

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

Limit number of non-outfitted nonresident tags in unlimited controlled hunts

Submission Details

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident hunters to participate in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name           | Affiliation | City/Town  | State |
|--------|---|--|--|----------------|-------------|------------|-------|
| 1      | Yes   | Yes  |  | Brian Bramwell |             | Twin Falls | Idaho |
| 2      | No  | No   | <p>this proposal is ridiculous special interest b.s. the obvious real intent of this rule is to drive non-residents to be forced to hire an outfitter. it is not IDFG's job to support the few outfitters in the state. if they can't sell their services themselves, too bad. IDFGs focus is supposed to be on maximizing opportunity for all the citizens of the state; this proposal minimizes opportunity by driving non-res hunters and their significant dollars to other states. this would also create problems for non-residents applying as a group with residents for a limited controlled hunt, with unlimited as a second choice. obviously the residents would not want to permit a non-resident friend in their group application, for fear the group would be knocked out of the running for even unlimited second choice tags due to reaching the non-res limit. these pointless special interest restrictions will just drive non-residents to hunt other states. many non-resident Idaho hunters are like myself, raised in Idaho, but forced by work opportunity to move elsewhere, but still love their home state and want to hunt there and support management there by paying non-resident fees. if you put in place these type of special interest restrictions, it would break my heart, but expect to lose my conservation dollars to another state. residents may comment in favor of this, thinking less competition from the "evil out of staters." but what they don't realize is just how much the increased non-res fees help pay for the management that gives the resident the opportunity. the non-resident pays a disproportionate share to give the resident increased opportunity. that's a good thing for Idaho residents, and</p> | Daniel Plantz  |             | Wenatchee  | WA    |
| 3      | Yes   | Yes  |  | Brent Daley    |             | PARMA      | Idaho |
| 4      | Yes   | Neutral  |  | Larry Copeland |             | Shoshone   | Idaho |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name              | Affiliation | City/Town      | State   |
|--------|--|---|--|-------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| 5      | Yes  | No  | New Mexico does this and it is a very poor decision in my opinion. It does nothing for the average sportsperson wanting to hunt out of state. The average person usually can only afford the expenses of travel, food and increased tag fees. It will only benefit the wealthy hunters, unfair in my opinion.  | Randy Gamett      |             | Arco           | ID      |
| 6      | Yes  | No  | We need to get rid of outfitters, and make people hunt by only do it your self. I will never understand how we can allow someone to make a living off of a public resource. All outfitters and guides need to find a real job!! Outfitters, selling of private land owner tags, trespass tags ect... make hunt and fishing a rich-mans game and that is not what it should be!!  | Paul Goicoechea   |             | Shoshone       | ID      |
| 7      | Yes  | Yes   |  | Matt Misner       |             | Lewisville     | Idaho   |
| 8      | No   | No  | I hunt an unlimited unit every year and it is only crowded at the access points. There is much ground that never sees a hunter. I think limiting the non-residents to 10% is a feel good political move to placate some complainers and not warranted by the harvest data.<br><br>If the commission does limit non-resident tags in the unlimited zones then why should guides be given an allocation? Seems only fair that all non-residents should be able to put in for any available tags. Once drawn then they can all decide as individuals if they want to go guided or unguided! This whole thing seems like guides trying to eliminate competition and still get to have as many paying | Colin Dovichin    | None        | Billings       | Montana |
| 9      | No   | No  |  | David Harper      |             | Jerome         | Idaho   |
| 10     | Yes  | No  |  | Eric Gilbreath    |             | Boise          | Idaho   |
| 11     | Yes  | Yes   |  | David Butterfield |             | Ketchum        | Idaho   |
| 12     | Yes  | No  |  | Keith Ohls        |             | Nampa          | Id      |
| 13     | Yes  | No  |  | Noah hoven        |             | Osburn         | IDaho   |
| 14     | Yes  | No  |  | John Pinnock      |             | St. Anthony Id | Idaho   |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participants to participate in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>      | <b>Affiliation</b>                   | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 15            | Yes   | Yes  | I said yeas in the outfitter allocation,because the outfitter allocation needs to get looked into. There should be a drawing for outfitter tags in each unit where there is more than 1 outfitter. I have 3/4 of a controlled unit with 22 mule deer tags and do not get 1 outfitter allocation tag. The fish and game needs to look into this because the outfitters and guides has not clue and not enough background or education on this. How can you give out 22 tags and pound those late season bucks in one small area. I'm no biologist but I no enough to spread the tags out. | Chad Mohr        |                                      | Pollock          | ID           |
| 16            | No  | Yes  |  | Cash Frackiewicz |                                      | Walla Walla      | Wa           |
| 17            | Yes   | No   |  | Gary Wood        |                                      | Meridian         | Idaho        |
| 18            | Yes   | Yes  |  | Barry Tresch     |                                      | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 19            | Yes   | No   |  | Kiel Malone      |                                      | New Plymouth     | Idaho        |
| 20            | No  | No   | I feel that out of state tags should be allowed to be no more than 10%. If outfitter tags are made available, they should come from that maximum 10% out of state quota.   | Ronnie Sturgill  |                                      | Mountain Home    | ID           |
| 21            | Yes   | No   |  | Daniel Figini    | Idaho<br>Back<br>Country<br>Veterans | Caldwell         | ID           |
| 22            | Yes   | Neutral  |  | Joseph thomas    |                                      | Filer            | Id           |
| 23            | Yes   | No   |  | Trebor Evans     |                                      | Twin Falls       | Idaho        |
| 24            | Yes   | No   |  | Alex Klimes      |                                      | Kimberly         | Idaho        |
| 25            | Yes   | No   |  | Damion Wheeler   |                                      | Idaho Falls      | Idaho        |
| 26            | Yes   | Yes  |  | Blake Fischer    |                                      | Boise            | ID           |
| 27            | Yes   | No   | Outfitters should not get special tags for any of their out of state hunters.  | Fred Battley     |                                      | Challis          | Idaho        |
| 28            | Yes   | Yes  |  | ron ens          | outfitter                            | challis          | id           |
| 29            | Yes   | No   |  | Shane Hayes      |                                      | Menan            | Id           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>    | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|----------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 30            | Yes   | Yes  | I believe we should limit non residents in unlimited controlled hunts to no more than 10% plus outfitter allocation. I believe unit 26 should have been capped when it reached 100 tags back in 2012. Thank you. | Travis Bullock |                    | Challis          | Idaho        |
| 31            | Yes   | Yes  | All out of state tags shouldn't be guided hunts.   | Jared Giannini |                    | Idaho Falls      | ID           |
| 32            | Yes   | Yes  |  | Brad Varland   | None               | Boise            | ID           |
| 33            | Yes   | No   |  | Will geist     |                    | Nampa            | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>    | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|----------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 34            | No  | No   | I am a non-resident hunter who has been hunting Idaho deer, elk and wolf (since legalization) since 1993. I have not missed a year and was one of the early subscribers when it was a first come first served system and there were limits on the numbers of tags available. The current system is not broken and works well allowing nonresident hunters an opportunity to do-it-yourself hunt in the wilderness areas. Since this is a controlled hunt, planning early in the year is required and does not allow one to hunt these areas at the spur of the moment. These areas are not over utilized and the hunt quality is high. Non-resident hunters bring in large amounts of income to the state. We support not only the Fish and Game Department, but the air taxi operators, grocery stores, gas stations, motels and sporting goods stores in Idaho. I personally spend over \$1000.00 annually just on tags and licenses and many thousands more on my Idaho hunts. While I do not advertise where I hunt or how I do it, I have brought many friends and family to Idaho to hunt with me in the 25 years I have been hunting the back-country. The Fish and Game Department currently has the tools available to control the number of all hunters if the resource starts to be stressed. The number of tags issued has not reached the maximum available so residents are not missing out on the ability to purchase these tags to non-resident hunters. Limiting the number of non-resident tags to force us to use an outfitter is not a good deal for the state. Most of the non-resident hunters that I have met over the years save all year to come hunt the Idaho back country and do not have the means or desire to hire an outfitter. The outfitter in the area where I hunt does not seem to be lacking in area or customers. He has stock to get his hunters far away from the airstrips and the surrounding | Jeffrey Jensen |                    | Portland         | Oregon       |
| 35            | Yes   | Yes  |  | Jerrod Farr    |                    | Challis          | Idaho        |
| 36            | Yes   | Yes  |  | Travis Emery   |                    | Meridian         | Idaho        |
| 37            | Yes   | Neutral  |  | Angel Gonzalez |                    | Twin Falls       | ID           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name           | Affiliation           | City/Town  | State |
|--------|--|---|--|----------------|-----------------------|------------|-------|
| 38     | Yes  | No  |  | Craig Nelson   |                       | Clark Fork | ID    |
| 39     | No   | Neutral   |  | Bryce Heminger |                       | Kuna       | ID    |
| 40     | Yes  | No  | I am totally against out fitters period. And non res tags prices need to rise and numbers need to decrease. Residents should come first  | David Hall     |                       | Post falls | ID    |
| 41     | Yes  | Yes   |  | Jay Myers      |                       | Victor     | ID    |
| 42     | No   | No  | I was a resident of Idaho for 14 years and a hunter education instructor for 10 years. I purchased a life time license for both my son and I before leaving Idaho because I wanted him to share in the experience of hunting with me on the late season unit 27 deer hunt. This hunt offers a unique experience at an affordable price that I hope to share with my son for many years. I do not understand the reasons for limiting the non-residents. My experience has proven ample opportunity to harvest quality animals. Forcing non-residences to hire a guide in order to hunt this majestic area would go against the Idaho Fish and Game philosophy of keeping hunting affordable to all. This would drastically increase the cost of the hunt, and in my opinion, create an opportunity for the wealthy to have undo advantage. I ask the commission to not limit the hunting opportunities that I have with my son just because work has forced me to move away from a | Fred Dixon     |                       | Roseville  | CA    |
| 43     | Yes  | No  |  | Brandon Fowler |                       | Chubbuck   | Idaho |
| 44     | Yes  | No  | The Resident Sportsmen should always be first esp. in these X tags. Then the Non resident. A good way to tick off the resident is to put allocated Outfitter tags on X tags.   | Billijo Beck   | Big Timber Outfitters | Salmon     | ID    |
| 45     | No   | No  | I'd be interested to know the background of this proposal. The wording of the description sounds like it would benefit only outfitters, by applying this limitation only to non-outfitted hunters, and also setting up a reserved pool of tags for outfitters. If that is correct I do not support it.   | Paul Spurling  |                       | Caldwell   | Idaho |
| 46     | Yes  | No  |  | Carson         |                       | Worley     | Idaho |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>  | <b>Name</b>   | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|---|---------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 47            | No  | No   | Limiting the non resident tags to kowtow to outfitters is going to not only limit the revenue generated by non resident hunters but also subsidizes outfitters by limiting those who choose to hunt on their own. Bad business for the state.   | Mike O'Brien  |                    | SPRINGVILLE      | UT           |
| 48            | No  | No   | The area I hunt is not suitable for outfitters because it is a long way from any trailhead and is really only reachable by small plane. I spend lot of money in Idaho associated with my hunting and if you restrict me that money will go elsewhere.<br><br>In the last five years and again this year that I have hunted Idaho I have only seen one outfitter and we did not hunt in the same area so there was no interference.<br>This sounds like outfitters lobbying for themselves at the expense of many others.<br>Please don't change the current system. | Jon Giles     |                    | Colleyville      | Texas        |
