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ABSTRACT

During 1986, management personnel coordinated with the Forest Service, conservation officers, hatchery personnel and sportsmen to manage mountain lakes in Region 1. Westslope cutthroat fry were stocked in 27 I akes. Domestic Kamloops and rainbow fry were not available. Limited numbers of grayling and golden trout were available and two lakes were stocked. Mountain lake releases in the region are summarized for the last 10 years.

Author:
Ned J. Horner
Regional Fishery Manager

## OBJECTIVES

1. To develop i mproved management plans for fish populations of mountain lakes in Region 1.
2. To evaluate selected mountain lakes, their fish populations, angler satisfaction and preferences. To use new and existing information on angler use, water quality, species history, spawning potential, stocking success and lake morphology to develop the potential of these waters for providing diverse angling experiences.

## RECOMMENDATI ONS

1. Follow recommendations in Table 2 regarding even or odd-year stocking. Stock lakes that have been missed for several years and temporarily discontinue stocking lakes where stunted fish populations are known to exist.
2. Obtain late egg takes (spring spawning) from domestic Kamloops rainbow trout so that fry of the proper size are available for mountain ake stocking. If this is not possible, switch rainbow stocking to a different stock of fish.
3. Continue species diversity program by utilizing westslope cutthroat and Kamloops rainbow. Obtain grayling and golden trout so unique mountain lake fisheries can become a reality.
4. Use brown trout to control stunted brook trout populations.

TECHNI QUES USED

Information on mountain lakes in Region 1 was reviewed with hatchery personnel and individuals from other agencies and groups to coordinate releases of fish in 1986. The stocking program was based on previous history, reports of fishing quality and availability of fish for release in 1986.

## F I NDI NGS

In 1986, 27 lakes were stocked with westslope cutthroat, four with catchable rainbow, one with brook trout, one with golden trout and one with grayling (Table 1). Two lakes scheduled for westslope cutthroat in 1986 were missed (Callahan and Little Harrison) and Perkins Lake was stocked in place of Little Harrison. Domestic Kamoops rainbow trout were scheduled for three lakes during 1986, but fry of the proper size were not available. Westslope cutthroat were substituted in Hidden and Heart lakes and Tin Lake was missed. Requests for brown trout in Estelle and Beaver lakes were also missed because fry are too big by midsummer stocking time. Limited numbers of golden trout and grayling were available in 1986 and Parker Lake received golden trout while Steamboat Lake received grayling. Speciality stocks continue to be in short supply statewide. Stocking histories for all mountain lakes in Region 1 are summarized in Table 1 for the period of 1977 to 1986.

Limited creel census information from voluntary angler checks and hatchery personnel indicated catch rates varied from 0 to 5 fish/hour (Table 2). Length data was not sufficient to evaluate growth rates at the new 250 frylacre stocking rate. An evaluation of the new stocking rate will probably not be valid until 1988 based on presumed age of larger fish in the catch.

The stocking schedule for Region 1 mountain lakes attempts to balance the number of each species of fish and the number of lakes to be stocked each year (Tables 3 and 4). Deviations from the schedule have most often been caused by lack of fish, lack of fish of proper size (too large at stocking time), or conflicts with other hatchery programs. Lakes in the Little North Fork Clearwater drainage will be stocked by plane from the McCall Hatchery in the future.

Species diversity will be maintained by utilizing westslope cutthroat and domestic Kamloops rainbow for most lakes, golden and grayling (when available) for specialty lakes and brown trout for attempted control of stunted brook trout. We are no longer stocking any rainbow in mountain Iakes in the Pend Oreille drainage to avoid diluting the wild Gerrard rainbow gene pool and we will only stock westslope cutthroat in lakes specified for cutthroat.

Table 1. Number and species of fish [fry except where noted) stocked into mountain Lakes in Region 1 from 1977 to 1986.

| Drai nage | Lake | Surface acres | Year <br> stocked | Nunber stocked | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stocking } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & \text { (fish/acre) } \end{aligned}$ | Stock of fish | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kootenai | Hi dden | 50 | 1977 | 5,800 | 232 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | (1-103) |  | 1979 | 5,486 | 109 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1979 | 5,300 | 106 | Kanh oops rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1981 | 15,922 | 318 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1982 | 15,656 | 313 | Kamh oops rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1983 | 12, 107 | 242 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1984 | 12, 768 | 255 | Kamh oops rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 12,512 | 250 | Vestslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 6, 000 | 120 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Lake Mbuntain | 7 | 1977 | 2,910 | 416 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | (cut off) |  | 1979 | 3, 424 | 346 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | (1-104) |  | 1983 | 1, 723 | 246 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 1, 748 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | West Fork | 12 | 1978 | 7, 704 | 642 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | (1-109) |  | 1979 | 3, 184 | 265 | Kamh oops rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1981 | 6, 704 | 559 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1982 | 3, 648 | 304 | Kamh oops rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1983 | 3, 016 | 251 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1984 | 3, 010 | 251 | Kanh oops rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 2,990 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 4,495 | 375 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Long Mbunt ai $n$ (1-112) | 3 | -- | -- | -- | Grayl ing |  |
|  | Parker | 3 | 1979 | 2,220 | 740 | Gol den trout |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 1,225 | 408 | Gol den trout |  |
|  | Smith | 6 | -- | -- | -- | Grayling |  |
|  | [ Long Canyon) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Continued.


Table 1. Continued.

| rai nage | Lake | Surfac e | Year stocked | Number stocked | Stocking rate (fish/acre) | Stock of fish | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kootenai | Little Ball Creek (1-127) | 4 | 1980 1984 <br> 1986 | 1, 424 <br> 1, 500 <br> 956 | $\begin{aligned} & 356 \\ & 375 \\ & 239 \end{aligned}$ | Westslope cutthroat <br> Westslope cutthroat <br> Westslope cutthooat |  |
|  | Snow (1-434) | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1878 \\ & 1979 \\ & 1982 \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,184 \\ & 3,030 \\ & 3,008 \\ & 2,872 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 318 \\ & 303 \\ & 301 \\ & 287 \end{aligned}$ | Henrys Lake cutthroat Henrys Lake cutthroat Westslope cutthroat Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | Roman Nose \#3 (1-137) | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & 1977 \\ & 1978 \\ & 1979 \\ & 1983 \\ & 1985 \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | 2, 080 <br> 3, 072 <br> 3, 360 <br> 5, 300 <br> 2, 320 <br> 3, ооо <br> 3, ooo | $\begin{aligned} & 168 \\ & 256 \\ & 280 \\ & 442 \\ & 193 \\ & 250 \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | Catchable rai nbow <br> Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Kamh oops rai nbow <br> Domestic Kamhoops (3-6in. size) <br> Westslope cutthroat <br> hestslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Sol omon ( 1-146) | 9 | 1977 <br> 1978 <br> 1979 <br> 1982 <br> 1983 <br> 1984 <br> 1985 <br> 1986 | 3,120 4,704 5,062 3,040 2,162 2,268 2,250 2,500 | $\begin{aligned} & 347 \\ & 523 \\ & 562 \\ & 338 \\ & 240 \\ & 252 \\ & 250 \\ & 278 \end{aligned}$ | Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Kamhoops rai nbow <br> Kamh oops rai nbow <br> Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Kamh oops rai nbow <br> Westslope cutthroat <br> hestslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Spruce (1-147) | 5 | 1977 <br> 1978 <br> 1980 <br> 1982 <br> 1983 <br> 1984 <br> 1985 <br> 1986 |  | 1, 258 <br> 1, 027 <br> 502 <br> 486 <br> 258 <br> 504 <br> 250 <br> 250 | Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Westslope cutthroat <br> Kamh oops rai nbow <br> Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Kamh oops rai nbow <br> Westslope cutthroat <br> hestslope cutthroat |  |
| R9FS231BM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Continued.

| Drai nage | Lake | Surfac e | Year <br> stocked | Nunber st ocked | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stocking } \\ \text { rate } \\ (\text { fish/acre) } \end{gathered}$ | Stock of fish | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kootenai | Queen | 5 | 1978 | 3, 184 | 637 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | [ 1-148) |  | 1980 | 1,770 | 354 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1983 | 1,296 | 259 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 1,250 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Debt | 5 | 1985 | 1,250 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | ( 1-150) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Copper | 5 | 1978 | 2,016 | 403 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | ( 1-154) |  | 1980 | 2,091 | 418 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1983 | 1,297 | 259 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1984 | 1, 390 | 278 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 1, 250 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Callahan (Smith) | 10 | 1978 | 2,688 | 269 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | ( 1-166) |  | 1979 | 3, 636 | 364 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1984 | 2,500 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
| Pend Oreille |  | 12 | 1977 | 4, 000 | 333 | Gol den trout |  |
|  | ( 2-101) |  | 1979 | 3, 180 | 265 | Kamh oops rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1982 | 3, 648 | 304 | Kanh oops rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 3,000 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 3,000 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | St andar d | 16 | 1978 | 7,074 | 442 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | ( 2-103) |  | 1980 | 5,472 | 342 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1983 | 4,021 | 251 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 4, 000 | 250 | vestslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Two Mbuth \#1 (2-106) | ? | $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,456 \\ 2,258 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | Henrys Lake cutthroat hestslope cutthroat |  |
| R9FS231BM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Continued.
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Table 1. Continued.

| Drai nage | Stocking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lake | Surface acres | Year stocked | Nuntber stocke | $\begin{gathered} \text { rate } \\ {[\text { fish/acre) }} \end{gathered}$ | Stock of fish | Comments |
| Spokane | Lower Glidden | 12 | 1977 | 1,680 | - 140 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  | ( 3-123) |  | 1878 | 2,486 | 207 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1979 | 4, 240 | 353 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1980 | 2, озо | 169 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1981 | 1,950 | 162 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1982 | 1,880 | 157 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1983 | 1, 000 | 83 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1984 | 4,945 | 412 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 3, 018 | 251 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 3,011 | 251 | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  | Upper Gli dden | 10 | $1978$ | $2,000$ | $200$ | Kam oops rai nbow | Eval uate Kamioops |
|  | $\text { ( } 3-124 \text { ) }$ |  | $1980$ | $992$ | 99 | Kamhoops rai nbow | control of stunted brook trout. |
|  | Gold | 3 | 1978 | 500 | 167 | Kanh oops rai nbow |  |
|  | ( 3-125) |  | 1979 | 384 | 128 | Brook trout |  |
|  |  |  | 1981 | 1,000 | 333 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1983 | 1,005 | 335 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | Revet t | 12 | 1980 | 992 | 83 | Kantoops rai nbow | Eval uate Kamhoops control of stunted brook trout. |
|  | Crater | 5 | 1979 | 5,000 | 1, 000 | Grayling | Reserve for |
|  | [ 3-133) |  | 1983 | 5,000 | 1, 000 | Grayling | grayling. |
|  | Di smal | ? | 1979 | 2,670 | - - | Catchable rai nbow | Reduce stocking |
|  | (3138) |  | 1980 | 870 | - | Catchable rai nbow | to 250 fish and |
|  |  |  | 1983 | 1,500 | - - | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1984 | 537 | -- | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 490 | -- | Catchable rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 253 | - - | Catchable rai nbow |  |

Table 1. Conti nued.

| Drai nage | Lake | Surface acres | Year stocked | Nunber stocked | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stocking } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & \text { (fish/acre) } \end{aligned}$ | Stock of fish | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spokane | Bacon $\text { ( } 3-144 \text { ) }$ | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & 1981 \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 4, 156 <br> 4, 000 <br> 2, 255 | $\begin{aligned} & 462 \\ & 444 \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | Henrys Lake cutthroat <br> Westslope cutthroat <br> Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | For age $\text { ( } 3-146 \text { ) }$ | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & 1879 \end{aligned}$ | 4, 000 <br> 3, 330 | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ | Gol den trout <br> Gol den trout | Reserve for gol dens or grayling. |
|  | Hal o (3-1 147) | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & 1981 \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 5, 195 <br> 5, ooo <br> 3, 010 | $\begin{aligned} & 433 \\ & 417 \\ & 251 \end{aligned}$ | Henrys Lake cutthroat Westatope cutthroat Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crystal } \\ & (3-160) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & 1979 \\ & 1981 \\ & 1983 \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,830 \\ & 4,848 \\ & 9,988 \\ & 4,380 \\ & 2,510 \end{aligned}$ | 483 <br> 485 <br> 999 <br> 438 <br> 251 | Henrys Lake cutthroat Henrys Lake cutthroat hestslope cutthroat Henrys Lake cutthroat hestslope cutthroat |  |
| Fork Cl earwat | Devils Club er | 4 <br> (6-1 | 1981 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,014 \\ 1986 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 753 \\ 1,000 \quad 2 \end{array}$ | Westslope cutthroat 50 | Westslope cutthroat |
|  | Big Talk [ 6-114) | ? | 1986 | 1,500 |  | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Larkins [6-117) | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & 1981 \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,117 \\ & 3,014 \\ & 3,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 280 \\ & 251 \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | Henrys Lake cutthroat hestslope cutthroat Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Mud [ 6-118) | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,117 \\ & 3,014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 520 \\ & 502 \end{aligned}$ | Henrys Lake cutthroat kestslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Hero [ 6-119) | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & 1981 \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | 3, 117 <br> 3, 014 <br> 1, ooo | $\begin{aligned} & 779 \\ & 753 \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | Henrys Lake cutthroat hestslope cutthroat <br> Westslope cutthroat |  |

Table 1. Conti nued.

| Drai nage | Lake | Surface acres | Year stocked | Number stocked | St acking rate [fish/acre) | Stock of fish | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Little North | Heart | 40 | 1979 | 3, 117 | 78 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
| Fork Cl earwater | [5-1221 |  | 1981 | 3, 014 | 75 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 10, 000 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Nort hbound | 12 | 1979 | 3. 117 | 260 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | [ 6-123) |  | 1981 | 3, 014 | 251 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 3,000 | 250 | Westslope cutthorat |  |
|  |  | 13 |  | 3, 117 | 240 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | ( 6125) |  | 1981 | 3, 014 | 232 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Fawn | 13 | 1979 | 3, 117 | 240 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | (6-126) |  | 1981 | 3, 014 | 232 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 3, 250 | 250 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Noseeum | 4 | 1977 | 1,500 | 375 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | (6-130) |  | 1978 | 1,900 | 475 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1981 | 1, 174 | 294 | Rai nbow cutthroat hyb. |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 1, 008 | 251 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | St eamboat | 9 |  | 4, 000 | 444 | Grayling | Reserve for |
|  | (6-131) |  | 1981 | 1, 174 | 130 | Rai nbow cutthroat hyb. | grayling. |
|  |  |  | 1986 | 2,000 | 222 |  |  |
|  | Copper | 3 | 1978 | 1,000 | 333 | Henrys Lake cutthroat |  |
|  | ( 6-201) |  | 1981 | 1, 000 | 333 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1981 | 1, 000 | 333 | Rai nbow cutthroat hyb. |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 765 | 255 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  | Gol d (6-202) |  | 1986 | 2,000 | 667 | Westslope cutthroat |  |

Table 1. Continued.

| Drai nage | Lake | Surface acres | Year <br> stocked | Number stocked | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St ocking } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & \text { (fish/acre) } \end{aligned}$ | Stock of fish | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Little North | Sil ver | 10 | 1978 | 2,000 | 200 | Rai nbow |  |
| Fork Cl earwater | ( 6-205j |  | 1981 | 2, 00 | 200 | Westslope cutthroat |  |
|  |  |  | 1981 | 888 | 89 | Rai nbow |  |
|  |  |  | 1985 | 999 | 100 | Mt. Lassen rai nbow |  |

Table 2. Region 1 mountain lake creel census data from voluntary angler reports and hatchery personnel, 1986 .

|  | Number | Hours |  | Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lake | Fish/ |  |  |  |

Kootenai drainage

| Solomon | 3 | 3 | $C t$ | 0 | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Copper | 1 | 1 | $C t$ | 5 | 5.0 | $5-8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |

Pend Oreille drainage

| Hunt | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 3 | 3.0 | $f r y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fault | 1 | 1 | - |  | .- |  |
| McCormick | 1 | 1 | Rb | 1 | 1.0 | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Dennick | 3 | 3 | $C t$ | 2 | .67 | $6-7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Sand | 3 | 3 | $C t$ | 2 | .67 | $8,16^{\prime \prime}$ |

Spokane drainage

| Bacon | 3 | 12 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Forage | 3 | 18 | $C t$ | 3 | .17 | $12-14 "$ |
| Halo | 3 | 22 | Ct | 46 | 2.1 | $9-171 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ |

Little North Fork Clearwater drainage

| Larkins | 2 | 6 | $C t$ | 3 | 50 | $10-13 "$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Copper | 2 | 2 | $C t$ | 1 | .50 | $8 "$ |
| Gold | 3 | 6 | $R b / C t$ | 5 | .83 | $8-10 "$ |
| Silver | 3 | 6 | $R b$ | 7 | 1.17 | $8-11^{\prime \prime}$ |

Table 3. Odd-year stocking schedule for Region 1 mountain lakes.


Table 3. Continued.

| Lake | Surface | No. |  | Substitute |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Little North Fork Clearwater

| Mud | $06-118$ |  | 6 |  | 1,500 | K1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |

```
Total number of fish to be stocked:
    C2 - 62,225
    K1 - 19,750
    GR - 11,500
    GN - 4,250 (Grayling can be substituted for goldens)
    BK - 5,000 Size 2
```

Table 4. Even-year stocking schedule for Region 1 mountain lakes.

| Lake |  | Surface | No. |  | Substitute |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Code No. | acres | stocked | Species | species |
| Kootenai |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hidden | 01-103 | 50 | 12,500 | K1 | C2 |
| West Fork | 01-109 | 12 | 3,000 | C2 | K1 |
| Long Mtn. | 01-112 | 3 | 1,500 | GR | None |
| Parker | 01-113 | 3 | 1,000 | GN | GR |
| Smith | 01-115 | 6 | 3,000 | GR | None |
| Trout | 01-124 | 7 | 1,750 | C2 | K1 |
| Pyramid | 01-125 | 11 | 2,750 | C2 | K1 |
| Ball Creek | 01-126 | 6 | 1,500 | C2 | None |
| Little Ball Cr. | 01.127 | 4 | 1,000 | C2 | None |
| Roman Nose \#3 | 01.137 | 12 | 3,000 | C2 | K1 |
| Solomon | 01-146 | 9 | 2,250 | C2 | K1 |
| Spruce | 01-147 | 5 | 1,250 | C2 | K1 |
| Queen | 01-148 | 5 | 1,250 | C2 | None |
| Copper | 01-154 | 5 | 1,250 | C2 | None |
| Callahan | 01-166 | 10 | 2,500 | C2 | None |
| Estelle | 01-167 | 5 | 1,250 | BN | None |
| Pend Oreille |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hunt | 02-101 | 12 | 3,000 | C2 | None |
| Two Mouth \#3 | 02.108 | 20 | 5,000 | C2 | None |
| Caribou | 02-116 | 6.8 | 1,750 | C2 | None |
| (near West Fk. Little Harrison | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mt } \left.\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 02-126 \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 6.5 | 1,625 | C2 | None |
| Harrison | 02.129 | 29 | 7,250 | C2 | None |
| Beaver | 02-130 | 5 | 1,250 | BN | None |
| Dennick | 02-171 | 8 | 2,000 | -C2 | None |
| Sand | 02-172 | 5 | 1,250 | C2 | None |
| Bloom | 02-173 | 20 | 5,000* | BK * Size 2 | 2 None |
| Moose | 02-185 | 16.5 | 4,200 | BN | None |
| Caribou | 02-196 | 6.8 | 1,700 | C2 | None |
| ( near Keokee Mt | n. $)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Spokane |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crater | 03-133 | 5 | 2,500 | GR | None |
| Forage | 03-146 | 13 | 3,250 | GN | GR |

Table 4. Continued.

| Lake | Code No. | Surface acres | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { stocked } \end{gathered}$ | Species | Substitute species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Little North Fork Clearwater |  |  |  |  |  |
| Devils Club | 06-113 | 4 | 1,000 | C2 | None |
| Big Talk | 06-114 | ? | 2,500 | C2 | None |
| Larkins | 06-117 | 12 | 3,000 | c2 | None |
| Hero | 06-119 | 4 | 1,000 | C2 | None |
| Heart | 06-122 | 40 | 10,000 | K1 | None |
| Northbound | 06-123 | 12 | 3, 000 | C2 | None |
| Fawn | 06-126 | 13 | 3,250 | C2 | None |
| Steamboat | 06-131 | 9 | 4,500 | GR | None |
| Gold | 06-202 | 8 | 2,000 | C2 | None |
| Tin | 06-204 | 3 | 750 | K1 | None |
| Total number of fish to be stocked. |  |  |  |  |  |
| C2-60,825 |  |  |  |  |  |
| K1-23, 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR - 11, 500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GN - 4, 250 ( Grayling can be substituted for goldens) |  |  |  |  |  |
| BK - 5,000 Size 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B N - 6,700 |  |  |  |  |  |
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#### Abstract

Research on Priest Lake continued to focus on population dynamics of westslope cutthroat trout and lake trout and on evaluation of enhancement strategies. Graduate research by the University of Idaho evaluated the success of large releases of cutthroat fry in tributary streams and potential for increased cutthroat production through removal of brook trout. Estimated kokanee abundance in 1986 was 85, 000 fish-ages-0t and 1+. High predation is still limiting enhancement. Catch rates for lake trout continue to be high and modeling data should be used to characterize their population dynamics under different effort regimes.


