What's next....magnification optics, rifle scopes, bugles, cow calls, rifles, etc....The list could be endless if you so desire. I find way more game animals by using a spotting scope than I do by using trail cameras. How about opening some forest roads for the senior citizens to use.	Kevin Parker		Saint Anthony	Idaho
4282	7/24/2017 23:47	No	No	No		Carl Zmuda		Sandpoint	Idaho
4283	7/24/2017 23:48	No	No	No		Milisa Parker		Saint Anthony	Idaho
4284	7/25/2017 0:48	Yes	No	No		Stan Myers		Hope	Idaho
4285	7/25/2017 6:06	No	No	No		austin crane	guide	salmon	idaho
4286	7/25/2017 7:11	No	No	No	It would be helpful to the public if we understood why the Fish and game wanted to add this rule. What has happened to make this an issue? We are not in whitetail country were trail cams are a staple to hunting. Really the need to a camera is not as important in the west as it is in the south and eastern US because of the terrain we hunt in. I have used cameras for Bear, Deer and Elk hunting. If you are hunting from a tree stand they give you an idea of what time your game is coming through. If you are hunting open country all they are telling you is that animals are in the area. So what is it hurting? Why do you spend time on the tiny details that will not effect the sport of hunting? Please spend your time make hunting better for all instead of this. I don't feel any director in the Fish and game spends time looking at what the real issues are and this is proof of that. Lets talk about muzzleloader hunting restrictions. There are only 3 states that have traditional restrictions left in the Lower 48. Why? How about the changes in archery hunting? We are the last state that will not allow expandable broadheads. Why? This excuse that they are no as effect as fixed broadheads is no longer valid. I understand keep the integrity of the sport but we owe it to the game we hunt to use the most effective and ethical means of	Trent Armstrong		Shelley	Idaho
4287	7/25/2017 8:08	Yes	Yes	Yes	Let's keep the sport in sport.	Louis Brad		Victor	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4288	7/25/2017 8:16	No	No	No	I don't feel that two way radios should be used in the pursuit of game. Using it as locating fellow hunters or alerting fellow hunters to come help with an animal or needing help in emergency situations are fine but if used to pursue game is absolutely wrong. Trail cameras however are just a tool to let a hunter know what "has" been at a waterhole or "has" been using a trail or hitting a bait site. But doesn't do anything more than that	Jared Winterbottom		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4289	7/25/2017 8:40	No	No	No		Bryant powell		Caldwell	Idaho
4290	7/25/2017 8:46	Yes	Yes	Yes	I think the two-way electronic communications is going to be very difficult if not impossible to enforce. On trail cameras, this doesn't go far enough. That should be limited to several weeks before the hunts start and exclude its use during the hunts. I'm very tiered of the commercial aspects of big game hunting catering to those with significant resources that push out the regular citizen and threaten to disrupt the centuries old family traditions and the passing on of certain skills from father to son. It's a significant skill set that has application not just in outdoorsmanship, but in our nations security as well. These skills directly translate into those used in protecting our freedoms and I want my children and their children to be able to grow up learning these skills rather than being pushed out by commercial outfitters and lotteries for interpretation will define this use. Who says I wasn't texting for safety? Or needing help packing out an animal? Who interprets this? Bad idea which could lead to incorrect assumptions.	Russell Slack		Idaho Falls,	IDAHO
4291	7/25/2017 8:49	Yes	No	No	allow trail cameras before season opens, after opens, no cameras	J Young		Nampa	Idaho
4292	7/25/2017 9:21	Neutral	Neutral	No	While I think the theory of what the department is trying to accomplish is noteworthy, I believe the ability to enforce these regulations is not currently supported, and I believe it would open a lot of disputed cases that would end in costly (both to the State and the sportsman) unsettled cases. I think the department currently has a difficult time enforcing the laws on the books without adding this area.	Robby Denning		Iona	Idaho
4293	7/25/2017 9:24	No	No	No		Jay Doman		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4294	7/25/2017 9:24	Yes	No	Yes	I support the use of two-way communications between my hunting partners for safety reasons not in the aid of hunting.	David Slover		Coeur d' Alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4295	7/25/2017 9:26	No	No	No	These rules are asinine and unneeded. They do not increase advantage to wildlife and there is to much variability in interpretation to be fair to hunters. These rules will not help improve animal populations and just provide another opportunity for hunters to accidentally cross the line. Find a better way to make money. As long as using drones is illegal, as this does give you an unfair advantage over animals, allowing you to cover	Jacob Campbell	Hunter	Pocatello	Idaho