| 49            | No  | No   |   | Steve Mosher  |                    | The Dalles       | OR           |
| 50            | Yes   | No   | Steve Mosher<br>No more outfitter tags.   | Mike          |                    | Stanley          | ID           |
| 51            | No  | No   | Let's not turn hunting into a rich man's hobby. Keep it simple, if an out of State hunter wants an outfitter they will find one.  | Tom           |                    | Stanfield        | Oregon       |
| 52            | Yes   | No   | I feel that capping the non-resident tags in unlimited hunts is fine, but if a need is there to limit a hunt area, why not use a quota? I also feel that if the area is limited, the allocation of more outfitter tags will not give NR's more opportunity, but would open up a slippery slope of more people with deep pockets hunting limited units. More and more NR's and residents are DIY hunters anyway.   | Zackary Smith |                    | American Falls   | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>     | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 53            | No  | No   | This proposal looks to create an scenario where we the commission is subsidizing outfitters by increasing the demand for their allocation of tags. If the data supports unlimited tags it should hold true for residents and non-residents alike. The current rule provides the Commission the tools to remove the unlimited status on controlled hunt and place a cap to achieve the non-resident cap and/or outfitter allocation. The controlled hunt data of tag purchases over the last 5 year should be sufficient enough to place an appropriate cap to achieve proper wildlife management goals and balance hunter enjoyment. Secondary concern would be a loss of revenue from the non-resident hunters who may look for access in other states due to limited supply. This would pass the increasing cost to resident hunters and given the Commissions struggle to find amenable legislatures to pass price increases without furthering their own private agendas, ie auction tags, land owner tags, bonus points, public land access restrictions, and | Josh Hoisington |                    | Boise            | ID           |
| 54            | Yes   | No   |  | Dustin Guthrie  |                    | Downey           | ID           |
| 55            | Neutral   | No   |  | Joe Lodge       |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 56            | Yes   | No   | I'm a nonresident and I'm ok with restricting nonresidents to 10% of the tags for unlimited controlled hunts. However, I'm very opposed to outfitters being given guaranteed tags. If outfitters provide a good service, then they will be able to book hunters. Setting aside tags for outfitters on public land is just a form of  | Brian Linford   |                    | El Paso          | Texas        |
| 57            | Yes   | No   |  | Ray Ashmun      |                    | Coeur d'Alene    | ID           |
| 58            | Yes   | No   |  | David H. Slover |                    | Coeur d' Alene   | Idaho        |
| 59            | No  | No   |  | Bryan Moore     |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 60            | Yes   | Yes  |  | Scott Jones     |                    | Twin Falls       | Idaho        |
| 61            | No  | No   | Outfitter tags are a slippery slope and all one has to do is look at states like New Mexico to see what happens when you give private interests special privilege to a publicly owned resource. If an outfitter can't survive without this, perhaps they should look into another line of work.  | James Gerold    |                    | Prior Lake       | MN           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name            | Affiliation | City/Town  | State |
|--------|--|---|--|-----------------|-------------|------------|-------|
| 62     | No   | No  |  | Jason Newlan    |             | Kimberly   | Idaho |
| 63     | Neutral  | No  | i don't mind non res hunters as they are not usually the POS's road hunting and tearing things up. i don't see a reason to limit them on an unlimited controlled hunt just as res hunters are not regulated.<br><br>i do not support outfitter allocated tags on an unlimited controlled hunt. that is allowing a non res hunter to put in for the "good" hunts during the draw period and if they get neither of their choices they can call up the outfitter and pick up a unlimited tag that way. Personally i think all outfitter allocated tags should go away and everyone should go into the same lottery draw. Outfitters here of late are ruining the hunting world by offering landowners the "access yes" money to lock down the land for themselves to bring in big money clients. Its hard enough anymore to get onto private ground then you add in money and it will soon | Brian Cowger    |             | Kimberly   | Idaho |
| 64     | Yes  | Yes   |  | Ben Tschida     |             | Hayden     | Idaho |
| 65     | No   | No  | I have never supported any additional help to any outfitters. They are a business so why do we not give tags to Walmart. let them earn their money on this hill.   | mark wisner     |             | challis    | ID    |
| 66     | No   | No  | I support a limit on tags for non-residents, but the non-resident limitation for unlimited controlled hunts should mirror that of limited quota controlled hunts at a 10% non-resident cap rather than a 10% minimum limit.<br><br>I think outfitter allocation is already beyond generous in this state and outfitters already enjoy far too many advantages to self-guided hunters so I do not support additional allocations.   | Tyrel Stevenson |             | St. Maries | Idaho |
| 67     | Yes  | No  |  | Justin          |             | Aberdeen   | Id    |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name                     | Affiliation | City/Town       | State |
|--------|--|---|--|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|
| 68     | No   | No  | I would support this rule under one circumstance: If nonresident lifetime hunting license holders would not be treated as the rest of the out-of-state drawers. I was out of state for a bit but would come back to hunt under my lifetime with nonresident tags. I had massive connections to the state so I don't need an outfitter. I don't want my unlimited tags to be restricted like the rest of the nonresidents because I was a resident, bought a lifetime license and will continue to hunt if I move back out of the state (I am now | Jason Thomas             |             | Moscow          | ID    |
| 69     | Yes  | No  |  | Tyler Williams           |             | Chubbuck        | Idaho |
| 70     | Yes  | Yes   |  | John Johnston            |             | Chubbuck        | Idaho |
| 71     | Yes  | Yes   |  | ken                      |             | idaho falls     | idaho |
| 72     | Yes  | No  |  | John Carpenter           |             | Idaho Falls     | Idaho |
| 73     | Yes  | No  |  | DICK FITSWELL            |             | BOISE           | IDAHO |
| 74     | No   | Yes   | An "UNLIMITED" tag is just that... Resident or non, why would you limit the amount of non resident if the controlled hunt tag is unlimited? besides, non residents pay higher fees and I would think that the IDFG would appreciate the extra funds.   | Dustin Bomley            |             | Lewiston        | Idaho |
| 75     | Yes  | No  |  | Justin Sandy             |             | Homedale        | ID    |
| 76     | No   | No  | Stop penalizing out of state hunters.  | David Burdge             |             | Nine Mile Falls | WA    |
| 77     | Yes  | Yes   |  | Bradley D<br>VandenDries |             | Boise           | IDAHO |
| 78     | Yes  | Yes   |  | Josh cross               |             | Idaho falls     | Idaho |
| 79     | Yes  | No  |  | Deven Welker             |             | BLACKFOOT       | Idaho |
| 80     | Yes  | No  |  | Barry                    |             | Rexburg         | ID    |
| 81     | No   | No  |  | Derek Jay<br>Pouchnik    |             | Viola           | Idaho |
| 82     | Yes  | Yes   |  | Jeremy Price             |             | Pocatello       | Idaho |
| 83     | Yes  | Yes   |  | Jason Thompson           |             | Moscow          | Idaho |
| 84     | Yes  | Yes   |  | Rob                      |             | Pocatello       | Idaho |
| 85     | Yes  | Yes   |  | Dennis Walker            |             | Idaho falls     | Idaho |
| 86     | Yes  | Yes   |  | chet Thompson            |             | idaho falls     | id    |
| 87     | No   | No  |  | Marc                     |             | Lehi            | Utah  |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participants to participate in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary   | Name                    | Affiliation | City/Town   | State  |
|--------|--|---|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| 88     | Yes  | No  |   | Travis Smith            |             | Salmon      | Idaho  |
| 89     | Yes  | No  |   | Mark                    |             | moscow      | ID     |
| 90     | Yes  | No  |   | louis h. griffin        |             | idaho falls | idaho  |
| 91     | Yes  | Yes   |   | Ryan Cornwall           |             | Aberdeen    | Idaho  |
| 92     | Yes  | Yes   | I believe the best thing for Idaho is to male it a draw for all nonresident hunters that way hunting pressure is spread out throughout the state and hunting pressure is better controlled. | Brock Austin<br>Maynard |             | Pocatello   | Idaho  |
| 93     | No   | No  |   | Nathan Ohta             |             | Woodburn    | Oregon |
| 94     | Yes  | No  |   | Richard Summers         |             | Weston      | ID     |
| 95     | Yes  | No  | We already provide over the counter tags to nonresidents, one of the few States that do. Don't tarnish the hinting experience with more people conflicts in the field.                      | Tyson Murphy            |             | Preston     | Id     |
| 96     | Yes  | No  |   | Bill Golightly          |             | PRESTON     | Idaho  |
| 97     | No   | No  |   | Brandon Eklund          |             | Elko        | Nevada |
| 98     | Yes  | No  | Outfitter Allocation should count against the nonresident number.   | Gene Buckland           |             | Meridian    | Idaho  |
| 99     | Yes  | No  |   | Brad Nelson             |             | Idaho Falls | ID     |
| 100    | Yes  | Yes   |   | stan hager              |             | idaho falls | idaho  |
| 101    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Lanny B                 | Sportsman   | Gooding     | Idaho  |
| 102    | Yes  | No  |   | David turner            |             | Montpelier  | Idaho  |
| 103    | No   | Neutral   |   | Jeff nash               |             | Dacula      | Ga     |
| 104    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Cody Moffat             |             | Greenleaf   | Idaho  |
| 105    | Yes  | No  |   | Dalton                  |             | ARCO        | Idaho  |
| 106    | Yes  | No  | Outfitters should have the same access to tags as any other non-resident. A do it your self hunter should not be penalized. Hunting should not become only a rich mans sport.               | Daniel Smith            |             | Rigby       | Idaho  |
| 107    | Yes  | Yes   | should also make first choice only  | john roberts            |             | mccall      | idaho  |
| 108    | Yes  | No  |   | Biche                   |             | Ketchum     | Jean   |
| 109    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Bryce Thompson          |             | St Charles  | Id     |
| 110    | Yes  | Yes   | If this is becoming an issue, maybe it is time to make these hunts limited controlled hunts.  | Erik Kelly              |             | Lewiston    | ID     |
| 111    | Yes  | No  |   | Chris Litzsinger        |             | Eagle       | Idaho  |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter? | Comment Summary   | Name             | Affiliation                        | City/Town      | State  |
|--------|--|--|---|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 112    | Yes  | No   |   | Glen Farnworth   |                                    | Pocatello      | Idaho  |
| 113    | Yes  | No   |   | Houston Keane    |                                    | Lewiston       | Idaho  |
| 114    | Yes  | No   |   | Nick Hylton      |                                    | Caldwell       | Idaho  |
| 115    | Yes  | Yes  |   | Chace Elkington  |                                    | Rigby          | Idaho  |
| 116    | Yes  | No   |   | Kyle perry       |                                    | Bellevue       | Id     |
| 117    | No   | No   |   | Brian Morris     |                                    | American Falls | Idaho  |
| 118    | Yes  | No   |   | Sean jones       |                                    | Boise          | ID     |
| 119    | Yes  | No   |   | Steve Stephenson | Idaho state bowhunters             | Nampa          | Idaho  |
| 120    | Yes  | No   |   | Charles Mckenney |                                    | Boise          | Idaho  |
| 121    | Yes  | Yes  |   | Jim Warner       |                                    | Blackfoot      | Idaho  |
| 122    | Yes  | No   |   | Jarred chaney    |                                    | Pocatello      | Idaho  |
| 123    | Yes  | Yes  |   | John Thompson    |                                    | filer          | idaho  |
| 124    | Yes  | Yes  |   | Ben Porter       |                                    | Blackfoot      | Id     |
| 125    | No   | No   | This seems like a bad attempt to force non-residents to go somewhere else or hire an outfitter. I personally cannot afford an outfitter and can barely afford buying a nonresident tag. If the main intent is to give fewer nonresident tags (which is where most of your revenue comes from), why don't you instead not allow people to buy two tags? At least that way folks like me can still hunt for one animal each year without a guide. | Lance Fife       |                                    | Hyde Park      | Utah   |
| 126    | Yes  | No   |   | Dave webb        |                                    | Boise          | Idaho  |
| 127    | Yes  | Yes  |   | Travis Craig     |                                    | Middleton      | Idaho  |
| 128    | No   | No   |   | Nick Muche       | Idaho State Bowhunters/Life Member | North Pole     | Alaska |