Cooperative research on Gerrard rainbow in Lake Pend Oreille was continued in 1986 in an attempt to characterize rearing densities of young rainbow, describe habitat quality and quantity and estimate potential production. These data will be used in evaluating current seeding rates and the potential impacts of tributary angling.

Nine mature Gerrard rainbow were captured in Spring Creek in 1986, including one adipose-clipped male. Greater returns of ad-clipped fish should materialize in 1988 to 1989 from releases in 1983 or 1984. Abundance of kokanee in Pend Oreille declined slightly to 4.27 million, reaching the lowest mark since trawling was initiated in 1977. Over 3.4 million fry were released in the Clark Fork River, but small fish and i nadequate flushing flows from Cabinet Gorge Dam resulted in the poorest return rates estimated from release to fall sampling. The kokanee egg take at Granite Creek totaled 7.3 million, far below the goal of 20 to 30 mi llion annually. A detailed evaluation of the kokanee population and the i nfluence of Cabinet Gorge Hatchery was initiated in 1985 through BPA funding. That research will continue through 1989. Redd counts for bull trout were about half that observed in preceding years. It is unknown whether reduced escapement from low flows or inexperienced observers accounted for this reduction.

Angling effort and harvest was again evaluated on the north end of Lake Coeur d'Alene. Anglers fished an estimated 172,000 hours to catch 164,000 kokanee and 76 chinook. Interest in the chinook fishery declined sharply in 1986.

Nearly 30,000 chinook post-smolts were released in Wolf Lodge Bay in 1986. Mean size was 114 mm, the second smallest of all release groups to date. Results of chinook-kokanee feeding experiments demonstrated that chinook smaller than 105 mm may not eat kokane upon release. Average size of chinook at release should be at least 140 mm to eliminate the stocking of small ( <105 mm) chinook. Estimated kokanee abundance in Lake Coeur d'Alene was 7.31 million, down from 1985. Mean length of kokanee spawners was similar to that in 1985, but average length of the sport catch increased 28 mm .

Kokanee abundance in Spirit Lake was estimated at 467,000 fish, down slightly from that in 1985. Survival of fry to fall trawling was estimated at $0.07 \%$, far below the five-year mean of $0.32 \%$. Recruitment in 1986 was bolstered by a release of 50,000 hatchery-reared fry.

The officer creel census was continued in 1986 to provide information on effort and harvest throughout the region. Evaluation of the catchable rainbow trout program was continued in conjunction with the census. Stocking rates recommended in 1986 for lakes of Region 1 provided catch and return rates generally consistent with goals of the management plan.

Gerrard rainbow were again stocked in Hayden Lake in 1986. Small mouth bass appear to be doing well in the lake and their abundance and growth rates will be quantified in 1987.

Physical characteristics of Anderson, Blue and Thompson lakes were surveyed in 1986. The fishery portion of the lowland lakes survey schedule will be conducted on those lakes in 1987 . Surveys of fish populations were conducted in Sinclair, Jewell, Bonner, Solomon and Dawson lakes. The physical portion of the survey program will be conducted on these lakes in 1987 to 1988.

Channel catfish were collected in Cocolalla Lake where they appear to be doing well. Growth has been well above the average for channel cats in western waters and the lake appears to be well suited to the species.

Performance of largemouth bass in Kelso Lake was evaluated in 1986. Growth rates for I argemouth were similar to those for other lowland lakes in the region and the current 12 -inch minimum size limit should provide fishing consistent with goals of the management plan.
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## OBJ ECTIVES

1. To obtain biological and limnological data on selected lowland lakes in Region 1 to provide baseline information for species and stock modeling and management programs.
2. To assess performance and contribution of existing trout stocks.
3. To evaluate success of kokanee rehabilitation projects on Region 1 lakes and evaluate introductions of new species.
4. To describe patterns of angling effort and success in evaluating management programs.

## RECOMMENDATI ONS

1. Continue opening day creel census information gathering for effort, catch and catch rates on Hauser, Jewell, Kelso, Mirror, Spirit and Lower Twin lakes to provide trend information to evaluate the objectives of the fishery management plan.
2. Maintain stocking rates of 300 and 50 catchable rainbow/hectare for lakes $<50$ hectares and $>50$ hectares in surface area, respectively.
3. Conduct officer creel census in 1987 on Pend Oreille, Kelso and Hayden lakes. Return to creel data are needed on Day and Day. Rock ponds and on Elsie Lake.
4. Collect length frequency data on tournament-caught bass to provide trend information on specific populations.
5. Work with bass clubs to minimize the negative impacts of tournament activity on certain bass populations.
6. Evaluate release of kokanee (10 to 15/hectare) in Brush, Cocolalla, Fernan, Hauser, Jewel, Kelso, Mirror and Smith lakes to determine if they provide anglers with catches of relatively large fish. Larger releases of kokanee ( 350 /hectare) in Lower Twin Lake should be made to continue the popular fishery that has developed there.
7. Release kokanee fry in Granite Creek of Lake Pend Oreille at or above 3 million annually to ensure adequate egg supplies in the future.
8. Hatchery-reared Gerrard rainbow stocked in Spring Creek should be fin-clipped and limited to 20,000 fish or less to further evaluate returns.
9. Collect information on Juvenile rainbow abundance and habitat qualityquantity on Lightning and Grouse creeks to estimate potential smolt production to Lake Pend Oreille. The significance of angling in the tributaries can then be evaluated with respect to limiting the lake fishery.
10. Release of 35,000 fall chinook salmon in Lake Coeur d'Alene by early July at a mean size exceeding 140 mm . Determine potential wild smolt production from Wolf Lodge Creek and for spawning adults in the Coeur $d^{\prime} A l e n e ~ a n d ~ S t . ~ J o e ~ r i v e r s . ~$
11. Li mit the spawning run of fall chinook in Wolf Lodge Creek to the I ower portion (at or below Wolf Lodge campground) by a block weir to prevent competition of juvenile chinook with young cutthroat. Al| future chinook releases should be ad-clipped to determine the contribution of wild fish to the population.
12. Evaluate survival, growth rates, food habits and spawning success of smalimouth bass released in Hayden Lake.

## TECHNI QUES AND FINDINGS

## Priest Lake

## Cutthroat

Research was continued on cutthroat trout in 1986 to further characterize their population dynamics and evaluate the contribution of hatchery fish (Mauser et al., in preparation). Total mortality in 1986 was not significantly different from previous years and still appears to be excessively high ( 60 to $70 \%$ ). Return of mature cutthroat to Tango Creek was small in 1985 and no fish returned in 1986 . Fishing mortality and exploitation are low, with only about 100 legal-sized fish harvested in 1986. Even while accounting for illegal harvest of cutthroat on the I ake, predation by lake trout still appears to be the dominant factor I i miting cutthroat stocks.

Purse seine gear was built in 1986 for use on Priest and other arge lakes in the region. Population size of cutthroat was estimated near 3, 000 fish, showing a slow but continuing decline in their total abundance. Larger cutthroat ( $>300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) also declined in abundance to about 1,100 fish.

Genetic integrity of westslope cutthroat from Upper priest Lake, and from Clark Fork Hatchery and Fish Lake broodstocks, was characterized by electrophoresis in 1986. No introgression was evident in samples from Upper Priest, but purity of brood fish at Clark Fork and Fish Lake was $99.3 \%$ and $98 \%$ respectively. Rainbow characteristics were visible in cutthroat from Fish Lake, however, indicating that introgression may actually approach 30 to $40 \%$ throughout the population (Robb Leary, University of Montana, Department of Zoology, personal communication). Restoring the genetic integrity of our cutthroat trout broodstock will require infusion from wild (pure) fish over several generations.

Eval uation of large releases of cutthroat fry and the effect of brook trout removal were evaluated in tributaries to priest Lake by University of Idaho research in 1986. Removal of brook trout increased rearing densities of cutthroat fry, but it was unclear whether stocking cutthroat trout in waters with existing brook trout populations will be effective. Feasibility and effectiveness of both approaches will be more fully evaluated in 1987 to 1988.

## Kokanee

Estimated kokane abundance declined in 1986 to 85,000 fish. The population was composed of 62,000 and 23,000 age-0 + and $1+$ fish, respectively (Fig. 1). The kokanee population continues to be very depressed, with $73 \%$ of the population comprised of hatchery fry released in 1986. Predation by lake trout is still limiting kokanee enhancement in Priest Lake. The greater force of mortality is on age-1 kokanee and older and current stocking levels (1 million in 1986 ) of up to 3 million frylyear are inadequate to restore the kokanee troll fishery. It may be several years before enough kokanee can be released (5 million/year) to evaluate the potential of building and maintaining a viable fishery.

## Lake Trout

Tagging of lake trout in Priest Lake was undertaken in 1986 to collect current data for population modeling. Status of the population under current regulations (two fish/day over 16") will be evaluated and the effectiveness of fishing will be investigated as a tool for reducing lake trout abundance and predation pressure on cutthroat and kokanee.

The I ake trout fishery on priest continued to be excellent, but conditions are likely to change if kokanee stocking is curtailed. Lake trout readily use kokanee when available and their average weight in the catch is strongly linked to kokanee availability as forage. Reducing kokanee stocking levels will result in smaller lake trout in the catch and increase the time it takes them to recruit to the fishery (s) ower growth). Modeling data should provide reasonable scenarios of lake trout performance under different kokanee stocking regimes.

## Bull Trout

The fishery for bull trout in Priest Lake remained closed in 1986 as spawning escapement continued to be very low. The population in upper Priest Lake continues to support a good fishery (nonconsumptive) and adequate escapement. Current research on bull trout in tributaries to Lake Pend Oreille may help isolate factors constraining the Priest Lake population.


Figure 1. Abundance of kokanee salmon estimated by trawling in Priest Lake, Idaho, 1978 to 1986.

## Lake Pend Oreille

## Gerrard Rainbow

Graduate research on tributaries to Lake Pend Oreille focused on documenting rainbow fry emergence and movement, and on initial description of stream habitat and fish abundance. Estimating potential outmigration of Juveniles for important spawning and rearing streams will be emphasized in 1987. Abundance of Juvenile out mi grants was not adequately determined in 1985 to 1986 research. Without this estimate, it is not possible to assess the harvest impact of juveniles in the tributaries on the population and Iake fishery.

Nine mature Gerrard rainbow were trapped in Spring Creek in 1986. Return of marked hatchery-reared fingerlings continues to be very low. Four females and five males returned and one male was adipose fin-clipped, coming from marked releases in either 1983 or 1984. Higher returns of marked rainbow should be expected in 1987 to 1989 and release of marked fish should be continued to provide long-term evaluation of hatchery contribution.

Harvest of large rainbow ( $>9 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) in the lake fishery increased substantially in the fall of 1986. Whether more fish were available, or fishing with new types of gear (side boards and trolling flies) increased catch rates, is unknown.

Long-term trend data indicate that fewer large fish are caught now relative to past years and that the trophy potential of this fishery is not being realized. Incidental harvest of juvenile rainbow by kokanee anglers accounts for 30 to $40 \%$ of the total rainbow take annually and total annual mortality exceeds $60 \%$. Over $70 \%$ of all rainbow harvested are under 17 inches and $80 \%$ are age-4 or less, in a population with average age at maturity of five years. These statistics indicate the need to change management direction to better realize the potential of these fish in providing trophy angling. Potential regulations for Gerrard rainbow will be modeled in 1987 and combined with public input on management goals to forma new management plan.

## Kokanee

Abundance of kokanee in Lake Pend Oreille declined slightly in 1986 to 4.27 million, reaching the lowest abundance since trawling was initiated in 1976 (Fig. 2). Abundance of age-0t and $1+$ fish was 1.66 and 1. 15 million, respectively, both lower than those age classes in 1985 (Bowles 1986).

A total of 5.01 million kokanee fry were released into Lake Pend Oreille in 1987. Survival of 3.4 million fry released into the Clark Fork River was the poorest measured from release to fall sampling.


Figure 2. Abundance of kokanee salmon estimated by trawling in Lake Pend Oreille, Idaho, 1976 to 1986. Selected groups are represented by differential shading.

Problems with the operation of Cabinet Gorge Dam resulted in only mi mum flows during the study. Fry were also smaller than desired, due to cold rearing temperatures. Over 1.59 million fry were released in Granite Creek in 1986.

The adult return rate of kokanee to Granite Creek was $2 \%$ in 1986, resulting in a take of 9.3 million eggs. Reasons for the unusually low spawning runs in 1985 and 1986 have been extensively evaluated (Bowles, in preparation) and no clear patterns are apparent. Unusual weather both years (extreme cold in 1985; midseason flood in 1986) as well as genetic factors may be partially responsible. Hatchery-stock fish have been released and collected at Sullivan Springs in Granite Creek for over 10 years and long-term inbreeding may be influencing their survival. Extensive straying of kokanee salmon during migration runs should help reduce this potential, but their genetic integrity has not been currently quantified.

Totals of 1.4 and 0.4 million kokanee eggs were collected at $\operatorname{spring}$ Creek and Cabinet Gorge Hatchery, respectively, in 1986. The run into the Clark Fork facility was not anticipated. Large releases of fry into the Clark Fork River since 1981 may have provided strays for Spring Creek. The run may have also resulted from a release of 101,000 fry into spring Creek in 1982. Over 1, 000 fish returned to the fish ladder at Cabinet Gorge. Many more fish were observed below the Cabinet Gorge Dam, but they were not captured.

Over 7.3 million kokanee eggs were collected at Granite Creek in 1986, but the total (9.1 million) fell far short of the goal of 24 to 30 million. Some steps can be taken, however, to help meet the goal in 1987 and beyond, such as: (1) seine adults in the Clark Fork River below Cabinet Gorge Dam, (2) collect kokanee spawners in Lake Coeur d'Alene, and (3) stock a least 3 million fry/year at Sullivan Springs instead of the current (1985 to 1986) rate of $1.5 \mathrm{million} / \mathrm{year}$.

## Bull Trout

Counts of bull trout redds in tributaries to Lake Pend Oreille were significantly reduced in 1986 (400), with a corresponding drop in estimated escapement $(1,560)$. The summer of 1986 was very dry and fall flows were well below normal, potentially limiting access to the tributaries for fall migrants and making early running bull trout more vulnerable to illegal harvest. The escapement estimates were made by a volunteer crew directed by a graduate student. It is unclear whether escapement had dropped dramatically, or if an inexperienced survey crew resulted in an underestimate. Monitoring of bull trout spawners will be conducted again in 1987.

Lake Coeur d'Alene

## Creel Census

Patterns of angling effort and harvest were described on the northern end of Lake Coeur d'Alene to compare angler interest and success in the kokanee and chinook fisheries with those in previous years.

I nterest in the chinook fishery had dropped after a slow year in 1985 and of the 584 anglers interviewed, the majority fished for kokanee. Reduced effort for chinook may have been responsible for a slight decline in total effort to 172,452 hours in 1986 (Table 1). Catch rate for kokanee remained little changed in 1986, but harvest increased to over 164,000 fish. Increased interest and effort (up $45 \%$ for kokanee may have resulted from a substantial increase in average size in the catch. Mean I ength of angler-caught kokanee was. 216 mm in 1986, up significantly from the average in 1985 of 188 mm (Fig. 3). Evaluation of age-specific growth rates of kokanee will be done in 1987 to determine whether increased kokanee size is a growth response and/or shift in age at maturity.

Catch rates for chinook were extremely low in 1986 and harvest was estimated at only 76 fish (Fig. 4). A corresponding decrease in effort for chinook was also observed (down $112 \%$ and the fishery was only marginally viable. Anglers were fishing primarily on a release of 10,000 chinook made in 1984. The small size of the release group and their apparent poor survival probably contributed to the poor fishing conditions in 1986.

A standardized creel census will be conducted on Lake Coeur d'Alene in 1987 to better quantify effort and harvest for kokanee and chinook. More information is needed to adequately gauge the status of both fisheries.

## Fall Chinook Salmon

One release of 29,500 Lake Michigan stock chinook post-smolts was made on July 2, 1986 (Table 2). Lake Michigan stock chinook are still being used because of their availability and ater mean age at maturity than the Bonneville stock (Table 3). All fish were marked by a right ventral finclip to facilitate evaluation of return rates and quantify natural smolt production. Mean size of fish at release was 114 mm , far below the requested target of 145 mm and smaller than six of the previous seven release groups. Approximately 60 mature chinook entered Wolf Lodge Creek in September 1986, but they did not move upstream to the trap site. Electrofishing gear was used to capture and spawn 46 fish. Females comprised $41 \%$ of the run and averaged 84.7 cm in length, while males averaged 90.3 cm . Mean length of all adults was 88.0 cm , with a range of 60.5 to 100.1 cm . Over 8,600 eggs were collected (557/female) and most fish had already spawned. Age composition of spawners was $47 \%$ age- $2+$ and $53 \%$ age-3+(Fig. 5). The percentage of age-3+ fish was much greater than in past years and is consistent with expected age at maturity for the Lake Michiganstock.

Table 1. Estimated effort on the north end of Lake Coeur d'Alene, ldaho, April 27 through October 30 and estimated catch rate and harvest of kokanee sal mon in 1986.



Figure 3. Length frequency of angler-caught kokanee salmon from Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, June and July, 1986.


Figure 4. Catch rates for chinook salmon (hours/fish) in Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1984 to 1986.

Table 2. Number, pounds and length of fall chinook salmon released into Lake Coeur d'Alene, 1 daho, during 198286.


Table 3. Characteristics of stocks of fall Chinook salmon releasedin Lake Coeur d'Alene, laho,
1982 to 1986

al nformation on stock characteristics received via personal communcation, Harol $\begin{gathered}\text { dansen, }\end{gathered}$ Oregon Department of $F i s h$ and $M 1 d i f f e$.
bl nformation on stock characteristics received via personal communcation, Jack Harmond,
Mi chigan Department of Natural Resources.


Figure 5. Age composition of spawning fall chinook salmon in Wolf Lodge Creek, Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1986.

We have been concerned about the apparent poor survival of released post-smolts and the corresponding collapse in the Lake coeur d'Alene chinook fishery. If chinook are released at a small size, or toolate in the fall, they may be unable to consume age-ot kokanee (which double in size through summer and become more dispersed) and would have to compete with kokanee for an already limited food supply. Data on best timing and adequate size at release were needed to help restore success to the program.

A series of feeding experiments were conducted at Mullan Hatchery in 1986 using chinook from the 1986 release group and kokanee of the same size distribution as in the lake during early july (Fig. 6). Three replicates of each of two experiments were run in a small concrete raceway (1.5 x 9.1 m) and in a large earthen pond (23x 30 m ).