4296	7/25/2017 9:34	No	No	No	I do not see any ethical issue with using trail cameras and retrieving the images the same day or prior day to harvest. Hunters who have developed their tracking skills can inspect tracks to see if an animal has passed on a trail the same day or prior day. Those with less skill can rake dirt in an area each day and at different times to gain information on what time the game is traveling in the area as well. The tracks can also tell them about the animal size and sex along with other sign such as scrapes and rubs. Many hunters also use the trail camera information as an important tool to guide them in harvesting appropriate animals that are in line with good game management practices. Hunters may be able learn about and recognize does with fawns that dropped late and avoid their harvest, even if the fawns are not visible when the doe is seen while hunting. Hunters may also get a feeling for sex ratios and age composition of the herd in an area. Trail cameras can also provide important data for other management efforts and verify rare or endangered species presence. One of the ways I get my young children motivated to go along on hunts with me is to show them trail camera images of the animals that are in the area. They are especially excited when they know certain animals have been in the area very recently. Children can be a part of maintaining the cameras and learn many good skills about animal movements while using the trail cameras. There is also a practical size to enforcing the proposed rule that should be considered. The vast majority and possibly all currently owned game cameras do not have the capability to allow hunters	Clark Paterson		Priest River	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4297	7/25/2017 9:48	Yes	Yes	Neutral	These restrictions will reduce overkill of elk and other wildlife that is encouraged by Fish and Game.	Emily Sandstrom		Salmon	ID
4298	7/25/2017 9:59	Yes	No	Yes		daniel brown	none	rigby	idaho
4299	7/25/2017 10:03	Yes	Yes	Yes	The use of any electronics as an aid in hunting directly opposes the spirit of "fair chase" which has been supported by ethical sportsmen for over 100 yrs. The general public still supports ethical hunting, but I seriously doubt if they consider electronic aids as ethical. If we lose the support of the general public, our	William P. Rogers, DVI RMEF, ICL, N		Idaho Falls	iD
4300	7/25/2017 10:17	No	No	Yes		Steve		Bonnors ferry	Id
4301	7/25/2017 10:56	No	No	No	By restricting the use of these devices you are taking away some of the joy that I and my family have as hunters. Some of the greatest times my wife and I have had in the woods hunting involved checking trail cameras and the excitement that goes along with that. It adds one more layer of enjoyment to a hunt and especially to a hunt that end without a harvest. I am especially concerned with the idea of limiting two way communication. I navigate in the wood very well and don't have trouble stalking and locating a spotted animal. Not everyone has that skill set. When it comes to a wife, kids, husbands, or other friends and family members that have less of a sense of direction or skill the two way communication helps them, makes thing less stressful and adds a level of security to their hunt. I don't believe it increases harvest at all. It may reduce the number of stalks until an animal is harvested but that same person that hunts that hard is going to kill an animal anyway. As we are all aware, not all of our sportsmen are highly skilled. Lets not inhibit them more. I am	Andy Smith		Salmon	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4302	7/25/2017 10:56	No	No	No	By restricting the use of these devices you are taking away some of the joy that I and my family have as hunters. Some of the greatest times my wife and I have had in the woods hunting involved checking trail cameras and the excitement that goes along with that. It adds one more layer of enjoyment to a hunt and especially to a hunt that end without a harvest. I am especially concerned with the idea of limiting two way communication. I navigate in the wood very well and don't have trouble stalking and locating a spotted animal. Not everyone has that skill set. When it comes to a wife, kids, husbands, or other friends and family members that have less of a sense of direction or skill the two way communication helps them, makes thing less stressful and adds a level of security to their hunt. I don't believe it increases harvest at all. It may reduce the number of stalks until an animal is harvested but that same person that hunts that hard is going to kill an animal anyway. As we are all aware, not all of our sportsmen are highly skilled. Lets not inhibit them more. I am	Andy Smith		Salmon	ID
4303	7/25/2017 10:57	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hunting is considered a sport because of the elements of uncertainty involved in the hunter's pursuit of prey animals. Using electronics or communication devices to aid in the killing of wildlife makes that contest too one-sided. Ethical conduct should always win over 'convenience'. If a hunter is not good enough to track the animal using his own skills, he does not deserve to have the kill and the meat.	Spence Browning	Hunter and I Ketchum		ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4304	7/25/2017 12:47	Yes	No	No	I feel that cellular or wi-fi connected trail cameras should be banned. It borders on live surveillance and is not fair-chase if the camera can transmit images to a hunter notifying them there is an animal in the area in real-time or at any interval. The rule should be simplified to specifically ban the use of trail cameras that transmit data, photos, or video by any means to a remote location.	Darin Cooper		Boise	ID
					Unrestricted use of conventional trail cameras should be allowed because they still require the hunter to enter the field to check the camera and retrieve photos. Checking a camera and hunting the same day does not provide a distinct or unfair advantage. Entering the area to check a camera leaves scent that may even spook the animal from the area. I do not feel limiting conventional trail camera use is warranted. In most areas, Idaho has plentiful watering sites for big game so I don't feel trail cameras impact game or provide a means to gain a gross advantage in harvesting game. It is fun for sportsmen to document animals in the area and gives sportsmen another reason to get outside and stimulate local economies. Restricting a hunter from hunting a site he has checked a camera at is virtually impossible to enforce. How can you verify that the camera was checked? What if the hunter arrives at a bait site and removes the card but does not look at the contents. What if a hunter arrives at a site and changes the SD card out but has not viewed the contents of the camera?				