| 129    | Yes  | No   |   | Corey Smitg      | Sportsman                          | Idaho Falls    | ID     |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary   | Name             | Affiliation | City/Town      | State   |
|--------|--|---|---|------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| 130    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Frank Glahn      | None        | Bellevue       | ID      |
| 131    | Yes  | Yes   |   | David Downs      |             | Post Falls     | ID      |
| 132    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Crystal Thomas   |             | Soda Springs   | ID      |
| 133    | Yes  | No  |   | Ed Richardson    |             | Emmett         | Idaho   |
| 134    | Yes  | Neutral   |   | Felisha Good     | Hunter      | Inkom          | Idaho   |
| 135    | Yes  | No  |   | Casey Rosin      |             | Blackfoot      | Idaho   |
| 136    | No   | No  | It is wrong for the state to give preferential treatment (better draw odds) when allocating a public resource to a person that chooses to hire a private (entity). This is nothing more than a welfare ploy from the outfitter lobbyist to try and force nonresidents to hire an outfitter. Open this door an inch and the outfitters will take a mile; please nip this in the bud. | Jerry Muething   |             | Belgrade       | MT      |
| 137    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Jennifer Hart    |             | Potlatch       | Idaho   |
| 138    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Mark Taylor      |             | Rexburg        | Idaho   |
| 139    | Yes  | Neutral   |   | Danette Boyer    |             | American Falls | Idaho   |
| 140    | No   | No  |   | Joe              |             | Montpelier     | Idaho   |
| 141    | Yes  | No  |   | Larry Johnson    |             | Saint Anthony  | Idaho   |
| 142    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Justin Jordan    |             | Meridian       | ID      |
| 143    | Yes  | No  | Our game in the state of Idaho has a low enough number due to the wolves and grizzly bears we do not need out-of-staters coming in and taking our game  | Jarrett widdison |             | Rexburg        | Idaho   |
| 144    | Yes  | No  |   | Diana Aguilar    |             | Martinsville   | Indiana |
| 145    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Corey Bigelow    |             | Chubbuck       | ID      |
| 146    | Yes  | No  |   | Spencer Hirschi  |             | Soda Springs   | Idaho   |
| 147    | Yes  | No  |   | Thomas Pickett   |             | Idaho falls    | Id      |
| 148    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Pete Hammond     |             | Victor         | Idaho   |
| 149    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Ryan Lloyd       | Sportsman   | Ammon          | Idaho   |
| 150    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Mike Ferrera     | Hunter      | Meridian       | Idaho   |
| 151    | Yes  | No  |   | Kurt             |             | Idaho Falls    | Idaho   |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>        | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 152           | Yes   | No   | Unfortunately these outfitters are acting like they own these areas where they are and cause trouble to others. Heard from a guy the other day that a outfitter even cut the guild ropes to a persons tent because they told them that they had this area over in the 66a  | Billy dyer         |                    | Mountain home    | Idaho        |
| 153           | Yes   | No   |  | Jonathan kelm      |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 154           | No  | Yes  |  | Sabrina            |                    | Emmett           | ID           |
| 155           | No  | No   |  | Tony jeffries      |                    | Newberh          | Or           |
| 156           | No  | No   |  | Ed O'Hara          |                    | Hayden           | Idaho        |
| 157           | Yes   | No   |  | Henry J Lewis      |                    | Meridian         | Id           |
| 158           | Yes   | No   |  | Logan              |                    | Caldwell         | Idaho        |
| 159           | Yes   | No   |  | Tyler              |                    | Shelley          | Id           |
| 160           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Brandon Hamilton   |                    | Challis          | Idaho        |
| 161           | No  | No   |  | Tyler Christiansen |                    | Meridian         | Idaho        |
| 162           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Kaleb Johnson      |                    | Preston          | Idaho        |
| 163           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Kaleb Johnson      |                    | Preston          | Idaho        |
| 164           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Matt               |                    | Idaho falls      | Idaho        |
| 165           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Matt               |                    | Idaho falls      | Idaho        |
| 166           | Yes   | Neutral  |  | Carla Killian      |                    | Idaho Falls      | Idaho        |
| 167           | Yes   | No   |  | Rocco Cuda         |                    | Meridian         | ID           |
| 168           | No  | Yes  |  | Eric craig         |                    | Mountain home    | Idaho        |
| 169           | Yes   | No   |  | A. Hughes          |                    | Oldtown          | Idaho        |
| 170           | Yes   | No   |  | Mark Jalinski      |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 171           | No  | No   |  | Joe Roach          |                    | Rigby            | Idaho        |
| 172           | Yes   | No   | Outfitter allocation tags are an absolutely terrible idea. I wish they would be cut out all together. If an outfitter can't get clients to book hunts with them perhaps a new outfitter should be given the chance to open an outfitting business that will represent our great state to it's potential. Outfitter allocated tags allow outfitters to set any price they want and any person they choose to receive them. These are nothing but money tags and the Sportsmen of Idaho and F&G recieve nothing financially to help with wildlife management. Dump this very very one-sided program! | Derk Howard        |                    | Bliss            | ID           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter? | Comment Summary  | Name            | Affiliation | City/Town   | State      |
|--------|--|--|--|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 173    | Yes  | Neutral  |  | Tyler Wicks     |             | Star        | ID         |
| 174    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Chase           |             | Firth       | IdAho      |
| 175    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Brad Lambson    |             | Idaho Falls | Idaho      |
| 176    | Yes  | No   |  | Chadd Jensen    |             | Rigby       | ID         |
| 177    | Yes  | No   |  | Tony Pozenel    |             | St. Anthony | Idaho      |
| 178    | Yes  | No   |  | David Koch      |             | Donnelly    | ID         |
| 179    | Yes  | No   |  | Danny           |             | Chubbuck    | Idaho      |
| 180    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Jason Dlouhy    |             | Orofino     | Idaho      |
| 181    | Yes  | No   |  | Richard carter  |             | Buhl        | Idaho      |
| 182    | No   | No   |  | Rick Cheatum    | N/A         | Pocatello   | Idaho      |
| 183    | No   | No   |  | Ryan Adams      |             | Clarkston   | Washington |
| 184    | Yes  | No   |  | Hunter          |             | Malad       | Idaho      |
| 185    | Neutral  | No   | I plan to archery elk hunt your great state within the next 3-5 years. Personally I don't like to see to many tags allocated to guides for the sure fact that people in my situation I want to hunt. I cannot afford a guide and if I could I would be done for the next 10 years. I prefer buying the equipment and being able to come out west every other year or so. I am a public land diy Hunter and I appreciate no nonsense states that allow for OTC tags. I don't care about drawing a tag every 10 years or longer. I just like to hunt. And want to be able to do that without waiting or having to go through an outfitter cause they are the only one with tags. | Sheamus Maloney |             | St paul     | MN         |
| 186    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Mike Nisson     |             | Pocatello   | Idaho      |
| 187    | Yes  | No   |  | Mike Segal      |             | Meridian    | ID         |
| 188    | Yes  | No   |  | mark phillips   |             | rexburg     | idaho      |
| 189    | Yes  | No   | I think there definitely needs to be cap on the number of non-resident tags allowed in unlimited controlled hunt areas, especially unit 73 for deer during Oct 10-16 hunt. I think the outfitter idea is ridiculous, as it indicates that the commission is seeking monies and still cares nothing for the common hunter, but of course money  | Trevor          | Hunter      | Blackfoot   | ID         |
| 190    | Yes  | No   |  | aaron hatch     |             | dayton      | Idaho      |
| 191    | Yes  | No   |  | Eric Heywood    |             | Bellevue    | Idaho      |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident hunters to participate in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name                 | Affiliation | City/Town     | State      |
|--------|---|---|--|----------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|
| 192    | Yes   | Neutral   | I am against any form of wolf hunting.   | Diane Lang           |             | Seattle       | Washington |
| 193    | No  | No  |  | Chris Crosby         |             | Nephi         | Utah       |
| 194    | Yes   | No  |  | Daniel Vetter        |             | Idaho Falls   | ID         |
| 195    | Yes   | Yes   |  | Kera Lloyd           |             | Ammon         | Id         |
| 196    | Yes   | No  |  | Greg Fagan           |             | Mountain Home | ID         |
| 197    | Yes   | Yes   |  | Lawrence C Ford      | None        | Chubbuck      | ID         |
| 198    | No  | Yes   | I've only meet out of state hunters in the Frank Church  | Brian Berthiaume     |             | Wenatchee     | Washington |
| 199    | Yes   | No  |  | christopher S Wilson |             | Kuna          | ID         |
| 200    | Yes   | No  | I've thought about this a little more. Obvious solution is just set a particular number of tags, don't have it unlimited at all, and with those quota controlled hunts, you already have the ability to sub quota non residents. But, that might actually be worse, because under that system you also already have the ability to get around the nr limit with outfitter allocation. Nobody hardly supports outfitter allocation. I guarantee y'all will get tons of comments supporting the power to limit non residents, but not the power to outfitter allocate. And I guarantee you will then either just drop the proposal, or pass it with outfitter allocation, and say that you had all this support for the proposal. Please prove me wrong and give | Dan                  |             | Wenatchee     | Washington |
| 201    | Yes   | Neutral   |  | Luke Meade           |             | Boise         | Idaho      |
| 202    | Yes   | No  | I wish nonresident tags were limited for everything everywhere. I know you need revenue but it feels like there are way to many nonresident hunters in the panhandle especially.   | Jesse Minish         |             | Priest River  | Idaho      |
| 203    | No  | Yes   |  | Mary                 |             | Shelley       | If         |
| 204    | Yes   | No  |  | Tyler Weddle         |             | Rexburg       | Idaho      |
| 205    | Yes   | No  |  | Chris Street         |             | Shelley       | ID         |
| 206    | Neutral   | Neutral   | Not sure on this one. I would have to see an example of the actual effects of the rule? I don't quite understand what this would look like in if it were in full effect.   | Isaiah Grose         |             | Arco          | ID         |
| 207    | No  | No  |  | Desirae Downing      |             | Walla Walla   | WA         |
| 208    | Yes   | Yes   |  | Max Winward          |             | Preston       | Idaho      |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary   | Name            | Affiliation | City/Town     | State    |
|--------|--|---|---|-----------------|-------------|---------------|----------|
| 209    | Neutral  | No  |   | Abigail         |             | Nampa         | ID       |
| 210    | No   | No  | Why restrict non residence even more. We pay way more than residence do for tags and licenses. Why does the commission care about allocating tags for outfitters? Sounds like you're trying to turn hunting into a rich mans game. Seems like you guys are just dying to turn Idaho into Utah and ruin your hunting opportunities for the average hunter just for more \$\$\$\$.  | Cody Balliett   |             | Roy           | Utah     |
| 211    | No   | Neutral   | This limits hunter access and opportunity   | Cary cheshire   |             | Casper        | Wyoming  |
| 212    | Yes  | No  | I don't think believe it is fair to nonresidential to limit their options to guided hunts they should have the freedom to choose to do guided or DOY  | Justin Streeter |             | Boise         | ID       |
| 213    | Yes  | No  |   | Jeff Bench      |             | Blackfoot     | ID       |
| 214    | No   | No  |   | Cindy Downing   |             | Ahsahka       | Idaho    |
| 215    | Yes  | No  |   | Scott McGann    |             | Emmett        | Idaho    |
| 216    | Yes  | Neutral   |   | Caden Hyde      |             | Rexburg       | idaho    |
| 217    | Yes  | No  |   | Tyler Roberts   |             | Preston       | Idaho    |
| 218    | Yes  | No  |   | Nick McNeil     |             | iona          | Idaho    |
| 219    | No   | No  | This is how you loose hunters. Non resident hunting is a big money earner and doing this will make you loose hunters to other states. I have heard people from five different states and Idaho asking who's idea is this and why do they have this job. I have hunted Idaho from the age of 12. Now I drive from Oklahoma every year to hunt as a non resident and when my son is old enough I'll take him. Crap like this makes me want to find a different state to hunt. Idaho is my favorite place to hunt and I spend thousands of dollars every year when I'm up there hopefully you guys get your stuff together and I can continue to hunt Idaho. | Jared Niblett   |             | Oklahoma City | Oklahoma |