Size, shape and structural complexity of experimental systems have profound effects on predator-prey interactions (LaBolle 1981). Experimental systems of two sizes and with differential cover and available forage were used to assess the influence of available space on the results. The ratio of prey to predator was held constant at 10:1 during all experiments. The raceway was stocked with 150 kokanee fry during each run and they were allowed to acclimate for at least one hour prior to the introduction of 15 chinook. Mean duration of raceway experiments was 13.5 hours. Ponds were stocked with 30 chinook and 300 kokanee following the same procedure and mean duration was 17.3 hours. Duration of experiments in the pond was extended to account for lower encounter rates than in the raceway.

Results of the raceway experiments demonstrated that within confined space, all sizes of chinook consumed kokanee. About $30 \%$ of the stomachs were empty. In the pond experiments, where space was less a factor, chinook less than 105 mm (total length) did not eat kokanee (Fig. 7). Small fish (<105 mm) did feed on insects during the pond experiments, but fry were not taken in any of four trials. The ability of kokanee fry to avoid predation would be greatest in Lake Coeur d'Alene, where they are schooling and Iimnetic in distribution. Experiments in the pond probably represent an intermediate environment between the raceway and pelagic zones of the lake. Our conclusion is that all chinook released into Lake Coeur d'Alene should exceed at least 105 mm total length. Given the length variation of the hatchery product, a mean length of 145 to 150 mm should be achieved by release.

Timing of release should correspond to the period of peak kokanee emergence in Wolf Lodge Bay. In addition to high mortality, kokanee fry grow and disperse rapidly following emergence, becoming less vulnerable and available to juvenile chinook (Fig. 8). Chinook should be released by July 1 or in mid-June if target size has been met. High water temperature and lower densities of kokanee fry make later releases undesirable.

Data were collected on chinook diet, length, weight, age and maturity during the August derby. A total of 26 fish were checked in during the week averaging 61.7 cm in length, and ranging from 31.1 to 100.3 cm (Fig. 9). Total catch was about $25 \%$ of that taken in 1985.


Figure 6. Size distribution of chinook and kokanee salmon used in predator-prey experiments conducted at Mullan Hatchery, Idaho, July 1986.


Figure 7. Results of predator-prey experiments conducted with chinook and kokanee salmon at Mullan Hatchery, Idaho, July 1986. Histograms represent the length frequency of chinook used in experiments. Shading in the bars represents the portion of that length class that ate kokanee.


Figure 8. Temporal and numerical distribution of kokanee fry emergence in Wolf Lodge Bay of Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1978 to 1980.


Figure 9. Length composition of fall chinook salmon caught during the August derby in Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1986.

Kokanee taken from chinook stomachs were measured and total ength estimated. Prey length was then correlated with predator length to characterize age-specific predation on kokanee by chinook of varying size (Fig. 10). Larger chinook appear to key in on arger kokanee, as might be expected; however, chinook in the Great Lakes tend to forage differentially on smaller age and size classes of available forage.

Heavy predation primarily on smaller fish would theoretically limit chinook stocking to low levels. Since predation pressure appears to be more evenly distributed upon kokanee in Lake Coeur d'Alene, current or somewhat greater stocking densities should be maintained and evaluated.

Substantial public concern was raised early in 1986 when tissue samples in some species of fish in Lake coeur d'Alene reportedly contained high levels of lead, zinc and cadmi um. Samples of liver, kidney and muscle tissues were taken for subsequent heavy metals analysis by EPA (Table 4). Although the levels of some metals appeared to be high, EPA specialists reported that under the normal frequency of consumption, fillets of chinook and kokanee posed no health threat.

## Kokanee

Population Estimates. Kokanee abundance was estimated at 7. 31 millionfish (757/hectare) during August 1986 , a decline of over 2 million since 1985 (Fig. 11). Age-1t kokanee ( 1984 year class) were much more abundant in 1986 corresponding to their high numbers at age-0+(4.13 million) in 1985. Numbers of age-2t fish were similar between 1985 and 1986, but estimates of other ages varied considerably (Table 5). Density of kokanee of all ages (757/hectare) was similar to the eight-year average. of 731/hectare.

Potential_ Egq_ Deposition_ and Fry Survival. Kokanee spawning escapement was estimated at 735, 400 fishin 1986, down over 470,000 from 1985, but virtually the same as in 1984. The ratio of females to males was $50.5 \%$ and $49.5 \%$ respectively, reflecting a lower proportion of males than in the previous two years ( $57 \%$ males). It. was estimated that 368,000 females deposited 103 million eggs in 1986, assuming a fecundity of 280 eggs/female (Bowler 1979). Potential egg deposition (PED) was lower in 1986 than in 1985 because of reduced female escapement (Table 6).

Survival rates from PED to fall fry have ranged from. 0.71 to $3.90 \%$ and in conjunction with variable female escapement and fecundity have produced large variations in recruitment. Survival from PED to fall fry should be monitored annually to evaluate any apparent stock-recruitment relationship that would aid in modeling the chinook-kokanee populations.


Figure 10. Relationship between size of fall chinook salmon (predator) and size of kokanee salmon (prey) in Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1986.

Table 4. Concentrations of selected metals sampled in chinook and kokanee salmon collected in Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, August 1986.

| Sample | Metal concentration (ppm-wt) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cd | Pb | As | Ha | Cu | Zn |
| one I arge chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| filet | 0.05 | ND | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 4.9 |
| kidney | 5.27 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 5.27 | 36.5 |
| I iver | 2. 14 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 66.9 | 66.1 |
| chinook composites |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5 kidneys
1)
3.67
0.20
0.14
..
1.88
31.2
2)
5. 91
ND
0.22
4.64
32.5

5 |ivers
1)
1.73
0.08
0.28
-.
33.4
66.5
2)
1.92
0.16
ND ..
24.9
51.7
kokanee
filets

| $1)$ | 0.08 | ND | 0.06 | $\cdots$ | 1.12 | 8.7 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2) | 0.13 | ND | 0.06 | $\cdots$ | 0.54 | 8.4 |
| $3)$ | 0.11 | ND | 0.14 | $\cdots$ | 0.58 | 6.7 |
| 4) | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.02 | $\cdots$ | 1.12 | 8.9 |
| 5) | 0.17 | ND | 0.18 | $\cdots$ | 0.68 | 11.5 |
| kokanee <br> whole |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $1)$ | 0.72 | 0.58 | ND | $\cdots$ | 2.16 | 48.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2) | 0.79 | 0.20 | $N D$ | $\cdots$ | 0.66 | 51.7 |
| $N D=$ not detected. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



YEARS

Figure 11. Estimates of kokanee abundance made by mid-water trawl in Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1978 to 1986. Selected age groups are represented by differential shading.

Table 5. Esti mates of kokanee year classes (1975 to 1985) made by mid-water trawl in Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1978 to 1986. Estimates are in millions of kokanee.

| Year <br> class | Year estimated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1985 | 1984 | 1983 | 1982 | 1981 | 1980 | 1979 |
| 1985 | 2.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 2.59 | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 1.83 | 0.86 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 0.72 | 1.86 | 1.17 | 1.51 |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 |  | 2.53 | 1.89 | 1.91 | 4.53 |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  | 0.80 | 2.25 | 2.36 | 2.43 |  |  |
| 1979 |  |  |  | 0.81 | 1.38 | 1.75 | 1.86 |  |
| 1978 |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 1.71 | 1.68 | 1.50 |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.06 | 1.95 | 2.29 |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.06 | 1.79 |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.45 |
| Total | 7. 31 | 9.37 | 4.56 | 6.48 | 9.20 | 6.94 | 6.55 | 6.04 |
| No.I ha | 757 | 970 | 472 | 671 | 953 | 719 | 678 | 625 |
| Mean number per ha = 731 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Estimates of potential egg deposition, fall abundance of wild kokanee fry and their subsequent survival rates in Coeur d'Alene Lake, Idaho, 1979 to 1986.


Average survival of wild fry to fall estimate is $2.0 \%$.

Length and Age at Maturity. Length and age at maturity were examined on spawning kokanee collected by gill nets in Wolf Lodge Bay in November 1986. Mean length of mature fish was 250 mm , a slight decline from that in 1985 ( 257 mm ) (Fig. 12). The decline in size may have been in response to proportional declines in the abundance of males and in the number of age.4+fish in the spawning stock (Fig. 13). The age composition of spawning kokanee has a dramatic influence on their mean size. Age at maturity should be monitored closely because it has such a strong influence on the size of fish available to anglers.

The collection of reliable data on kokanee population dyamics in Coeur d'Alene Lake is high in priority for Region 1 fishery mangement. Precision of the information will become increasingly important as the complexity of chinook and kokanee management increases.

## Spirit Lake

Spirit Lake supports a diverse salmonid and spiny ray fishery, with kokanee and catchable rainbow supporting most of the effort. The kokanee fishery continues to be the best in the region and it should be closely


Figure 12. Mean total length of kokanee spawners measured in Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1954 to 1986.


Figure 13. Age and length composition of kokanee collected in Lake Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 1986.
monitored so that appropriate management can be taken to sustain the program. Recruitment is highly variable and failure can be detected during annual trawling, allowing augmentation of that cohort. The kokanee population is at a theoretical carrying capacity in spirit Lake and heavy fishing pressure should be maintained to avoid the negative effects of overpopulation.

## Kokanee Population Estimates

Kokanee abundance was estimated at 467,700 fish (816/hectare) in july 1986. Abundance decreased by 91,000 ( $16 \%$ from that in 1985 (Fig. 14), apparently resulting from weak recruitment of the 1985 year class (Table 7). A total of 57,142 kokanee fry were stocked in Spirit Lake in August 1986 to offset this apparently weak recruitment. The supplemental release brought total kokanee abundance to an estimated 524,800 fish. Overall, the population is in good shape with abundance and densities similar to those in 1985, 1983 and 1981. Spirit Lake appears to support
 growth being impacted. Precluding major changes in spawning areas, water quality and fishing pressure, these densities seem to be well within the carrying capacity of Spirit Lake.

Estimates of age-specific annual survival were low for age-0t fish, reflecting the apparent weak recruitment. Survival for other ages were somewhat lower, but consistent with long-term averages for the lake (Table 8).

## Potential Egg Deposition and Fry Survival

Estimates of abundance and survival of age-0t kokanee in Spirit Lake have been highly variable, ranging from 0.02 to 2. 56\% (a one hundred and twenty-eightfold difference) (Table 9). This apparent high variability in recruitment has made hatchery supplementation necessary in several years. Kokane survival was evaluated for PED to age- $2+$ over several years to determine if the apparent variable recruitment was reflected in abundance of older-aged fish. During the three years evaluated (1984, 1985 and 1986), survival ranged a consistent 0.60 to $0.78 \%$. In 1984, however, recruitment was supplemented with a release of 100,000 hatchery fry, which contributed to the abundance of age-2t fish in 1986 . Including the hatchery supplement, survival to age-2 + was the lowest of the three years in 1986 ( $0.60 \%$. Estimated survival would have been even lower without stocking, but still would not have produced the magnitude of variation seen in PED to age-ot survival. Our conclusion is that both variable recruitment and inconsistent sampling success for age-ot kokanee are responsible for wide variation in estimated PED to age-0t survival in spirit Lake.

Spawning escapement of female kokanee was estimated at 36,564 mature fish in 1986. Using an estimated fecundity of 317 eggs/female, PED was 11.6 million eggs.


Figure 14. Estimates of kokanee abundance made by mid-water trawl in Spirit Lake, Idaho, 1981 to 1986.

```
Table 7. Esti mates of kokanee year classes (1974 to 1985) made by
    mi d-water trawl in Spirit Lake, Idaho, 1977 to 1986.
    Esti mates are in thousands of kokanee.
```


a 100,000 kokanee fry were released in 1984 to supplement this weak year class.

Table 8. Estimates of age-specific annual survival (\%) for kokanee in Spirit Lake, Idaho.

| Year | Aqe span |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0+$ |  |  |  |
| class | eqg to fry | $0+t 01+$ | $1+$ to $2+$ | $2+$ to $3+$ |
| 1978 |  |  |  | 58 |
| 1979 |  |  | 79 | 94 |
| 1980 |  | 74 | 70 | 70 |
| 1981 | 2.56 | 52 | 59 | 46 |
| 1982 | 0.93 | 12 | $651{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50 |
| 1983 | 0.02 | 199a | 52 |  |
| 1984 | 0.53 | $175^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| 1985 | 0.07 |  |  |  |
| Mean annual | 0.82 | 46 | 65 | 64 |
| survival (\%) |  |  |  |  |

aoverestimated survival 'resulting from problems with trawl gear.

Table 9. Estimates of potential egg deposition, fall abundance of wild kokanee fry and their subsequent survival rates in Spirit Lake, Idaho, 1981 to 1986.

| Year | Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Female } \\ & \text { spawn } \\ & \text { escapement } \end{aligned}$ | Potential eqas (x103) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ \text { previous } \end{gathered}$ | fry from <br> yr. escapement | Wild survival (\%) |
| 1981 | 44, 650 | 20,540 |  | -- | -- |
| 1982 | 26,400 | 15,400 |  | 526,000 | 2.56 |
| 1983 | 33,463 | 18,237 |  | 143,300 | 0.93 |
| 1984 | 57,457 | 26,430 |  | 3,494 | $0.02{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1985 | 55,326 | 25,726 |  | 164,400 | 0.62 |
| 1986 | 36,564 | 11,591 |  | 16,600 | 0.07 a |

aAverage survival of wild fry is $0.84 \%$.

Lowland Lakes Program

## Routine Census

During 1986, we continued routine data collection to describe fishing effort and success on Region 1 lowland lakes. Most data were collected by conservation officers, but other regional personnel participated. The intent is to provide consistent, long-term data on waters other than those evaluated in research programs. Data are used to evaluate the success of management programs and detect areas in need of greater attention.

Complete census data were collected on five lakes in 1983 1984, six Iakes in 1985 and 12 lakes in 1986 (Table 10). The north end of Lake Coeur d'Alene (including Wolf Lodge Bay) had the greatest effort of those I akes (or sections) surveyed, at 172,452 hours. Hayden, Pend Oreille (north shore), and Hauser lakes had between 65,000 and 90,000 hours and continued to be some of the most heavily used fisheries in the region. Estimated effort increased again on Hayden Lake to 82,000 hours, but effort on most systems was fairly consistent, or had declined somewhat (Table 11). Fishing pressurelunit area ranged from 8 to 491 hours/acre. Catch rates varied widely among lakes in each census year, but mean catch rates for salmonids were similar for all lakes between years (1984 = 0.44 fish/hour; $1985=0.50$ fish/hour; $1986=0.40$ fish/hour). Mean catch rates for spiny ray fishes were somewhat more variable between years (1984 = 0.78; $1985=0.93 ; 1986=0.44)$.

Opening day census data have been maintained specifically for several years on Hauser, Jewell, Kelso, Mirror, Spirit, Lower Twin and Round Iakes (Table 12). Opening-day catch rates are often variable, but fishing is typically good. Catch rate for brook trout in Mirror Lake has remained I ow since stocking was curtailed, resulting in a decrease of about 1 fish/hour in the combined catch rate. During this same time interval, catch rate for cutthroat in Jewell Lake increased fourfold, although high variability complicates the interpretation of these data.

## Catchable Rainbow Trout Program Evaluation

The continual increase in demand for catchable trout highlights the need to better allocate this limited resource in order to achieve program goals. Standardization of stocking rates (number/area) continued for catchable rainbow trout to facilitate program evaluation in lakes of Region 1. Small |akes were stocked at 250 to 400 fish/hectare and very Iarge lakes at 3 to 6 fish/hectare. Smaller lakes received higher densities of fish, but overall numbers stocked in each lake were relatively low. Stocking densities on intermediate lakes range from 10 to 60 fish/hectare. Although stocking rate is realistically a function of Iake size, it can be adjusted where effort and rate of return make that appropriate.

Table 10. Routine census data collected on lakes in Region 1, Idaho, during 1986.

|  | Anglers | Mean angler | Estimated | Hours/ | Catch rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Spiny |  |
| Lake | interviewed count |  | hours | acre | HRB | CT | KO | salmo | ray |
| Coeur d'Alene ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Oct | 584 | 56.1 | 172,452 | - | - | - | 1.22 | 1.22 | - |
| Hauser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Oct | 217 | 25.2 | 66,710 | 121 | . 25 | - | - | . 25 | . 44 |
| Hayden |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Oct | 354 | 33.0 | 87,360 | 32 | . 04 | . 02 | - | . 06 | . 20 |
| Pend Oreille ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Nov | 241 | 26.8 | 82,393 | 8 | . 01 | . 003 | - | . 02 | - |
| Upper Twin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Nov | 26 | 5.2 | 13,760 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lower Twin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Nov | 86 | 14.3 | 37,900 | - | . 18 | - | . 08 | . 26 | . 05 |
| Brush |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Nov | 51 | 4.6 | 12,273 | - | . 71 | - |  | . 71 | . 11 |
| Robinson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Nov | 96 | 9.6 | 25,303 | - | ' . 38 | - | - | . 39 | . 09 |
| Spi rit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan-Apr | 93 | 13.3 | 17,590 | - | . 09 | - | 5.9 | 6.0 | . 04 |
| Priest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-Nov | 206 | 15.8 | 50,930 | - | - | - | - | . 18 | - |
| Cocolalla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar | 84 | 16.8 | 6,250 | - | - | - | - | - | 6.1 |
| Medicine/Cave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan-Sept | 234 | 23.4 | 61,950 | - | - | - | - | - | . 67 |

[^0]Table 11. Comparative estimates of effort and catch rate on lakes in Region 1, Idaho, during April through Septenber, 1983 to 1984, 1985 and 1988

| Lake | Angl ers i nt ervi ewed ( n ) | Effort (hours) |  |  | 1983-84 Ove |  |  |  |  | al 1 catch rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1985 | 1986 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hours/acre | Sal - | Spi ny ray |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1983-4 | 1985 | 1986 |  |  |  |  |  | 1983-4 | 1985 | 1986 | moni ds | moni ds | ray | moni ds | ray |
| Coeur | 746 |  | 192, 168 | 172,452 | - | - |  | - | O. $92^{1}$ | - | 1,301 | - | 1, $22^{1}$ | - |
| Hauser | 385 | 49,500 | 75, 210 | 66,710 | 89 | 135 | 121 | O. 26 | 0. 16 | O. 24 | O. 11 | O. 25 | O. 44 |
| Fernan | 273 | 63, 00 | 67,742 | 72,000 | 163 | 176 | 176 | O. 14 | 1. 80 | O. 34 | 0. 57 | O. 12 | 0. 31 |
| Hayden | 275 | 40,50 | 80, 776 | 87, 360 | 11 | 30 | 32 | 0. 03 | 0. 96 | 0. 05 | o. 38 | 0. 06 | o. 20 |
| Pend Oreilleb | 378 | - | 66, 000 | 82, 393 | - | 6 | 8 | - | - | O, $24^{1}$ | - | 0. 02 | - |
| Chatcol et | 82 | - | 26, 645 | 23, 338 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0. 93 | - | 1. 14 |
| Upper Tvi $n$ | 40 | - | 17,401 | 16, 000 | - | 35 | 32 | - | - | O. 19 | - | - | - |
| Lower Twi $n$ | 115 | - | 39, 742 | 37,900 | - | 132 | 125 | - | - | O. 20 | O. 55 | O. 28 | 0. 05 |
| Brush | 73 | - | 35,542 | 14, 265 | - ' 1 | 1, 225 | 481 | - | - | O. 45 | - | O. 71 | o. 11 |
| Coeurd' Al ene ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 181 | - | 34, 803 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1, $10{ }^{1}$ | 0. 03 | - | - |
| Robi nson | 122 | - | 24,025 | 25,303 | - | 480 | 505 | - | - | 0. 63 | - | 0. 38 | 0. 09 |
| Smith | 74 | - | 13,455 | 12,972 | - | 354 | 341 |  | - | O. 45 | 0. 08 | o. 80 | 0. 03 |
| Round | 21 | 13, 100 | - | - | 252 | - | - | 0. 83 | O. 40 | O. 75 | - | - | - |
| Dauson | 3 | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3. 00 | - | - |
| Mrror | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | O. 24 | - | 0. 33 | - |
| Benewah | 19 | - | - | 17,207 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1. 14 | - | 2. 25 |
| Perkins | 12 | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | 2. 51 | 0. 27 | 0. 20 |
| J ewel I | 13 | - | - | 9,900 | - | - | - | - | - | 0. 38 | 0. 36 | 0. 07 | O. 28 |
| Cocolalla | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | O. 22 | - | - | - |
| Sol omon | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | o. 46 |  |  | -- |
| Bonner | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1. 00 | - | - | - |
| Gli dden | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0. 98 | - | - | - |
| Kel so | 52 | - | - | 19, 060 | - | - | - | - | - | O. 45 | O. 17 | O. 70 | O. 19 |