4305	7/25/2017 13:08	No	Yes	Yes	Arizona has a trail camera problem in certain areas where outfitters place multiple cameras on every water source in a huge area. Every deer is documented by multiple people. Idaho does	Kevin		idaho falls	Id
4306	7/25/2017 13:11	No	No	No	Restricting the use of cell phones and radios is irrational and a safety concern. Allowing the use of communication can help hunters hunt together safely and effectively without materially	Avery Robertson		Blackfoot	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4307	7/25/2017 13:21	No	No	No	In certain areas this may be a good rule, but in the backcountry the use of two way radios to communicate with others is a safety concern. In addition the use of trail cameras in the aid of predator hunting is very valuable and should be allowed, once again especially in the backcountry.	Fred L Pillon	President Fly	Oakland	Ca
4308	7/25/2017 14:33	No	No	No		Scooter Bremer		Moyie Springs	IDAHO
4309	7/25/2017 14:35	No	No	Yes		Dale jensen		Kimberly	Idaho
4310	7/25/2017 15:05	No	No	No	Trail camers are a great aid to hunters that are limited on time they have in the woods. Just because you have pictures of them doesnt mean you will shoot them.	Jeff		Kuna	Id
4311	7/25/2017 15:14	Yes	No	No		Cole Reeder		Meridian	Idaho
4312	7/25/2017 16:47	No	No	No		Tom Alexander	Retired LEO	Post Falls	Idaho
4313	7/25/2017 17:01	Yes	Yes	Yes	It's a matter of ethics.	Helen Newton	private citize	Sandpoint	ID
4314	7/25/2017 17:20	Yes	Yes	Neutral		Don Keirn		Hailey	Idaho
4315	7/25/2017 18:57	No	No	Yes	Point H looks good for the most part. I do think that people should not be allowed to radio to someone where big game is at. However if I got off the mountain and called say my brother and told him that I had game found for the next day that should be legal. Points G are both bad. Either option would make it illegal to film your hunt. That would cost the state thousands if not hundreds of thousands in lost revenue. Idaho is one of the few states that non residents can still buy over the counter tags. Because of this many hunting film companies come to this state because they can get tags. If you made their business illegal in this state you will lose revenue and create a black eye for the state as a whole. DO NOT MAKE USING CAMERAS ILLEGAL.	Arkie Clapier		Burley	Idaho
4316	7/25/2017 19:08	Yes	No	No	The way it's worded I can't support. I have used two-way radios to help my friends locate down animals or worse a wounded animal. Without the radio the animal might not have been found.	john Kelsch		boise	id

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4320	7/25/2017 21:28	Yes	No	Yes	Proposed rule "g" regarding the use of trail cameras in the field. I disagree with the proposed rule that a person who visits a trail camera and then takes an animal the next day should be cited. I don't want to see telemetry used, but if a person goes in to the field and physically checks his/her camera, that's no different than going out and finding tracks to show the presence of an animal. They worked for it!	Greg Weatherby	Idaho Sports	Idaho Falls	Idaho
4321	7/25/2017 21:37	No	No	Neutral	The way the trail camera rule reads is ridiculous. Restrictions on them is ridiculous. They are a tool. One example is bear hunting, we use them to determine the sex and size of the bears. I have often seen sows come in 5-10 minutes before her cubs. The trail cams let me know she has cubs, so if she comes in while I'm in my stand, I know she's off limits. Without the trail cameras, we don't have the knowledge. They also assist in big game research. Again, use them to learn about the animals in the area and leave the young ones be. They do not increase success rates. Just because a bull walked by this morning, doesn't mean he'll walk by tomorrow. I am strongly against this rule change.	Kaitlyne Osterhout		Idaho falls	Idaho
4322	7/25/2017 21:39	Yes	No	No	I am a 76 year old, 50% disabled Vietnam veteran with two total knee replacements, but I am still able to hunt elk . My hunting consists on sitting in a lawn chair on a good elk migration site. If and when I kill an elk,I get on my two way radio and call one of my grandsons or sons to come and help me. There is no way that I am physically able to effectively gut and skin my elk without help. This proposed new restriction, to outlaw the use of two way radios, would significantly restrict my hunting or completely stop it. I have lived in Idaho for my whole life except for my time spent in the Marine Corps and I have hunted elk since I was 12 years old and killed my first elk at age 14. I have killed 42 elk in my life time and not one of these elk have been killed while using a radio, cell phone, etc. Please don't make me stop hunting because of my physical limits. I just need a little help from family members and our radios provides that opportunity. Thanks.	Calvin W. Lathen	none	Moscow	Idaho
4323	7/25/2017 22:01	No	No	No	we do not support the restrictions of game cameras....we use them to make sure there are not wolves on our baits before we turn the dogs loose...we had a friend loose two dogs to wolves and it was a horrific sight.	Kelly Patterson		coeur d alene	idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4324	7/25/2017 22:05	No	No	No	Some of this probably sounds great if you were to believe the hype of the manufacturers, but actual use in the field just doesn't measure up. We've been running game cameras for the last four seasons on our private property and haven't seen a single buck or bull that we've taken on a camera prior to harvest (we did find out later that a small 4x4 whitetail that my wife shot was on a camera that we visited a day or two after the harvest, but even then that little buck only showed up once in a game cam, a couple days prior to when she shot it and at a different time of day). Game cams have been more of a fun diversion than an actually useful hunting tool. Probably more important to us is the ability to know when the neighboring rancher's cattle get onto our property, or if someone has been on there poaching during the hunting season (with the property being five hours away, being able to catch poachers on a game cam is invaluable). I've also tried running game