| 220    | Yes  | Neutral   |   | Robin Phillips  |             | Salmon        | Idaho    |
| 221    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Rob Thornberry  |             | Idaho Falls   | Idaho    |
| 222    | Yes  | No  | I think these unlimited capped hunts are a joke in the first place. if you are going to require them to be a draw then put a cap on   | Chancey         |             | Preston       | Id       |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident hunters to participate in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%? | if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary   | Name           | Affiliation | City/Town   | State |
|--------|---|---|---|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| 223    | No  | Yes   | The rule should read "Do you support allowing the Fish and Game Commission to limit the number of tags available for nonresidents to participate in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not MORE than 10% of the average number of tags drawn annually for that hunt in the last 5 years?" YES, I support that. The way you have it written the Fish and Game Commission could be allowed to give as many tags as they want to nonresidents and long as its more then the %10   | Josh Johnson   |             | McCall      | Idaho |
| 224    | Yes   | Neutral   |   | jack jones     |             | post falls  | ID    |
| 225    | Yes   | Yes   | NO MORE THAN 10%  | Nathan Jackson |             | Caldwell    | ID    |
| 226    | Yes   | No  |   | paul           |             | idaho falls | idaho |
| 227    | Yes   | Yes   | I'm all for letting other states hunt in Idaho but when it takes away from the residents of Idaho it becomes frustrating. If we can limit those down and open an outfit allocation that would benefit everyone especially in Idaho.   | Daniel         |             | Idaho Falls | Idaho |
| 228    | Yes   | No  |   | reed payne     |             | idaho falls | idaho |
| 229    | Yes   | No  | this so called (OUTFITTERS TAGS AND ALLOCATION) all of this should be stopped. why does the state legislature. think that giving away tags permits to some private business for the use of monetary gain is at all legal the tags --permits are the property of the state of Idaho --the citizens of the state---not some private business private business should provide a product or service an do so without a handout form from there friends in Boise. this is only supporting a business that in many cases buy up access to keep the general public out. the public does not owe outfitters a living by taking away tags and permits meant for everyone on an | richard sidell |             | pocatello   | idaho |
| 230    | Yes   | No  |   | Brett gatten   |             | Rathdrum    | Idaho |
| 231    | No  | No  | S an out of state veteran hunting Idaho. This means that I would be limited to tags available to me and I am not willing to support this kind of ruling. I do feel that outfitters tags should be limited since this aspect involves major amounts of money to private  | Justin         |             | Lewiston    | Utah  |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participants to participate in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>       | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 232           | Yes   | No   | Take unit 73 unlimited deer in 2017 1810 total deer tags 610 of which are non residents that is over one third non residents. I support limiting non resident tags to ten percent of historic tag sales. I do not think there will be a big push for outfitter allocated tags in unlimited areas. Most people who hire an outfitter want a more quality hunt and in more remote country.   | Kevin Wangsgard   | sportsman          | Malad            | Id           |
| 233           | No  | No   | For unlimited control hunt areas where the department is trying to get more people to hunt and harvest game the department should not limit non residents as they may be the biggest participants of these areas. I do however think limiting outfitter allocations for these hunts is warranted.  | Justin c          |                    | Killeen          | TEXAS        |
| 234           | Yes   | No   |  | Andrew Cunningham |                    | Twin falls       | ID           |
| 235           | No  | No   | I DO NOT believe the Game Dept. should be supporting a few businesses (outfitters) by handing them tags that are not available to citizens especially when they directly compete with me by taking up camping spots for the whole season and harassing hunters with their tactics to keep it all to themselves and their clients. This is not fair or equal. The whole outfitter allocation should be done away with, NOT expanded. NO!  | A                 |                    | Smalltown        | ID           |
| 236           | No  | No   |  | Fred Botts        |                    | Los Molinos      | CA           |
| 237           | Yes   | Neutral  | I do support placing a limit on the number of tags available to non-residents in the unlimited draw units. The number of non-residents hunting in these units has grown significantly in the last few years and is one reason why I have chosen not to participate in this hunt although I otherwise would like to. I am neutral on the issue of establishing outfitter allocations. In general I do not like outfitter tag allocations but I recognize that unpurchased outfitter tags do return to the public pool after a certain and in these units in particular I think it is a non issue. | Logan Sorensen    |                    | Soda Springs     | Idaho        |
| 238           | No  | No   |  | Georgia Botts     |                    | Los Molinos      | CA           |
| 239           | No  | No   |  | Jay M Tyson       |                    | North Plains     | Oregon       |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>  | <b>Name</b>       | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 240           | No  | No   | Unlimited Controlled hunts are the worse thing you came up with. I hate all unlimited hunts they need to make them over the counter tags (with quotas) and yes you can shove the application fee where the sun dont shine. ITS ALL ABOUT THE MONEY!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!<br>MANAGE THE POPULATION NOT THE MONEY!!!!!!   | nick              |                    | challis          | Idaho        |
| 241           | Neutral   | Yes  |   | Matthew Kaiserman |                    | Donnelly         | ID           |
| 242           | No  | No   | Nonresident hunters are not entitled to any of our hunting opportunities. With unbearable hunting pressure, our low actual herd numbers and ridiculously unacceptably low target herd numbers, we do not have any animals to sell to nonresidents. No out of state hunting should be considered at this time. No person or business should have the right to make a profit from hunting or harvesting our public animals. If outfitters want to sell animals, tell them to buy land, put up a fence and sell their own animals. | Roger Raymond     |                    | Rigby            | Idaho        |
| 243           | Yes   | Yes  | I support a limit on non resident tags, and the establishment of outfitter allocated tags. Although the outfitter allocated tags should be capped dependant on annual use for unit over previous 5-10 years. This should not be used as outfitter welfare. Outfitter permits have usage day requirements, lets not encourage them to  | Robert Parkins    |                    | Victor           | ID           |
| 244           | No  | No   |   | Dusty Powers      |                    | Wanship          | Ut           |
| 245           | Yes   | Yes  |   | Autin             |                    | Hayden           | Idaho        |
| 246           | No  | No   |   | Monty R. White    |                    | GlennsFerry      | ID           |
| 247           | Yes   | No   |   | Ty berry          |                    | Malad            | Id           |
| 248           | Yes   | No   |   | Philip Lovelace   |                    | Pocatello        | id           |
| 249           | Yes   | No   | I'm not a fan of outfitter allocation tags at all. Everyone should have to draw tags the same regardless if you hunt with a guide or DIY. My animals should not be given to a 'for profit' business. End outfitter allocation tags completely!  | Rod Arrhenius     |                    | Boise            | ID           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name           | Affiliation | City/Town  | State      |
|--------|--|---|--|----------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| 250    | Neutral  | No  | There is no reasonable reason to favor outfitters in a non-resident allocation, it's simply welfare to an industry. If a non-resident doesn't have the means, or better yet has no desire to hire an outfitter they should not be punished for choosing to hunt on their | James R Young  |             | Los Gatos  | CA         |
| 251    | Yes  | No  |  | Shane Nelson   |             | Cedar City | Utah       |
| 252    | No   | No  |  | Ben Reynolds   |             | Yakima     | WA         |
| 253    | Yes  | Yes   |  | Matthew Pooler |             | Hayden     | ID         |
| 254    | Yes  | No  |  | Peter Wheeler  |             | Parma      | idaho      |
| 255    | Yes  | Yes   |  | mike wright    |             | buhl       | Idaho      |
| 256    | Yes  | No  |  | Mike           |             | Boise      | Idaho      |
| 257    | No   | No  |  | STACY L PEARCE |             | Red Bluff  | CA         |
| 258    | No   | No  |  | KEVIN PEARCE   |             | RED BLUFF  | California |
| 259    | No   | No  |  | Kaden Pearce   |             | RED BLUFF  | California |
| 260    | Neutral  | No  | Ross Coppermaj   |                | Hailey      | Idaho      |            |
| 261    | Yes  | Yes   | JD Henry   |                | St.Anthony  | Idaho      |            |
| 262    | No   | No  | Fred Botts   |                | Lod molinos | California |            |
| 263    | Yes  | No  | I'm of the opinion that out of staters are put before residents and affects our hunt quality and leads to crowding in our mountains. I understand tag revenue is more from non res, but I would rather less tags slotted, with higher prices like montanna               | Kevin franklin |             | Boise      | Idaho      |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>  | <b>Name</b>    | <b>Affiliation</b>  | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|---|----------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 264           | No  | No   | These hunts, ""unlimited draw, 1st choice only", are hunts that are primarily conducted in the Frank Church Wilderness. Being remote, hunters, both resident and non-resident, usually utilize local bush plane companies, stay in small towns such as Challis, Salmon, McCall, and Cascade, both prior to and after their hunt. The amount of hunters in relation to the game is still fairly small, due to the short, 18 day season. Harvest is still heavily dependent upon weather conditions. These hunts not only offer a resident and non-resident a ""quality" hunt but also allow the non-resident to return, year after year, providing a revolving economical benefit to the citizen's of Idaho. Personally, I have participated in these hunts since 1989, and have contributed thousand's of dollars to Idaho over the years. If IDFG limits the tags the state will lose out on both IDFG funds as well as tourism dollars. Outfitters will have a monopoly on hunts that take place in a nationally designated wilderness area and this could potentially set a standard of limiting | Alan Brooks    | non-resident hunter | Manson           | WA           |
| 265           | Yes   | No   | Don't need more outfitter or nonresident hunters we have to meny now.   | DALE ROBINSON  |                     | McCammon         | Idaho        |
| 266           | No  | No   | I am not in favor of any favoritism towards either residents or outfitters, and I am both a resident and a licensed guide who makes a living working for an outfitter. It is still unfair and favoritism, tags, licenses or permits for particular styles of hunting or fishing should be available equally to whomever. And businesses should not get preferential treatment over individuals.   | Travis Letteer |                     | Deary            | Idaho        |
| 267           | Yes   | No   | All hunts deer and elk should be applied for and all unlimited hunts should be first choice only to give better odds for people who are trying for a better hunt. Because idaho won't do preference points something needs to change for the people who are after a trophy hunt and not just worried about hunting any animal every year  | Bryce wells    |                     | sagle            | Idaho        |
| 268           | Yes   | Yes  |   | Trevor newby   |                     | Filer            | ID           |
| 269           | Yes   | Yes  |   | Nathaniel Van  |                     | Dalton Gardens   | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>      | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 270           | No  | No   | I feel this proposal will serve outfitters and hurt average do-it-yourself OTC non resident hunters who may spend years learning the terrain and other aspects of Idaho hunting. Idaho will lose many non residents who choose to spend dollars on more frequent trips, which translates into more dollars in the hands of small Idaho business persons. Please don't do this!   | Rosemarie Larson |                    | Rosburg          | WA           |
| 271           | Yes   | No   | I do not support any more outfitted tag allocation than we currently have. I believe paying thousands of dollars to hunt a public resource is unethical and needs to be reduced not expanded. We should not sell our valuable public resources to the highest bidder. Outfitters spend huge amounts of time and effort scouring their zones for every mature animal because they are paid enormous sums of money to do so. They have camps, pack trains and years and years of experience monopolizing the areas they hunt. This is totally unfair to the average public Hunter. Putting a \$6000 dollar price tag on the head of a mule deer or elk is Seems like a money grab. | Brett Burton     |                    | Shelley          | Id           |