Table 11. Continued.

| Lake | Anglers <br> inter <br> viewed <br> (n) | Effort [hours) |  |  | Hours/acra |  |  | Overall catch rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1983-84 | 1985 |  | 1886 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Salmonids | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spiny } \\ \text { ray } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sal- } \\ & \text { monids } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spiny } \\ & \text { ray } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sat- } \\ & \text { monids } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spiny } \\ \text { ray } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 1983-4 | 1885 | 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1883-4 | 1985 | 1986 |
| Porcupine | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $5.97{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | 0.98 | - |
| Roman Nose \#1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.50 | - | - | - |
| Freeman | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $0.07{ }^{\text {® }}$ | 1.40 | 0.83 | - |
| Rose | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.31 | - | - |
| Batl | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | 0.67 | - | - | - |
| Sheperd | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.50 | - | 1.0 |

[^1]Table 12. Opening day catch rates for sal monids on selected 10 owland I akes in Region 1, Idaho, 1982 to 1986.

| Lake | Year | ```Anglers inter- vi ewed``` | Hours <br> fished | Catch rate (fish/hour) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Combined } \\ \text { catch } \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | R B | CT | BK | B N | K 0 |  |
| Hauser | 1982 | 128 | 295 | 0.18 | -- | 0.01 | - | -- |  |
|  | 1983 | 86 | 126 | 0.64 | -- | 0.01 |  |  | 0.65 |
|  | 1984 | 75 | 194 | 0.46 | -- | -- | - | -- | 0.47 |
|  | 1985 | 42 | 120 | 0.32 | 0.02 | -- | -- | -- | 0.33 |
|  | 1986 | 116 | 343 | 0.33 | . | -- | -- | -- | 0.33 |
| Jewel\| | 1982 | 28 | 98 | -- | 0.17 | -- | -- | 0.04 | 0.21 |
|  | 1983 | 28 | 26 | -- | 0.19 | -- | -- | -- | 0.19 |
|  | 1984 | 11 | 9 | -- | 0.44 | -- | -- | -- | 0.44 |
|  | 1985 | 3 | 6 | -- | 0.67 | -- | - - | -- | 0.67 |
|  | 1986 | - | . - | -- | . | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Kelso | 1982 | 43 | 134 | 0.44 | -- | -- | 0.01 | -- | 0.45 |
|  | 1983 | 73 | 163 | 0.85 | - | -- | .- | -- | 0.85 |
|  | 1984 | 85 | 186 | 1.19 | -- | -- | . . | . - | 1.19 |
|  | 1985 | - | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | $1986$ | 21 | 56 | 0.75 | -- | - | - | -- | 0.75 |
| Mirror | 1982 | 133 | 458 | -- | 0.03 | 1. 31 | 0.04 | $\cdots$ | 1.38 |
|  | $1983$ | 143 | 498 | -- |  | 1.30 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 1.35 |
|  | 1984 | 138 | 353 | - . | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.01 | -- | 0.42 |
|  | 1985 | 35 | 175 | - | - . | 0.11 | 0.10 | $\cdots$ | 0.21 |
|  | 1986 | 20 | 43 | . - | - | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.32 |
| Spirit | $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $124$ | $348$ | $0.05$ | 0. 01 | $0.01$ | - | $0.28$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.87 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $1983$ | $121$ | $258$ | $0.12$ | -. | 0.01 | -- | $0.69$ | $0.82$ |
|  | 1984 |  | -- | -- |  | -- | - - | . | -- |
|  | 1985 |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5 |
|  | 1986 | 30 | 27 | 0.15 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | 0.15 |
| Lower | 1983 | 99 | 365 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.01 | - | -- | 0.21 |
| Twin | 1984 | 40 | 40 | 0.52 | - - | -- | . . * | -- | 0.52 |
|  | 1985 | 43 | 85 | 0.22 | -- |  | -- | -- | 0.22 |
|  | 1986 | 35 | 43 | 0.14 | -- | -- | - | - | 0.14 |
| Round | 1984 | $10$ | $35$ | $0.37$ | 0.37 |  | - | $\cdots$ | $0.74$ |
|  | 1985 | 15 | 60 | 0.25 | -- | 0.35 | -- | - - | 0.60 |
|  | 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hayden | 1986 | 76 | 183 | 0.04 | 0.02 | -- | - | $\cdots$ | 0.06 |


#### Abstract

We continued to use data from conservation officer census, historic census and tag returns to further evaluate the program in 1986. Catchable returns from tagging data were corrected for noncompliance bias by using reward tags as described by Rieman (1983). Rate of return was estimated on four lakes in 1984, five lakes in 1985 and on six in 1986 (Table 13). Return rates ranged from $6 \%$ to $25 \%$ in 1986 and the mean rate (16.4\%) was greater than that in 1985 ( $9.6 \%$, but still substantially lower than in 1984 (29.4\%). Average catch rate for study lakes was similar between 1984 and 1985 ( 0.30 and 0.34 fish/hour, respectively), but the mean for lakes surveyed in 1986 was 0.56. Stocking rates for lakes in 1986 were consistent with model s developed for evaluating stocking, catch and return rates. The relationship between total effort and return to creel was again evaluated, incorporating data collected in 1986. The regression of return to creel on total effort yielded an $r^{2}$ of 0.80 , which was somewhat Iower than that in $1985\left(r^{2}=0.88\right)$, but still statistically significant ( $p<0.03$ ). Return to creel was also regressed on total effort and morphoedaphic index (MEI) and on MEI alone. Values for r2 were 0.16 and 0.23, respectively, and did not show any promise of predictive value.

Additional data are obviously needed in the evaluation of the catchable trout program, especially with respect to factors influencing return to creel. Not only would we like to better predict return to creel on a given system, but a definition of "acceptable" rates of return would provide a consistent basis for identifying viable programs. Refining the stocking model will help to efficiently provide catch rates consistent with goals of the five-year plan for lakes of Region 1. Catch rates for hatchery rainbow on lakes evaluated in 1986 exceeded the goal of 0.5 fish/hour on average (0.58), but a better understanding of factors influencing catch rates is still needed. Where fishing pressure or return to creel warrant special programs, stocking rates could be increased. In systems where fishing pressure and return to creel are consistently very Iow, efforts should be made to direct more pressure to the lake or stocking should be reduced or eliminated. In the future, stocking requests will be modified to be consistent with these goals.


## Lake Surveys

## New Species Evaluation

The status of new species introduced in several lakes in Region 1 was evaluated in 1986 . Electrofishing was used to sample largemouth bass in McArthur and Kelso lakes and smallmouth bass in Hayden Lake. Domestic Kamloops rainbow and channel catfish were collected in Hayden and Cocolalla lakes, respectively, with gill nets. Kamloops rainbow in Hayden Lake were also sampled by hook and line.

Table 13. Lake area, catchable rai nbow trout stocking level, catch rate, ret urn to creel, and fishing pressure on lakes in Regi on 1, I daho, 1984 to 1986.

| Lake | Area |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curr } \\ & \text { stocki } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ent } \\ & \text { ng } \quad \text { nen } \end{aligned}$ | rate | Estimated \% return | Catch rate <br> (fish/hour) |  | Fishing pressure (hours/acre) | Total hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acre | Ha |  | tacre |  | \#/ ha |  |  |  |  |  |
| Robi nson | 50 | 20 |  | 159 |  | 392 | $10^{\text {b }}$ |  | O. 57 | 480 | 24,025 |
| Brush | 29 | 12 |  | 136 |  | 329 | $12^{\text {b }}$ |  | O. 40 | 1, 225 | 35,54 |
| Lower Twin | 300 | 121 |  | 18 |  | 45 | 20 |  | O. 18 | 132 | 39, 742 |
| Upper Twin | 500 | 202 |  | 8 |  | 20 | $14^{\text {b }}$ |  | O. 18 | 35 | 17,401 |
| Fernan | 392 | 158 | 60 | ( 75) |  | 148 | $50^{\text {a }}$ | O. 14 | (0.34) | 160 | 56, 000 |
| Hauser | 554 | 224 | 20 | ( 25) |  | 9 (63) | $35^{\text {a }}$ | 0. 26 | (0.24) | 90 | 50, 000 |
| Spirit | 1, 620 | 656 |  | 6 (7) |  | 15(18) | $50^{\text {a }}$ |  | 0. 07 | 43 | 70, 000 |
| Pend Oreille R. | 2,956 | 1, 196 |  | 3 |  | 8 | $6^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cocolal la | 770 | 312 | 20 | ( 26) |  | 9 (65) | $6^{\text {a }}$ | 0. 22 | (0.22) | -- | -- |
| Round | 52 | 21 | 100 | ( 96) | 247 | ( 239) | $80{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0. 83 | (0.20) | 252 | 13, 000 |
| Smith | 38 | 15 |  | 55 |  | 137 | 20 |  | O. 80 | 344 | 13, 096 |
| Bonner | 23 | 9 |  | 135 |  | 333 | 25 |  | 1. 00 | - - | - - |
| Kel so | 61 | 25 |  | 165 |  | 403 | -- |  | 0. 70 | 312 | 19, 060 |
| Elsie | 20 | 8 |  | 150 |  | 375 | -- |  | -- | - - | - - |
| Glidden | 25 | 10 |  | 121 |  | 302 | -- |  | O. 46 | -- | -- |
| Porcupi ne | 13 | 5 |  | 77 |  | 200 | -- |  | O. 35 | -- | -- |
| Dismal | 10 | 4 | 49 | (49) | 122 | ( 122) | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |
| St oneri dge | 30 | 12 |  | 100 |  | 250 | -- |  | O. 29 | -- | -- |
| J evel I | 301 | 122 |  | 10 |  | 24 | 11 |  | O. 28 | 38 | 11, 508 |
| Freeman | 40 | 16 |  | 100 |  | 250 | 6 |  | O. 83 | -- | -- |

aRet urn to creel data from 1984.
${ }^{b}$ Ret urn to creel data from 1985.
${ }^{\prime}$ stocking rate in 1985 where 1984 data are used to estimate return tocreel.

McArthur Lake. Electrofishing for largemouth bass and black crappie was attempted in September of 1986 , but heavy macrophyte growth precluded effective sampling. Bass and crappie were stocked in 1983 and 1984, respectively, to provide more angling opportunity in the lake. Small perch and pumpkinseed were in great abundance throughout the areas sampled, but no crappie and only one largemouth was caught. A more effective evaluation of their performance could be done in May, prior to heavy weed growth, but the lake may be currently unsuited to bass and crappie angling during the general season. Fishing is closed on McArthur Lake until July 1 each year to enhance production of canadian geese. Macrophytes have heavily congested the lake by the July opener, making boat angling difficult to impossible. The lake appears to be rapidly approaching dystrophy and may only provide marginal bass and crappie habitat now because of macrophyte densities, shallow depth and declining water quality. Northern pike might perform well in McArthur Lake and provide a winter ice fishery, but that option does not appear to be presently acceptable due to downstream concern for Canadian waters.

Kelso Lake. Largemouth bass and bluegill were sampled in Kelso Lake during September to evaluate the status of fish released in 1983 and 1982, respectively. Mean total length of largemouth was 205 mm and ranged from 125 to $435 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{Fig} .15)$. Average length at age was $140 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{age}-2+)$, $204 \mathrm{~mm}($ age- $3+$ ) and $266 \mathrm{~mm}($ age- $4+$ ). These data correspond closely with those for growth of I argemouth in other northern Idaho lakes (Rieman 1987). Mean total length of mature fish was 278 mm and given observed growth rates and age at maturity, the standard 305 mm ( 12 inch) minimum size limit should provide a trophy component in the fishery.

The sex ratio of mature fish captured were $83 \%$ females. Fish, zooplankton and odonatans made up the diets of bass sampled and $50 \%$ of the stomachs were empty.

Only four bluegill were collected in Kelso Lake, and they ranged in length from 55 to 85 mm (mean $=75 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). These fish were not aged, but appear to be progeny of the initial release of 400 fish. The reason for the low catch of bluegill in Kelso Lake was unexplained. We do not know if their abundance is low due to poor reproductive performance, heavy predation by bas overharvest due to this "unique" fish in Region 1 , or if they were simply undersampled.

Cocolalla Lake. Channel catfish were collected in Cocolalla Lake during the lat ter part of October 1986. Mean total length of all fish collected was 217 mm and ranged from 135 to $315 \mathrm{~mm}(F i g .16)$. Mean length at age was $186 \mathrm{~mm}($ age-1+), $240 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{age}-2+$ ) and $289 \mathrm{~mm}($ age- $3+$ ). These growth rates are much better than average for most western waters (Carlander 1970). Stomach contents were composed mostly of chironomids and fish were generally ingood condition. No mature fish were sampled, so they appear to have at least four seasons of growth prior to maturing. Given their current growth rates, channel cats may reach 350 mm in total length before any mature at age-4t. Growth rates and condition of catfish sampled appear to demonstrate the potential of Lake cocolalla to provide an unique quality fishery in northern ldaho.


Figure 15. Length and age composition of largemouth bass collected at Kelso Lake, Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.


Figure 16. Length and age composition of channel catfish collected at Cocolalla Lake, Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.

Hayden Lake. Trout management on Hayden Lake continued in 1986 to emphasize the use of domestic Kamloops rainbow trout fingerlings and somewhat restrictive regulations (3 fish; none <14") to provide better than average angling for large trout. Releases of rainbow fingerlings in 1986 included 158,625 domestic Kamloops and 24,335 wild Gerrard stock fish (Table 14). Kamloops have the potential to grow about $20 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{month}$ in Hayden Lake, but their early age at maturity caused concern about their availability to anglers after reaching legal size. Post-spawning mortality is typically high on hatchery fish and their performance was evaluated in Hayden Lake in 1986. Mean size of rainbow caught during June and August was 48.0 cm with lengths ranging from 20 to $74 \mathrm{~cm}(F i g . \quad 17)$. Average total Iength of domestic Kamloops caught in December (spawning fish) was 56.4 cm and mean weight was 2.0 kg . Age composition favored males ( $64 \%$ ) in the mature fish, but mean length of males and females was virtually identical (56 cm).

Domestic Kamloops ate primarily Mysis during the spring through fall, providing excellent growth rates in Hayden Lake. Mean size of the Kamloops approached 2 kg after Just two seasons in the lake, and 3 kg at three seasons. Even fish maturing at age-2t are available to anglers (legal size) throughout their second season in the lake. This stock has demonstrated excellent potential for providing a consistent trophy fishery in an urban lake that receives heavy angling pressure. Young age at maturity of the Kamloops does not appear to reduce their availability to anglers and their rapid growth and fairly large size in the catch have made them a very popular component of Hayden Lake's trophy fisheries.

Wild Gerrard stock rainbow fingerlings were again released into Hayden Lake in 1986.. These fish were Duncan River (British Columbia) stock obtained as eggs from the Ennis National fish Hatchery in Montana and reared at the Hagerman State Fish Hatchery. The first return of Gerrard stock rainbow to Yellowbanks Creek should have occurred in 1986 (from fingerlings released in 1984), with larger runs expected from 1987 to 1989. The use of this strain and Yellowbanks Creek as a brood source is now contingent upon disease certification. Since all fish must be tested during egg-taking operations, an interim facility must be available to hold all eggs until this fish strain is cleared.

Smallmouth bass are doing very well in Hayden Lake, with the establishment of several strong year classes. The final release of 4,000 smallmouth was made in September 1986, and an attempt was made in late September to evaluate the status of the population by electrofishing. Many bass were caught in smaller size classes ( $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), but water temperatures had cooled and larger fish were too deep to effectively sample. Sampling will be conducted again in May 1987 to evaluate growth, diet, length and age at maturity and natural mortality so regulations can be developed before the opener in 1988. There appears to be strong public support for restrictive regulations and Hayden Lake has the potential to offer a unique trophy experience in an urban fishery.

Surveys. The Iowland lakes survey program was continued in 1986, and fishery and limnological surveys were conducted on six and three lakes, respectively. To date, physical data have been collected on 27 lakes (Table 15). Fishery surveys should be completed for all 27 lakes by 1988.

Table 14. Number and average Iength of domestic Kamloops and wild Gerrard stock rainbow trout released into Hayden Lake, Idaho, 1983 to 1986. Length measurements were derived from a table that converts the number of fish/pound to average length.

| Date | Number <br> released | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Iength (mm) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 |  |  |  |
| 622183 | 115,000 | 96 | Trout Lodge Inc., WA . egg take |
| 8/5/83 | 17,490 | 89 | both lots infected with I HN |
|  | 132,490 |  |  |
| 10/4/83 | 51,450 | 157 |  |
| 10/18/83 | $\frac{44,100}{95,550}$ | 157 | Trout Lodge Inc., WA . ${ }^{\text {C }}$ gg take |
| TOTAL | 228,040 |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |
| 4/23 to | 88,445 | 76 | Gerrard stock from Pend Oreille |
| 5/18/84 |  |  | Lake fish, stocked in Yellowbanks Creek for egg bank purposes |
| 7/23/84 | 260,400 | 87 | Trout Lodge. Inc., WA - e gg † ak |
| TOTAL | 348,845 |  |  |
| 1985 |  |  |  |
| $3 / 16 / 85$ | 3, 531 | 107 | Gerrard stock from Pend Oreille Lake fish, stocked in Yellowbanks Creek for egg bank purposes |
| 7/3/85 | 7,470 | 93 |  |
| 7/8/85 | 4, 565 |  |  |
| 9/16/85 | 156,100 |  | Trout Lodge Inc., WA . ${ }^{\text {C }}$ gg take |
| TOTAL | 171,166 |  |  |
| 1986 |  |  |  |
| 5/28/86 | 81,000 | 96 | Trout Lodge Inc., WA . - egg take |
| 5/29/86 | 77,625 | 96 | Trout Lodge Inc., WA . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ egg take |
| 8/7/86 | 24,335 | 134 | Duncan R. Strain from Ennis NFH, Montana, hatched and reared at Hagerman SFH, Idaho |
| TOTAL | 182,960 |  |  |
| GRAND TOTAL TO DATE | 1,063,561 |  |  |



Figure 17. Length frequency of rainbow trout caught by gill net and hook and line in Hayden Lake, Idaho, 1986.