cams on public land a couple years, and despite my best efforts any data that might be gleaned from them doesn't survive opening morning when the animals scatter (even during archery). As far as the cellular game cameras go, we tried one, it was horrible. It cost us a weeks worth of scouting. I just don't know that many places they'd be useful, since all the places I hunt that have good animals don't have good cell reception. I wish they did work better, but more so that I could call the neighboring rancher as soon as his cattle break through the fence, or so I could call the local sheriff as soon as it sent me a picture of someone poaching on our property. Same goes for cell phones and text messaging. Where are people hunting where this works well? An argument may be made against FRS style radios, but now we're getting into electronic surveillance of tools being used I bear hunt with hounds. We have kids in the woods with Wilkie talkies. It doesn't aid in harvesting game, it is for safety and locating each other. We cannot exist without game cameras, we must be able to know what ate the bait. If we don't know we could be turning loose on wolves, elk, moose etc. if the dogs are turned loose they think they have the ok to run what is there. Cannot bait without cameras!!!	Peter Fitzsimmons		Mountain Home	ID
4325	7/25/2017 22:10	No	No	No	I bear hunt with hounds. We have kids in the woods with Wilkie talkies. It doesn't aid in harvesting game, it is for safety and locating each other. We cannot exist without game cameras, we must be able to know what ate the bait. If we don't know we could be turning loose on wolves, elk, moose etc. if the dogs are turned loose they think they have the ok to run what is there. Cannot bait without cameras!!!	Dan Patterson	Just a sports	Hayden	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4326	7/25/2017 22:12	Yes	No	No	Restricting cameras that wirelessly transmit their data is completely understandable because they remove the need for people to physically put in the work themselves. However, for those of us that use trail cameras that require a SD card to be physically removed to see the pictures shouldn't be punished for the laziness of others. On a side note, DRAWS NEED TO GO TO A POINT SYSTEM!	Remington Allred		Shoshone	ID
4327	7/25/2017 22:19	No	No	No	These devices are absolutely necessary in hound hunting with bait. If there was a rule prohibiting the harvest of an animal with a game cam that sent live pictures to a phone , I could understand that as fair chase. Just pursuit to train dogs would not be unsportsmanlike because the bear is released anyway.	Daniel Patterson	None	Coeur d Alene	Idaho
4328	7/25/2017 22:32	No	No	No	Personally I'm more worried about restricting the use of Gps tracking devices on hounds. With our current wolf situation in Idaho the Gps tracking units have saved the lives of thousands of dogs. It ambles you to get to the dogs faster and to stay in closer contact to avoid a wolf attack.	Mike Davis	Hunter	Salmon	Idaho
4329	7/25/2017 22:39	Neutral	No	No	Two way radios and phones help keep people connected and safe while hunting in remote areas. F&G could then write tickets for the possession of cell phones while hunting which is unacceptable. Trail cams that are periodically checked are no problem. I've used them for two years and I wouldn't say they have given me an advantage at all, just given me some cool pictures to look at. Despite my best efforts, I have still never harvested big game in 13 years of hunting in Idaho. It's getting difficult to get my kids interested in going because we're never successful. Adding rules to make it even harder to harvest big game will result in losing the current and next generations of hunters. I'm about to hang it up. Eventually, how will f&g pay for itself without revenue from	Jared Hiatt		Idaho Falls	Idaho
4330	7/25/2017 22:44	No	No	No	Being a successful hunter is hard enough without all of these proposed restrictions. Especially don't like to lose the ability to use radios to communicate in the mountains with hunting partners. Even though the rule is written to eliminate communicating regarding the sighting of a game animal, the enforcement of such a rule would be seriously problematic.	David E. Shults		Coeur d Alene	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4331	7/25/2017 23:09	Neutral	No	No	I can not believe all the rules that get made up and even with a aid like a camera or a radio the kill percentages never change. It isn't like hunter are now killing the crap out of game because of cameras or radios. Enough with all the restrictions.	Neil Mellesmoen		Eden Prairie	MN
4332	7/26/2017 1:12	Yes	No	No		Lary Julian		Nampa	ID
4333	7/26/2017 5:02	Yes	No	Neutral	Trail cams as an aid while hunting or prior to hunting do not effect fair chase. Electronic transmission on the other hand does. Two way communication has the potential for abuse but can be a tool for safety also.	Craig G. Collins		Salt Lake	UT
4334	7/26/2017 8:01	Yes	No	Yes	Section H covering two way communication needs to be revised to clearly establish what time period is unlawful, such as the proposed trail camera wireless transmission rules. As it's written, I feel that it leaves too much open for interpretation, as to what constitutes lawful and unlawful two-way communication. For instance assuming it was during an open season, my buddy may call me tonight and tell me the location of the deer I have been trying to harvest, and tomorrow I go to location and happen to harvest that deer at that location. In my mind that would be an ethical harvest and within what is legal, but an overzealous law enforcement officer may pervert the intent of the law to saw I my buddy and I violated it. All laws must attempt to clearly define the limitations on not only the citizens, but also the government responsible for enforcement of the laws. Trail cameras without wireless communication capabilities should not be restricted. The device owner still has to travel to the device location to see what images are recorded, and I do not see that as	John Heintzelman		Blackfoot	ID
4335	7/26/2017 8:11	No	No	No		Ethan monroe		Pocatello	Idaho
4336	7/26/2017 8:28	Yes	Yes	Yes		Sherry st.Clair		Ketchum	I'd.