| 272           | Yes   | No   |  | Phil Miller      |                    | Star             | ID           |
| 273           | Yes   | No   |  | Crystal Brown    |                    | Nampa            | Idaho        |
| 274           | Yes   | No   |  | Rick Gomes       |                    | Middleton        | Idaho        |
| 275           | Yes   | Yes  |  | John mcrae       |                    | Kuna             | Id           |
| 276           | Yes   | No   |  | Rex W Clark      |                    | Rexburg          | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary   | Name              | Affiliation | City/Town      | State |
|--------|--|---|---|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|
| 277    | No   | Yes   | I am a resident of Idaho, graduated from high school in Idaho in 1960. I have continuously hunted in Idaho since before 1960, even when a resident of other states (as a non-resident). I have hunted unit 27 since the early 1980's when it was first-come first-served. over these years, virtually all of the hunters I encountered were non-resident (primarily from Washington). Even now I am the only resident hunter of the group of hunters in the area, and I expect it is the same in the other access areas. It is very clear that this hunt is not a hunt residents choose. Planning for this hunt requires a lengthy period and an early commitment in order to arrange vacation time, plan funding and transportation (my son travels from Indiana) and to make significant logistical planning since transportation into the area is by airplanes. All of this is effected if there is uncertainty in procuring a tag for the controlled hunt. So the option would be to hunt the regular season ( October ) hunt. The effect would be to significantly increase the pressure during this hunt or simply eliminate hunting Idaho at all. Either way, the result of eliminating the unlimited allowance would significantly reduce the number of non-resident hunters---costing the Fish and Game Department large sources of revenue. But I think this is not news to the Fish and Game Department. So it is very clear that this initiative is the result of well funded special interest groups who have the attention of a few politicians who have the ability to drive this action into law. I know that this change is not beneficial to the Fish and Game Department in any way and is not motivated in any way for the benefit of the game, the hunters, the flight services, or the local businesses. So, it must be motivated by those who simply do not want hunters---anv hunters in these units. This would turn these | Lanny Olson       |             | Coeur d' Alene | Idaho |
| 278    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Jeremy Harker     |             | Idaho falls    | IDAHO |
| 279    | No   | No  |   | Elizabeth Holguin |             | Sacramento     | CA    |
| 280    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Lora Johnson      | hunter      | Preston        | Idaho |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary   | Name               | Affiliation | City/Town     | State      |
|--------|--|---|---|--------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|
| 281    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Jarrold Bordi      |             | Kimberly      | Idaho      |
| 282    | Neutral  | No  | On one hand it seems fair to allow residents better chance for tags. With that in mind non residents are paying a large amounts for the chance for these tags. Seems like a split of the tags and reducing overall number of tags to support herd management. Another option might be for non resident cap with opening up non sold resident tags again if any available  | Ryan Los           |             | Wenatchee     | Washington |
| 283    | Yes  | No  |   | tyler cook         |             | cove          | oregon     |
| 284    | Yes  | No  |   | Cody harsch        |             | Star          | Idaho      |
| 285    | Yes  | No  | Idaho game for Idaho residents  | mitchell a jaurena |             | meridian      | id         |
| 286    | Yes  | No  |   | Butch Suor         | Self        | Stites        | ID         |
| 287    | Yes  | No  |   | Raymond            |             | White Bird    | Idaho      |
| 288    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Colter Stewart     |             | Lowman        | ID         |
| 289    | No   | No  | Residents and nonresidents should have equal opportunity on unlimited controlled hunts.   | Clint Visser       |             | West point    | Utah       |
| 290    | Yes  | No  |   | Dennis Read        |             | Murphy        | Idaho      |
| 291    | Yes  | No  |   | David paskett      |             | Boise         | Idaho      |
| 292    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Lydia Wills        |             | Hailey        | Idaho      |
| 293    | No   | No  |   | Ethan Albretsen    |             | Syracuse      | UT         |
| 294    | Yes  | No  |   | Ben Medeiros       |             | Coeur D Alene | Idaho      |
| 295    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Shad Staples       |             | Idaho Falls   | ID         |
| 296    | Yes  | No  | It should be the priority of IDFG to maintain good opportunity for residents above all others. Restricting NR participation should be a first step to reduce pressure before other measures are considered. I can see no purpose for outfitter allocations other than just support for the outfitter industry. I'm opposed to these allocations. Outfitters should get clients based on the value of their service, not because using them is the only way a guy can get a tag. | Shane Humphries    |             | Jerome        | ID         |
| 297    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Andrew Wilson      |             | Lewiston      | Idaho      |
| 298    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Alex Panting       |             | Rexburg       | Idaho      |
| 299    | Yes  | No  |   | Tyler White        |             | Soda Springs  | Idaho      |
| 300    | No   | No  |   | Michael A Bozarth  | Hunter      | Winnemucca    | Nevada     |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>               | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 301           | No  | No   |  | Kc                        |                    | Heber            | Ut           |
| 302           | Yes   | Yes  | Need to change unlimited hunt in 73 for deer to a limited hunt of 500 tags or 750 tags. Too many hunters and not enough ways for deer to get away.   | Brady Bingham             | from weston ID     | Weston           | Idaho        |
| 303           | Yes   | Neutral  |  | Mike Schenck              |                    | Idaho Falls      | Idaho        |
| 304           | Yes   | No   | I would like to see all draws be limited on outfitters and out of state hunters and more for the individual resident hunter.   | William Murkle            | hunter             | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 305           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Philip Todd<br>Pettingill |                    | Rigby            | Idaho        |
| 306           | Neutral   | No   | I am not a fan of adding more outfitter allocation tags. This setup would give the rich non resident (guided) hunter another advantage over the blue collar DIY non resident hunter.   | Taylor Gregory            |                    | Ketchum          | ID           |
| 307           | No  | No   | If biologically unlimited controlled hunts worked for F&G in the past why mess with it now? Residents or outfitters say it is unfair? I smell Wyoming here! As to Wyoming's rule of no non residents permitted to hunt in the wilderness without a guide. F&G stick to the biology not what a group calls unfair in controlling our big game | Kevin Cormack             |                    | Star             | Idaho        |
| 308           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Gifford Gillette          |                    | Hazelton         | Idaho        |
| 309           | Yes   | No   |  | Travis                    |                    | Chubbuck         | Idaho        |
| 310           | Yes   | No   |  | Rob Greer                 |                    | Oakdale          | MN           |
| 311           | No  | No   |  | Andy King                 |                    | Meridian         | ID           |
| 312           | Yes   | No   |  | Brian Carrigan            |                    | Blackfoot        | ID           |
| 313           | No  | No   | It appears to me that the outfitters are trying to take control of the tag allocations in Idaho. They have done this in NM and AZ and I do not waste my time applying in those two states. If you let the outfitters start to control the game and fish I will put Idaho on the list with NM and AZ.   | Darin Cooper              |                    | Spearfish        | South Dakota |
| 314           | Yes   | No   |  | Bobsneed                  |                    | Filer            | Id           |
| 315           | Yes   | No   |  | Dan                       |                    | Hailey           | Idaho        |
| 316           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Nick Toyn                 | Resident           | Star             | ID           |
| 317           | Yes   | Neutral  |  | Stephen Ferris            |                    | Boise            | ID           |
| 318           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Carson Neeser             |                    | Idaho Falls      | ID           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary   | Name            | Affiliation         | City/Town   | State      |
|--------|--|---|---|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| 319    | No   | No  | As a non-resident, Idaho is one of the few states that does not cater to outfitters and their private interest. I can say if this passes, I will take my money for tags, licenses, and tourism dollars and my time in the outdoors elsewhere.   | Scott Niemeyer  |                     | Jerseyville | IL         |
| 320    | Yes  | No  |   | Robert Stark    |                     | Rigby       | Idaho      |
| 321    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Matthew Call    |                     | Rigby       | ID         |
| 322    | Yes  | No  |   | Cody gustin     | None                | Boise       | Idaho      |
| 323    | No   | No  | Idaho is 70.4% public land, a good chunk of this is federal lands.<br><br>I firmly believe residents should have preference to hunting their state game animal but there needs to be adequate opportunity for non-residents as well. Outfitters should be considered for extra tag allocations when outfitting on private lands. Outfitters requesting to request tag allocations on public land should not be allowed as this is not a best practice for game management.<br><br>Idaho was discounting 2nd elk tags last year, guess I'm not seeing what the problem is. | Steve Bramhall  | out of state hunter | Pasco       | WASHINGTON |
| 324    | Neutral  | No  | I am very much opposed to outfitter allocated tags.   | Andrew Smart    |                     | Pocatello   | ID         |
| 325    | Yes  | No  |   | Juan Burzaco    |                     | Boise       | Idaho      |
| 326    | Yes  | Yes   | To many non resident hunters in the Beaverhead units that I have hunted in for the last 18 years. More and more come each year.   | Edward Andersen |                     | Blackfoot   | ID         |
| 327    | No   | No  |   | Mark Gianotti   |                     | Silverdale  | WA         |
| 328    | Yes  | Yes   | I agree with the proposed changes by the commission on unlimited controlled hunts as it pertains to non-residents and outfitter allocations.  | Ryan Field      |                     | Kuna        | ID         |
| 329    | Yes  | No  |   | Tom Partington  | Self                | Moscow      | Idaho      |
| 330    | Yes  | No  |   | Rick            |                     | Salmon      | Idaho      |
| 331    | Yes  | No  |   | Kody morgan     |                     | Idaho falls | Idaho      |
| 332    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Brian           |                     | eagle       | id         |
| 333    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Kim yearsley    |                     | Hamer       | Idaho      |
| 334    | No   | No  |   | DJ              |                     | Salmon      | ID         |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter? | Comment Summary  | Name              | Affiliation | City/Town     | State |
|--------|--|--|--|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| 335    | No   | Yes  |  | Brett Sumpter     |             | Nampa         | ID    |
| 336    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Ives, Aaron       |             | Boise         | ID    |
| 337    | Yes  | No   |  | eric bender       |             | Bonnars Ferry | Idaho |
| 338    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Kevin Perry       |             | Dayton        | Idaho |
| 339    | Neutral  | Neutral  |  | ken gibson        |             | potlatch      | Idaho |
| 340    | Yes  | No   | I do not support outfitter allocation. Money should never give a hunter special preference.  | Eric Nave         |             | Spirit Lake   | Idaho |
| 341    | Yes  | No   |  | Zak Brewington    |             | Rigby         | Idaho |
| 342    | Yes  | No   |  | marvin            |             | Am. Falls     | id    |
| 343    | No   | Yes  |  | Tim               | Hunter      | Peck          | Id    |
| 344    | Yes  | No   |  | C.W. Hurless      |             | Eagle         | Idaho |
| 345    | Yes  | No   |  | marvin hansen     |             | arimo         | Idaho |
| 346    | Yes  | Neutral  | Residents can purchase a second Elk tag for the nonresident price, but if a nonresident wants to purchase a second Elk tag they pay the same price as a Resident has to pay for a second Elk Tag, the price for a nonresident second Elk tag should be Double that as a Resident, and extra Doe tags should not be for Nonresidents, but | Amber Weber       |             | Potlatch      | ID    |
| 347    | Yes  | Neutral  |  | kevho22@gmail.com | sportsman   | Meridian      | Idaho |
| 348    | Yes  | No   |  | Eric Riley        |             | Rathdrum      | Idaho |
| 349    | Yes  | No   |  | wyatt Tropea      |             | Emmett        | Idaho |