Table 15. Physical, chemical and potential Limiting factors for salmonids in northern Idaho Low and Lakes.

| Lake | Dept h ( m |  | Conductivity UMHO/ L | MEI | Secchi( m | Summer Limitation factors for sal monids |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | ( $\max$ ) |  |  |  | Low $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ | Hi | h tem | mperat ure |
| Anderson | 3. 7 | (5.2) | 79 | 3. 62 | 2. 4 | moder ate |  |  | moder ate |
| Black | 4. 6 | (6.0) | 18 | 4. 24 | 3. 8 | hi gh |  |  | extreme |
| Bl ue | 4. 5 | (6.4) | 63 | 2. 35 | 2. 7 | moder ate |  |  | moderate |
| Bl ue (Priest R.) | 3. 4 | (3.7) | 54 | 2. 68 | 2. 5 | Low |  |  | extreme |
| Brush | 3. 8 | (5.5) | 58 | 2. 55 | 3. 0 | moder ate |  |  | hi gh |
| But I run | 1. 3 | (2.5) | -- | -- | -- | extreme |  |  | extreme |
| Chatcol et | 3. 4 | ( 10.7) | 51 | 2. 50 | 3. 8 | moderate |  |  | hi gh |
| Chase | 2. 4 | (3.7) | 35 | 2. 48 | 2. 5 | l ow |  |  | extreme |
| Cocollala | 8. 0 | [ 13. 7) | 64 | 1. 35 | 3. 0 | moderate |  |  | moderate |
| Coeur d'Al ene | 24. 3 | (61. 0) | 50 | 0. 35 | 4. 0 | Low |  |  | Low |
| Fernan | 3. 0 | (7.6) | 39 | 2. 19 | 3. 6 | Low |  |  | extreme |
| Freeman | 1. 8 | (5.5) | 81 | 7. 73 | 2. 5 | moder ate |  |  | hi gh |
| Gantole | 4. 1 | (11. 4) | 110 | 4. 51 | 4. 5 | hi gh |  |  | extreme |
| Granite | 20. 8 | (40. 0) | 235 | 1. 91 | 4. 5 | high |  |  | extreme |
| Hauser | 6. 1 | (12. 2) | 45 | 1. 24 | 5. 7 | moder ate |  |  | extreme |
| Hayden | 46. 2 | ( 64. 6) | 60 | 0. 22 | 8. 1 | Low |  |  | Low |
| $J$ evel | 5. 9 | (10.5) | 53 | 1. 53 | 1. 6 | moderate |  |  | hi gh |
| Kel so | 7. 7 | (14. 8) | 97 | 2. 14 | 5. 8 | moder ate |  |  | moder ate |
| MEArthur | 1. 0 | (3.0) | 161 | 29.42 | 2. 0 | Low |  |  | extreme |
| Mrror | 15. 9 | (18.5) | 69 | 0. 73 | 7. 0 | moder ate |  |  | Low |
| Rose | 2. 7 | (5.2) | 40 | 2. 48 | 3. 2 | hi gh |  |  | extreme |
| Round | 5. 7 | (10.4) | 69 | 2. 06 | 2. 5 | moderate |  |  | Low |
| Smith | 7. 0 | ( 12. 0) | 104 | 2. 53 | 3. 8 | moderate |  |  | Low |
| Spirit | 10. 5 | ( 28. 0) | 28 | 0. 46 | 3. 6 | moder ate |  |  | Low |
| Thompson | 4. o | (7. 0) | 92 | 3. 85 | 3. 5 | moderate |  |  | moderate |
| Lower Tuin | 4. 6 | (10.4) | 23 | o. 84 | 5. 3 | moderate |  |  | moderate |
| Upper TVin | 2. 4 | (5. 0) | 24 | 1. 71 | 5. 0 | I ow |  |  | extreme |

Lewel Lake. Jewell Lake is currently in need of a major management effort. Extremely abundant yellow perch have limited the success of the cutthroat trout program. Fish populations were again sampled on jewel Lake in July 1986. Mean size of cutthroat trout was somewhat greater in 1986 (309) than in 1983 and average size of perch had declined (fig. 18). Perch ranged from 139 to 288 mm , with at least four age classes present (Fig. 19). A more complete evaluation of growth of perchand cutthroat will be made in 1987. Consideration should be given to a rotenone treatment and re-establishment of a "salmonid only" lake, or an effort could be made to establish a diversified spiny ray fishery. Jewell Lake has the potential to produce salmonid fishing similar to Mirror Lake and has been treated in the past. The presence of perch, however, indicates that illegal introductions of spiny ray fish would most certainly occur again, I imiting success of the trout program to a few years. Current effort data indicate anglers prefer trout, however, so a spring stocking of catchable rainbow trout, combined with enhancement of the spiny ray fishery, may provide the best overall management solution. If approved in the new five-year plan, potential spiny ray fish could include largemouth bass, northern pike, black crappie and bluegill sunfish.

Fernan Lake. Fish populations were sampled by gillnetting in July 1986. Yellow perch, hatchery rainbow and crappie were the three most abundant species sampled, respectively. Mean size of yellow perch sampled was small ( 174 mm ) and their length ranged from 142 to $209 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{Fig}$. 20). Crappie averaged 208 mm and ranged in length from 172 to 222 mm. Length distribution of the crappie catch was weighted toward the larger size classes and smaller fish may have been undersampled (Fig. 21). Evaluation of age and growth of yellow perch and crappie will be conducted in 1987 to further assess the status of their populations.

A more complete description of all lowland Iakes in Region 1, with management recommendations, will be prepared when both aspects of the survey procedure have been completed. Limnological and biological parameters of lakes surveyed in 1986-are reported here (Figs. 20 to 32).

Jewell Lake<br>7-11-86

Dissolved Oxygen
$(\mathrm{mg} / 1)$

Parameter Value Comments
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Secchi (m) } & 1.6 \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { Conductivity } \\ \left(\text { um/ } / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)\end{array} & 53 \\ \text { Mean depth } & 5.9\end{array}$ (m)
MEI
1.53

| Species | n | Lenath (mm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | range |
|  | Surveyed 8-83 |  |  |
| Cutthroat | 28 | 279 | 181-375 |
| Perch | 49 | 209 | 151-280 |
|  | Surveyed 7-86 |  |  |
| Cutthroat | 4 | 309 | 193-385 |
| Perch | 59 | 185 | 139-288 |
| Kokanee | 4 | 209 | 184-229 |


| Stocking History |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Year | Species | Size | Number |
| 1979 | C1 | 1 | 28,426 |
| 1981 | C1 | 2 | 4,350 |
|  | C1 | 1 | 20,000 |
| 1982 | KL | 1 | 1,572 |
|  | C2 | 2 | 3,500 |
| 1983 | KL | 1 | 1,672 |
|  | C2 | 3 | 480 |
| 1984 | KL | 1 | 2,264 |
|  | C2 | 3 | 175 |
|  | KL | 2 | 5,066 |
| 1985 | KL | 1 | 1,660 |
| 1986 | R1 | 3 | 2,998 |
|  | R4 | 3 | 1,000 |
|  |  |  |  |

Figure 18. Limnological and blological parameters, and stocking history of Jewel Lake, Bonner County, Idaho.


Figure 19. Length frequency of yellow perch collected in Jewel Lake, Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.


Figure 20. Limnological and biological parameters, and stocking history of Fernan Lake, Kootenal County, Idaho.


Figure 21. Length distribution of yellow perch and crappie collected by gill net in Fernan Lake, Kootenai County, Idaho, 1986.

## Anderson Lake



Figure 22. Limnological and biological parameters, and stocking history of Anderson Lake, Kootenal County, Idaho.

## Blue Lake

Dissolved Oxygen
Dissolved Oxygen
(mg/l) (---)
(mg/l) (---)


| Parameter | Yalue | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Secchi (m) | 2.7 |  |
| Conductivity <br> (um/cm2) | 63.3 |  |

Mean depth
4.5 (m)
MEI

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.35 \\
& 8-25-86
\end{aligned}
$$



| Stocking History |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Year | Species | Size | Number |
| 1979 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1980 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1981 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1982 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1983 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1984 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1985 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1986 | -- | -- | -- |

Figure 23. Limnological and biological parameters, and stocking history of Blue Lake, Kootenal County, Idaho.

> Thompson Lake
> $8-23-86$
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) (---)

(C) $(-)$


Not Netted

Parameter Value Comments
Secchi (m) $\quad 3.5$
Conductivity 92 (um/cm2)

Mean depth
4.0 (m)

MEI
3.85

8-23-86

| Stocking History |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Year | Species | Size | Number |
| 1979 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1980 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1981 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1982 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1983 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1984 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1985 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1986 | -- | - |  |

Figure 24. Limnological and biological parameters, and stocking history of Thompson lake, Kootenal County, Idaho.

> Sinclair Lake
> $6-20-86$


Figure 25. Limnological and biological parameters, and stocking history of SInclair Lake, Boundary County, Idaho.


Figure 26. Length composition of yellow perch collected in Sinclair Lake, Boundary County, Idaho, 1986.
Dissolved Oxygen

Parameter Value Comments
Secchi (m)
Conductivity
( $u m / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ )
Mean depth
(m)
MEI
Not Surveyed

|  | Net Collections 6-21-86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lenath (mm) |
| Species | $n$ | $\bar{x}$ | range


| Stocking History |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Year | Species | Size | Number |
| 1979 | RB | 3 | 3,807 |
|  | KM | 1 | 5,080 |
| 1980 | RB | 3 | 4,130 |
| 1981 | RB | 3 | 4,755 |
| 1982 | R1 | 3 | 3,180 |
| 1983 | R1 | 3 | 1,505 |
| 1984 | R1 | 3 | 3,020 |
| 1985 | R1 | 3 | 2,962 |
| 1986 | R1 | 3 | 2,015 |
|  | R4 | 3 | 1,000 |

Figure 27. Limnological and biological parameters, and stocking history of Bonner Lake, Bonner County, Idaho.


Figure 28. Length composition of pumpkinseed sunfish collected in Bonner Lake, Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.

## Solomon Lake

Dissolved Oxygen
(mg/l) (---)

Farameter yalue Comments
Secchi (m)
Conductivity
$\left(u m / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$
Mean depth
(m)
MEI
Not Surveyed

| Net Collections |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lenath $(\mathrm{mm})$ |  |
| Species | $n$ | $\bar{x}$ | range |
| Cutthroat | 15 | 230 | $156-385$ |


| Stocking History |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Year | Species | Size | Number |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | KM | 1 | 5,062 |
| 1980 | No Stocking |  |  |
| 1981 | No Stocking |  |  |
| 1982 | KM | 1 | 3,040 |
| 1983 | C3 | 1 | 2,162 |
| 1984 | K1 | 1 | 2,268 |
| 1985 | No Stocking |  |  |
| 1986 | C2 | 1 | 2,500 |

Figure 29. Limnological and biological parameters, and stocking history of Solomon Lake, Bonner County, Idaho.


Figure 30. Length composition of cutthroat trout collected by gill net in Solomon Lake, Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.
Dissolved Oxygen
$(\mathrm{mg} / 1) \quad(---)$

Parameter Value Comments
Secchi (m)
Conductivity ( $u \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ )
Mean depth
(m)
MEI
Not Surveyed

| Net Collections $7-23-86$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lenath (mm) |  |
| Species | $n$ | $\bar{x}$ | range |
| Bullhead | 34 | 253 | $199-277$ |
| Perch | 14 | 190 | $173-208$ |
| Bluegill | 1 | 71 | 71 |
| Crappie | 11 | 204 | $155-260$ |
| Largemouth | 5 | 272 | $182-355$ |


| Year | Species | Size | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | $\text { no stocking }_{n}$ |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  |
| 1981 | " |  |  |
| 1982 | " |  |  |
| 1983 | " |  |  |
| 1984 | " |  |  |
| 1085 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |
| 1986 | " |  |  |

Figure 31. Limnological and biological parameters, and stocking history of Dawson Lake, Bonner County, Idaho.


Figure 32. Length composition of bullheads and yellow perch collected by gill net in Dawson Lake, Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.
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## ABSTRACT

The routine officer creel census was continued in 1986 to provide information on fishing effort and harvest throughout the region. The hatchery catchable rainbow trout program was also evaluated, using the officer creel census and tag returns. The relationship between catch rate and stocking rate described in 1984 and 1985 was not consistent in 1986 and it appears factors other than stocking density affect catch rates of hatchery rainbow trout in streams.

An evaluation of the lower Spokane River trout population was continued in 1986 . A summary of the results are as follows: (1) abundance of salmonids was high, but variable; (2) growth was good; (3) fishing mortality was low; (4) natural mortality was high; and (5) recruitment was highly variable. A detailed analysis of age structure, growth, mortality, seasonal distribution and movement will be reported by Underwood (in preparation).

Numbers of kokanee spawners in selected tributaries of the Kootenai River declined from the 1985 estimate, resembling estimates made in 1983 and 1984. An estimated 820 kokanee spawned in four tributaries (Boundary, Long Canyon, Parker and Smith creeks). Counts were conducted in early September in 1986 and may have missed the peak of the spawning activity.

Data to establish a staff gaugedischarge relationship for Grouse Creek were collected in 1986 and will continue to be collected until 1988. A staff gauge will be installed in Lightning Creek. Staff gauge-discharge data will be collected in 1987. Two additional streams (North Fork Grouse and Trestle creeks) are proposed for minimum instream flow requests for 1987.

In 1985, a sample of 326 westslope cutthroat trout was collected from the upper St. Joe River by anglers and length measurements were made. The average length of fish collected was 165 mm and $99 \%$ were 305 mm or shorter in length. Since most cutthroat in the St. Joe River appear to first mature at 305 to 330 mm , the potential to overharvest fish before they have the opportunity to spawn at least once seems high if restrictive regulations are not applied.

A project to evaluate the status of adfluvial trout and char populations in the Lake Pend Oreille drainage was continued in 1986. The project will attempt to quantify annual production of Gerrard rainbow trout smolts and also rainbow and bull trout spawning escapements from selected tributaries to Lake Pend Oreille. A detailed report of the first year's data collection will be presented in a separate document (Hoelscher, in preparation). A small-scale creel census was conducted on tributaries of Grouse and Lightning creeks to determine if angler harvest has a significant detrimental impact on smolt escapement to the lake. Absolute numbers of rainbow harvested were low, (40 from Lightning Creek and 23 from Grouse Creek), but taken in conjunction with limited habitat availability and apparent low spawning escapement, any harvest may be detrimental.

A project to evaluate quality and quantity of habitat and status of fish populations in selected tributaries of the lower priest River was initiated in 1986. Data on species composition, relative abundance, age and growth characteristics and quality and quantity of habitat were collected and analyzed. Overwintering habitat in the lower portions of the study tributaries is limited, but the streams appear to support moderate populations of brook and cutthroat trout. Fishing pressure was relatively low.

Juvenile cutthroat trout abundance in Wolf Lodge creek was again assessed in 1986 as a follow-up to the 1983 gas spill. Overall, cutthroat densities were higher than in 1985 but much lower than in 1984. Densities of brook trout of all ages have increased two to fivefold since 1984. Habitat surveys of lower Wolf Lodge Creek and lower Marie Creek were conducted to determine the quality and quantity of available rearing habitat for age-1+ and $2+$ westslope cutthroat. Habitat in the undeveloped section of Wolf Lodge Creek downstream from the Schoolhouse Bridge is in better condition than habitat in the developed section upstream of the Schoolhouse Bridge.

Fish species composition, relative abundance and limnological characteristics of the Pend Oreille River above Albeni Falls Dam were evaluated i $n$ August 1986 . Gill nets were fished and 12 species of fish ( 7 game and 5 nongame species) were collected. Nongame fish were more abundant than game fish.

Limnologically, the river is fairly homogeneous from the lake outlet to the dam and has adequate oxygen levels, but habitat is limited for sal monids by high i sothermal water temperatures.
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## OBJ ECTIVES

1. To determine relative abundance, density, and age and growth data for wild and hatchery stocks of trout in streams.
2. To determine availability of spawning, rearing and overwintering habitat and existing and potential use of stream habitat by trout.
3. To determine instream flow requirements for trout.
4. To determine impacts of land use activities on fish populations and stream habitat.

## RECOMMENDATI ONS

1. Use catchable trout stocking rates of 150 to 300 total fish/km on stream systems where wild recruitment is extremely limited or nonexistent.
2. Eliminate stocking of brown trout in the Spokane and Priest river systems until evaluations of their population status can be made.
3. Enforcement of catch-and-release regulations on the upper coeur d'Alene River system and on the upper St. Joe River should be a Region 1 priority to ensure maximum. compliance and best possible biological responses.
4. Educate the local angling public to the fishing opportunities that exist for brook trout and cutthroat trout in many of the lower priest River tributaries. These populations seem largely underutilized.
5. Habitat in the lower portions of the East River and tributaries has been impacted by cattle grazing. Encourage landowners to fence the streams or otherwise restrict cattle access to the stream. Riparian vegetation could be restored and fish habitat improvement structures (drop logs, boulder clusters, etc.) should be used to increase pool habitat.
6. Brook trout in lower Wolf Lodge Creek may be a significant predator-competitor with juvenile cutthroat. Remove brook trout to enhance cutthroat production.

## TECHNI QUES AND FINDINGS

## Routine Census

The routine creel census data collection program implemented in 1984 was continued in 1986, with conservation officers collecting information from the main stem, North Fork and South Fork Coeur d'Alene rivers; main stem and North Fork St. Joe rivers; Priest and Kootenai rivers; and Teepee Creek. Estimated fishing effort ranged from 83 hours/km on Priest River to 656 hours/km on Teepee Creek (Table 1). Effort appeared to decline on several of the following waters from 1985 estimates: special regulation zones on the main stem and North Fork Coeur d'Alene rivers, South Fork Coeur d'Alene and Priest rivers and the general regulation zone of the st. Joe River. However, it should be noted that 1985 estimates were for the entire fishing season (Memorial Day to October 31), while 1986 estimates are from Memorial Day to August 31. In general, officers only collected data until the end of August in 1986; however in 1985, they were able to check anglers until after Labor Day. Effort in 1986 was nearly the same as estimated in 1985 for the main stem and North Fork Coeur d'Alene rivers and the St. Joe and Priest rivers during the Memorial Day to August 31 period. Apparently, effort did decline on the South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, which may be due to concern over heavy metal contami nation or anglers perceptions that the river simply did not provide a good fishery. This is corroborated by the reduced catch rates for hatchery rainbow trout (0.8 fish/hour in 1985; 0.35 fish/hour in 1986). Hatchery rainbow may have migrated out of the area following release and thus were not available to anglers fishing the South Fork.

Catch rates generally met or exceeded goals outlined in the current fisheries management plan. Exceptions included: the main stem coeur d'Alene River-both general and special regulation areas and the special regulation area of the North Fork Coeur d'Alene River. Other exceptions were Teepee Creek and the North Fork St. Joe River. Low catch rates in the Coeur d'Alene River system are likely related to low fish densities resulting from lack of good quality rearing habitat and poor embryo survival in the gravels (Gamblin 1986). Creel information from the North Fork St. Joe River was collected sporadically and may not accurately reflect effort and catch rates.

## Catchable Rainbow Trout Program Evaluation

[^2]Table 1. Routine census data collected on Regi on 1 rivers and streans during 1986.

| Ri ver | No. anglers <br> i nt er vi ewed | Kmin section | angl ers | $\frac{x \text { angl ers }}{\mathrm{km}}$ | Est. total hours | Est. hours KM |  | Catch rate <br> fish/hour |  |  |  | Estimated catch no. fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HRB | WRB | CT | Total | HRB | WRB | CT | Total |
| Coeur d' Al ene |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Regulation | 153 | 64 | 27 | 0. 42 | 29,660 |  | 463 | o. 8 | 0. 03 | 0. 03 | O. $14^{1}$ | 2,373 | 890 | 8 | 4, 450 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Special Regulation | 59 | 32 | 9 | O. 28 | 12,960 |  | 405 |  | - - | O. 17 | O. 17 | - | - | 2, 2 | 2,203 |

North Fork

| General | Regul ation | 68 | 19 | 8 | O. 42 | 9, 640 | 507 |  |  | O. 15 | 1. 31 | 11, 177 | - | 1, 445 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special | Regul ation | 73 | 24 | $\sigma$ | o. 25 | 3,010 | 125 | - | O. 01 | O. 12 | o. |  | 30 | 61 |



Table 1. Continued.

|  | No, anglers | Km in | $\bar{x}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ anglers | Est. total | Est. hours | Catch rate fish/hour |  |  |  | Estimated cetch no. fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River | interviewad | section | anglers | $\underline{\mathrm{km}}$ | hours | KM | HRB | WFB | CT | Total | HRE | WFB | CT | Total |
| Priest | 42 | 48 | 4 | 0.08 | 3,980 | 83 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 0.05 | $1.10^{1}$ | 2,785 | 199 | 199 | 3,183 |
| Kootenai | 50 | - | 10 | - | 9,060 ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.291 | - | 1,540 | 362 | 2,626 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes species other than rainbow and cutthroat trout.
${ }^{2}$ Limited data.