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4337	7/26/2017 8:42	Yes	Yes	Yes	Jon - The technology craze needs to stop at some pt. in time and this action is already applied in Alaska. This rule does put more pressure on law enforcement to prove their case, but technology is taking the hunt out of hunting. Right now there are trail cams set up in the lower East Fork of the Salmon River recording elk sightings at wallows for this fall's hunt. I don't know if these cameras have live feeds or if the owner has to retrieve the memory card? This rule, while not widely popular, is the logical and fair thing to do. I realize you can't legislate ethical behavior, but this is more of removing an unfair advantage by those owners whose use creates a biological impact affecting all hunter's opportunity. We regulate the use of other equipment used "in the aid of hunting" (drones, ATV's, MZ and Archery Technology) so this Rule is appropriate to me and seems no different. It does not restrict such use of radios or electronics for personal safety and logistical communication which many hunters depend upon	Louise Stark		Challis	ID
4338	7/26/2017 8:55	No	No	No		Todd Trahan		Weiser	Idaho
4339	7/26/2017 9:08	No	No	Neutral	As written in proposed rule G and alt G, the current language does not allow a person to hunt animals in question until the third day after checking a camera. Currently in the rulebook, a person is allowed to pursue big game the second day after finding animals by aerial methods, helicopter, airplane, etc. At best, this is fairly ridiculous. Also in proposed rule G, would "other electronic device capable of recording images" also include a cell phone with an attachment to fit on a spotting scope? ie Phone Skope? In many instances this is now "part of the story" of the hunt, having photos/video of the animal before any harvest. I think these photos do more justice to the animals than just a dead animal picture. Alt. G is a little better than G, but is still ambiguous enough to question whether Phone Skopes are still allowed. With proposed rule H, I see the intent, I really do... However, cell phones have been around for years now, and to put a rule in place like what is proposed seems a day late and a dollar short. Many hunting places do not have cell service anyway. I feel like, with all of the proposed rules, that enforcement would be impossible. Conservation officers should be trying to catch blatant poaching, not worrying if it is day 3 since Joe Q. Public checked his trail	Connor White		Heyburn	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4340	7/26/2017 9:17	No	No	No	The use of two-way radios or other electronic communication devices is a safety issue.	Derek		Jerome	Idaho
4341	7/26/2017 9:18	Neutral	No	Yes	I think that the use of trail cameras and two-way electronic communications puts the game at a disadvantage and doesn't seem very sportsmanlike. Although I support people being able to hunt, I think that part of the sport should not involve devices or means that create an unfair advantage.	John Kellogg		Bonnors Ferry	Idaho
4342	7/26/2017 9:39	Yes	Yes	Yes		Anne Aganon		Hailey	ID
4343	7/26/2017 9:44	No	No	No	I am against restricting the use of trail cameras and other electronic devices. It is an unnecessary restriction on peoples	Abraham J Kazda		Post Falls	ID
4344	7/26/2017 10:15	No	No	No		Harold Harmon		Shoshone	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?
Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Neutral	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4345	7/26/2017 10:23	Neutral	No	No	>>>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on potential rule changes. First things first, I wish to thank IDFG (Commissioners, conservation officers, biologists, staff, etc.) for creating and managing a great place to hunt, fish, and view wildlife. Thank you for the outstanding work that you do! >>>I wish to comment on the proposed changes to rules regarding trail cameras and electronic two-way communication as hunting aids (communication/camera tech). My comments address: 1) likelihood of enforcing rules changes, 2) justification of arguments to ban communication/camera tech as hunting aids, and 3) origin of these rule changes. >>>Item 1): I respectfully encourage you to consider if and how this rule can/will be enforced. Many Idahoans who hunt on foot/horseback use two-way radios and have so for a long time. Therefore, can you effectively and efficiency enforce a rule prohibiting use of electronic communication/camera tech as hunting aids? Do you have the technology and man-power to devote to patrolling the "air-waves" for illegal transmission? I am aware of instances where IDFG have successfully enforced laws regarding air-to-ground communication, but air-to-ground communication is a very rare event compared with the volume of ground-to-ground transmission events. Furthermore, where will you draw the line regarding voice transmission of animal locations? For example, someone communicating via radio that they are leaving a stand or sitting-spot because there are no animals is an indirect statement relating the location of an animal. If you are confident that you can effectively enforce such changes statewide, then I stand to be corrected. However, if this is an unenforceable "rule" to only be enforced at convenience or used to	Joshua Taylor		Dubois	Idaho
4346	7/26/2017 10:25	Yes	No	Yes		Shane vlcek	Nome	Springfield	Oregon
4347	7/26/2017 10:28	Yes	Yes	Yes		Russ Johnson		Nampa	ID
4348	7/26/2017 10:28	Yes	No	Neutral	I do not agree with the use of communication devices as an aid to hunting. However, I don't think that they should be banned for use between hunters while hunting as long as they are used for safety or logistics. I hunt with several senior citizens and everyone likes to use radios to keep track of each other.	Chad Ross		Shelley	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4349	7/26/2017 10:31	No	No	No	1. I don't think these devices violate ethical or fair chase considerations. 2. The dates of use would be very difficult to prove presenting a legal challenge and chance of abusive enforcement. 3. This could have a chilling effect on use of communication devices for safety purposes, again because of the difficulty of proof of intent and time of use.	Charles Sunderlin	Former ID r Amity		OR