| 350    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Cody S Fowler     |             | Declo         | Idaho |
| 351    | Neutral  | Neutral  | When you say limit does that include the ability to raise that limit. Due to all the area's becoming draw only it concerns me due to now it has become a rich mans sport. At least to me and a lot of other Idaho residents. IDAHO APPEARS TO BE FOR SALE!!!!  | Troy Neubauer     |             | Pocatello     | Idaho |
| 352    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Nicholas devito   |             | Cda           | Idaho |
| 353    | Yes  | No   |  | Jason stewart     |             | Greenleaf     | Id    |
| 354    | Yes  | Yes  |  | Jared Grover      |             | Pocatello     | Idaho |
| 355    | Yes  | Yes  | If this is for unit 27, the unit I hunt. Then yes, please limit the non-   | Teryl Nielson     |             | Weston        | Idaho |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident hunters to participate in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name              | Affiliation            | City/Town   | State   |
|--------|---|---|--|-------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| 356    | Yes   | No  | I think NOT Less is incorrect  | Greg Graybill     |                        | lewiston    | ID      |
|        |   |   | lled hunts for each species. (4-7-11)<br>b. In unlimited controlled hunts, the Commission may limit the number of tags available for nonresident hunters to not less than ten percent (10%) of the average number of tags drawn annually during the previous 5 year period. (X-XX-XX)  |                   |                        |             |         |
| 357    | Yes   | Yes   |  | Ryan Rossi        |                        | Blackfoot   | id      |
| 358    | Neutral   | Yes   |  | Kelly Christensen |                        | Bancroft    | Idaho   |
| 359    | Yes   | No  |  | Kevin Brown       |                        | Plummer     | Idaho   |
| 360    | Yes   | Yes   |  | greg harris       |                        | moscow      | Idaho   |
| 361    | Yes   | No  |  | Joe Martinez      |                        | Idaho Falls | Idaho   |
| 362    | Yes   | Yes   | This is a good management tool for the department. Any time tags are limited it is good for the industry to have allocated tags.   | Steven F. Burson  | Storm Creek Outfitters | Darby       | Montana |
| 363    | No  | No  |  | Kelly Ray         |                        | Pocatello   | Idaho   |
| 364    | Yes   | Yes   |  | Monte Mason       |                        | meridian    | idaho   |
| 365    | Yes   | Yes   | I have hunted in Unit 26 (Unlimited) draw for 10 years, the deer population around air strips is noticeably diminishing. This unit is 70-80% non-resident hunters, as a general observation hunt in a relatively small radius from the airstrips and harvest the first 4-point they see. These are primitive units designed to be hunted by hunters who are dedicated to putting in the required effort to hunt further from the airstrips, resulting in deer harvested from a larger geographic area, reducing the effects of concentrated harvesting around airstrips. The apparent animal surveys suggest the numbers are healthy, however, with the mule deer in Unit 26 the migratory areas are small, thus, concentrated hunting appears to be influencing the perceived deer population. I would also be more than willing as a resident to sacrifice my own annual hunting in this unit to preserve the quality and experience of this hunt. | Zac O'Kelley      |                        | Boise       | Idaho   |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>   | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|---------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 366           | Yes   | No   | Hunter numbers have to be limited to provide quality opportunity but don't let a small group of organized outfitters dictate management. It is not Idaho game and fish's responsibility to provide outfitters their clients.   | Scott Long    |                    | Rock Springs     | Wy           |
| 367           | No  | Yes  | Idaho is becoming difficult to hunt in if you are a resident. Either you have to buy tags online, and hope you get in fast enough, or you wait in line only to be told they are sold out. Then when reaching a unit, it is difficult to find a private hunt, which is part of the experience. If they start to limit the number of nonresidents, perhaps there will be enough tags for the residents. I do realize this particular survey is regarding Unlimited Controlled Hunts, however I do think the same applies in either controlled or unlimited tags. We who are either residents, or native born, should have first dibs for hunting in Idaho. There has been too much pressure on many units, and limiting out of state tags would be helpful in finding a  | Marilyn       |                    | Star             | Idaho        |
| 368           | Yes   | No   | Unlimited hunt units should only be allowed where hunting pressure is either required for population suppression, or where populations can sustain increased hunting pressure; and should mostly benefit resident hunters. I would rather see unlimited hunt units be allowed as a second choice option for residents and a controlled hunt for non-residents. Outfitter allocations should be limited using control draw, or maybe 1st choice unlimited draw only. most the time non-resident do it your-selfers are being guided by an Idaho resident (i.e. family member or friend), and thus takes away from both the resident and outfitter opportunities. The goal of non-resident controlled tags should be to drum-up or direct business to outfitters. true non-resident do it your-selfers will play the same odds residents play (put in for great control unit and plan to hunt a general hunt. most the time it is the destination that | Joe           |                    | Kuna             | Idaho        |
| 369           | Yes   | Neutral  |  | Renee Remming |                    | Naples           | IDAHO        |
| 370           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Matt Springer |                    | Mountain Home    | ID           |
| 371           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Travis White  |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>  | <b>Name</b>       | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 372           | No  | No   |   | Jason Husseman    |                    | Salmon           | Idaho        |
| 373           | Neutral   | No   |   | Stan Davis        |                    | Salmon           | Idaho        |
| 374           | Yes   | No   | The unlimited 1st choice only with 2 other controlled hunts as options in unit 73 is working for now. This must got through in my opinion as the number of nonresidents is completely out of control. To push this rule through would mean a lot more deer on the mountain in unit 73. We need to take care of residents long before nonresidents. 10% of the average tags drawn the last 5 years is a great number to work with. This will make a lot of resident hunters extremely happy in 73 as it will halt the utah invasion of our state in at least one regard. Not to mention the frank church where more nonresidents hunt than residents. I can not stress enough how much I want to see less nonresidents in our state and I am one of many that are willing to pay more on our fees to make up the difference in revenue from less nonresident hunters | Tyrell Bowen      |                    | Inkom            | Idaho        |
| 375           | Yes   | Yes  |   | Brandon Fahnholz  |                    | Salmon           | idaho        |
| 376           | No  | No   |   | Andrew J Mikek    |                    | Midvale          | Idaho        |
| 377           | Yes   | Yes  | If we limit the number of out of state tags we then need to provide an avenue for IFG to produce revenue for the economy. Providing outfitter allocated tags is a proven method of accomplishing this. If not then we should not limit the non-resident tags to generate revenue. \$301 a deer tag comes too a large number at the end of   | Jason Ormond      |                    | Chubbuck         | Idaho        |
| 378           | Yes   | No   | I think unlimited draws should be treated like any other draw hunt by only allowing 10% nonresident tags  | Mike Marshall     |                    | Malad            | Idaho        |
| 379           | No  | No   |   | Chris Cornelius   |                    | Rathdrum         | Idaho        |
| 380           | Yes   | No   |   | Paul C. Schiffman |                    | Oakley           | Idaho        |
| 381           | Yes   | No   |   | David Silcock     |                    | Carmen           | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>         | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 382           | Yes   | No   | I have hunted in the Middlefork area as an unguided nonresident. I understand if tags need to be limited to protect wildlife populations. I am strongly opposed to changes that in essence provide more opportunity for outfitters in the area and limit those that wish to hunt on public land unguided. Limiting unguided nonresidents and allowing proportionally more tags for guided nonresidents limits access to those of us that wish to go it alone and establish a handout for guides. There is already a lot of guides and clients moving through the area already. | tod osier           |                    | Newtown          | CT           |
| 383           | Neutral   | No   | I think the fish and game should get rid of outfitter allocated tags for all draw tags. If a non-resident that draws a tag wants to hire an outfitter that's ok. But a non-resident should miss out on a tag opportunity just because they can't afford to pay for both out of state fees and what it's going to cost for an outfitter.  | Shawn Stewart       |                    | Emmett           | Idaho        |
| 384           | Yes   | No   |  | Nathan Wright       |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 385           | Yes   | No   |  | Todd Grover         |                    | Rigby            | Idaho        |
| 386           | Yes   | No   | The way it is currently established is working fine. Remember, if it isn't broke, don't fix it.  | Steven Hightower    | None               | Twin Falls       | Idaho        |
| 387           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Eugene Harris       |                    | Saint Anthony    | Idaho        |
| 388           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Eugene Harris       |                    | Saint Anthony    | Idaho        |
| 389           | No  | No   | This is government cronyism and pandering to special interests at its worst. As a nonresident DIY hunter who enjoys Idaho's fantastic opportunity I feel it would be a shame if these restrictions were implemented.<br>-Oliver  | Oliver              |                    | stamford         | CT           |
| 390           | No  | Yes  | Outfitters are commercializing our wildlife and should not be given any special treatment. In my opinion the idea for limiting nonresident hunters in unlimited controlled hunts is coming from  | Malcolm Clemenhagen |                    | Challis          | Id           |
| 391           | Yes   | No   |  | Jim McKnight        |                    | Caldwell         | ID           |
| 392           | Yes   | No   | Outfitter allocated tags are a scam, no body is ever guaranteed work and that is exactly what you are doing by giving them tags.   | Jakeob Maupin       |                    | Rigby            | IDAHO        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>       | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 393           | No  | Yes  | You shouldn't discriminate against non residents when it's an unlimited hunt...  | Jon               |                    | Idaho falls      | Id           |
| 394           | Neutral   | Yes  | the limit should not be "not less than 10%" it should be no more than 10%, if it says not less than 10% then there would be no clear number limit on tags, it could be 11% or 99%  | allen nible       |                    | greenleaf        | idaho        |
| 395           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Daniel Brood      |                    | Palouse          | WA           |
| 396           | Yes   | No   |  | Dan Urban         |                    | Ketchum          | Idaho        |
| 397           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Bryce Welker      |                    | Soda Springs     | ID           |
| 398           | Yes   | No   |  | Sean Ray          |                    | Pocatello        | Idaho        |
| 399           | Yes   | No   | It appears the intent of the first proposal is to give residents advantage over non res regarding drawing odds. I am ok with that UNLESS your analyses show this will have a chilling effect on total statewide non res deer/elk tags sales thus causing an economic shortfall for the Dept. If that proves true I would withdraw my support for this proposal. Have you done this analysis?   | Dave Cadwallader  | none               | Lewiston         | Idaho        |
| 400           | Yes   | No   | I am opposed to outfitter allocations. While it may have a long standing precedent, there is no justifiable reason why the public big game resources need to be carved out away from the public to subsidize individuals. The biggest challenge facing big game hunting in the west is the never ending desire by certain groups to somehow be allocated an exclusive piece of the public resource. You cant control the proliferation of the groups and they always have some reasoning why they are special. | Mike              |                    | Soda Springs     | Idaho        |
| 401           | No  | No   | I don't believe they should limit the Non resident high paying hunters if they aren't going to limit the residents. I'm not sure what objective they are trying to obtain by limiting only non residents. Not less people or they should limit both res and non res. but typically in my experiences the non residents are the ones that get off the road and seem to put the time and effort into making it a quality hunt, in my opinion.  | Brandon Miller    |                    | West Haven       | Utah         |