Table 2. Catchable trout stocking rates, catch rates and percent return to the creel for Region 1 rivers and streams in northern Idaho, 1984 to 1986.

| River | Year | km | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stocking } \\ & \text { density } \\ & \text { (fish/km) } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated percent return | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | Fishing pressure (hrs/km) | Total hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coeur d'Alene | 1986 | 64 | 192 | $\cdots$ | 0.08 | 463 | 29,660 |
|  | 1985 | 54 | 287 | 14 | 0.29 | 265 | 14,310 |
|  | 1984 | 54 | 256 |  | 0.35 | 530 | 36,000 |
| South Fork Coeur d'Alene | 1986 | 19 | 220 |  | 0.35 | 69 | 1,310 |
|  | 1985 | 19 | 215 |  | 0.79 | 377 | 7,150 |
|  | 1984 | 19 | 375 | 8 | 0.73 | . - |  |
| North Fork Coeur d'Alene | 1986 | 19 | 316 | $\cdots$ | 1.16 | 507 | 9,640 |
|  | 1985 | 19 | 316 | 10 | . - | .- |  |
|  | 1984 | 19 | 313 |  | - | - | $\cdots$ |
| St. Joe | 1986 | 57 | 159 |  | 0.12 | 190 | 10,820 |
|  | 1985 | 60 | 159 | 28 | 0.51 | 209 | 12,525 |
|  | 1984 | 64 | 144 |  | 0.21 | 282 | 14,000 |
| North Fork <br> St. Joe | 1986 | 35 | 275 | 46 | 0.10 | 275 | 9,630 |
|  | 1985 | 35 | 161 | . | 0.43 | 256 | 8,950 |
|  | 1984 | 35 | 263 | -- | -. | -. |  |
| Priest | 1986 | 48 | 251 | - | 0.70 | 83 | 3,980 |
|  | 1985 | 48 | 249 |  |  | 149 | 7,160 |
|  | 1984 | 48 | 413 | 3 | -- |  |  |
| Marble Creek | 1986 | 19 | 110 | 47 | $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  | 1984 | 19 | 50 | .- | 0.21 | 1,462 | 23,400 |
| St. Maries | 1985 | 42 | 168 | - | 0.88 | 256 | 10,740 |
|  | 1984 | 42 | 206 | 22 | 0.50 |  |  |
| Pend Oreille | 1985 | 42 | 238 | 2 | - | - |  |

Catch rates supported by hat chery-reared rainbow trout ranged from 0.08 to 1.16 fish/hour (Table 2). In general, catchrates declined in 1986 when compared to 1984 and 1985 . In 1984, catch rates appeared to be a function of stocking density (Fig. 1). In subsequent years, however, this relationship has not held up (Fig. 2). It appears that unquantified factors other than stocking density may be affecting catch rates; census bias or missing data may have affected the results. Another method to measure the success of the catchable stocking program is the percent of stocked fish returning to the creel. Percent return to the creel may be related to the strain of fish stocked. In 1984 and 1985, rainbow trout stocked in Region 1 rivers and streams were R1 (unspecified) rainbow and maximum return to the creel was $28 \%$ in the St. Joe River (Table 2). In 1986, percent return to the creel from tagged rainbow trout was $46 \%$ and $47 \%$ in the North Fork St. Joe River and Marble Creek, respectively. These fish were Hayspur stock and at least upon first examination, they appeared to perform better than the R1 rainbow. Other researchers have reported similar results of good return to the creel for Hayspur fish (Ellis et al. 1982; Partridge 1985).

Further evaluation of the performance of Hayspur fish and other strains of rainbow trout in northern ldaho rivers and streams is needed to effectively utilize this limited resource. Until additional data are collected, it appears that we can provide catch rates of about 0.3 to 0.5 fish/hour, with stocking densities of approximately 150 to $300 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{km}$ on most northern Idaho streams where hatchery trout supplement or support native populations. Low catch rates reported for the St. Joe, North Fork St. Joe and Coeur d'Alene rivers may be due to census bias. These areas were not targeted for officer census and data were collected less of ten than on other waters. However, low catch rates on the main stem Coeur d'Alene River were corroborated by additional harvest data collected by research personnel during the first four weeks of the general fishing season. Catch rates ranged from 0.01 to 0.14 fish/hour on the river from Enaville to Dudley (Bill Horton, Fishery Research Biologist, IDFG, personal communication). Reasons for low catch rates may be twofold: 1) the strain of rainbow stocked may exhibit low catchability, and 2) the fish may be emigrating shortly after release and are not available to anglers. High catch rates in the North Fork Coeur d'Alene River (1.16 fish/hour) reflect excellent angler success experienced during the first few days of the fishing season.

Additional harvest, catch rate and return to the creel data will be collected to evaluate releases of catchable rainbow trout in the Moye River during 1987.

## Spokane River

A graduate student research study, funded by Washington Water Power Company (WWP), was initiated in 1985 and data collection was completed in 1986. The objectives of the study were to:

1. To determine relative abundance and species composition of fishes;


Figure 1. Relationship of catchable rainbow trout stocking density to catch rate for Region 1 rivers and streams in northern Idaho, 1984.


Figure 2. Relationship of catchable rainbow stocking density to catch rate for Region 1 rivers and streams in northern Idaho, 1984 to 1986.

Legend: -1986 data
$0=1985$ data
$\Delta=1984$ data
2. To assess seasonal distribution, movement, and habitat utilization of sal monid fishes;
3. To assess population dynamics of salmonid fishes; and
4. To assess recruitment as a factor limiting the salmonid population.

Fish sampling in 1986 was conducted in summer and fall using a boat-mounted electrofishing unit in the main river and a backpack electroshocker in shallow and backwater areas. A population estimate of approximately 19,000 salmonids was made using a modified Peterson esti mate. Approxi mately $95 \%$ of the sal monids sampled were rainbow trout.

Analysis of movement was determined by angler returns of tagged fish and radio telemetry. Six adult rainbow trout had transmitters surgically i mplanted in themprior to the spawning season and their movements were monitored twice weekly for about six weeks. In general, the fish remained in the areas where they had been originally captured and released. The following is a brief summary of the results of the study:

1. Abundance of sal monids was high, but variable.
2. Growth was good.
3. Fishing mortality was low.
4. Natural mortality was high.
5. Recruitment was highly variable.

It was suspected that high natural mortality was due to post-spawning stress caused by low summer flows and high water temperatures. More detailed results of the study will be reported in a separate document (Underwood, in preparation).

Approximately 202,000 brown trout fry were stocked in the Spokane River in May and June 1986. Fish ranged from 45 to 85 mm in 1 ength and had a mean length of 64 mm at the time of release (Fig. 3). Nearly 784, 000 brown trout have been stocked in the river since 1983 to diversify the fishery and take advantage of the abundant forage (speckled dace) (Table 3). To date, tagging data indicate a low return to the creel (about $5 \%$ ). Research indicates that the available habitat may be saturated, as fish less than 300 mm in length are in relatively poor condition. Stocking of brown trout in the Spokane River will be curtailed until further evaluation of the population's status can be made.


Figure 3. Length frequency distribution of brown trout fry released in the Spokane River, Idaho, in May and June, 1986.

Table 3. Summary of releases of brown trout in the Spokane River, Idaho, 1983 to 1986.

| Year | Number of fish released | Mean length (mm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 106,250 | 62 |
| 1984 | 100,625 | 62 |
| 1985 | 370,460 | 73 |
| 1986 | 202,030 | 64 |
| TOTAL | 783,940 |  |

## Kootenai River

## Kokanee

Counts of kokanee spawners in selected tributaries of the Kootenai River were down from 1985 estimates and more closely resembled estimates made in 1983 and 1984 (Table 4). Counts in 1986 were made approximately one week later in the year than in 1985. It appears that the peak number of spawners are in the tributaries during the latter pat-t of August.

The closure of Ball, Boundary, Long Canyon, Mission, Myrtle, Park, Smith and Trout creeks in 1982 eliminated a then popular fishery and generated considerable public concern. In an effort to maintain a local kokanee fishery, we have requested kokanee eggs from Canada to initiate a small-scale enhancement program. To date, surplus eggs have not been available, nor does it seem likely that they will become available in the near future. Following a recent decline, the kokanee population in Kootenay Lake has not yet recovered and all hatchery production will be needed to prevent the collapse of Kootenay Lake stocks.

Prespawning adult kokanee have been fairly abundant in the main stem Kootenai River in recent years. Anglers are learning where and how to fish for these kokanee and concern over the tributary closures appears to have died down. The reopening of Boundary Creek in 1985 further increased fishing opportunity for kokanee. Neither the response of the fishery or the fish population were monitored in 1986.

Table 4. Estimates of spawning kokanee salmon in tributaries of the Kootenai River, Idaho, in August to September, 1983 to 1986.

| Number Observed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream | $1983{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1984 a | 1985 b | $1986{ }^{\circ}$ | Comments |
| Boundary | 10 | 55 | 200 | 10 | About 250 m around county <br> road access |
| Long Canyon | 300 | 17 | 650 | 400 | ```From below West Side road to flat gradient section (about 500 m)``` |
| Parker | 100 | 70 | 75 | 10 | From Morter's gate downstream 650 m |
| Smith | 150 | 130 | $1500+$ | 400 | West Side road bridge upstream to falls |
| a Counts made <br> ${ }^{\text {b Counts }}$ made <br> ${ }^{c}$ Counts made | $\begin{gathered} o n \\ \text { on } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { n Sept } \end{gathered}$ | gust gust mber | $\begin{aligned} & 15 . \\ & 31 . \\ & 6 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## Instream Flows

## Grouse and Lightning Creeks

The Department applied for instream maintenance flows on Grouse and Lightning creeks in 1981 and those applications have been recommended for approval by the Director of the Idaho Water Resources Board. They will be submitted for legislative review and approval during the next legislative session (1988).

A staff gauge was installed on Grouse Creek in 1986 and we began collecting data to establish a staff gauge-discharge relationship. We will continue to collect data during 1987. A staff gauge will be installed in Lightning Creek during 1987 and discharge data will be collected during the 1987 field season.

Two additional streams have been proposed for minimum instream fiow requests for 1987. Regional personnel will use IFIM (Instream Flow Incremental Methodology) techniques to determine mi nimum instream flow needs on the North Fork Grouse and Trestle creeks to protect spawning and rearing habitat for Gerrard rainbow trout and bull trout.

## St. Joe River

## Westslope Cutthroat Trout

In July 1985, seven members of the North Idaho Flycasters Club of Coeur d'Alene fished the upper St. Joe River from Conrad Crossing to Spruce Tree campground. This section of river is managed as a wild trout water ( 3 fish, 330 mm minimum size; artificial flies and lures only, single barbless hooks required). In three days of fishing, they collected and measured lengths of 326 cutthroat trout. Fish ranged in length from 76 to 330 mm , with a mean length of 165 mm . Ninety-nine percent of the fish collected were 305 mm or shorter in length (Fig. 4). Only two of the 326 fish caught were greater than 305 mm long. These data were not reported in our 1985 annual report.

The lack of larger fish (longer than 330 mm ) in the catch would indicate that as soon as a cutthroat attains a length at or even close to the mi nimum size limit, it is harvested. It seems likely that anglers are catching and keeping a significant number of illegal fish (those less than 330 mm |ongl, as shown by the lack of fish between 305 and 330 mm . The stretch of river from Conrad Crossing to Spruce Tree campground is within the roaded section. Thus, this section receives moderately high fishing pressure (approximately 14,000 hours of effort annually) and the potential to overharvest near legal-sized fish is high. Since cutthroat in the St. Joe River typically are 305 to 330 mm long at first spawning, the potential to overharvest fish before they have the opportunity to spawn seems high. Increased enforcement of the 330 mm minimum size requirement, and/or implementation of a more restrictive regulation, will be necessary to protect and enhance westslope cutthroat trout populations in the St. Joe River.

## Lake Pend Oreille Tributaries

## Grouse and Lightning Creeks

A project to evaluate the status of adfluvial trout and char populations in the Pend Oreille drainage was continued in 1986 with Joint funding from the Lake Pend Oreille Idaho Cl ub and the Department. This phase of the project is being conducted as a graduate student research project. The study will attempt to quantify annual production of Gerrard rainbow smolts and Gerrard rainbow and bull trout spawning escapements from selected tributaries to Lake Pend Oreille. Results of the first year's data collection will be reported in a separate document (Hoelscher, in preparation).

In addition to the graduate student research project, in 1986 we conducted a small-scale creel census on tributaries of Grouse and Lightning creeks to supplement the creel census conducted in 1985 by Irving (1987) on the main stems of those two streams. We censused anglers on weekends and holidays from the opening weekend (Memorial Day) to early July and found limited fishing activity occurring on the tributaries. The


Figure 4. Cumulative length frequency of westslope cutthroat trout taken by angling from the St. Joe River (Conrad Crossing to Spruce Tree campground), Idaho, July 19 to 21, 1985.
bulk of effort was expended on main stem streams. During the interval from Memorial Day to July 4, an estimated 30 people fished 63 hours and harvested 40 Gerrard rainbow trout in tributaries of Lightning Creek. During the same period on Grouse Creek tributaries, 11 people fished 16 hours and harvested 23 rainbow trout. In and of it self, this does not appear to be a significant harvest, but combined with i imited habitat availability and apparent low numbers of spawners returning to the tributaries, any harvest of juvenile Gerrard rainbow trout could have detrimental effects on their populations.

## Lower Priest River Tributaries

Public concern has been voiced over the lack of good stream fishing opportunities in the lower Priest River drainage. The fishery in the lower river and its tributaries have largely been unquantified. I nformation on habitat quantity and quality and status of fish populations was lacking. During July 1986 , we initiated a project to gather sufficient information on the lower river tributaries to determine appropriate management alternatives. The objectives of the project were:

1. To determine the quality and quantity of spawning and rearing habitat in selected lower Priest River tributaries.
2. To determine species composition and relative abundance for fish in lower Priest River tributaries.
3. To assess age and growth characteristics for fish species in lower Priest River tributaries.
4. To propose management recommendations to improve the fisheries in the Iower Priest River tributaries.

## Methods

We collected baseline information on habitat quality and quantity using a methodology developed by fishery biologists from the Idaho Panhandle National Forest. This methodology subdivides a drainage into reaches on the basis of channel gradient, streamorder, valley bot tom type and stream channel type. It provides information on habitat type, cover, spawning areas, sediment, temperatures and stream gradient. Lengths and average widths of eight habitat types are measured. Cover components (e.g., large woody debris, boulders, undercut banks and overhanging vegetation) are measured and percent of cover is recorded. Gradient is measured with a clinometer. Information was recorded on field forms (Fig. 5) designed for easy data entry into the Panhande National forest computer system.

We collected fish in portions of the tributaries with a Coffelt BP-1C backpack electroshocker or by angling. Fish were anesthetized and total length (mm) and scale samples taken. Scales were collected from the caudal peduncle area--where they first form below the adipose fin--and above the lateral line. Scales were impressed onto plastic laminate slides using approximately 20,000 pounds of pressure and read at $43 x$ magnification on Micro Design 920 microfiche reader. The distance from the focus to each annulus and the edge of the scale was measured at a 200 angle from the longest axis of the scale.

We snorkeled the East River from the mouth to the confluence with the Middle Fork East River and the lower 2.4 km of the Middle Fork East River to determine species composition, relative abundance and distribution of fish (Fig. 6). More snorkeling would have been done, but snorkeling gear was not available until mid-July. Generally, the weather was overcast or rainy during July and conditions were not conducive to making snorkel counts. Electrofishing was used extensively to collect abundance, species composition and distribution information (Fig. 6).

## Habitat

We collected habitat information on eight of 20 streams in the lower Priest River drainage. All eight streams are accessible to the river and thus are potentially useful to fluvial trout. In general, the lower reaches of streams were dominated by riffles (Table 5) and spawning sites were either absent or of marginal quality (Table 6). Gradients ranged from 1 to 2\%. However, the upper reaches generally contained more and better quality pool habitat. (Table 5). Also, the quantity and quality of instream cover was improved in the upper reaches (Table 6). Gradients ranged from 2 to $5 \%$.

The main stem East River and the lower portion of the Middle Fork East River generally lacked good quality riparian vegetation and have been moderately impacted by cattle grazing. Nearly all of the and is held in private ownership and is unfenced. Bank sloughing and erosion are common and contribute significant amounts of fine sediment to the streams, subsequently reducing the quality of considerable spawning gravels in the East River (Table 6). In the Middle Fork East River, the predominant particle size observed was small cobble and spawning gravels were interspersed in small pockets.

The Upper West Branch was the Iargest tributary surveyed and overall it appeared to have the least productive habitat. The Upper West Branch flows through a highly erosive, granitic land type and consequently, the predominant substrates are coarse and fine sands (Table 6). The limited spawning gravels present are of marginal quality and instream cover is generally absent. Most pools observed were either class 2 or 3 (Table 5).

Binarch Creek, North Fork East River and Big Creek generally had the best quality habitat of all surveyed tributaries. Pools were more abundant and of better quality than other streams (Table 5). Instream cover was fair to excellent and spawning sites were fairly numerous and of good quality (Table 6).


Figure 5. Fisheries habitat evaluation fleld data form developed by USFS personnel, I daho Panhandle Nat Ional Forests.
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Figure 6. Locations of habitat, electrofishing and snorketing survey transects in tributaries of the lower Priest River, Idaho, July 1986.

Table 5. Percent habitat types for eight tributaries of the lower Priest River, Idaho, surveyed in July 1986 .

| Stream | Reach | Pool classes |  |  |  | Riffles | Runs | Pocket water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Binarch Creek | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - - | 70 | 12 | 15 |
|  | 2 | .- | .- | 6 | 3 | 54 | 21 | 17 |
|  | 3 | - |  | - . | 56 | - - | 41 | 3 |
| Upper West Branch | 1 | -- | 21 | 9 | -- | 43 | 27 | -- |
|  | 2 | 6 | 9 | 21 | - | 1 | 63 | -- |
| Big Creek | 1 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 40 | 35 | - - |
| Happy Fork Big Creek | 1 | -- | -- | -- | 4 | 55 | 41 | -- |
|  | 2 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | 71 | 29 | -- |
| North Fork Big Creek | 1 | -- | -- | 1 | - - | 85 | 14 | -- |
| East River | 1 | -- | 2 | 3 | 10 | 27 | 58 | -- |
| Middle Fork East River | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 81 | 7 | 4 |
| North Fork East River | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 2 | 45 | 40 | -- |
|  | 2 | - - |  | 10 | 5 | 75 | 10 | -- |
|  | 3 | 6 | 33 | 11 | 22 | 21 | 7 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 4 | 3 | 3 | . - | 13 | 38 | 5 | 38 |

Table 6. General stream habitat characteristics of eight tributaries of the Iower Priest River, Idaho, surveyed in July 1986. (LOM = Iarge organic matter, OV = overhanging vegetation, BK = brook trout and $C T=$ cutthroat trout.)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Stream } \\ \text { name } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Reach ength (miles) | Gradient <br> (\%) | Pool. <br> riffle | Spawning sites | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Binarch | 0.7 | 2 | 1:17.5 | 14 | Majority of cover provided by LOM and boulders; good cover. |
|  | 0.4 | 3 | 1:6 | 7 | Majority of cover provided by LOM and OV. |
|  | 0.25 | 1 | 56:1 | 3 | $56 \%$ of habitat in pools: all Class 4 pools. Mostly pools created by beavers. |
| Upper West Branch | 0.6 | 1 | 1:1.4 | 12 | ```Cover I acking; spawning sites marginal quality 30% fines.``` |
|  | 0.4 | 1 | 18:1 | - | Substrate pre. dominantly sand; no spawning sites observed; Iacks instream cover. Class 3 pools. |
| Big Creek | 0.5 | 1 | 1:1.7 | 29 | Numerous BK fry <br> and adults obs. <br> channel braids in several places. Cover good. |
| Happy Fork Big Creek | 0.5 | 1 | 1:13.7 | 16 | All Class 4 pools. <br> Instream cover adequate. <br> Channel braids in places. |
|  | 1.4 | 3 | 1:71 | 1 | Instream cover <br> very good; pro. <br> vided by boulders <br> and OV. Pools <br> lacking. |


| Stream n a me | Reach I ength (miles) | Gradient (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pooll } \\ & \text { riffle } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spawning } \\ & \text { sites } \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Fork Big Creek | 1.25 | 2 | $1: 85$ | 14 | Small, shallow stream. Spawning sites of marginal quality due to fines. Cover adequate; pools lacking. |
| East River | 1.4 | 1 | 1:1.8 | 375 | Spawning gravel <br> marginal quality; instream cover minimal; few fish obs., mostly cyprinids. Grazing. |
| Middle Fork East River | 1.0 | 2 | 1:10 | 12 | Most pools Class 1 or 2. Substrate mostly cobble; spawning gravel in pockets. Cover mi nimal. |
| North Fork East River | 0.25 | 2 | 1:3 | 21 | Cover adequate; 1/2 pools Cl ass 1 channel braids near upper end of reach. Spawning gravels good. |
|  | 0.3 | 2 | 1:5 | 33 | Channel braids <br> occasionally. All <br> pools Class 3 or <br> 4. Instream cover <br> minimal. Spawning <br> sites good. |
|  | 0.9 | 2 | 3.6:1 | 20 | Most pools created by beaver activity. <br> Spawning sites few. Lots of BK obs.; $50 \%$ of pools Class 2; 50\% of pools Class 3 and 4. Channel braids extensively. |

Table 6. Continued.

| Stream na me | Reach length (miles) | Gradient (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pooll } \\ & \text { riffie } \end{aligned}$ | Spawning sites | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Fork East River (cont.) | 1. 0 | 5 | $1: 6.1$ | 3 | Habitat primarily pocket water; <br> several Iarge <br> debris jams.- <br> partial barriers. <br> Mostly CT. <br> Excellent cover. |

The third reaches of both North Fork East River and Binarch Creek were dominated by pools created by beaver dams. In general, spawning sites were limited and instream cover, primarily large tree stumps and logs, was abundant. Habitat in the uppermost reach of the North fork East River was primarily pocket water, with small riffle-pool sequences (Table 5). Spawning habitat was restricted to small pockets behind boulders. Large, log-debris jams were observed, but none were believed to be total migration barriers. Instream cover was considered excellent (Table 6).