4350	7/26/2017 10:48	No	No	No	How, exactly, would you plan on enforcing these rules? In regards to text/cell phones do you plan on buying Stingray/Hailstorm systems to mimic towers and allow you access to everyone's cell communications? How do you think the public would feel about that? Absent those systems, also when it comes to game cameras, how are you going to gather any type of evidence? Are you going to enter camps, cabins, and private property to confiscate people's cell phones, tablets and computers, then compel them to provide passwords, PINs, fingerprint/touch IDs so you can then search their phone calls, text messages, website history and electronic photo albums? How do you think folks would feel about that? This isn't NY or CA. Nanny state rules don't sell well here. I fully support IDFG, and from what I see in the field on a regular basis, really wish they had more personnel and time to better enforce the current rules that are in place, but the type of enforcement being considered here is going to make a	Pete Fitzsimmons		Mountain Home	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4351	7/26/2017 10:57	Yes	No	No	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I recognize that advances in technology require adjustment of rules to comport with notions of fair chase and hunting ethics. Our response, however, ought be well-considered and nuanced instead of driven by knee-jerk or emotional reaction. The goal, in my opinion, ought be to separate technologies that deepen an enrich the outdoors experience from those that cheapen or degrade that experience. I think the Commission can effectively draw that line here, by outlawing the use of transmitting cameras (as proposed) while allowing the use of non-transmitting cameras.</p> <p>Transmitting cameras can be used in ways that cheapen and degrade the hunting experience. For example, a hunter can sit at a base camp or home, and only go into the woods when he or she is notified that an animal has passed. This degrades the experience for the hunter, as well as for a hunter who has spent time in the woods and may be "cut off" or "beaten to" a game animal by someone who had contemporaneous or near-contemporaneous notice that the game animal was passing. In addition, a well-heeled hunter could create a series of transmitting cameras that provides not just a glimpse in time on where an animal is, but actually provides a direction and pace of travel. This possibility heightens the risk and transmitting cameras could transform the sport in ways that degrade the experience.</p> <p>Non-transmitting cameras, by contrast, deepen and enhance the hunting experience. The hunter must place the camera in the woods, and must be physically present in the woods to check the camera. In this way, a camera is a supplement to traditional</p>	Preston Carter		BOISE	Idaho
4352	7/26/2017 11:06	Yes	Neutral	No		A E Wahlgren		Hailey	Idaho
4353	7/26/2017 11:10	No	No	No		Shane B		Pocatello	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4354	7/26/2017 11:31	Yes	No	Yes	I don't think that pictures should be sent to a phone or computer, real time, from a trail camera. I have no problem with trail cameras to see what animals are in an area, but there should be a little work involved to get the pictures. You shouldn't be able to sit home and wait to see when the animal you are after is in your area.	Marcus Robinson		Enoch	UT
4355	7/26/2017 11:35	No	No	No	Communication devices should be permitted for communication between people in the outdoors, but not used to talk someone into I do not support this. One of the many reasons I do not support this is because of trail cameras, and two-way radios. I use trail cameras simply because I want to know if there are game in the area I have chosen to hunt. I personally do not care if I'm hunting an area with a trophy game animal, I care if I've chosen an area with meat for me and my family's table. Without the use of a trail camera, I would have to spend hour upon hour, day after day, being there myself, and any person with a large family and even the basic every day responsibilities of life, cannot logically spend that much time doing what a trail camera is meant to do. It takes an entire year, and alot of planning just to spend the consecutive days in the mountains that you spend in order to actually hunt our game. As for the two-way radios, I simply do not agree to restrict them because I like knowing where my hunting partner is, and I like that we know each other is safe and not lost or hurt somewhere. I use trail cameras and radios in a very basic form....I don't put up 20 trail cameras, and I don't use a radio to warn about upcoming game....I don't abuse these privileges, so	Katrina Burt		American Falls	ID
4356	7/26/2017 12:10	No	No	No		Bryan R. Long	Sportsmsn	Preston	Id.
4357	7/26/2017 13:38	Yes	Yes	Yes	Use of this type of technology is not sportsmanlike and gives hunters an unfair advantage.	Susan Evans		Idaho Falls	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4358	7/26/2017 14:16	Yes	No	No	I do not feel that trail cameras give the hunter an unfair advantage at all. Myself, my son, and a friend have been using trail cameras for the past six years in Idaho, Utah, and Arizona for mule deer, elk, coues deer, and bears. We have taken more than a dozen animals with archery equipment between us during that time. Out of these animals only the 3 bears taken at bait sights were seen on camera previously and one coues deer that we found out later we had a picture of quite a ways from where we got him. One of the main reasons we use cameras is just the pure fun of getting up close pictures of the animals. I feel it has made us better hunters just from learning a little more about the movements of the game we are after and it helps to keep you hunting a little harder and longer just by knowing the animals are in the area. Even with the bears we killed after having pictures of them I don't feel like the cameras aided in their harvest. They were all killed on baits where we would have been sitting anyway. It just allowed us to see what they looked like in advance and how many bears were around. We had about the same percentage of success hunting bear baits years ago before we ever had cameras. They generally hit so inconsistently that the cameras are no help in patterning them. We just plain have a lot of fun getting the pictures and it helped a lot when my son was younger to keep him interested. I have heard of some problems with cameras mainly in desert areas like the Arizona Strip that have few water sources, huge bucks, and unlimited guides but I don,t know of many areas in Idaho that would be like this. If there is areas like this in Idaho I would hope rules could be made specific to certain problem areas rather than just a blanket rule to cover the whole state. When I hike in a few miles and hanq several cameras on different	David Scott		Preston	Idaho