| 402           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Donald Sturtevant |                    | Nampa            | ID           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>            | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 403           | No  | No   | Not sure, but sounds like less opportunity for the regular non-resident hunter   | Larry Klein            | None               | sun City         | AZ           |
| 404           | No  | No   | These limitations are unfair to non residents many of whom contribute substantially more in taxes to state and local coffers than many residents. In addition non residents spend substantially more money pursuing their hunting activities in Idaho than most residents do. In addition outfitters need to be able to sell hunts and not be subject to special restrictions. | John Monson            |                    | Sun Valley       | ID           |
| 405           | Yes   | No   |  | Bruce Rhodes           | rmef               | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 406           | Yes   | Yes  | Idaho hunters deserve to have more opportunities then  | Zachary S. Jones       |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 407           | Yes   | Yes  |  | David Skalsky          |                    | Emmett           | Id           |
| 408           | Yes   | No   |  | James Allen            |                    | Meridian         | Idaho        |
| 409           | Yes   | No   |  | Tyler Okamura          |                    | Nampa            | ID           |
| 410           | Yes   | No   | Outfitter Tags should be exempt from unlimited controlled hunts  | Mark Martens           |                    | Hailey           | Idaho        |
| 411           | Yes   | No   |  | Charles Allen          |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 412           | Yes   | No   |  | John Alexander Hartman |                    | Caldwell         | ID           |
| 413           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Mickey                 |                    | Blackfoot        | Idaho        |
| 414           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Wayne A Archer         |                    | Pocatello        | Idaho        |
| 415           | No  | No   | no it should be less than 10 percent and no outfitters either  | al                     |                    | garden city      | id           |
| 416           | Yes   | No   |  | Matt Isch              |                    | POST FALLS       | Idaho        |
| 417           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Michael Kosinski       |                    | Orofino          | ID           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name   | Affiliation     | City/Town   | State       |
|--------|--|---|--|--|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 418    | Yes  | Yes   | Our valued Middle fork of the Salmon River is completely over ridden with non guided non resident hunters every November, taking away from the experience for Idaho residents. The majority of the unlimited tags are going to theses non resident hunters and it is directly effecting not only the experience, but more importantly the valued resource, our mule deer herds in the Frank Church. These deer are coming into the area and dropping down to the hordes of NR hunters every November, and the majority of these deer are coming from surrounding units outside of the Middle fork of the Salmon. | Michael Rust   |                 | Boise       | Idaho       |
| 419    | Yes  | No  | THANK YOU IDFG, for finally stepping up to address this HUGE   | Dain White   |                 | Idaho Falls | ID          |
| 420    | Yes  | Yes   |  | jeffrey bitton   |                 | stanley     | Idaho       |
| 421    | Yes  | No  |  | Zachary Parks  |                 | Wilder      | ID          |
| 422    | Yes  | No  |  | I do not agree with creating outfitter tags for what you just took away from nonresidents. All you are doing is forcing some to hire an outfitter. You may still have just as many nonresidents on those hunts. It will just cost them more. | chris blackburn |             | Idaho Falls |
| 423    | Yes  | Yes   | This is a reasonable rule. However; as an extension of this, limited quota hunts where non-residents are first-served (prior to residents being able to buy tags) are a disingenuous way to increase non-resident tags beyond 10%. These hunts should be changed to controlled hunts, and/or limit non-resident tags to 10%, similar to the intent of this proposal.   | Andrew Trane   |                 | Idaho Falls | Idaho       |
| 424    | Yes  | No  |  | Greg Mladenka  |                 | Pocatello   | ID          |
| 425    | Yes  | No  |  | Dallin H.  |                 | Heber City  | Utah        |
| 426    | Yes  | No  |  | Rick   |                 | Idaho falls | Id          |
| 427    | Yes  | Yes   |  | David Frisby   |                 | Idaho Falls | Id          |
| 428    | Yes  | No  |  | Todd Syruws  | BHA             | Nampa       | ID          |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>         | <b>Affiliation</b>   | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|---------------------|--|------------------|--------------|
| 429           | No  | No   | The Outfitters have no historic use in unlimited control hunt areas. There is no established eligibility for tags in these hunts. NO ALLOCATION. PERIOD.   | Jim Hagedorn        | Sportsman  | Viola            | Idaho        |
| 430           | No  | No   |  | Jerry Francisco     |  | Lenore           | Idaho        |
| 431           | No  | No   | The problem is only in areas around public landing strips. If a limit is needed s/b nonoutfitted hunters only.   | Ron stone           |  | Malibu           | Ca           |
| 432           | No  | No   | I hunt with an outfitter in the wilderness. The only problem I see is the crowding of non outfitted hunters near access point or air strips. If you want to limit the non outfitted non residents, that could make some sense. The setting of an allocation for outfitted non residents is a solution looking for a problem. I have not seen many non outfitted hunters while I have been hunting. I have hunted in the backcountry of Idaho for 20+ years and don't see the | Robert Webber       |  | Port Orford      | OR           |
| 433           | Neutral   | No   | Outfitted hunters are well distributed since they already are separated into their assigned areas. The problem is only with non outfitted hunters in the back country that become concentrated around air strips. Don't limit the outfitters, find a way to distribute the non outfitted. Thanks for the opportunity to comment.   | Dick. van den Akker | Private citizen. I Hunt units 27 and 20A every year for the past | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 434           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Sandy Rust          |  | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 435           | Neutral   | No   | I believe it is the non-resident, not the outfitted hunters on the air strips that are causing the problems. Outfitted non-resident hunters are spread out in the different units, there should not be any additional restrictions where they are not needed. The new language should only give authority to limit non-outfitted , non-  | Mike Hansen         |  | Atwater          | CA           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>  | <b>Name</b>      | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|---|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 436           | Neutral   | No   | My feeling is that the non-outfitted non-resident hunters that are the concern since outfitted non-residents are spread out and managed effectively by outfitters and held more accountable to state regulations and local priorities for protection of the herd. I don't see a need for restriction of outfitted non-residents; the new language should only give authority to limit non-outfitted, non- | Ty van den Akker |                    | Meridian         | ID           |
| 437           | Neutral   | No   | This is purely the non-resident, non-outfitted hunters on the airstrips that may be causing any problems. Since the outfitted, non-residents are spread out in the unit, there should not be any additional restrictions where it is not needed. The new language should only give authority to limit non-outfitted, non-   | Dan Baird        |                    | Boise            | Idaho        |
| 438           | Neutral   | No   | non outfitted nonresidents tend to not disburse from the airstrips,which contributes to the problem. Outfitted hunters are much more disbursed and do not create the problem. There should be no additional restrictions where not needed on outfitted non resident hunters   | jim petersen     | none               | prineville       | oregon       |
| 439           | Yes   | No   |   | Roy Lathen       |                    | Moscow           | Idaho        |
| 440           | Neutral   | No   | Outfitter hunters are well distributed in the unit but the non-resident, non-outfitted tags are concentrated around airstrips.  | rocky Arn        |                    | Portland         | Oregon       |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>  | <b>Name</b>    | <b>Affiliation</b>                               | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|---|----------------|--|------------------|--------------|
| 441           | Neutral   | No   | <p>There is no need to limit outfitter tags as it is already self limiting. Each outfitter is licensed to hunt a specific area and each outfitter has a limited capacity as to how many hunters they can support. This system has worked just fine for many years and there is no need to change it.</p> <p>The problem is with hunters who buy tags for the late backcountry hunts then hire flying services to fly them into various airstrips. They camp right at the airstrip and hunt from there. This results in "tent cities" being erected on some of the back country airstrips which results in overcrowding which can then lead to safety issues. There is also an allegation that some of the flying services provide camping equipment which sounds like a form of illegal outfitting. This has gotten out of control in recent years so some level of control seems appropriate to get back to a true "wilderness</p> | Allan Wright   | Flying Resort Ranches                            | Medford          | Oregon       |
| 442           | Yes   | Yes  | <p>I strongly support the Commission to set a tag limit for nonresidents especially in unlimited control hunts such as the middle fork of the Salmon.</p> <p>The over harvesting by non residents has gone for long enough and I strongly support a reduced number of non-resident tags for these areas.</p> <p>Thank you commissioners for acting in behalf of the resource's best</p>   | tony alonso    | na   | Meridian         | ID           |
| 443           | Yes   | Yes  | <p>Having been to the middle fork of the salmon river I support this initiative as it is in our wildlife resource best interest.</p>  | Annette Alonso | none   | Meridian         | ID           |
| 444           | Neutral   | No   | <p>I have hunted the Middle Fork of the Salmon River for over 40 years. More recently, the problem I have seen is that certain (not all) of the backcountry aviation companies act like outfitters by flying persons into the backcountry airstrips without any type of regulation. The outfitted hunters, whether resident or non-resident are typically spread throughout the outfitter's area. I believe that any restrictions should apply to non-resident/non-outfitted hunters and tags. Thank you for your consideration.</p>  | Alan Goodman   | None, although a member of Flying Resort Ranches | Rupert           | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%? | if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name           | Affiliation                     | City/Town  | State      |
|--------|--|---|--|----------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| 445    | Neutral  | No  | I feel that the problem is not hunters that are using guides because these guides have assigned areas. This spreads them out and stops over hunting easy access areas.   | frank I krebs  |                                 | eugene     | Oregon     |
| 446    | No   | Yes   | It would be nice to see outfitters have control of these nonresident hunter in the back country .<br>To have non- resident hunters buy unlimited tags and over load the backcountry runways , is not good .<br>I've been hunting the Flying B since 1977.<br>The hunters that fly into Benard airstrip , start the end of October to the end of season .<br>There must be a flying service that sets up tents and leaves them there until end of season . The number of hunters that funnels in and out of Benard airstrip is encredible .<br>It has to stop . | Danny Copeland | Member of Flying Resort Ranches | Roseville  | California |
| 447    | Yes  | No  |  | Brenda         |                                 | Idaho city | Idaho      |
| 448    | Neutral  | No  | These questions are asked in a way that does not define wether your asking if the number should be lowered in the number of tags available to non residents in these hunts. If that's what you want to know than absolutely. Way too many out of state hunters slaughtering what's left of the states herds. Outfitters should have to participate in the same drawings as everyone else. Idaho hunting is an embarrassment for what it used too be!   | James          |                                 | Chubbuck   | ID         |
| 449    | Yes  | Yes   |  | Adam Palmer    |                                 | Kimberly   | Idaho      |
| 450    | Neutral  | No  |  | EricPopp       |                                 | Chico      | California |
| 451    | Neutral  | No  | The problem is only the non-resident, non outfitted hunters on the airstrips that are causing any problems. The outfitted, non-residents are spread out away from the air strips and are not the problem.<br>The new language should only give authority to limit non-outfitted,   | Lonny E. Zietz | Flying Resort Ranches           | Charlevoix | MI         |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary   | Name            | Affiliation              | City/Town    | State    |
|--------|--|---|---|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|
| 452    | Neutral  | No  | The problem of over crowding at the air strips is caused by hunters not using an outfitter. All hunter's non-resident or resident that use an outfitter are spread out in the unit. The only authority to limit access to the Backcountry should be to hunters not using an outfitter, not the limiting the tags to non-resident hunters.   | John Lazear     |                          | Shade        | Ohio     |