The North Fork and Happy Fork of Big Creek were riffle-dominated streams, with few pools (Table 5). Instream cover was adequate, but spawning gravels were marginal quality (Table 6).

## Fish Populations

## Species Composition, Rel ative Abundance and Distribution

Species observed in lower Priest River tributaries included brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis), westslope cutthroat trout (Salmo clarki lewisi), bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus), brown trout (Salmo trutta), mountain whitefish (Prosopium williamsoni), rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri), Iargescale sucker (Catostomus macrocheilus), northern squawfish (ptychocheilus oregonensis), slimy sculpin (cottus cognatus), redside shiner (Richardsonius balteatus) and Iongnose dace (Rhinichthys cataractae).

Longnose dace and I argescale suckers were rarely collected and were generally taken in the lower reaches of the streams. Redside shiners were collected in the Upper West Branch only. Squawfish were observed only in the lower East River. Sculpins were collected in all stream reaches.

Brook trout were the most abundant and most widely distributed species of game fish (Fig. 7). Although they were observed in every tributary we surveyed, their densities were greatest in the Big Creek drainage (Table 7). Cutthroat trout were the second most abundant species (Table 7) and were collected throughout the Big Creek drainage, Binarch Creek and the upper reaches of the North and Middle forks of East River (Fig. 8). They were also collected at the mouth of Uleda Creek. The highest densities of cutthroat were observed in the uppermost reach of the Middle Fork East River and throughout the Big Creek drainage (Table 7). Bull trout and brown trout were considerably more restricted in their distribution (Figs. 9 and 10 ) and generally found in very low densities (Table 7). Bull trout were observed only in the Middle Fork East River and in the middle and upper reaches, while brown trout were observed in the East River and lower reaches of the North and Middle forks. Only three brown trout were collected from Big Creek.
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Figure 7. Distribution of brook trout sampled by snorkeling, angling and electroshocking in tributaries of the lower Priest River, Idaho, during July 1986.

| Stream | Section | Speciesa | N | Density ( fish/100 m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Binarch Creek | 1 | BKT | 17 | 3.2 |
|  |  | CTT | 3 | 0.2 |
| Upper West Branch | 1 | BKT | 10 | 2. 1 |
|  | 2 | BKT | 28 | 3.3 |
|  | 3 | BKT | 1 | 0.7 |
|  |  | R B T | 1 | 0.7 |
| East River | 1 | B R N | 7 | 0.5 |
|  |  | BKT | 1 | 0.2 |
| North Fork East River | 1 | BKT | 21 | 6.0 |
|  |  | B R N | 10 | $2.9$ |
|  | 2 | BKT | 5 | 1. 4 |
|  |  | BRN | 3 | 0.9 |
|  | 3 | BKT | 9 | 1.4 |
|  |  | BRN | 25 | 3.9 |
|  | 4 | BKT | 43 | 12.4 |
|  | 5 | BKT | 15 | 2.6 |
|  |  | CTT | 14 | 2. 2 |
|  | 6 | BKT | 7 | 2.0 |
|  |  | CTT | 20 | 4.4 |
| Middle Fork East River | 1 | BKT | 22 | 3.3 |
|  |  | BRN | 5 | 0.8 |
|  |  | BLT | 2 | 0.3 |
|  | 2 | CTT | 1 | 0.3 |
|  |  | BRN | 7 | 1. 8 |
|  |  | BLT | 7 | 1.8 |
|  | 3 | CTT | 44 | 24.0 |
| Tarlac Creek | 1 | BKT | 3 | $2.1$ |
|  |  | BLT | 7 | 4.4 |
| Uleda Creek | 1 | CTT | 4 | 4.4 |
|  |  | BLT | 6 | 6.6 |
| Big Creek | 1 | BKT | 10 | 3.1 |
|  |  | $B \mathrm{RN}$ | 3 | 0.9 |
|  |  | MWF | 1 | 0.3 |
|  | 2 | BKT | 38 | 13.9 |
|  |  | CTT | 21 | 7. 7 |
|  | 3 | BKT | 21 | 17.2 |
|  |  | CTT | 8 | 6.6 |

Table 7. Continued.

| Stream | Section | Species ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N | Density ( fish/ $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Happy Fork Big Creek | 1 | BKT | 11 | 13.4 |
|  |  | CTT | 5 | 7. 3 |
|  | 2 | BKT | 26 | 106.6 |
|  |  | CTT | 5 | 20.5 |
| North Fork Big Creek | 1 | BKT | 15 | 8.2 |
|  |  | CTT | 14 | 7.6 |

```
aBKT = Brook trout.
CTT = Cutthroat trout.
RBT = Rainbow trout.
BRN = Brown trout.
BLT = Bull trout.
MWF = Mountain whitefish.
```



Figure 8. Distribution of cutthroat trout sampled by angling and electroshocking in tributaries of the lower Priest River, Idaho, during July 1986.
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Figure 9. Distribution of bult trout sampled by electrostrocking in tributaries of the lower Priest River, Idaho, during July 1986.
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Figure 10. Distribution of brown trout sampled by snorkeling and electroshocking in tributaries of the lower Priest River, Idaho, during July 1986.

## Age and Growth

## Brook Trout

A total of 131 brook trout were collected from the East River drainage.

Scales were examined for age determination on 58 of these fish. Fish ranged in age from 0 to 3 and were 33 to 221 mm in total Iength (Fig. 11). Back-calculated length at annulus formation was 84 mm at age-1, 124 mm at age-2 and 158 mm at age-3 (Table 8).

Brook trout collected from Big Creek and its tributaries (N = 121) ranged in age from o to 3 and from 37 to 228 mm in total length (Fig. 12). Of the 63 fish aged, back-calculated length at age-1 was 83 mm , 122 mm at age-2 and 171 at age-3 (Table 9).

Brook trout collected from Upper West Branch River ranged in age from 0 to 3 and from 46 to 182 mm in total length (Fig. 13A). Back-calculated length at age-1 was $88 \mathrm{~mm}, 124 \mathrm{~mm}$ at age-2 and 148 mm at age-3 (Table 10).

Seventeen brook trout were collected from Binarch Creek, ranging in age from o to 3 and from 34 to 178 mm in total Iength (Fig. 13 B ). Back-calculated length at age-1 was $84 \mathrm{~mm}, 121 \mathrm{~mm}$ at age-2 and 152 mm at age-3 (Table 11).

## Cutthroat Trout

Cutthroat trout collected from the East River drainage ( $N=86$ ) ranged in age from 0 to 3 and 39 to 217 mm in total length (fig. 14A). Back-calculated length was 95 mm at age-1, 136 mm at age-2 and 171 at age-3 (Table 12).

Fifty-four cutthroat trout were collected from the Big Creek drainage, ranging in age from 0 to 4 and from 34 to 197 mm in total |ength (Fig. 14B). Back-calculated Iength was 81 mm at age-1, 121 mm at age-2, 154 mm at age- 3 and 177 mm at age-4 (Table 13).

Only two cutthroat were collected from Binarch Creek. Both were age-2 and were 161 and 171 mm in total length.

## Bull Trout and Brown Trout

Few ( $N=20$ bull trout were collected, ranging in age from 0 to 6 and from 32 to 541 mm in total length (Fig 15A). The largest fish we collected was six-years-old, taken near the mouth of Uleda Creek. It is likely to have migrated upstream from Priest River and was holding in the tributaries until it spawned in the fall. Back-calculated length at annulus formation was 92 mm at age-1, 133 mm at age-2 and 180 mm at age-3 (Table 15).


Figure 11. Length frequency and age distribution of brook trout collected from East River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

| Age class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| । | 13 | 76 | -- |  |
| 11 | 23 | 86 | 122 | -- |
| 111 | 8 | 91 | 127 | 158 |
| Average I ength |  | 84 | 124 | 158 |
| N |  | 44 | 31 | 8 |
| I ncrement of growth |  | 84 | 40 | 34 |



Figure 12. Length frequency and age distribution of brook trout collected from Big Creek, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

Table 9. Back-calculated lengths at age and increment of growth for brook trout in Big Creek, Pend Oreille drainage, Idaho, July 1986 .

| Ageclass | N | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I। | 28 | 78 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| I।। | 21 | 84 | 118 | 171 |
| Average I ength | 3 | 86 | 122 | 171 |
| N | 83 | 24 | 3 |  |
| Increment of growth | 52 | 39 | 49 |  |



Figure 13A. Length frequency and age distribution of brook trout collected from Upper West Branch River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

Figure 13B. Length frequency and age distribution of brook trout collected from Binarch Creek, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

Table 10. Back-calculated lengths at age and increment of growth for brook trout from Upper West Branch River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

| Ageclass | N | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 8 | 81 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| I। | 2 | 96 | 126 | $\ldots$ |
| Average length | 2 | 86 | 121 | 148 |
| N | 88 | 124 |  |  |
| Increment of growth | 12 | 4 | 2 |  |

Table 11. Back-calculated lengths at age and increment of growth for brook trout from Binarch Creek, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

123

| Ageclass | N | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 2 | 78 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| I। | 4 | 92 | 125 | 152 |
| Average length | 1 | 82 | 117 | 152 |
| N | 84 | 5 | 1 |  |
| Increment of growth | 7 | 37 | 31 |  |



Figure 14A. Length frequency and age distribution for westslope cutthroat collected from East River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

Figure 14B. Length frequency and age distribution for westslope cutthroat collected from Big Creek, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

Table 12. Back-calculated lengths at age and increment of growth for cutthroat trout in East River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986 .

| Age class | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| । | 23 | 82 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| I। | 20 | 99 | 134 | $\ldots$ |
| Average I ength | 7 | 103 | 139 | 171 |
| N | 95 | 136 | 171 |  |
| Increment of growth | 50 | 27 | 71 |  |

Table 13. Back-calculated lengths at age and increment of growth for cutthroat trout in Big Creek, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.
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| Age class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 10 | 70 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| I। | 15 | 82 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| I।। | 6 | 83 | 120 | 154 | $\ldots$ |
| IV | 2 | 90 | 122 | 154 | 177 |
| Average I ength | 81 | 121 | 154 | 177 |  |
| N |  | 33 | 23 | 8 | 2 |
| Increment of growth | 81 | 40 | 33 | 23 |  |



Figure 15A. Length frequency and age distribution of bull trout collected from East River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

Figure 15B. Length frequency and age distribution of brown trout collected from East River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

Table 14. Back-calculated lengths at age and increment of growth for brown trout in East River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986.

| Age class | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I। | 20 | 74 | $\ldots$ |  |
| I।। | 1 | 87 | 123 | 138 |
| Average length | 2 | 78 | 118 | 138 |
| N | 80 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Increment of growth | 23 | 38 | 20 |  |

```
Brown trout collected from the East River drainage ranged in age from 0 to 3 and from 35 to 168 mm in total length (Fig. 15 B ). Back-calculated length at annulus formation were 80 mm at age-1, 118 mm at age-2 and 138 mm at age-3 (Table 14). One larger (265 mm) fish was collected, but not aged.
```


## Discussion

The lower priest River tributaries appear to support fair populations of resident brook trout and cutthroat trout. Densities of fish are comparable to other northern Idaho streams. Densities of bull trout are very low, indicating they are probably maintaining only remnant status. Bull trout are currently protected from harvest in the Pend Oreille drainage in an effort to restore their population.

Brown trout densities were also relatively low. Brown trout were first stocked in the Priest River drainage in 1976, primarily in the main stem Priest River below Priest Lake and in the East River drainage. Nearly 960,000 brown trout fry and fingerlings have been released in the Priest River drainage since 1976 (Table 16). To date, limited evaluation of the success of those stockings has been undertaken. It appears from the limited number of brown trout observed during our surveys that they have not been extremely successful. I n conversations with local anglers who fish the tributaries and the main river, it became apparent that few brown trout are caught. Whether this low success rate is due to poor survival of the stocked fish or the low catchability of the brown trout is unclear. Brown trout should no longer be stocked in the Priest River drainage until a complete evaluation of the success of the stocking program can be done.

During our surveys, we observed that fishing pressure was quite low during the week. In a four-week interval, we met four anglers who had fished a total of six hours and caught eight fish. In talking with local anglers and the local conservation officer, it seems the drainage received the bulk of fishing pressure during the first three to four weeks of the general fishing season and then effort declined quickly.

From our surveys, it appears the fishery is underutilized and could withstand greater angler exploitation. It al so appears that many people are either unaware of the fishery's potential, or are not interested in the type of fishery the streams provide-a yield type fishery for small (6 to 9 inch) trout. Access is not a problem, as nearly every tributary is either paralleled by a road or has access at several points along its I ength.

Table 15. Back-calculated lengths at age and increment of growth for bull trout in East River, Priest River drainage, Idaho, July 1986 .

| Age class | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I। | 2 | 84 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| I।\| | 9 | 84 | 119 | 180 |
| Average I ength | 2 | 107 | 147 | 180 |
| N | 92 | 133 | 2 |  |
| Increment of growth | 13 | 41 | 47 |  |

Table 16. Releases of brown trout into Priest River drainage, Idaho, 1976 to 1986.

| Location | Year | Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fry | Fingerling | Catchable |
| Priest River | $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | -- | $\begin{array}{r} 3,100 \\ 62,500 \end{array}$ | $28,000$ |
|  | 1985 | -- | 212,200 | -- |
|  | 1986 | 145,900 | .- | -- |
| East River | 1978 |  | 3,100 | $\cdots$ |
| North Fork East River | 1978 | 26,568 | 3,600 | -- |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66,638 \\ & 41,440 \end{aligned}$ | -. | - |
| Middle Fork East River | 1976 | -- | 21,500 | -- |
|  | 1977 | 65,484 | -. | -- |
|  | 1978 | 26,568 | 33,000 | -- |
|  | 1979 | 141,600 | 15,700 | -- |
|  | 1980 | 62,180 | , | $\cdots$ |

In general, habitat in lower portions of the East River drainage appeared to be impacted by land use activities (primarily cattle grazing). Several areas of bank sloughing and erosion were observed during our surveys. Most areas of poorer habitat are held in private ownership. Riffles were the dominant habitat type, with pools either absent, or generally of poorer quality. Opportunities exist for habitat improvement if private landowners would be willing to fence the streambanks or otherwise restrict cattle access.

Drop log structures or boulder placement in proper locations could be used to create scour pools to improve fish habitat in the stream. Upper portions of the East River drainage had better quality habitat and higher fish densities.

The main stem Big Creek had the best quality habitat of all we surveyed and supported the highest fish densities. Happy Fork and North Fork Big creeks were small tributaries that generally supported mostly age-0 and age-1 trout.

Binarch Creek is a relatively small tributary that maintains low densities of brook trout and cutthroat trout and has been known to become intermittent late in the summer (Joe Esteves, Hydrologist, Priest Lake Ranger District, USFS, personal communication). The Upper West Branch River appears to have the least productive habitat of all the tributaries surveyed. It was also the most difficult tributary to access and probably receives little fishing pressure as a result. It appeared that large amounts of sand in the stream are the natural substrate and little opportunity exists for habitat improvement in the lower stream sections. The upper section is a low gradient (1\%), meandering stream and seems adequately suited for the small. population of brook trout that inhabits this section.

## Wolf Lodge Creek

On July 29, 1986, six transects were snorkeled within Section 1 of the area impacted by the gasoline spill of June 3, 1984. Because the day was sunny with Just a few high clouds, underwater visibility was 3 m. Water temperature was $15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 1200 hours. Snorkel transects were completed by 1630 .

Size classes of juvenile fish were easily distinguishable. Cutthroat fry were about 40 to 65 mm in Iength, while brook trout fry were approximately 60 to 75 mm . Age-1+ cutthroat ranged from 90 to 110 mm and age-2+ were about 120 to 135 mm .

Cutthroat fry were found in high densities in suitable backwater areas, while older age cutthroat were located mostly in pools. More age-2+ cutthroat were observed than age-1+ in most pools. Overall, cutthroat densities were higher than in 1985, but much lower than densities reported in 1984 (Table 17). Densities of all ages of brook trout increased two to fivefold since 1985 (Table 17). Large brook trout were often observed in the deeper holes and could be significant predators. We recommend the selective removal the brook trout to reduce the risk of predation and competition.

Using the I daho Panhandle National Forest fisheries habitat survey methodology, habitat surveys were conducted on Wolf Lodge Creek from Cedar Creek upstream to the confluence with Marie Creek and on Marie Creek from the mouth up to Burton Creek. Tributaries in the upper portion of the Wolf Lodge Creek drainage have previously been surveyed by Forest Service personnel. The majority of cutthroat spawning occurs in these upper tributaries (Lukens 1978), but habitat in the lower section, where the majority of the older age classes of cutthroat rear before going to Lake Coeur d'Alene, has not been quantified. The lower section is divided into two reaches, developed and undeveloped, and there are noticeable differences in habitat between them. The undeveloped section from Cedar Creek upstream to the Schoolhouse Bridge has many large, deep pools and relatively few riffles and runs (Table 18). Riparian bank cover is generally good and banks are stable. In the developed area above the Schoolhouse Bridge, riffles and pools are nearly equally represented, but the majority of the pools ( $28 \%$ are class 3 or 4 (Table 18). Above the Gateway subdivision, the old channel has filled with gravel, causing major bank cutting and split channels in places. There are few deep holes. and much of the available habitat is now shallow riffles or runs, with little riparian bank cover.

Habitat in lower Marie Creek is in relatively poor condition. Riffles are the predominant habitat types and pools are either entirely I acking or in low abundance (Table 18). In August 1986, Marie Creek largely dried up and fish were trapped in a few isolated pools. The I ower portion of Marie Creek has been channelized, resulting in a lack of adequate holding water for fish. Fishery management personnel electroshocked several hundred cutthroat fry out of several pools and released them in Wolf Lodge Creek below the confluence with Marie Creek.