4359	7/26/2017 14:35	Yes	Yes	No		Tyrell Styhl		Moscow	Idaho
4360	7/26/2017 15:05	Yes	No	Yes	I like to use trail cameras to see what animals are in an area that I plan on hunting. I mostly use them for pre season scouting, some during hunting season. I sometimes use two way radios for location of hunting companions, but not as an aid to hunting big game. I think restrictions should be put on some high tech	Alan Monroe	NA	Lewiston	ID

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4361	7/26/2017 15:05	Yes	No	Yes	I like to use trail cameras to see what animals are in an area that I plan on hunting. I mostly use them for pre season scouting, some during hunting season. I sometimes use two way radios for location of hunting companions, but not as an aid to hunting big game. I think restrictions should be put on some high tech	Alan Monroe	NA	Lewiston	ID
4362	7/26/2017 15:34	No	No	No		Jared Keller		Chubbuck	Idaho
4363	7/26/2017 16:31	Yes	No	Yes	I would support the banning of any real - time electronic communication, whether phone, radio, or trail camera. However, I would not support the ban of trail camera use in general.	Corey Jacobsen	Hunter	Donnelly	Idaho
4364	7/26/2017 16:33	No	No	No	In my opinion, fish and game have more to worry about other than these game cameras. Game cameras do not aid in hunting. I myself have game cameras out and by the time hunting season comes around the animals have spread out to breed and are not in the same area. On the two way devices those do not help hunt big game animals, those are for the group to know what is going on, like you're hurt or you have an animal down prett much a safety device. Fish and game have more to worry about like poaching and putting a stop to all the spikes that have been shot lately. Instead of worrying about the game cameras fish and game should focus more on managing the small bulls getting shot make it at least a 3 point or better. Fish and game should also focus on the freeways on putting up fences so that there would be a stop to all the animals killed yearly by cars, or make it look like they care	Skyler walchy and Ralph walchly		Pinehurst	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4365	7/26/2017 16:39	Yes	No	No	As a volunteer for Hunt of a Lifetime that takes children with life threatening illness hunting, I am a huge proponent of the use of two-way radios while hunting. When in the field, we rely on the ability to communicate between members while trying to find the best and closest access to begin carrying the children in to begin a stalk. Most of our children are not healthy enough to hike effectively in the topography that Idaho presents. As a result radio's are critical in communicating between volunteers who are scouting for game and volunteers who are attempting to find the best access for our kids. Due to sickness and limited physical ability most of our youth would not have the stamina to cover the miles of ground that can be standard in most Idaho hunts. Any efforts done with a volunteer hiking to a high ridge or descending into a canyon before committing a youth to that location would no longer be timely without the ability to communicate what has been seen or found in relation to animals. I am concerned that the language is difficult to define what constitutes "in any manner to communicate the location or approximate location of any big game animal". Any and all of our efforts in the field are in pursuit of animals, any communication relating to an animal, or the sighting of an animal would then be in question. That is the primary reason for being on a hunt, and a common goal or point of conversation in any radio communications with a hunting partner or volunteer. I do not feel that this use of two way radio's is unethical, and believe that it only improves the experience and safety in the field for our hunters and volunteers. Radio use additionally keeps the children engaged and participating in the anticipation, the planning, and the excitement of the pursuit even though they may not be able to physically climb, hike, and explore	Kyle Rajsich	Hunt of a Lif Boise		ID
4366	7/26/2017 16:41	Yes	Yes	No	The communications issue looks to me like a violation of my constitutional rights. This will give fish and game the right to search my phone or record my calls with out my consent. Or a Trail cameras is a great tool for scouting. We enjoy the great pictures from our camera and make a calendar every year for our friends and hunting partners. Thanks.	Bill Ziegler		Rexburg	Idaho
4367	7/26/2017 16:44	No	No	No		Mark Lundahl	Out of state	Mendon	Utah

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4368	7/26/2017 17:02	No	No	No	As a family we love seeing pictures of the vast wildlife in the area. We enjoy checking the cameras to see the wildlife we might never get to see in person. I compile the pictures and make calendars of the different animals we see and give them as gifts to our friends and family members. Every year they cherish this gift of the memories we share during our hunting camp in the fall. Please don't take this enjoyment away!	Natalie Lundahl		Soda Springs	Idaho
4369	7/26/2017 17:03	Neutral	No	No	The use of two-way radios has been a way to include my aging parents and my young children in the excitement of the stalk. As an archery hunter, the stalk is the most exciting part of hunting. By allowing my family members and hunting partners to talk via radio, it has included them in a play by play experience that has added great enjoyment to our families tradition of hunting. It has allowed my parents to continue to have a role in the hunt when physically they cannot hike or participate as they did in their youth. Similarly, my daughter and young nephews – all under age 7, have been able to learn the tradition while watching through a spotting scope and radioing their Aunt, Uncle, Dad or Mom while on a stalk. I do not feel that this is unethical, I do not feel that it has increased our success rate. I can however say with great certainty that it has significantly increased the satisfaction of the hunting tradition that my family shares!	Audra Coil	none	Meridian	Idaho