| 453    | No   | No  |   | dick fitswell   |                          | nampa        | idaho    |
| 454    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Dale A Jafek    |                          | Paul         | ID-Idaho |
| 455    | Yes  | Yes   | I quit hunting unit 73 because of the amount of non residents from Utah. This led to overhunting on the elkhorn range and wood canyon range.  | Antony forrer   |                          | Middleton    | Idaho    |
| 456    | No   | No  | Allocating tags to outfitters is just a Fish and Game money grab at the expense of resident hunters. NO tags should be allocated. Guides should only outfit hunters who obtain their tags the same way the rest of us do. They should NEVER have been given special privilege over hunters just because they want to make money off of our sports. Nonresidents should never get more than 10% of | Dan Baxter      |                          | Kimberly     | Idaho    |
| 457    | Yes  | No  | This would hurt the resident's chance of ever drawing a controled permit. Residents need these resources to place meat on their tables. Residents need their Fish and game to support them. We are the people that live within , WE NEED THE SUPPORT OF OUR GOVERNMENT.. Don't sell our rights to our resources. Please think   | VICKI Fahnholz  | Resident of Lemhi County | SALMON       | IDAHO    |
| 458    | Yes  | No  |   | jarred          |                          | heyburn      | idaho    |
| 459    | Yes  | Yes   |   | Martin Palaniuk |                          | Coeur dAlene | ID       |
| 460    | Neutral  | No  | It is the non-resident, non-outfitted hunters on the airstrips that are causing the problems. Since the outfitted, non residents are spread out in the unit, there should not be any additional restrictions where it is not needed. The limits should only be for non-resident, non-outfitted hunters.   | John Caulfield  |                          | Granite Bay  | CA       |
| 461    | No   | No  |   | Matthew Utech   |                          | Sheboygan    | WI       |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to not less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>  | <b>Name</b>     | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|---|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 462           | No  | No   | It should read "No more than 10%!"<br>As long as Outfitter tags are included in the 10% restriction for those from out of state that would be OK.   | Larry Mickelsen | Hunter             | Soda Springs     | Idaho        |
| 463           | Yes   | No   |   | Travis          |                    | McCall           | ID           |
| 464           | Yes   | Yes  | I am against outfitter tag allocation, unless the outfitter is restricted to private land.  | Robert Lee      |                    | Idaho Falls      | Idaho        |
| 465           | Yes   | No   | Why do you create a job benefit for outfitters by giving them allocated tags? I would like you to start giving me guaranteed business also, that way things are fair. No allocated tags should be | Matt Van Pelt   |                    | Challis          | ID           |
| 466           | Neutral   | No   | The non-outfitted non-residents seem to be the problem since the outfitted hunters are spread out over a very large area. Limit the non-outfitted hunters.  | Nelson S Brown  |                    | Auburn           | Wa           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| Serial | Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%? | If the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter | Comment Summary  | Name      | Affiliation | City/Town | State   |
|--------|--|---|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| 467    | No   | No  | <p>I have been hunting the back country (Unit 27) with my 75 year old father, on and off since the mid 1990's, and he has been hunting it for more than 30 years. We were originally all from Washington State, and now we are spread out around the country, with the exception of my dad, who now lives in Coeur D' Alene.</p> <p>We look forward to our trips into the Middle Fork of the Salmon River. and always have great respect and admiration for the opportunity to hunt in such a beautiful and pristine place. In all our years, I don't think I have met many people in the back country that were actually from Idaho. The VAST majority of the people we encounter are from places that do not offer the beauty that Idaho does has to offer. And 99% of them treat the land, the animals, and each other with respect and reverence, and those who don't rarely return anyway.</p> <p>I won't presume to understand the reasoning behind this proposed restriction, (perhaps to benefit the outfitters). But I will say that hunting the Idaho back country the way we do, and in the late season especially, is something we all cherish. We each bring thousands of dollars of economic activity to the region, and leave telling stories about how great Idaho is. We help employ pilots, and crew at air services in Cascade, and McCall. We stay in hotels and eat at restaurants while we wait out weather. And, we pay nearly a thousand dollars each, just for licenses, tags, and fees.</p> <p>It's your state, and I understand the reaction of residents is to protect their own back yard. But in my opinion, limiting the tags for non-residents in the way this rule proposes. would simply</p> | Lee Olson |             | Griffith  | Indiana |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>  | <b>Name</b>     | <b>Affiliation</b>     | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|---|-----------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 468           | Neutral   | No   | I think the problem maybe the number of non-resident private hunters who camp on the back country air strips. They tend to concentrate their hunting efforts around the airstrips. In contrast, the hunters using an outfitter will spread out through out the unit. The outfitter will "self-regulate" so as to maintain a good quality hunting experience for his guests.   | Bruce Thomas    |                        | Garden City      | ID           |
| 469           | Neutral   | No   | The problem is not the licensed outfitters. The problem is the illegal outfitters working with some of the air charters or the air charters themselves that operate in and out of the back country. There needs to be better policing at these back country air strips. I see tents go up at the start of the late season and also see these air charters flying two to three different groups into the same camps and same tents. The air charter I've observed doing this is operated out of Cascade ID. The problem is getting worse and | Myron Woltering | Flying B Ranch member. | Granite Bay      | CA           |
| 470           | Neutral   | No   | Is there a flood of non-resident hunters congregating near access points like end of roads at wilderness boundaries or at backcountry airstrips? These hunters can have a detrimental effect on game populations in certain areas. On the other hand, there are many outfitted non-resident hunters who spread out in the outfitter areas. Those are not a problem and shouldn't be restricted. Why hurt the outfitters (Idaho residents) and their clients when it is the non-outfitted, non-resident hunters causing the problem?         | Tom Mahoney     |                        | Boise            | ID           |
| 471           | No  | No   | It is purely the non-resident, non-outfitted hunters on the air strips that may be causing problems. Since the outfitted, non-residents are spread out in the unit, there should not be any additional restrictions where it is not needed. The new language should only give authority to limit non-outfitted, non-resident hunters.   | Brian Stone     |                        | San Diego        | CA           |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>      | <b>Affiliation</b>                         | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|------------------|--|------------------|--------------|
| 472           | Neutral   | No   | The problem is not the licensed outfitters. The problem is the illegal outfitters working with some of the air charters or the air charters themselves that operate in and out of the back country. There needs to be better policing at these back country air strips. I see tents go up at the start of the late season and also see these air charters flying two to three different groups into the same camps and same tents. The air charter I've observed doing this is operated out of McCall ID. The problem is getting worse and worse | Myron Woltering  | Flying B Ranch member.                     | Granite Bay      | CA           |
| 473           | Yes   | No   | I think non resident tags should be limited to 10% of those available on any hunt in Idaho. Outfitters should encourage their clients, most of whom will be non residents, to purchase a tag from those available. Setting aside outfitter allocations will effectively be increasing the number of tags available to non residents. Since outfitters are booked months in advance, their clients will have time to buy a tag from the number available.   | Bill E. Williams | 77 year old lifetime hunter and fisherman! | McCall           | Idaho        |
| 474           | Yes   | No   | I personally feel that in some areas of the state that there are too many guides and outfitters, I don't think guaranteeing them an income is necessary.   | Greg Buxton      |  | Blackfoot        | Idaho        |
| 475           | Yes   | Yes  | I live in one of these unlimited control hunt unit and will not hunt on because of the pressure it receives from non-residents   | Robert Barnes    |  | DOWNEY           | Idaho        |
| 476           | Neutral   | No   | The only problems are being caused by non-outfitted, non-resident hunters on the airstrips. The outfitted non-residents are spread out across the hunt areas, as are the resident hunters, so there is no concentration. Thus, there is no need for restrictions on these two types of hunts, only on non-outfitted, non-resident hunts.   | Douglas Ober     | Flying Resort Ranches                      | Salmon           | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>           | <b>Affiliation</b> | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 477           | Yes   | Yes  | The non-outfitted hunters in Unit 26 and 27 concentrate around two or three airstrips and really hammer the game in those locations. Best way to limit this impact on the game herd would be to limit the number of late season deer tags available to non-residents in those units. In order to avoid killing the outfitter businesses in that area, and in recognition of the fact that the outfitters spread their hunters out and don't concentrate them near the airstrips, it would be best not to limit outfitted non-resident  | Mike Boren            |                    | BOISE            | ID           |
| 478           | No  | No   | The only way I would ever be in favor of a 10% nonresident tag limit would be if the general hunting units that border that unit could also set a limited number of nonresident tags to 10%. The main reason for this is because of unit 73. First this unit had a short general deer hunt. The hunters in this unit complained and it was changed to a unlimited controlled hunt. Then they complained again and the rules were changed so it became a first choice only unlimited controlled hunt. Now they want a 10% percent limit on the number of non resident tags. The only problem that I have with this is the fact that every time the number of hunters has been limited in this unit those that can not hunt there end up hunting in the surrounding units. Especially units 77 and 75. These units are already being over run with hunters. The reason for this is because of the hunters that used to hunt in unit 73 along with those that used to hunt unit 78 before it became a controlled hunt only unit. Also unit 77 used to be part of the grouping of units in southeast Idaho that had a limited number of nonresident tags.( units 75,76, 77 and 78) Since the number of nonresident tags are no longer limited, unit 77 has been over crowded with non resident hunters. Especially those from Utah since unit 77 borders Utah. The hunters in Utah (mainly from Logan and Salt Lake) are not able to get tags to hunt in Utah, but they can always come just across the border and hunt in Idaho. This is exactly why those residents that hunt in | Rusty & Roger Seamons |                    | Preston          | Idaho        |

**Online public input on proposal to limit nonresidents in unlimited controlled hunts and establish outfitter allocation.**

| <b>Serial</b> | <b>Do you support limiting tags available for nonresident participation in Unlimited Controlled Hunts to less than 10%?</b> | <b>if the number of tags available to nonresident hunters to participate in unlimited controlled hunts, do you support establishment of an outfitter</b> | <b>Comment Summary</b>   | <b>Name</b>       | <b>Affiliation</b>          | <b>City/Town</b> | <b>State</b> |
|---------------|---|--|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 479           | Neutral   | No   | The only issue is non-outfitted, non-resident hunters bunching up at the airstrips.<br>Outfitted, non-residents are not an issue.<br>The language should be specific to only allow limits on non-outfitted, non-resident hunters.<br>Thanks!   | Edward M Stephens | longtime backcountry hunter | Yorkville, CA    | 95494        |
| 480           | Yes   | Neutral  |  | Tom Schneider     |                             | Bonnors Ferry    | Idaho        |
| 481           | No  | No   | this rule is just for the outfitters to make money, I do not want to pay an outfitter to hunt. I want to the feeling of accomplishment of doing it on my own, and not paying someone to do it for me.<br>These are great hunts for people to do a real wilderness hunt.<br>There are not that many hunters anyway. Please do not make this | Joseph Nichols    |                             | Show Low         | AZ           |
| 482           | Yes   | No   |  | Luke Davis        |                             | Saint Anthony    | Idaho        |
| 483           | Yes   | Yes  |  | Steve Johnson     |                             | Eagle            | ID           |
| 484           | Yes   | No   |  | Thomas Hunt       |                             | Meridian         | ID           |