## Pend Oreille River

## Species Composition and Relative Abundance

Fish species composition and relative abundance in the pend Oreille River were determined by gill netting on August 27 to 28, 1986. Seven experimental gill nets (4 sinking sets and 3 floating sets) were fished once each in two general areas of the river. Section i included the river from Laclede downstream to the confluence with Priest River; Section 2 included the river from Riley Creek campground upstream to Muskrat Lake (Fig. 16).

Table 17. Observed fish densities (fish/100 m²) in transects in Wolf Lodge Creek, Idaho, 1984 to 1986, affected by the gasoline spill of June 4, 1983.

| Transect location | Transect <br> area m² | Observed fish densities (fish/100 m²) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cuthroattrout |  |  | Brook trout |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | $1+$ | $2+$ | 0 | $1+$ | $2+$ | + |
| 1. Hole at upstream side Schoolhouse Bridge | 81.5 | 24.5 | 6.1 | 11.0 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 7.4 |
| 2. 200 m upstream of Schoolhouse Bridge | 212.0 | 32.1 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.5 |
| 3. Large deep hole Just below Funk's pond | 198.2 | 23.2 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| 4. 200 m above Gateway Bridge | 186.0 | 8.6 |  | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Long riffle-run with shallow water adjacent to Mr. Burnstynes' residence | 123.1 | 6.5 |  | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. About 500 m below gas spill location | 63.6 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 0 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 0 |
| Total area snorkeled | $864.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average of all transects | $\begin{aligned} & 1986 \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.3 \\ & 15.9 \end{aligned}$ | 3.4 3.8 | 4.4 1.5 | 2.6 1.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & .25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & .96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \\ & .96 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $1984{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 61. |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 93 |

Table 18. St ream habitat characteristics of holf Lodge Creek and Marie Creek, Kootenai County, Idaho, August 1986.

"Includes the streamto the Interstate 90 bridge.


Figure 16. Locations of gill nets sets and limnological sampling stations in the Pend Oreille River Idaho, August 1986. (U1 = upriver station 1; $D 1$ = downriver station 1, etc.; $F=$ floating gill net; and $S=$ sinking gill net.)

Twelve species of fish (7 game and 5 nongame species) were collected from the river (Table 19). Nongame fish were more abundant, representing nearly $52 \%$ and $75 \%$ of the total number of fish collected in sections one and two, respectively (Fig. 17). Although few weights were recorded, nongame fish comprised the bulk of the biomas of fish collected. Yellow perch were the most abundant game fish collected, while northern squawish were the domi nant nongame species observed (Table 19). Overall, northern squawfish were the most abundant species collected, accounting for over $41 \%$ of the total number for both sections combined.

Few rainbow trout ( $<2 \%$ were collected. Temperature-depth profiles measured at the four downstream and three upstream limnological sampling stations indicated the river is generally isothermal and generally exceeds 210 C ( 700 F ) from the surface down to a depth of 25 m (Figs. 18 to 21) during late summer. It appears the Pend Oreille River seasonally has I i mited suitable habitat for sal monids and would likely be better suited for a spiny ray predator that could take advantage of the abundant forage and the warmer water temperatures.

## Age, Growth and Maturity

Scale samples were collected from black crappie, yellow perch and rainbow trout and analyzed for age, growth and maturity characteristics (Table 20). Sample sizes were quite small and only older age classes (2 to 5) were represented in the sample. It appears that black crappie from the Pend Oreille River are relatively slow-growing and late-maturing when compared to fish from other western waters (Carlander 1977). No data were available to compare growth and maturity for yellow perch, but they are expected to be comparable to perch from other northern ldaho waters. Growth of the wild rainbow trout collected is comparable to that reported for rainbow in Montana waters (Carlander 1969), but growth is not optimum because of summer high water temperatures. These fish could be progeny of hatchery rainbow trout stocked in the river as catchables or wild Gerrard strain fish. It is unclear whether these fish had matured and spawned once prior to being collected, or whether unfavorable environmental conditions delayed maturity. Wallace and Simpson (1978) reported that rainbow trout typically mature at age-2 or 3 .

Obviously, additional information is needed to better quantify the fishery potential of the Pend Oreille River. In 1986, the Department proposed an amendment to the Northwest Power Planning Council's Fish and Wildiffe Program. We proposed that Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) or the Army Corps of Engineers fund research to evaluate the existing fish populations and habitat and provide data to maximize existing and potential fisheries in the river. The proposal has been accepted by the Power Planning Council and funding for the project is being pursued.

Table 19. Species, numbers and range of total lengths (mm) of fish collected by gillnetting the Pend Oreille River, Idaho, August 27 to 28, 1986.

| Section | Species | Number | ( \%) | Total length | ( mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rainbow trout | 5 | (1.5) | 170-230 |  |
| 1 | Whitefish | 5 | (1.5) | 190.250 |  |
| 1 | Yellow perch | 125 | ( 36.7 ) | 130-220 |  |
| 1 | Largemouth bass | 12 | (3.5) | 140-270 |  |
| 1 | Pumpkinseed | 12 | (3.5) | 90-120 |  |
| 1 | Black crappie | 5 | (1.5) | 110-140 |  |
| 1 | Brown bull head | 1 | (0.3) | 220 |  |
| 1 | Tench | 18 | ( 5.3) | 160-380 |  |
| 1 | Largescale sucker | 17 | ( 5, 0) | 240-450 |  |
| 1 | Redside shiner | 2 | (0.6) | 140 |  |
| 1 | Peamouth | 16 | ( 4.7) | 190.270 |  |
| 1 | Northern squawfish | 122 | (35.9) | 180-510 |  |
|  | TOTAL | 340 | (100.0) |  |  |
| 2 | Rainbow trout | 3 | (1.6) | 180-220 |  |
| 2 | Yellow perch | 25 | (13.2) | 140-170 |  |
| 2 | Largemouth bass | 6 | (3.2) | 140-240 |  |
| 2 | Pumpkinseed | 4 | (2.1) | 90-120 |  |
| 2 | Black crappie | 7 | (3.7) | 110-220 |  |
| 2 | Brown bull head | 3 | (1.6) | 230-250 |  |
| 2 | Tench | 2 | (1.0) | 330-370 |  |
| 2 | Largescale sucker | 17 | ( 9.0 ) | 220-460 |  |
| 2 | Redside shiner | 7 | (3.7) | 150-160 |  |
| 2 | Peamouth | 20 | ( 10.6 ) | 230.290 |  |
| 2 | Northern squawfish | $\underline{95}$ | (50.3) | 190-520 |  |
|  | TOTAL | 189 | (100.0) |  |  |



Figure 17. Relative abundance of game and nongame fish collected by gillnetting two sections of the Pend Oreille River, Idaho, August 27 to 28, 1986.


Figure 18. LImnological characteristics of the Pend Orellle River at two sampling stations (U1 and U2) in Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.


Figure 19. Limnological characteristics of the Pend Orellle River at one sampling station (U3) in Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.


Figure 20. Limnological characteristics of the Pend Oreille River at two sampling stations (D1 and D2) in Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.


Figure 21. Limnological characteristics of the Pend Oreille River at two sampling stations (D3 and D4) in Bonner County, Idaho, 1986.

Table 20. Age, growth and maturity characteristics of game fish sampled by gillnetting in Pend Oreille River, Idaho, August 27 to 28, 1986.

| Species | Length (mm) | Weight (q) | Sex | Mature | Aae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black crappie | 119 | 16 | M | No | $2+$ |
|  | 129 | 25 | M | No | $2+$ |
|  | 130 | 23 | - | No | $2+$ |
|  | 212 | 128 | F | Yes (187) | $5+$ |
|  | 220 | 163 | M | Yes (187) | $5+$ |
| Yellow perch | 145 | 29 | M | No | $3+$ |
|  | 157 | 38 | F | Yes (187) | $4+$ |
|  | 161 | 40 | F | Yes (187) | $4+$ |
|  | 178 | 54 | F | Yes (187) | $4+$ |
|  | 223 | - - | F | Yes (187) | $5+$ |
| Rainbow trout (wild) | 180 | 59 | M | No | $3+$ |
|  | 182 | 50 | M | No | $3+$ |
|  | 182 | 53 | M | No | $3+$ |
|  | 203 | 66 | M | No | $3+$ |
|  | 209 | 85 | M | No | $4+$ |
|  | 211 | 82 | F | No | $3+$ |
|  | 222 | 100 | M | No | $4+$ |

## Habitat Survey

Li mnological characteristics of Pend Oreille River were measured at seven stations in 1986 to quantify the current fishery habitat potential of the system. Samples were taken at four stations downstream from Riley Creek campground (stations D1 to D4) and three stations (Ul to U3) were located upstream (Fig. 16). Water temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration, conductivity, depth and Secchi transparency were measured at each station.

Stations U1, U2 and U3 were characterized by nearly vertical dissolved oxygen profiles (Figs. 18 and 19). Saturation was $100 \%$ at the surface and declined with increasing depth to produce the consistent concentrations with depth. Temperature profiles were slightly orthograde, but the water column was not strongly stratified. Mixing to the bottom probably occurs quite readily and frequently in the upper reaches of the Pend Oreille River. As a result, adequate oxygen concentrations and high temperatures are found at all depths. Other characteristics measured were si milar among the upriver stations.

At the downstream sampling stations, conditions were most similar at D1 and D3 and at D2 and D4, respectively (Figs. 20 and 21). Stations D1 and D3 were more similar to those of the upper river, while stations D2 and D4 showed a more pronounced oxygen deficit near the river bottom. Depth at station D2 was about 10 m greater than at other locations and relatively less mixing may occur in these deeper pockets of cooler and more stable water. Greater biological activity along the bottom of the river at D4 may have caused the oxygen decline observed there.

Li mnologically, the river was fairly homogeneous from the mouth to the dam and had adequate oxygen levels, but habitat was limited for sal monids by high isothermal water temperatures.

## Shoreline Survey

A total of 54.3 and 53.1 km of shoreline habitat was characterized along the north and south banks, respectively, of the Pend Oreille River from the long bridge at Sandpoint to Albeni Falls Dam. The two dominant shoreline features delineated were grass banks and developments and they comprised an average of $53 \%$ of the total shoreline (Fig. 22). Riprap and brush-grass components comprised $40 \%$ of the north shoreline, while trees and brush-trees were of secondary ranking along the south shore.

Major reaches of the Pend Oreille River do not appear to provide quality habitat for resident or potentially introduced game fish populations. With over half the shoreline being developed, or in relatively unproductive grass banks, the abundance and distribution of more suitable habitats becomes important. Riprap banks provide important fishery habitat in many large river systems (HJort et al. 1984). Riprap banks comprise $20 \%$ of the north shore of the Pend Oreille River, but the material does not appear to extend below the low pool level in most areas. This habitat type may be i mportant to resident fishes, but only seasonally available. Evaluation of current habitat conditions will be especially important when reviewing the temporal and spatial habitat needs for potential new species. This habitat survey does provide a solid descriptive foundation for more in-depth research and review.


Figure 22. Percent of shoreline in various habitat types along the north and south shores of the Pend Oreille River, Idaho, from Sandpoint to Albeni Falls Dam.
Legend:

| $G R=$ grass | $D V=$ developed |
| :--- | :--- |
| $R R=$ riprap | $B G=$ brush-grass |
| $B r=$ brush | $B T=$ brush-tree |
| $C B=$ clay banks | $T r=$ tree |
| $B k=$ bedrock |  |
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## OBJ ECTIVES

1. To direct I and use decisions in Region 1 .
2. To provide other agencies and individuals with technical guidance and assistance pertaining to the fishery resources of Region 1.
3. To furnish technical assistance, advice and comments to other agencies, organizations, or individuals regarding any items, projects, or activities that are associated with or may have an impact on the fishery resource or aquatic habitat of the region.
4. To comment on environmental impact statements, provide input regarding timber sales, small scale hydropower projects, highway construction, stream alterations, EPA discharge permits, dock and boat basin development, gas and electrical transmission lines, I and use planning, and other environmental impacts.

## RECOMMENDATI ONS

1. The loss of aquatic habitat due to land use development, stream and lake encroachment and pollution is a continuing and expanding problem. Current demands for technical guidance and the level of involvement necessary to suitably influence these proceedings exceed the amount of time that management personnel are able to contribute. This type of activity is critical to slow the continual loss of aquatic habitat, but does not directly benefit the angling public by providing any enhancement through management of existing fisheries. To accomplish both the habitat protection and the more intensive fishery management necessary under increasing demand, additional manpower is necessary. A person dealing strictly with habitat protection issues would allow the Regional Fishery Manager and biologists more time to devote to aggressive, "proactive" fishery management.
2. Appropriate technical guidance to protect lands associated with streams, while minimizing damage to aquatic habitat, has been unavailable. Development of a booklet detailing alternatives for stream stabilization should be considered. A cooperative effort between the Department of Water Resources and the Soil Conservation Service may be useful. In the meantime, the Department of Water Resources should be encouraged to take a more active role in technical guidance or referral.
3. Additional impacts to Region 1 streams from roading and timber harvest have severely degraded trout and char spawning and rearing habitat. Research to date has focused on the impact of fine sediment on early I ife stages of salmonids. Many Region 1 streams are further impacted by excessive bedload sediment and the loss of large woody debris,
resulting in major losses of summer and winter rearing habitat for all sal monid life stages. The need to better quantify the relationships between land use activities, stream channel dynamics, sediment transport and storage and fish habitat should be a high priority of fishery research.

## TECHNI QUES USED

Through personal contact, project and document review and field inspections, we made comments and provided advice on projects or activities associated with or impacting the fishery resource or aquatic habitat of the region.

## FINDI NGS

During 1986, Region 1 responded to 176 written requests for comment from various agencies (Table 1). Over $90 \%$ of the Region's total were strictly fisheries related. Region 1 responded to $29 \%$ of the state's total of written technical guidance with Region 1 fisheries, representing about $25 \%$ of the state's total comments on both fish and wildilfe issues. The apparent disproportionate number of written comments handed by Region 1 fisheries management appears to be primarily associated with US Forest Service timber sales, as well as US Army Corps of Engineers, Water Resources and Department of Lands stream alteration and shoreline encroachment permits. The majority of requests were handled by the Regional Fishery Manager in an attempt to free up the regional fishery biologists time for data collection and analysis. The current technical guidance workload will require a more equitable distribution between existing fish management staff personnel at the sacrifice of more active fisheries management.

Numerous presentations and programs were made to civic and sportsmen groups throughout the year.

I n addition to routine comment and technical guidance, a number of issues required considerably more effort and involvement by regional personnel.

## Forest Service

Several issues related to logging impacts on fishery habitat in northern ldaho were dealt with in greater detail. Newly proposed water quality standards for nonpoint source activities were reviewed and commented on numerous occasions. Adequacy of the Forest Practices Act in protecting fishery habitat was discussed. Timber industry representatives were made aware of critical life history stages and habitat needs of fish in technical workshops and field tours. Numerous newspaper interviews were given on the above-referenced subjects.

Table 1. Witten technical guidance comments by agency and ldaho Department of fish and Garre Region during 1986.

| Regi on or Bur eau | Bureau <br> Land <br> Manage- <br> ment | Corps <br> of Engi neers | U. S. <br> Forest <br> Service | U. 5. <br> Bur eau <br> of <br> Recl anation | Envi ron. <br> Protection Agency | I daho <br> Dept. <br> Lands | Heal th $\&$ Vel fare | St at e Clearinghouse | Dept. <br> Transpor - <br> tation | Dept. <br> Wat er <br> Resources | M sc. | Tot al | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region 1 | 3 | 26 | 32 | -- | 4 | 54 |  |  | 4 | 26 | 27 | 176 | 29 |
| Region 2 | 1 | 11 | 18 | -- | 7 | 12 | 1 |  | 10 | 32 | 13 | 105 | 17 |
| Regi on 3 | 11 | 8 | 24 | -- | 12 | 13 |  |  | 10 | 25 | 10 | 113 | 19 |
| Regi on 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | -- | 5 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 9 | 27 | 4 |
| Region 5 | 9 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 7 | 19 | 60 | 10 |
| Regi on 6 | 15 | 11 | 30 | 1 | 6 | 10 |  |  | 3 | 21 | 15 | 112 | 19 |
| Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordi nation | 3 | -- | 5 | -- | 1 | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | 6 | 15 | 2 |
| Fisheries | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 1 | >1 |
| widalife | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |
| Tot al | 43 | 84 | 122 | 2 | 36 | 92 | 1 |  | 36 | 113 | 100 | 609 | -- |
| Percent | 7 | 11 | 20 | >1 | 6 | 15 | >1 |  | 6 | 19 | 16 |  |  |

## Wolf Lodge Creek

Regional personnel continued to be involved in data collection, analysis and discussions related to mitigation for fish losses from the June 4, 1983 gasoline spill in Wolf Lodge Creek. The north end of Lake Coeur d'Alene, Wolf Lodge Creek and the upper Spokane River remained closed to the harvest of trout in 1985 and will remain closed through 1987 to protect critically low populations of cutthroat from the Wolf Lodge Creek drainage.

The gas spill lawsuit was finally resolved and $\$ 80,000$ was made available for mitigation purposes. A detailed mitigation plan was not developed in 1986 although three areas of potential mitigation were identified. A trapping facility for both cutthroat and chinook near the 1-90 crossing, reproduction of two-year-old cutthroat smolts and match money for habitat restoration were identified as potential mitigation options.

Discussions continued in 1986 with numerous agencies and Wolf Lodge Creek Iandowners to identify and hopefully resolve fish habitat loss-private property damage problems in the lower end of Wolf Lodge Creek. Regional personnel continued discussions with the Forest Service, Soil Conservation Service, Idaho- Washington Rural Conservation Districts, Water Resources, US Army Corps of Engineers, County Planning and Zoning, Division of Environment, and private Iandowners. Identification and resolution of the problem will be a priority project in 1987.

Excessive bedload sediment in the lower portion of the creek has created a situation where existing channel capacity cannot hande-normal bank full discharges. Bank destabilization, property damage, and loss of critical spawning and rearing habitat for westslope cutthroat has resulted. The causes of the problem are not well understood. Landowners' attempts to protect their property have - often been ineffective and have aggravated the existing problem. Landowners have become frustrated with agency involvement to date. We felt that something had to be done to attempt to resolve these problems or the rapid loss of the adfluvial cutthroat fishery in Coeur d'Alene Lake would result.

## Fish Habitat I mprovement

The fishery management staff actively pursued habitat improvement projects on both streams and lakes in 1986. Droplog structures were built on Hayden Creek with the assistance of US Forest Service and the North Idaho Flycasters. The region assisted the North Idaho flycasters apply for a $\$ 5,000$ grant from Fish America Foundation to match with US Forest Service money for habitat i mprovement projects on Teepee Creek in the Coeur d'Alene River drainage. The region coordinated with the US Forest Service and local sportsmen to initiate a spiny ray habitat improvement project in Hayden lake. Materials were collected in 1986 to be placed in the lake in 1987.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ llorth end of Lake Coeur d'Alene, including Wolf Lodge Bay.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ North shore of Lake Pend Oreille near mouth of Clark Fork River.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ North end of Lake Coaur d'ALene, including Wolf Lodge Bay.
    borth shore of Lake Pend Oreille neer mouth of Clark Fork River.
    CSouth end of Lake Coeur d'Alene, but not including Lake Chatcolet.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Small semple size and some confusion in data sheets.
    ${ }^{8}$ Opening day information only.
    ${ }^{1}$ Primarily kokanee.

[^2]:    We continued to use conservation officer census and tag return data to evaluate the catchable trout program in Region 1 rivers and streams. Returns from tagging data were corrected for the noncompliance bias, using reward tags as described by Rieman (1984). Estimates of noncompliance ranged from $40 \%$ to $77 \%$ for waters evaluated from 1984 to 1986. The estimated return of catchable rainbow trout to the creel ranged from $2 \%$ to $47 \% ~(T a b l e 2)$.