4370	7/26/2017 17:09	No	No	No		Wally Vanantwerp		Kuna	Idaho
4371	7/26/2017 17:24	Yes	No	No	The complexity of hunting laws is a major reason I quit duck hunting. Any time you say that you need to determine intent when using a device, you create a complex regulation that ends up in a lot of arguing. Cameras that transmit are harder to steal.	Steve Johnson		Eagle	ID
4372	7/26/2017 18:44	No	No	No	This is dumb. Waste of time and money.	Nikolas Kutska		Belle Vernon	Pennsylvar
4373	7/26/2017 18:45	No	No	No	This is dumb. You can spend your money on better things. How can I scout?	Brock McCulloch	Hunter in Id;	Fayette City	Pa

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4374	7/26/2017 19:28	Yes	No	No	1. Maybe cost prohibitive to police this policy if changed...? There might be bigger issues that require money/attention of idfg. 2. Group hunts can add additional safety measures and ensure that multiple families can participate and obtain meat. Radios assist with that. I.e. my family may not need an entire Elk. But a neighboring family might coordinate with me then split the meat. That practice benefits multiple Idaho families. 3. Greatly increases the chance of injured animals being	Matthew Rust		Blackfoot	ID
4375	7/26/2017 19:38	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	July 26, 2017 Via IDFG Comment Portal Docket: 13-0108-1702 Re: Comments on restricting some electronic visual and communication methods as aids to hunting Dear Commissioners Corkill, Blanco, Fischer, Cameron, Clezie, Attebury, and Meyers: Safari Club International (SCI) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the proposed changes to the current Idaho game/trail camera regulations. SCI and its members support fair chase as defined, as the ethical, sportsmanlike, and lawful pursuit and taking of a game animal in a manner that does not give the hunter an improper advantage over such animals. SCI's missions include the conservation of wildlife, protection of the hunter, and education of the public concerning hunting and its use as a conservation tool. SCI has long been an active supporter of using sound-scientific principles in managing wildlife populations. SCI has approximately 50,000 members and 190 chapters worldwide, including three chapters network in Idaho. SCI supports the definition and practice of conservation as the sustainable use of natural resources, including wildlife. We support ethical public hunting as the foundation of wildlife conservation and management. SCI's Hunters' Code of Ethics includes a pledge "to comply with all game laws, in the spirit of fair chase, and to	Jeffrey Patchen	Manager of	Washington	D.C.

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

Serial	Submitted Time	support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4376	7/26/2017 19:50	Yes	No	No		Todd Wallace		Bonnars Ferry	ID
4377	7/26/2017 19:51	No	No	No	Quit wasting time and money with new rules that make no	MARK A ROMAN		Post Falls	ID
4378	7/26/2017 20:59	No	No	No	I hunt with a cell phone, two way radio, GPS. ALL OF WHICH ARE USED FOR MY SAFETY AND THE SAFETY OF MY FAMILY!! I do not want to loose the ability to communicate with my family in anyway while I am hunting. It allows us to know where we are if someone needs help and support. Having these devices available while hunting is essential.	Heidi Preston		Pocatello	ID
4379	7/26/2017 22:06	Yes	No	No	Bare minimum there should be a permit available for purchase, at the discretion of the Fish and Game Department, to ensure those with disabilities can have an opportunity to be successful.	Jake Schwandt	HOAL	EMMETT	ID
4380	7/26/2017 22:09	No	No	No	I don't think trail cameras give hunters an unfair advantage. I think the direct advantage trail cameras give hardly compare to the advantages that you get from other modern technologies. Trail cameras add a fun dimension to the hunt. In my experience it's been very hard to pattern animals with a trail cameras. The pictures of animals that you get may give you more incentive to try harder in hopes that you may be able to harvest an animal that could possibly be in the area.	David B. Scott		Preston	Idaho
4381	7/26/2017 22:19	Neutral	No	No	I would hope that if the need comes for regulations that they would be targeted toward problem areas rather than a statewide Trail cameras are very fun to use and add a whole new dimension to the hunt but they certainly don't make the hunt any easier or more productive than it was before we used them. We are never able to pattern animals with them but it is fun to get a picture here and there just to know what is around. There are many technologys used today that give you a much bigger advantage over the animals than trail cameras. I would hate to see them	Ruth Scott		Preston	Idaho
4382	7/26/2017 22:23	Yes	No	No		Tom Hunt		Meridian	ID
4383	7/26/2017 22:27	No	No	No		Richelle B		Pocatello	ID
4384	7/26/2017 22:34	Yes	No	No	I don't think trail cameras increase harvest at all. The advantage they provide isn't nearly as much as many other technologies used today. Yes it helps to know there may be a big animal in the area but you still have to go in and hunt them. It's nothing like the advantage received with a range finder and a 1200 yard rifle where a guy can just pick off a buck from way outside his danger zone. I enjoy the pictures i get almost as much as the harvest if	Joe klinke		Pocatello	Idaho

Online public input on proposal to restrict use of trail cameras and electronic 2-way communication as an aid to big game hunting.

support
restricting
the use of
trail
cameras
capable of
transmittin
g pictures
or video
wirelessly

to a
remote
device
(such as a
computer
or smart
phone) as
an aid to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
ALL trail
cameras
as an aid
to
hunting?

Do you
support
restricting
the use of
two-way
electronic
communicat
ions in aid
to hunting?

Serial	Submitted Time	Do you support restricting the use of trail cameras capable of transmitting pictures or video wirelessly to a remote device (such as a computer or smart phone) as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of ALL trail cameras as an aid to hunting?	Do you support restricting the use of two-way electronic communications in aid to hunting?	Comment Summary	Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State
4385	7/26/2017 23:10	Neutral	No	Yes	as far as the trail cameras which are capable of sending wireless pictures or video I would only be against the use of them on public land.	Nate Orton		Heber	Ut